

## A NONARCHIMEDEAN THEORY OF ANALYTIC CONTINUATION IN SEVERAL VARIABLES

A. I. THALER

ABSTRACT. Recently B. Dwork proved the validity of the functional equation, conjectured by A. Weil, for a nonsingular projective hypersurface defined over a finite field. The proof made use of work of M. Krasner, wherein a uniqueness theorem for an analog of analytic continuation in ultrametric spaces is proved. The methods involved give information concerning the behavior of the undetermined factor  $\pm 1$  in the functional equation for such a hypersurface if one of the coefficients of the polynomial is varied. In this paper, Krasner's result is extended to a uniqueness theorem for analytic elements in  $n$  variables. This result will be applied to the Weil zeta function in a later work.

1. **Preliminaries.** Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be an algebraically closed field complete with respect to a nonarchimedean rank one valuation  $x \rightarrow \text{ord } x$  with value group  $\mathfrak{G} \subset \mathbf{R}$ , where  $\mathbf{R}$  denotes the additive group of real numbers. We shall assume that  $\mathfrak{G}$  is dense in  $\mathbf{R}$ . For  $b \in \mathbf{R}$ , we define  $\Gamma_b = \{\xi \in \mathfrak{R} : \text{ord } \xi = b\}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{D}$  denote the valuation ring of  $\mathfrak{R}$ ,  $\mathfrak{D} = \bigcup_{b \geq 0} \Gamma_b$ , and let  $\mathfrak{P}$  denote the ideals of nonunits in  $\mathfrak{D}$ ,  $\mathfrak{P} = \bigcup_{b > 0} \Gamma_b$ . It will occasionally be convenient to use the notation  $|x| = p^{-\text{ord } x}$ , where  $p$  is the characteristic of the residue class field of  $\mathfrak{R}$ , denoted by  $k$ .

The following definition is due to Krasner [2].

DEFINITION 1.1. Let  $D$  be a subset of the "projective field"  $\mathfrak{R}^* = \mathfrak{R} \cup \{\infty^*\}$ . We say that  $D$  is a *quasi-connected domain* of  $\mathfrak{R}^*$  if, for every  $\alpha \in D \cap \mathfrak{R}$ , the following property is satisfied: for every  $\xi \in D$ , the set of real numbers

$$H_\xi = \{|x - \alpha| : x \in \mathfrak{R} - D, |x - \alpha| < |\xi - \alpha|\}$$

is a finite set.

LEMMA 1.2. Let  $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_r$  be distinct elements of  $\mathfrak{D}$ ; then there is an element  $\xi$  of  $\mathfrak{D}$  such that  $|\xi - \zeta_i| = 1$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ .

This is a special case of Lemma 1 of [3], and so we may omit the proof.

---

Received by the editors September 3, 1970.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 12B30; Secondary 12J10, 32B99.

Key words and phrases. Weil zeta function, quasi-connected, axially quasi-connected, nonarchimedean analytic continuation.

© American Mathematical Society 1972

PROPOSITION 1.3. Let  $f(x) \in \mathfrak{D}[x]$ ,  $f \neq 0$ . For any positive number  $\delta$ , the sets

$$W_\delta(f) = \{\xi \in \mathfrak{D} : |f(\xi)| > \delta\}, \quad W_\delta^\#(f) = \{\xi \in \mathfrak{D} : |f(\xi)| \geq \delta\}$$

are quasi-connected.

PROOF. Let  $f(x) = (x - \zeta_1)^{e_1} \cdots (x - \zeta_r)^{e_r} (x\beta_1 - 1)^{c_1} \cdots (x\beta_s - 1)^{c_s}$ ,  $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_r$  distinct elements of  $\mathfrak{D}$ ,  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_s$  distinct nonunits in  $\mathfrak{D}$ . For  $\delta \geq 0$ , let  $\mathcal{R}_\delta, \mathcal{R}_\delta^\#$  be sets of real  $r$ -tuples defined by

$$\mathcal{R}_\delta = \{(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_r) : \delta_1^{e_1} \cdots \delta_r^{e_r} > \delta, 0 \leq \delta_i \leq 1, i = 1, \dots, r\},$$

$$\mathcal{R}_\delta^\# = \{(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_r) : \delta_1^{e_1} \cdots \delta_r^{e_r} \geq \delta, 0 \leq \delta_i \leq 1, i = 1, \dots, r\},$$

and, for any  $r$ -tuple  $(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_r)$ , let  $W(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_r) = \{\xi \in \mathfrak{D} : |\xi - \zeta_i| \geq \delta_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, r\}$ . Since, as is clear from Definition 1.1, a disk from which finitely many (open or closed) disks have been removed is a quasi-connected domain, it follows that, for any  $r$ -tuple  $(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_r)$ , the set  $W(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_r)$  is quasi-connected.

