

## THE CATEGORIES OF $p$ -RINGS ARE EQUIVALENT

R. W. STRINGALL

ABSTRACT. Let  $p$  and  $q$  be prime numbers. Let  $R_p$  and  $R_q$  denote, respectively, the categories of  $p$ -rings and  $q$ -rings with ring homomorphisms as morphisms. Then  $R_p$  and  $R_q$  are equivalent categories. In particular, the category of all Boolean rings is equivalent to  $R_p$ .

Stone, in [5], remarked on the now verified close connection between the representation of Boolean rings and direct decompositions of rings. Using some elementary properties of radical rings, Theorem 5.10 of [7] and the result mentioned in the abstract, it is easily shown that there is a useful extension of Stone's connection to the study of decompositions of Abelian  $p$ -groups (see [6]). Moreover, if a theorem of R. S. Pierce [4, 14.3] is considered, then it can be seen that this extended connection has general application to the structure problem of Abelian  $p$ -groups. In addition, interest in the representation theorem of this note lies in the connection between  $p$ -rings and the theories of Stone, Carathéodory and Boole and Whitehead.

Let  $p$  be a prime number. A nontrivial commutative, associative ring  $R$  is called a  $p$ -ring or generalized Boolean ring if it satisfies the identities  $x^p = x$  and  $px = 0$ . If  $p = 2$ , then  $R$  is called a Boolean ring.

Stone [5] has demonstrated that every Boolean ring is isomorphic to a ring of subsets of some set. McCoy and Montgomery [2] point out that this result is equivalent to the theorem that every Boolean ring is isomorphic to a subring of a direct sum of rings  $F_2$  ( $F_p$  denotes the prime field of characteristic  $p$ ). Moreover, they prove, using methods similar to those employed by Stone, Alexander and Zippin, that this result generalizes to the theorem that every  $p$ -ring is isomorphic to a subring of a direct sum of fields  $F_p$ . Clearly, every subdirect sum of fields  $F_p$  is a  $p$ -ring and this result is, consequently, a "complete characterization" of  $p$ -rings.

The direction of this note will be to assume the above characterization of  $p$ -rings and then to show, using this setting, that the categories  $R_p$  and  $R_2$  are equivalent.

---

Received by the editors March 24, 1970 and, in revised form, September 15, 1970.  
AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 06A40, 16A00, 16A32; Secondary 02J05, 20K25.

Key words and phrases. Category of Boolean rings, category of  $p$ -rings, subdirect sums of finite fields,  $p$ -rings, Boolean rings, Abelian  $p$ -groups, decompositions of Abelian  $p$ -groups, Boolean rings of idempotents.

Copyright © 1971, American Mathematical Society

Let  $R$  be any subring of  $\prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} R_\gamma$  where  $R_\gamma \cong F_p \forall \gamma \in \Gamma$ . Let  $\pi_\gamma$  be the natural projection of  $R$  onto  $R_\gamma$  and denote the identity of  $R_\gamma$  by  $1_\gamma$ . For each subset  $A \subseteq \Gamma$ , define  $\sigma(A) \in \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} R_\gamma$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\gamma \sigma(A) &= 1_\gamma && \text{if } \gamma \in A, \\ &= 0 && \text{if } \gamma \notin A. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, if  $r \in \prod R_\gamma$  and if  $A_i(r) = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : \pi_\gamma r = i \cdot 1_\gamma\}$  for each  $i=0, 1, \dots, p-1$ ; then  $r$  can be written uniquely in the form  $r = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} i\sigma(A_i(r))$ .

Results similar to the following proposition can be found in papers by Foster [1] and Zemmer [8].

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Let  $R \subseteq \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} R_\gamma$ ,  $r \in R$  and  $r = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} i\sigma(A_i(r))$ . Then  $\cup_{i=0}^{p-1} A_i(r) = \Gamma$ ,  $A_i(r) \cap A_j(r) = \emptyset$  if  $i \neq j$  and  $\sigma(A_i(r)) \in R$  if  $i \neq 0$ .*

**PROOF.** It is first noted that while  $R$  may not have an identity it is possible to find a subring  $S$  of  $R$  with identity which contains  $r$ . The identities  $r^{p-1}r = r^p = r$  and  $r^{p-1}(r^{p-1}s) = r^{p-1}s$  for all  $s \in R$  imply that  $r^{p-1}R = S$  is such a subring. Moreover if  $e$  is the identity of  $S$ , then, clearly,  $e = r^{p-1}$ . For  $k \neq 0$ , consider the product

