

## EQUIVALENCE-SINGULARITY DICHOTOMIES FROM ZERO-ONE LAWS<sup>1</sup>

RAOUL D. LEPAGE AND V. MANDREKAR

**ABSTRACT.** In this note a general result on equivalence and singularity of two measures is presented. As a consequence of this S. Kakutani's dichotomy for product measures and J. Feldman's dichotomy for Gaussian measures are derived via appropriate zero-one laws.

In several different contexts, probability measures  $P, Q$  which are mutually absolutely continuous on each  $\mathcal{F}_n$  of an increasing sequence of  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\{\mathcal{F}_n, n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  are then necessarily mutually absolutely continuous ( $\equiv$ ) or singular ( $\perp$ ) on the minimal  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $\bigcup_n \mathcal{F}_n$ . Invariably, some type of 0-1 law is operative and suspected of forcing the equivalence-singularity dichotomy.

Here it is shown how in each case the dichotomy results from a certain tail  $\sigma$ -algebra being trivial. The natural technique for establishing triviality of this tail  $\sigma$ -algebra is none other than use of the appropriate 0-1 law.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2 \subset \dots$  be  $\sigma$ -algebras of subsets of  $\Omega$ ,  $\mathcal{F} = \sigma(\bigcup_n \mathcal{F}_n)$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by their union. For any probability measures  $P, Q$  on  $\mathcal{F}$  which are mutually absolutely continuous when restricted to each of  $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \dots$ , we let  $\rho_n = (dQ | \mathcal{F}_n) / (dP | \mathcal{F}_n)$  and define the tail algebra  $\mathcal{G} = \bigcap_n \sigma\{\log \rho_{k+1} - \log \rho_k \mid k \geq n\}$ . The following is then true:*

$$(A \in \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow P(A) = 0 \text{ or } 1) \Rightarrow (P \perp Q \text{ or } P \ll Q).$$

**PROOF.** The measure  $Q$  has a Hahn decomposition by  $P$  which is

$$Q(A) = \int_A \rho \, dP + Q(AN) \quad \text{for every } A \in \mathcal{F}$$

where  $\rho$  is a nonnegative  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable function and  $N$  is a  $P$ -negligible  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable set. Using the submartingale convergence theorem it has

Received by the editors March 9, 1971.

*AMS 1970 subject classifications.* Primary 60G30; Secondary 60F20.

*Key words and phrases.* Singularity, equivalence, product measure, Gaussian measure, zero-one law.

<sup>1</sup> Research was partially supported by NSF Grant GP-11626.

been proved that  $P(\lim_n \rho_n = \rho) = 1$  (e.g. [1, Lemma 3]). Observe that

$$Q(\rho_n = 0) = \int_{(\rho_n=0)} \rho_n dP = 0 \quad \text{for every } n$$

so that by mutual absolute continuity  $P(\rho_n = 0) = 0$  for every  $n$ . Therefore  $(\rho > 0)$  belongs to the  $P$ -completion of  $\mathfrak{G}$  since a.e.  $P$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho > 0) &= \left( \lim_N \rho_N > 0 \right) = \left( \lim_N \log \rho_{N+1} > -\infty \right) \\ &= \left( \lim_N \sum_{k=n}^{k=N} (\log \rho_{k+1} - \log \rho_k) > -\infty \right) \\ &\in \sigma\{\log \rho_{k+1} - \log \rho_k \mid k \geq n\} \quad \text{for every } n. \end{aligned}$$

If every event in  $\mathfrak{G}$  is  $P$ -trivial, then  $P(\rho > 0) = 0$  or  $P(\rho > 0) = 1$ . In the former case

$$Q(N) = Q(\Omega) - \int_{\Omega} \rho dP = 1, \quad P(N) = 0$$

so that  $P \perp Q$ . In the case  $P(\rho > 0) = 1$ , for every  $A \in \mathfrak{F}$  and  $\alpha > 0$ ,

$$Q(A) \geq \int_A \rho dP \geq \int_{A(\rho > \alpha)} \rho dP \geq \alpha P(A(\rho > \alpha))$$

and  $P(A(\rho > \alpha)) \rightarrow P(A)$  as  $\alpha \downarrow 0$ . Hence  $P(A) > 0$  implies  $Q(A) > 0$ . That is,  $Q(A) = 0$  implies  $P(A) = 0$ . Therefore  $P \ll Q$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY.** *With the same assumptions as in the Theorem,*

$$(A \in \mathfrak{G} \Rightarrow P(A) = 0 \text{ or } 1 \text{ and } Q(A) = 0 \text{ or } 1) \Rightarrow (P \perp Q \text{ or } P \equiv Q).$$

**PROOF.** Interchanging the roles of  $P$  and  $Q$  does not alter  $\mathfrak{G}$  or the assumptions of the Theorem.  $\square$

Each of the two major types of equivalence-singularity dichotomies for measures will now be proved to follow via the Corollary. In every case, our method is the same: Use an appropriately chosen 0-1 law to establish triviality of  $\mathfrak{G}$  with respect to each of  $P$  and  $Q$ .

