

A METRIC CHARACTERIZATION OF ZERO-DIMENSIONAL SPACES

LUDVIK JANOS

ABSTRACT. It is shown that a nonempty separable metrizable space X is zero-dimensional if and only if there exists a metric ρ on X , inducing the given topology of X and such that all nonzero distances $\rho(x, y)$ are mutually different.

1. Introduction. Sometimes it is possible to characterize topological properties of a metrizable space X by claiming that a metric having certain properties can be introduced on X . J. de Groot [1] gave a characterization of a metrizable separable space of $\dim \leq n$ by means of a totally bounded metric satisfying certain inequalities. Similar results were obtained by J. Nagata [2]. The purpose of this note is to show that the metric which we call strongly rigid characterizes zero-dimensionality.

DEFINITION 1.1. A metric space (X, ρ) is said to be *strongly rigid* if all nonzero distances $\rho(x, y)$ are mutually different, which means that $\rho(x, y) = \rho(u, v)$ and $x \neq y$ imply that $\{x, y\} = \{u, v\}$.

REMARK 1.1. We are using here the modifier "strongly" since under "rigid metric space" is understood a metric space having no nontrivial isometry.

DEFINITION 1.2. A metrizable space X is said to be *eventually strongly rigid* if there is a strongly rigid metric on X inducing the topology of X .

REMARK 1.2. It is evident that any subset $Y \subset X$ of an eventually strongly rigid space X is again eventually strongly rigid with respect to its relative topology.

THEOREM. *A nonempty separable metrizable space X is zero-dimensional if and only if it is eventually strongly rigid.*

We accomplish the proof of this statement showing that each point in a strongly rigid space has arbitrarily small spherical neighborhoods with empty boundary, and that the Cantor set is eventually strongly rigid.

Received by the editors February 10, 1971.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 54F45; Secondary 55C10.

Key words and phrases. Rigid metric, strongly rigid metric, eventually strongly rigid, zero-dimensional.

2. Proof of the Theorem.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let (X, ρ) be a metric space, $r > 0$ and $x \in X$, we denote by $S(x, r)$ the r -sphere about x : $S(x, r) = \{y \mid y \in X \text{ and } \rho(x, y) = r\}$. It is obvious that, if $S(x, r)$ is empty, then the boundary of the r -ball about x is also empty.

LEMMA 2.1. *If (X, ρ) is a strongly rigid metric space, then for each $x \in X$ and each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $r \in (0, 2\varepsilon)$ such that $S(x, r)$ is empty.*

PROOF. First we observe that each sphere in a strongly rigid space contains no more than one point. If $S(x, \varepsilon)$ is empty, we are done. If not, there is a point, say $y \in S(x, \varepsilon)$. If $S(x, \varepsilon/2)$ is empty, we are done again; if not, there is a point, say $z \in S(x, \varepsilon/2)$, and we observe that $\varepsilon/2 < \rho(y, z) \leq 2\varepsilon$. Putting $r = \rho(y, z)$, we conclude that $S(x, r)$ must be empty, since otherwise the distance from x to some point would be the same as $\rho(y, z)$ which is impossible, and this accomplishes our proof.

LEMMA 2.2. *The Cantor set C is eventually strongly rigid.*

PROOF. We represent the Cantor set C in the classical form: $C = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A^n$ where $A^1 = [0, 1] \setminus (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3})$, $A^2 = A^1 \setminus [(\frac{1}{9}, \frac{2}{9}) \cup (\frac{7}{9}, \frac{8}{9})]$ and so on. The components of A^n we denote by $C_1^n, C_2^n, \dots, C_{2^n}^n$.

Let now $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ be a convergent series of positive numbers $a_n > 0$, having the property that for each $n = 1, 2, \dots$ we have $a_n > \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k$. Such series exist; for example, the geometrical series $a_n = 3^{-n}$ has this property. Now we observe the following property of our series $\sum a_n$ which will play the crucial role in the construction of the strongly rigid metric ρ on C : If $k(1) < k(2) < k(3) < \dots$ and $l(1) < l(2) < l(3) < \dots$ are two different sequences of natural numbers, then the subseries $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{k(n)}$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{l(n)}$ have different values. Arranging our series $\sum a_n$ in the scheme:

$$\begin{aligned} & a_1^1 \\ & a_1^2, a_2^2, a_3^2 \\ & a_1^3, a_2^3, a_3^3, a_4^3, a_5^3, a_6^3, a_7^3 \\ & a_1^n, a_2^n, \dots, a_{2^{n-1}}^n \end{aligned}$$

where $a_1^1 = a_1, a_1^2 = a_2, a_2^2 = a_3 \dots$ and so on, we define the expressions $\rho^n(x, y)$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $x, y \in C$ in the following way:

Let $x, y \in C$. If x and y are in the same component of A^n , we put $\rho^n(x, y) = 0$, and if $x \in C_k^n$ and $y \in C_l^n$ (assuming for example $x < y$) we put $\rho^n(x, y) = a_k^n + a_{k+1}^n + \dots + a_{l-1}^n$. Defining finally $\rho(x, y)$ by: $\rho(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho^n(x, y)$ we see that $\rho(x, y)$ can be represented as a certain subseries of $\sum a_n$ and that $\rho(x, y)$ is a metric on C , since its symmetry

and the triangle inequality follow directly from the definition and if $x \neq y$ there is evidently an index n such that $\rho^n(x, y) > 0$. Moreover, the metric $\rho(x, y)$ is topologically equivalent to $|x - y|$ since if $\{x_k\}$, $x \in C$ and $|x_k - x| \rightarrow 0$, then the minimal index n for which x_k and x belong to different components of A^n tends to ∞ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and therefore the first member a_i^n appearing in the expression for $\rho(x_k, x)$ tends to zero, thus the expression $\rho(x_k, x)$ itself tends to zero. Conversely, if $\rho(x_k, x) \rightarrow 0$ and if $|x_k - x|$ were not converging to zero, then due to compactness of C there would be a subsequence $\{x_{k(n)}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ converging to some $y \neq x$, but then, using the above argument, it would follow that also $\rho(x_{k(n)}, y) \rightarrow 0$, which is impossible. It remains to show that if $\{x, y\}$ and $\{u, v\}$ are two different pairs of distinct points in C , then $\rho(x, y) \neq \rho(u, v)$. It is evident that there exists some n such that $\rho^n(x, y) \neq \rho^n(u, v)$ (assuming for example $x \neq u$, $x < u$, it suffices to choose n such that x and u are in different components of A^n). But this implies that $\rho(x, y)$ and $\rho(u, v)$ are represented by different subseries of $\sum a_n$ and therefore have different values. Hence, $\rho(x, y)$ is strongly rigid on C , and C is eventually strongly rigid, which proves our lemma.

Now we have all we needed to prove our theorem. If X is a nonempty eventually strongly rigid space, then from Lemma 2.1 follows that $\dim X = 0$. If on the other hand X is separable, metrizable, and zero-dimensional, it is known that X can be topologically embedded in the Cantor set C and from Lemma 2.2 and Remark 2.1 follows that X is eventually strongly rigid.

REFERENCES

1. J. de Groot, *On a metric that characterizes dimension*, *Canad. J. Math.* **9** (1957), 511–514. MR **19**, 874.
2. J. Nagata, *On a relation between dimension and metrization*, *Proc. Japan Acad.* **32** (1956), 237–240. MR **19**, 156.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA, GAINESVILLE, FLORIDA 32601
Current address: Istituto Matematico, "Ulisse Dini," 50134 Firenze, Italy