$$s = \prod_{i \neq k; i=0,1,\dots,p-1} (ie - r) \in S.$$

It will be shown that  $s = -\sigma(A_k(r))$ . Suppose  $\gamma \notin A_k(r)$ , then  $\pi_\gamma(s) = 0$  since  $\gamma \in A_i(r)$  for some  $i \neq k$ . Moreover, an application of Fermat's theorem yields  $\pi_\gamma(ie - r) = \pi_\gamma i r^{p-1} - \pi_\gamma r = i(\pi_\gamma r)^{p-1} - \pi_\gamma r = i1_\gamma - i1_\gamma = 0$ . If  $\gamma \in A_k(r)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\gamma s &= \prod_{i \neq k; i=0,1,\dots,p-1} (\pi_\gamma(ie - r)) = \prod_{i \neq k; i=0,1,\dots,p-1} (i(\pi_\gamma r)^{p-1} - \pi_\gamma r) \\ &= \prod_{i \neq k; i=0,1,\dots,p-1} (i \cdot 1_\gamma - k \cdot 1_\gamma) \\ &= 1_\gamma \cdot [(0 - k)(1 - k)(2 - k) \dots ((k - 1) - k) \\ &\quad \cdot ((k + 1) - k) \dots ((p - 1) - k)] \\ &= 1_\gamma \cdot (p - 1)!. \end{aligned}$$

Now by Wilson's theorem  $(p - 1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ . Hence,  $\sigma(A_k(r)) = -s \in S \subseteq R$ . The remainder of the result is obvious.

It is known that if  $S$  is any associative ring and if  $I(S)$  represents the collection of all central idempotents in  $S$ , then  $I(S)$  can be made into a Boolean ring,  $\langle I(S), \oplus, \cdot \rangle$ , by defining  $e \oplus f = e + f - 2ef$  and

$e \cdot f = ef$  for all  $e, f \in I(S)$ . The following proposition gives a more descriptive representation of  $I(R)$  for the  $p$ -ring  $R$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.** *Let  $R \subseteq \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} R_\gamma$  and let  $K(R) = \{A \subseteq \Gamma : \sigma(A) \in R\}$ . Then  $K(R)$  together with the operations  $A + B = (A \cup B) - (A \cap B)$  and  $A \cdot B = A \cap B$  forms a Boolean ring of subsets of  $\Gamma$ . Moreover,  $I(R) = \sigma(K(R))$  and the correspondence  $A \leftrightarrow \sigma(A)$  is an isomorphism between the Boolean rings  $K(R)$  and  $I(R)$ .*

**PROOF.** An application of Fermat's theorem yields for each  $r = r^2 \in R$ ,  $r = r^{p-1} = \sigma(A)$  where  $A = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : \pi_\gamma r \neq 0\}$ . Conversely, if  $A \in K(R)$ , then  $\sigma(A) \in I(R)$ . Hence  $I(R) = \{\sigma(A) : A \in K(R)\}$ . It follows that  $\sigma$  is one-to-one and onto  $I(R)$ . That  $K(R)$  is a Boolean ring and  $\sigma$  an isomorphism follows by standard arguments using the identities:

$$\sigma(A \cdot B) = \sigma(A \cap B) = \sigma(A) \cdot \sigma(B)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(A + B) &= \sigma(A \cup B - A \cap B) = \sigma(A) + \sigma(B) - 2\sigma(A)\sigma(B) \\ &= \sigma(A) \oplus \sigma(B). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be any Boolean ring of subsets of  $\Gamma$ . The set  $\{\sigma(A) : A \in \mathfrak{B}\}$  generates a subring of  $\prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} R_\gamma$ . Denote this subring by  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{B})$ . The following corollary to Propositions 1 and 2 is now apparent.

**COROLLARY 1.** *If  $R$  is a subring of  $\prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} R_\gamma$ , then  $\mathfrak{L}(K(R)) = R$ . Moreover, if  $\mathfrak{B}$  is any Boolean ring of subsets of  $\Gamma$ , then  $\mathfrak{B} = K(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{B}))$ .*

With the aid of the Stone representation theorem for Boolean rings:

**COROLLARY 2.** *If  $p$  is prime, then every Boolean ring is isomorphic to the Boolean ring of idempotents of some  $p$ -ring.*

**PROOF.** Let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be a Boolean ring. Then by Stone's theorem,  $\mathfrak{B}$  is isomorphic to a ring of subsets of some set  $\Gamma$ . Thus,  $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{B}) \subseteq \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} R_\gamma$  is a  $p$ -ring which, by Proposition 2 and Corollary 1, contains the desired isomorphic copy of  $\mathfrak{B}$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $R, S$  be  $p$ -rings and  $I(R), I(S)$  the corresponding Boolean rings. (i) Every homomorphism  $R \rightarrow S$  restricts to a Boolean homomorphism  $I(R) \rightarrow I(S)$ . (ii) Every Boolean homomorphism  $I(R) \rightarrow I(S)$  is the restriction of a unique ring homomorphism  $R \rightarrow S$ .*

**PROOF.** It may be assumed that  $R$  and  $S$  are subrings of  $\prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} R_\gamma$  for some  $\Gamma$ .