**KAKUTANI'S THEOREM.** *Suppose  $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}) = (\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \Omega_k, \otimes_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{F}^{(k)})$  and  $P = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} P^{(k)}$ ,  $Q = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} Q^{(k)}$ . Then*

$$(P^{(k)} \equiv Q^{(k)} \text{ for every } k) \Rightarrow (P \perp Q \text{ or } P \equiv Q).$$

**PROOF.** For every  $n$  let  $p^{(n)} = dQ^{(n)}/dP^{(n)}$ ,

$$\mathfrak{F}_n = \otimes_{k=1}^n \mathfrak{F}^{(k)} \otimes \otimes_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \{\phi, \Omega_k\},$$

then  $\log \rho_{n+1} - \log \rho_n = \log p^{(n+1)}$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{G}$  is the tail algebra of the

$P$ -independent sequence  $(\log \rho^{(n)}, n \geq 1)$ . By the ordinary 0-1 law [5, p. 229] we conclude  $\mathcal{G}$  is  $P$ -trivial. Exactly the same argument applies for  $Q$ .

**J. FELDMAN'S THEOREM.** *Suppose  $T$  is a set (the time domain),  $\mathcal{R}$  is the set of real numbers,  $\Omega = \mathcal{R}^T$ ,  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{B}^T$  (product Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra). Then*

$$(P, Q \text{ Gaussian measures on } \mathcal{F}) \Rightarrow (P \perp Q \text{ or } P \equiv Q).$$

**PROOF.** Let  $X_t(f) = f(t), t \in T$ , be the coordinate random variables defined for  $f \in \mathcal{R}^T$ . Suppose there is an  $A \in \mathcal{B}^T$  with  $0 = Q(A) < P(A)$ . Then  $A$  is measurable [6, Corollary, p. 81] with respect to the  $\sigma$ -subalgebra generated by countable subfamily  $\{X_{t_1}, X_{t_2}, \dots\}$  of  $\{X_t, t \in T\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_\infty = \sigma\{X_{t_1}, X_{t_2}, \dots\}$ .

Define for each  $n, \mathcal{F}_n = \sigma\{X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_n}\}$ . For each  $n$ , the dichotomy  $P | \mathcal{F}_n \equiv Q | \mathcal{F}_n$  or  $P | \mathcal{F}_n \perp Q | \mathcal{F}_n$  is a property of finite dimensional Gaussian distributions, so mutual absolute continuity  $P | \mathcal{F}_n \equiv Q | \mathcal{F}_n$  may as well be assumed. For every  $s, t \in T$  let

$$m_1(t) = \int_{\Omega} X(t) dP, \quad K_1(s, t) = \int_{\Omega} X(s)X(t) dP - m_1(s)m_1(t),$$

$$m_2(t) = \int_{\Omega} X(t) dQ, \quad K_2(s, t) = \int_{\Omega} X(s)X(t) dQ - m_2(s)m_2(t).$$

For each  $n < \infty$  we define certain vectors and matrices by restriction to  $T_n = \{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$ , e.g.  $X_n = (X(t_1), \dots, X(t_n))$ ,  $K_{1,n} = [K_1(t_i, t_j); i, j \leq n]$ , etc. Mutual absolute continuity  $P | \mathcal{F}_n \equiv Q | \mathcal{F}_n$  ensures for each  $n < \infty$  that the rows of  $K_{1,n}$  span the same linear submanifold of  $\mathcal{R}^n$  as do the rows of  $K_{2,n}$ . For each  $n < \infty$ ,  $\rho_n = (dQ | \mathcal{F}_n)/(dP | \mathcal{F}_n)$  takes explicit form

$$\rho_n = \frac{|K_{1,\bar{n}}|^{1/2} \exp[-\frac{1}{2}(X - m_2)_{\bar{n}}K_{2,\bar{n}}^{-1}(X - m_2)_{\bar{n}}^{\text{tr}}]}{|K_{2,\bar{n}}|^{1/2} \exp[-\frac{1}{2}(X - m_1)_{\bar{n}}K_{1,\bar{n}}^{-1}(X - m_1)_{\bar{n}}^{\text{tr}}]}$$

where  $\bar{n}$  is the largest integer less than or equal to  $n$  with  $|K_{1,\bar{n}}| > 0$ . Rearrangement yields