Let us consider the collections

$$\mathcal{C}_\delta = \{W(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_r) : (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_r) \in \mathcal{R}_\delta\},$$

$$\mathcal{C}_\delta^\# = \{W(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_r) : (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_r) \in \mathcal{R}_\delta^\#\}.$$

It is noted that, for any  $\delta$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_\delta$  is a subfamily of  $\mathcal{C}_\delta^\#$ , and that  $\mathcal{C}_\delta$  (respectively  $\mathcal{C}_\delta^\#$ ) is an empty family of sets if  $\delta \geq 1$  (respectively  $\delta > 1$ ). We now recall that, in the terminology of Krasner, a family  $F$  of sets is said to be *linked* if any two sets  $A, B$  of  $F$  can be joined by a *chain*, that is to say a finite collection  $A = C_0, C_1, \dots, C_m = B$  of sets of the family such that any two consecutive terms  $C_{i-1}, C_i$  are nondisjoint, and we assert that the collections  $\mathcal{C}_\delta, \mathcal{C}_\delta^\#$  are either empty or linked families of quasi-connected sets. In fact, we are able to prove a stronger statement, namely that for any choice of  $\delta$  in the closed unit interval, there is an element  $\xi \in \mathfrak{D}$  common to each member of the family  $\mathcal{C}_\delta^\#$ . For, according to Lemma 1.2, an element  $\xi \in \mathfrak{D}$  may be chosen satisfying  $|\xi - \zeta_i| = 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ , and therefore, since  $(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_r) \in \mathcal{C}_\delta^\#$  entails  $\delta_i \leq 1$  for all  $i$ , the assertion follows. But then, by a theorem of Krasner in the cited reference, the sets  $\bigcup_{W \in \mathcal{C}_\delta} W, \bigcup_{W \in \mathcal{C}_\delta^\#} W$  are quasi-connected, for any nonnegative  $\delta$  (note that the empty set is trivially a quasi-connected domain). Our desired result then follows from the observations that these latter unions are the sets  $W_\delta(f)$  and  $W_\delta^\#(f)$ , respectively.

DEFINITION 1.4. Let  $V$  be a subset of  $\mathfrak{R}^n$ ,  $j$  a positive integer,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , and  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in \mathfrak{R}^{n-1}$ . The symbol  $V^{(j)}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$  denotes the subset of  $\mathfrak{R}$  defined by

$$V^{(j)}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) = \{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R} : (a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}, \alpha, a_j, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in V\}.$$

If  $V$  has the property that, for each integer  $j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , and for each  $(n - 1)$ -tuple  $(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in \mathfrak{R}^{n-1}$ , the set  $V^{(j)}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$  is a quasi-connected domain, the set  $V$  is said to be *axially quasi-connected*.

**COROLLARY 1.5.** For  $R(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) \in \mathfrak{D}[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n]$  let  $W = \{(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathfrak{D}^n : \text{ord } R(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n) = 0\}$ . Then the set  $W$  is *axially quasi-connected*.

**PROOF.** Let  $j$  be any integer between 1 and  $n$ , and let  $R_j^*(x) \in \mathfrak{D}[x]$  be defined by

$$R_j^*(x) = R(a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}, x, a_j, \dots, a_{n-1}),$$

where  $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}$  are arbitrarily chosen elements of  $\mathfrak{D}$ ; then  $W^{(j)}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$  is either empty or equal to  $W_1^\#(R_j^*)$ , and the preceding proposition applies.

**2. Uniqueness theorem.** In this section, a uniqueness theorem for analytic elements in several variables, generalizing the one-variable theory of Krasner, is proved. We do not claim to have a completely satisfactory generalization of Krasner's concept of a quasi-connected domain; in particular, while it is not sufficient only to assume that a subset of  $\mathfrak{R}^n$  be axially quasi-connected, it seems as though our definition of  $W$  in the statement of the theorem is overly restrictive. However, it is only regions so defined with which we will be concerned in [4].