(i) If  $h: R \rightarrow S$  is any ring homomorphism, then for  $e_1, e_2 \in I(R)$ ,

$$h(e_1 \cdot e_2) = h(e_1)h(e_2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} h(e_1 \oplus e_2) &= h(e_1 + e_2 - 2e_1e_2) = h(e_1) + h(e_2) - 2h(e_1)h(e_2) \\ &= h(e_1) \oplus h(e_2). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Clearly, by Proposition 1 there can exist at most one homomorphism  $R \rightarrow S$  which restricts to a given Boolean homomorphism  $I(R) \rightarrow I(S)$ . Let  $g: I(R) \rightarrow I(S)$  be a Boolean homomorphism. For  $r = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} i\sigma(A_i(r)) \in R$ , define  $h(r) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} ig(\sigma(A_i(r)))$ . The map  $h$  is well defined since the representation  $r = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} i\sigma(A_i(r))$  is unique. Moreover,  $h$  agrees with  $g$  on  $I(R)$ . To complete the proof of (ii), it is only necessary to show that  $h$  is a ring homomorphism. To do this three items are first noted:

(1) If  $r, s \in R$  and if  $0 < i_0 < p$ , then  $A_0(r) \cap A_{i_0}(s) \in K(R)$  and hence  $\sigma(A_0(r) \cap A_{i_0}(s)) \in R$ . This is immediate from Proposition 1 and the fact that Boolean rings are closed with respect to relative complementation. For if  $r, s \in R$  and  $i_0 \neq 0$ , then  $A_{i_0}(s) \in K(R)$ ,  $A_i(r) \in K(R)$  for all  $i \neq 0$ ,  $A_i(r) \cap A_j(r) = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $\bigcup_{i=0}^{p-1} A_i(r) = \Gamma$ . It follows that  $\bigcup_{i \neq 0} A_i(r) \in K(R)$  and  $A_{i_0}(s) \cap A_0(r) = A_{i_0}(s) - \bigcup_{i \neq 0} A_i(r) \in K(R)$ .

(2) Suppose  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in K(R)$  are disjoint,  $a_i$  is an integer for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $r = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \sigma(A_i)$ . Then  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i h(\sigma(A_i)) = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} kh(\sigma(A_k(r))) = h(r)$ . To prove this, first note that  $\sigma^{-1}h\sigma(A_1), \sigma^{-1}h\sigma(A_2), \dots, \sigma^{-1}h\sigma(A_n) \in K(S)$  are disjoint since, if  $i \neq j$  and  $\sigma^{-1}h\sigma(A_i) \cap \sigma^{-1}h\sigma(A_j) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $0 \neq h\sigma(A_i) \cdot h\sigma(A_j) = h(\sigma(A_i)\sigma(A_j)) = h(\sigma(A_i \cap A_j)) = h(0)$ , a contradiction. Since, in addition to  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  forming a disjoint collection

$$A_k(r) = \bigcup \{A_i : a_i \equiv k \pmod{p}\} \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, p-1,$$

it follows that

$$h(\sigma(A_k(r))) = \sum_{a_i \equiv k \pmod{p}} h(\sigma(A_i)).$$

Therefore, for  $k \neq 0$ ,

$$kh(\sigma(A_k(r))) = \sum_{a_i \equiv k \pmod{p}} a_i h(\sigma(A_i))$$

and

$$h(r) = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} kh(\sigma(A_k(r))) = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \sum_{a_i \equiv k \pmod{p}} a_i h(\sigma(A_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i h(\sigma(A_i)).$$

(3) If  $A$  and  $B$  are disjoint members of  $K(R)$ , then  $h\sigma(A) \oplus h\sigma(B) = h\sigma(A) + h\sigma(B)$ . This follows since  $h = g$  on  $I(R)$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
 g\sigma(A) \oplus g\sigma(B) &= g\sigma(A) + g\sigma(B) - 2(g\sigma(A))(g\sigma(B)) \\
 &= g\sigma(A) + g\sigma(B) - 2g(\sigma(A) \cdot \sigma(B)) \\
 &= g\sigma(A) + g\sigma(B) - 2g\sigma(A \cap B) \\
 &= g\sigma(A) + g\sigma(B).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose  $r = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} i\sigma(A_i(r))$  and  $s = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} i\sigma(A_i(s))$  are elements in  $R$ . Then, for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \pi_\gamma(r + s) &= \pi_\gamma \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} i\sigma(A_i(r)) + \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} i\sigma(A_i(s)) \\
 &= \pi_\gamma \sum_{i=0; j=0}^{p-1} (i + j)\sigma(A_i(r) \cap A_j(s)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$r + s = \sum_{i=0; j=0}^{p-1} (i + j)\sigma(A_i(r) \cap A_j(s))$$

and by (1) the sets  $A_i(r) \cap A_j(s)$  are disjoint members of  $K(R)$  provided  $i$  and  $j$  are not both zero. Thus, by (2),

$$h(r + s) = \sum_{i, j; i+j \neq 0}^{p-1} (i + j)h(\sigma(A_i(r) \cap A_j(s))).$$