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_n &= \frac{|K_{1,\bar{n}}|^{1/2}}{|K_{2,\bar{n}}|^{1/2}} \exp[-\frac{1}{2}(X - m_2)_{\bar{n}}(K_{2,\bar{n}}^{-1} - K_{1,\bar{n}}^{-1})(X - m_2)_{\bar{n}}^{\text{tr}}] \\ &\quad \times \exp[(X - m_1)_{\bar{n}}K_{1,\bar{n}}^{-1}(m_2 - m_1)_{\bar{n}}^{\text{tr}} - \frac{1}{2}(m_2 - m_1)_{\bar{n}}K_{1,\bar{n}}^{-1}(m_2 - m_1)_{\bar{n}}^{\text{tr}}]. \end{aligned}$$

In the reproducing kernel notation of [7],

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_n &= |K_{2,\bar{n}}^{-1}K_{1,\bar{n}}|^{1/2} \exp\{\frac{1}{2}((X - m_2) \otimes (X - m_2), K_2 - K_1)_{K_{1,\bar{n}} \otimes K_{2,\bar{n}}} \\ &\quad \times \exp\{(X - m_1, m_2 - m_1)_{K_{1,\bar{n}}} - \frac{1}{2}\|m_2 - m_1\|_{K_{1,\bar{n}}}^2\}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $n < \infty$ , define  $\mathcal{M}_n$  as the set of all real functions on  $T$  which are finite real linear combinations of  $\{K(\cdot, t_1), \dots, K_1(\cdot, t_n)\}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_\infty = \bigcup_n \mathcal{M}_n$ . Since  $\mathcal{M}_\infty$  is dense in the reproducing kernel Hilbert space of the restriction  $[K_1(t_i, t_j), i, j < \infty]$ ,  $P$ -triviality of  $\mathcal{G}$  can be established using the following 0-1 law for Gaussian processes:

*Zero-one law.* If  $S$  is a set,  $(\mathcal{R}^S, \mathcal{B}^S, P)$  a probability function space,  $P$  a Gaussian measure,  $A$  an event in the  $P$ -completion of  $\mathcal{B}^S$ ,  $\mathcal{D}$  a dense subset of the reproducing kernel Hilbert space of the covariance kernel (e.g.  $K_1$ ) of  $P$ , then

$$(e \in \mathcal{D} \Rightarrow A = A + c) \Rightarrow (P(A) = 0 \text{ or } 1).$$

The proof will not be given here, but uses [3, Lemma 4] much as [3, Lemma 6]. This 0-1 law as applied to  $\mathcal{G}$  asserts that  $\mathcal{G}$  is  $P$ -trivial if invariant under the mapping  $\{X(t_j) \rightarrow X(t_j) + e(t_j), j < \infty\}$  for every  $e \in \mathcal{M}_\infty$ . Such is indeed the case since if  $e \in \mathcal{M}_n$ ,  $k \geq n$ , simple calculation verifies that  $\log \rho_{k+1} - \log \rho_k$  is *unchanged* when  $X_{k+1}$  is replaced by  $(X + e)_{k+1}$  and  $X_k$  by  $(X + e)_k$ . Applying the Theorem,  $P \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}_\infty \perp Q \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}_\infty$  or  $P \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}_\infty \ll Q \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}_\infty$ . Now  $0 = Q(A) < P(A)$  precludes  $\ll$ , hence  $P \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}_\infty \perp Q \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}_\infty$ . Therefore (not  $P \ll Q$ ) has been shown to imply  $P \perp Q$ . Interchanging the roles of  $P, Q$  we obtain  $P \equiv Q$  or  $P \perp Q$ .

An effective test for singularity was provided by Kakutani in the product measure case, and later completely generalized by Kraft [4] who proved that

$$\left( \int_{\Omega} \rho_n^{1/2} dP \rightarrow 0 \right) \Leftrightarrow (P \perp Q).$$

#### REFERENCES

1. J. Feldman, *Equivalence and perpendicularity of Gaussian processes*, Pacific J. Math. **8** (1958), 699–708. MR **21** #1546.
2. S. Kakutani, *On equivalence of infinite product measures*, Ann. of Math. (2) **49** (1948), 214–224. MR **9**, 340.
3. G. Kallianpur, *Zero-one laws for Gaussian processes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **149** (1970), 199–211.
4. Charles Kraft, *Some conditions for consistency and uniform consistency of statistical procedures*, Univ. Calif. Publ. Statist. **2** (1955), 125–141. MR **17**, 505.
5. M. Loève, *Probability theory*, 3rd ed., Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1963. MR **34** #3596.
6. J. Neveu, *Bases mathématiques du calcul des probabilités*, Masson, Paris, 1964; English transl., Holden-Day, San Francisco, Calif., 1965. MR **33** #6659; #6660.
7. E. Parzen, *Probability density functionals and reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces*, Proc. Sympos. Time Series Analysis (Brown University, 1962), Wiley, New York, 1963, pp. 155–169. MR **26** #7119.

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS AND PROBABILITY, MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST LANSING, MICHIGAN 48823