It is necessary to introduce some new ideas before the uniqueness theorem is stated.

**DEFINITION 2.1.** Let  $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n)$ ,  $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n)$  be a pair of elements of  $\mathfrak{R}^n$ ; we say that  $\xi$  is *directly axially joined* to  $\eta$  if  $\xi_i = \eta_i$  for all but possibly one of the indices  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . If  $U$  is a subset of  $\mathfrak{R}^n$ , and if  $\xi, \eta$  are elements of  $U$ , we say that  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  are *U-axially joined* if there is a sequence  $\eta = \xi^{(0)}, \xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(N)} = \xi$  with the property that, for  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N$ ,  $\xi^{(i)} \in U$ , and, for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ,  $\xi^{(i-1)}$  is directly axially joined to  $\xi^{(i)}$ .

It is clear from the definition that "is  $U$ -axially joined to" is an equivalence relation.

**DEFINITION 2.2.** For  $U \subset W \subset \mathfrak{R}^n$ , we define the *axial join of  $U$  in  $W$* ,  $W'$ , by

$$W' = \{\xi \in W : \xi \text{ is } W\text{-axially joined to an element of } U\}.$$

**PROPOSITION 2.3.** If  $R(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) \in \mathfrak{D}[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n]$ ,  $\text{ord } R(0, 0, \dots, 0) = 0$ , let  $W = \{(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathfrak{D}^n : \text{ord } R(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) = 0\}$  and let  $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_n$  be a set of positive numbers such that  $U = \Gamma_{\rho_1} \times \Gamma_{\rho_2} \times \dots \times \Gamma_{\rho_n}$  is not empty. Then, if  $W'$  denotes the axial join of  $U$  in  $W$ ,  $W' = W$ .

PROOF. If  $n = 1$ , any two elements of  $\mathfrak{R}$  are directly axially joined, and so  $W' = W$  trivially.

Assume the validity of the proposition for polynomials in  $n - 1$  variables with coefficients in  $\mathfrak{D}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , and let  $(\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n) \in W$ . We shall construct an element  $(\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n) \in U$  which is  $W$ -axially joined to  $(\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_n)$ .

Consider the image  $\bar{R}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  of  $R(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  under the residue class map: it follows from the definition of  $W$  that, if  $\bar{\xi}_i$  denotes the residue class of  $\xi_i$  under reduction mod  $\mathfrak{P}$ ,  $(\bar{\xi}_1, \dots, \bar{\xi}_n)$  is an element of  $W$  if and only if  $\bar{R}(\bar{\xi}_1, \dots, \bar{\xi}_n) \neq 0$ . Let the polynomials  $\bar{R}'$ ,  $\bar{R}'_0$  in  $k[X_n]$  be defined by

$$\bar{R}'_0(X_n) = \bar{R}(0, 0, \dots, 0, X_n), \quad \bar{R}'(X_n) = \bar{R}(\bar{\psi}_1, \bar{\psi}_2, \dots, \bar{\psi}_{n-1}, X_n);$$

since  $\bar{R}'_0(0)\bar{R}'(\bar{\psi}_n) \neq 0$ , the product of these two polynomials is not the zero polynomial. But  $k$  is infinite, so the existence of an element  $\eta$  of  $\mathfrak{D}$  with the property  $\bar{R}'(\bar{\eta})\bar{R}'_0(\bar{\eta}) \neq 0$  is guaranteed.

Let  $R^*(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n-1}) = R(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n-1}, \eta)$  and put  $W^* = \{(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{n-1}) \in \mathfrak{D}^{n-1} : \text{ord } R^*(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{n-1}) = 0\}$ ; then  $\text{ord } R^*(0, 0, \dots, 0) = 0$  and  $(\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_{n-1}) \in W^*$ , and therefore, by the induction hypothesis,  $(\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_{n-1})$  is  $W^*$ -axially joined to an element  $(\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_{n-1}) \in \Gamma_{\rho_1} \times \Gamma_{\rho_2} \times \dots \times \Gamma_{\rho_{n-1}}$ . Thus, if we choose any element  $\eta_n$  of  $\Gamma_{\rho_n}$ , the conclusion follows from the fact that  $(\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_{n-1}, \eta)$  and  $(\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_n)$  are directly axially joined,  $(\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_{n-1}, \eta)$  and  $(\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n)$  are axially joined, and  $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{n-1}) \in W^*$  if and only if  $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{n-1}, \eta) \in W$ .