Now,  $\bigcup_{i=0}^{p-1} A_i(s) = \Gamma$  and the sets  $A_i(r) \cap A_j(s)$  are disjoint. Moreover,  $A_i(r) \cap A_j(s) \in K(R)$  if one,  $i$  or  $j$  is not zero. Thus for  $i \neq 0$ ,

$$h\sigma(A_i(r)) = h\sigma\left(\bigcup_{j=0}^{p-1} (A_i(r) \cap A_j(s))\right) = h\sigma\left(\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} (A_i(r) \cap A_j(s))\right)$$

where the latter sum is that in  $K(R)$ , (see Proposition 2). Continuing,

$$\begin{aligned}
 h\sigma(A_i(r)) &= h(\sigma(A_i(r) \cap A_0(s)) \\
 &\quad \oplus \sigma(A_i(r) \cap A_1(s)) \oplus \cdots \oplus \sigma(A_i(r) \cap A_{p-1}(s)))
 \end{aligned}$$

since  $\sigma$  is an isomorphism of  $K(R)$  onto  $I(R)$ . Moreover, using the fact that  $h$  restricted to  $I(R)$  is a Boolean homomorphism and applying (3),

$$\begin{aligned}
 h\sigma(A_i(r)) &= h\sigma(A_i(r) \cap A_0(s)) \\
 &\quad \oplus h\sigma(A_i(r) \cap A_1(s)) \oplus \cdots \oplus h\sigma(A_i(r) \cap A_{p-1}(s)) \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} h\sigma(A_i(r) \cap A_j(s)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$h(r) = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} ih(\sigma(A_i(r))) = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} ih\sigma(A_i(r) \cap A_j(s)).$$

Similarly,

$$h(s) = \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} jh(\sigma(A_j(s))) = \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} jh\sigma(A_i(r) \cap A_j(s))$$

it follows that  $h(r+s) = h(r) + h(s)$ .

To show that  $h(r) \cdot h(s) = h(rs)$ , note that

$$\begin{aligned} rs &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} i\sigma(A_i(r)) \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} j\sigma(A_j(s)) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} ij\sigma(A_i(r) \cap A_j(s)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$h(rs) = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} ijh\sigma(A_i(r) \cap A_j(s)) \quad \text{by (2).}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} h(r)h(s) &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} ih\sigma(A_i(r)) \right) \left( \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} jh\sigma(A_j(s)) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} ijh(\sigma(A_i(r)))h(\sigma(A_j(s))) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} ijh(\sigma(A_i(r))\sigma(A_j(s))) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} ijh(\sigma(A_i(r) \cap A_j(s))). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $h(r) \cdot h(s) = h(rs)$ .

The proof of the following corollary follows from the observation that the correspondence  $R \rightarrow I(R)$  together with the restriction map  $g \rightarrow g \uparrow I(R)$  is a full, representative, faithful functor from the category of all  $p$ -rings to the category of all Boolean rings [3].

**COROLLARY 3.** *If  $p$  and  $q$  are prime members, then the categories  $R_p$  and  $R_q$  are equivalent.*

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. A. L. Foster,  *$p$ -rings and their Boolean-vector representation*, Acta. Math. **84** (1951), 231–261. MR **12**, 584.
2. N. H. McCoy and D. Montgomery, *A representation of generalized Boolean rings*, Duke Math. J. **3** (1937), 455–459.
3. B. Mitchell, *Theory of categories*, Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 17, Academic Press, New York, 1965. MR **34** #2647.
4. R. S. Pierce, *Homomorphisms of primary Abelian groups*, Proc. Sympos. Topics in Abelian Groups (New Mexico State University, 1962), Scott, Foresman, Chicago, Ill., 1963, pp. 215–310. MR **31** #1299.
5. M. H. Stone, *The theory of representations for Boolean algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **40** (1936), 37–111.
6. R. W. Stringall, *Decompositions of Abelian  $p$ -groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **28** (1971), 409–410.
7. ———, *Endomorphism rings of primary Abelian groups*, Pacific J. Math. **20** (1967), 535–557. MR **34** #7644.
8. J. L. Zemmer, *Some remarks on  $p$ -rings and their Boolean geometry*, Pacific J. Math. **6** (1956), 193–208. MR **18**, 108.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS, CALIFORNIA 95616