A ZERO-ONE LAW FOR GAUSSIAN PROCESSES

NARESH C. JAIN¹

ABSTRACT. Let P_0 be a Gaussian probability measure on the measurable space $(X, B(X))$, where X is a linear space of real-valued functions over a complete separable metric space T , and $B(X)$ is the σ -algebra generated by sets of the form $\{x \in X : (x(t_1), \dots, x(t_n)) \in B^n\}$; B^n being the Borel sets of R^n , $n \geq 1$. The covariance $R(s, t)$ is assumed continuous on $T \times T$. If G is a subgroup of X and belongs to the σ -algebra $B_0(X)$ (the completion of $B(X)$ with respect to P_0), then it is shown that $P_0(G) = 0$ or 1.

1. Introduction. For the background and history of the problem we refer to [1] and [2]. We would like to point out here that Jamison and Orey in [1] proved the above result for the special case where the Gaussian process involved had continuous paths. Kallianpur [2] proved such a result for r -modules (groups closed under multiplication by rationals). Kallianpur's result for groups is restricted to those which are $B(X)$ -measurable rather than $B_0(X)$ -measurable. He points out in [2] why his proof does not work for $B_0(X)$ -measurable subgroups. Our main result (Theorem 1) unifies and generalizes the results of [1] and [2], and also gives an answer in the affirmative to the conjecture made in [1]. Our method of proof is similar to the one given in [2], but it is simpler. The notation used here is also essentially the same as in [2].

T is a complete separable metric space. X is a linear space of real-valued functions defined on T with the usual operation of addition of functions and multiplication by real scalars. $B(X)$ is the σ -algebra as explained above. P_0 is a Gaussian measure on $(X, B(X))$. We assume that

$$(1.1) \quad \int_X x(t) P_0(dx) = 0 \quad \text{for each } t \in T;$$

$$(1.2) \quad \int_X x(s)x(t) P_0(dx) = R(s, t), \quad s, t \in T,$$

is continuous on $T \times T$. $H(R)$ will denote the reproducing kernel Hilbert space of the covariance R . It is also assumed that $H(R) \subset X$.

Received by the editors November 18, 1970.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 60G15.

Key words and phrases. Gaussian process, zero-one law

¹ This research was partially supported by NSF.

$B_0(X)$ denotes the completion of the σ -algebra $B(X)$ with respect to P_0 .

The main result is the following:

THEOREM 1. *Let G be a $B_0(X)$ -measurable subgroup of the linear space X . Then $P_0(G) = 0$ or 1.*

REMARK. For applications of this result we refer to Lemma 5 [2].

2. Proof of Theorem 1. We need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *Let $F \in B_0(X)$. If $m \in H(R)$ and α is a real number, then the set*

$$F_\alpha = \{x \in X : x = y + \alpha m, y \in F\}$$

is in $B_0(X)$, and

$$(2.1) \quad \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} P_0(F_\alpha) = P_0(F).$$

PROOF. This is established in the proof of Lemma 5 [2].

LEMMA 2. *Let $\{e_j\}_1^\infty$ be a complete orthonormal system in $H(R)$ and g be a $B_0(X)$ -measurable real function such that for each $x \in X$ and every rational r $g(x + re_j) = g(x)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$. Then $g(x) = \text{constant a.s. } (P_0)$.*

PROOF. See Lemma 6 [2].

To finish the proof of the theorem, let $P_0(G) > 0$. We will show that this implies that $H(R) \subset G$. It is then seen easily that $P_0(G) = 1$ as follows: since G is a group and $H(R)$ a Hilbert space with a complete orthonormal system $\{e_j\}$, it follows that $x \in G$ if and only if $x + re_j \in G$ for every rational r , $j = 1, 2, \dots$. Let I_G be the indicator function of the set G , then by Lemma 2 we have $I_G = \text{constant a.s. } (P_0)$. But this constant must be equal to 1 since $P_0(G) > 0$.

It thus remains to show that $P_0(G) > 0$ implies that $H(R) \subset G$. There exists a positive integer s such that $P_0(G) > 1/s$. We hold this s fixed. Let $m \in H(R)$, $m \notin G$. This will lead to a contradiction. For each positive integer n define $s+1$ sets $G_0^{(n)}, G_1^{(n)}, \dots, G_s^{(n)}$ as follows:

$$(2.2) \quad G_0^{(n)} = G, \quad G_k^{(n)} = \{x : x = y + (s!kn)^{-1}m, y \in G\}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq s.$$

By Lemma 1 these sets are in $B_0(X)$ and

$$(2.3) \quad \lim_n P_0(G_k^{(n)}) = P_0(G), \quad 0 \leq k \leq s.$$

We now show that these sets must be pairwise disjoint. If $G_0^{(n)}$ and

$G_k^{(n)}$, $k > 0$, have an element in common, then for some $x, y \in G$, $x = y + (s!kn)^{-1}m$; hence $m = (s!kn)(x - y)$, an element of G , which contradicts that $m \notin G$. Suppose now that $G_j^{(n)}, G_k^{(n)}$, $1 \leq j < k$, have an element in common. Then for some $x, y \in G$,

$$x + (s!jn)^{-1}m = y + (s!kn)^{-1}m.$$

Hence $m = (s!kjn)(k - j)^{-1}(y - x)$. But $(s!kjn)(k - j)^{-1}$ is a positive integer and this implies again that $m \in G$, a contradiction. We thus have $\sum_{k=0}^s P_0(G_k^{(n)}) \leq 1$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Letting n tend to infinity, we conclude from (2.3) that $(s+1)P_0(G) \leq 1$. Since $P_0(G) > 1/s$, this is a contradiction. Hence $H(R) \subset G$ and the proof is complete.

REFERENCES

1. B. Jamison and S. Orey, *Subgroups of sequences and paths*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **24** (1970), 739-744. MR 40 #8121.
2. G. Kallianpur, *Zero-one laws for Gaussian processes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **149** (1970), 199-211.

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55455