REMARK 2.4. If  $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n)$ ,  $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n)$  are elements of  $\mathfrak{D}^n$ , and if  $\bar{\xi}_i = \bar{\eta}_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , then  $\xi \in W$  if and only if  $\eta \in W$ .

THEOREM 2.5. For  $R(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) \in \mathfrak{D}[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n]$ ,  $R(0, 0, \dots, 0) \in \Gamma_0$ , let  $W = \{(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathfrak{D}^n : \text{ord } R(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) = 0\}$ , and let  $\{f_m(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)\}$ ,  $\{g_m(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)\}$ ,  $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , be sequences of rational functions defined on  $W$  and converging uniformly to functions  $f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$  and  $g(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ , respectively, on  $W$ . Suppose, for some set of positive numbers  $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_n$ , the set  $U = \Gamma_{\rho_1} \times \Gamma_{\rho_2} \times \dots \times \Gamma_{\rho_n}$  is not empty and  $f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) = g(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$  on  $U$ . Then  $f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) = g(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$  identically on  $W$ .

PROOF. Let  $\xi$  be any element of  $W$ . Then, by Proposition 2.3, there is an element  $\eta$  of  $U$  and a sequence  $\eta = \xi^{(0)}, \xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(N)} = \xi$  of elements of  $W$  such that adjacent members of the sequence are directly axially joined. The theorem will follow from construction of a sequence

$\Xi^{(0)}, \Xi^{(1)}, \dots, \Xi^{(N)}$  of sets, each of which satisfies the conditions:

(1)  $\Xi^{(i)} \subset W$ .

(2) For any choice of  $j, 1 \leq j \leq n$ , and any element  $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n)$  of  $\Xi^{(i)}$ , the set

$$\Xi_{\xi, j}^{(i)} = \{ \hat{\xi}_j : (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{j-1}, \hat{\xi}_j, \xi_{j+1}, \dots, \xi_n) \in \Xi^{(i)} \}$$

has  $\xi_j$  as a limit point.

(3)  $\xi^{(i)} \in \Xi^{(i)}$ .

(4) If  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \Xi^{(i)}$ , then  $f(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) = g(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ .

Such a sequence is constructed inductively. If the initial member  $\Xi^{(0)}$  is set equal to  $U$ , it follows from the hypothesis that  $\Xi^{(0)}$  satisfies the four conditions.

Now, let  $0 \leq i < N$ , and suppose the set  $\Xi^{(i)}$  has been chosen in such a manner that conditions (1)–(4) are satisfied. Let

$$\Xi^{(i+1)} = \bigcup_{j=1}^n \{ (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{j-1}, \eta_j, \xi_{j+1}, \dots, \xi_n) \in W :$$

$$\text{for some } \xi_j, (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_j, \dots, \xi_n) \in \Xi^{(i)} \}.$$

It is obvious that  $\Xi^{(i+1)}$  so defined satisfies the first of our conditions.

Suppose  $\psi = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n) \in \Xi^{(i+1)}$ ; if  $j$  is any integer,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , we must show that  $\Xi_{\psi, j}^{(i+1)}$  has  $\psi_j$  as a limit point. But  $\psi \in \Xi^{(i+1)}$  implies the existence of an integer  $j', 1 \leq j' \leq n$ , and an element  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \Xi^{(i)}$  such that  $\psi_i = \xi_i$  if  $i \neq j'$ . If  $j' = j$ , Remark 2.4 implies that all elements congruent to  $\psi_j \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}$  are in  $\Xi_{\psi, j}^{(i+1)}$ , and so  $\psi_j$  is certainly a limit point of this latter set. On the other hand, if  $j' \neq j$ , we use the fact that  $\xi_j$  is a limit point of  $\Xi_{\xi, j}^{(i)}$ . Thus, we can choose an infinite subset  $\{ \hat{\xi}_{j, l} \}, l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , of  $\Xi_{\xi, j}^{(i)}$  such that  $\hat{\xi}_{j, l} \rightarrow \xi_j$  as  $l \rightarrow \infty$ , and such that these elements are all in the same residue class  $\pmod{\mathfrak{P}}$ ; but then, if we define  $\phi_l = (\phi_{l1}, \phi_{l2}, \dots, \phi_{ln})$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{li} &= \psi_{j'} & \text{if } i = j', \\ &= \hat{\xi}_{j, l} & \text{if } i = j, \\ &= \xi_i & \text{otherwise,} \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.4 implies that  $\phi_l \in \Xi^{(i+1)}$  for all  $l$ , and, as  $l \rightarrow \infty, \phi_{lj} \rightarrow \xi_j = \psi_j$ , from which it follows that condition (2) is satisfied by the set  $\Xi^{(i+1)}$ .

Condition (3) is fulfilled since  $\xi^{(i)} \in \Xi^{(i)}$  and  $\xi^{(i)}, \xi^{(i+1)}$  are directly axially joined.

Finally, if  $\psi = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n) \in \Xi^{(i+1)}$ , we choose  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$  and the integer  $j$  such that  $\psi_i = \xi_i$  if  $i \neq j$ . Let

$$R_{\psi, j}(X) = R(\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_{j-1}, X, \psi_{j+1}, \dots, \psi_n),$$

and let  $W_{\psi, j} = \{ \eta_j : \text{ord } R_{\psi, j}(\eta_j) = 0 \}$ . Proposition 2.3 tells us that  $W_{\psi, j}$  is a quasi-connected domain; but, by the induction hypothesis,

$f(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{j-1}, X, \xi_{j+1}, \dots, \xi_n)$  is identically equal to

$$g(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{j-1}, X, \xi_{j+1}, \dots, \xi_n)$$

on the set  $\Xi_{\xi, j}^{(i)}$ ; since this subset of  $W_{\psi, j}$  has a limit point in itself, application of the one-variable uniqueness theorem proved by Krasner gives the result that

$$f(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{j-1}, X, \xi_{j+1}, \dots, \xi_n) = g(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{j-1}, X, \xi_{j+1}, \dots, \xi_n)$$

identically on  $W_{\psi, j}$ . This proves our theorem.

#### REFERENCES

1. B. M. Dwork, *On the zeta function of a hypersurface. II*, Ann. of Math. (2) **80** (1964), 227–299. MR **32** #5654.
2. M. Krasner, *Prolongement analytique dans les corps valués complets: domaines quasi connexes*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris **238** (1954), 2385–2387. MR **16**, 799.
- , *Prolongement analytique dans les corps valués complets: éléments analytiques, préliminaires du théorème d'unicité*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris **239** (1954), 468–470. MR **16**, 799.
- , *Prolongement analytique dans les corps valués complets: démonstration de la loi d'unicité: fonctions analytiques uniformes*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris **239** (1954), 745–747. MR **16**, 799.
- , *Prolongement analytique dans les corps valués complets: préservation de l'analyticité par les opérations rationnelles*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris **244** (1957), 1304–1306. MR **19**, 395; **28** p. 1246.
- , *Prolongement analytique dans les corps valués complets: préservation de l'analyticité par les opérations rationnelles: quasi-connexité et éléments analytiques réguliers*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris **244** (1957), 1599–1602. MR **19**, 395; **28** p. 1246.
- , *Prolongement analytique dans les corps valués complets: uniformité des fonctions analytiques: l'analyticité des fonctions méromorphes*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris **244** (1957), 1996–1999. MR **19**, 395.
- , *Prolongement analytique dans les corps valués complets: préservation de l'analyticité par la convergence uniforme et par la dérivation: théorème de Mittag-Löffler généralisé pour les éléments analytiques*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris **244** (1957), 2570–2573. MR **19**, 395.
- , *Prolongement analytique dans les corps valués complets: démonstration du théorème de Mittag-Löffler: singularités au bord*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris **245** (1957), 1285–1288. MR **19**, 395.
3. A. I. Thaler, *On the Newton polytope*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (1964), 944–950. MR **29** #4759.
4. ———, *A multiple-variable deformation theory for a non-singular hypersurface* (to appear).
5. M. Krasner, *Prolongement analytique uniforme et multiforme dans les corps valués complets*, Les Tendances Géométriques en Algèbre et Théorie des Nombres, Éditions du Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Paris, 1966, pp. 97–141. MR **34** #4246.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND, COLLEGE PARK, MARYLAND 20742

*Current address:* Mathematical Sciences Section, National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C. 20550