

## AN EMBEDDING THEOREM FOR COMMUTATIVE LATTICE-ORDERED DOMAINS

STUART A. STEINBERG

**ABSTRACT.** In a recent paper Conrad and Dauns have shown that a finitely-rooted lattice-ordered field  $R$ , in which multiplication by a positive special element is a lattice homomorphism, can be embedded in a formal power series  $l$ -field with real coefficients, provided that the value group of  $R$  is torsion-free. In this note it is shown that their theorem is true when  $R$  is a commutative integral domain.

The proof of this theorem will be the same as the proof of Conrad and Dauns' result [3, Theorem III] once it has been established that a quotient ring of  $R$  can be lattice-ordered.<sup>1</sup> Their arguments do not use that  $R$  is a field but only that the set of positive special elements of  $R$  is a multiplicative group.

This paper represents a portion of the author's dissertation written at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign under the direction of Professor Elliot C. Weinberg.

**1. Extending the lattice order to a quotient ring.** The following lemma may be thought of as a generalization of [3, Theorem I] (as may Lemma 2, also).

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $R$  be an  $l$ -ring containing a positive invertible element  $u$ . Then right (left) multiplication by  $u$  is a lattice homomorphism (equivalently, an  $l$ -automorphism of the underlying  $l$ -group of  $R$ ) if and only if  $u^{-1}$  is positive.*

**PROOF.** If  $x \rightarrow xu$  is a lattice homomorphism, then  $(1 \vee 0)u = u \vee 0 = u$ ; so  $1 > 0$ . Also,  $(u^{-1} \vee 0)u = 1 \vee 0 = 1$  implies  $u^{-1} \vee 0 = u^{-1}$ ; i.e.,  $u^{-1} > 0$ . Conversely, suppose that  $u^{-1} \in R^+$ . If  $a$  is any positive element of  $R$ , then  $xavya \leq (x \vee y)a$ . So,

$$x \vee y = xuu^{-1} \vee yuu^{-1} \leq (xu \vee yu)u^{-1} \leq (x \vee y)uu^{-1} = x \vee y.$$

Thus  $(x \vee y)u = xu \vee yu$ .

---

Received by the editors January 6, 1971.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 06A70, 16A08; Secondary 13G05, 06A60, 13J05.

*Key words and phrases.* Lattice-ordered ring, commutative domain, formal power series, classical ring of quotients, value preserving  $l$ -isomorphism, finitely-valued  $l$ -group, special element.

<sup>1</sup> The author would like to thank Professor Paul Conrad for pointing out a mistake in an earlier version of Theorem 2.

© American Mathematical Society 1972

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Let  $R$  be an  $l$ -ring, and let  $\Sigma$  be a multiplicative subset of positive regular elements. Suppose that  $R$  is a right Ore ring with respect to  $\Sigma$ , and let  $Q$  be its classical right quotient ring with respect to  $\Sigma$ . Then  $Q$  can be made into an  $l$ -ring extension of  $R$ , in which the inverse of each element of  $\Sigma$  is positive, exactly when left multiplication and right multiplication by each element of  $\Sigma$  are lattice homomorphisms. If this is the case, the lattice order of  $Q$  is uniquely determined by that of  $R$ .*

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $x^+a = (xa)^+$  and  $ax^+ = (ax)^+$  for all  $x \in R, a \in \Sigma$ . Define  $Q^+ = \{q \in Q : q \text{ has a representation of the form } q = xa^{-1} \text{ for some } (x, a) \in R^+ \times \Sigma\}$ . We claim that  $(Q, Q^+)$  is an  $l$ -ring extension of  $(R, R^+)$  and that  $a \in \Sigma$  implies  $a^{-1} \in Q^+$ . We first note that if  $q \in Q^+$  and  $q = yb^{-1}$ , then  $y \in R^+$ . Suppose, then, that  $xa^{-1} = yb^{-1}$  with  $x \in R^+$ . There exists  $(c, d) \in R \times \Sigma$  such that  $xc = yd$  and  $ac = bd$ . Then  $0 = (ac)^- = ac^-$  implies that  $c \in R^+$ , since  $a$  is regular. Therefore  $0 = (xc)^- = (yd)^- = y^-d$ , so  $y \in R^+$ .

It is easily seen that  $Q^+$  is a positive cone for the ring  $Q$  and that  $Q^+ \cap R = R^+$ . Note that the least upper bound of two elements in  $R$  is also their least upper bound in  $Q$ . For suppose that  $(q, x) \in Q \times R, q = ya^{-1}$ , and  $q \geq \{x, 0\}$ . Then  $y \geq \{xa, 0\}$ ; so  $y \geq (xa)^+ = x^+a$  and  $q \geq x^+$ . Note, also, that if  $a \in \Sigma$ , then  $a^{-1} = a(a^2)^{-1} \in Q^+$ .

If  $q = xa^{-1} \in Q$ , define  $q^* = x^+a^{-1}$ . Then  $q^*$  is independent of the representation of  $q$ . For if  $q = yb^{-1}$ , then there exists  $(c, d) \in R \times \Sigma$  such that  $bc = ad$  and  $yc = xd$ . Since  $a, b$ , and  $d$  are in  $\Sigma, c \in R^+$  and  $c^{-1} \in Q^+$ . Since right multiplication by  $c$  is an order isomorphism of  $Q$  onto  $Q$ , and thus preserves all existing sups,  $y^+c = (yc)^+ = (xd)^+ = x^+d$ . Thus  $y^+b^{-1} = x^+a^{-1}$ , and  $q^*$  is well defined. It is now easily seen that  $q^* = q \vee 0$ .

The converse is immediate from Lemma 1. For uniqueness, suppose that  $(Q, P)$  is an  $l$ -ring extension of  $(R, R^+)$  such that  $a \in \Sigma$  implies  $a^{-1} \in P$ . Then, clearly,  $Q^+ \subseteq P$ . If  $xa^{-1} \in P$ , then  $x = (xa^{-1})a \in P \cap R = R^+$ . So  $P = R^+$ .

We have essentially used Anderson's proof of the special case of the following corollary, i.e., that in which  $\Sigma$  is the set of all regular elements of the unital  $f$ -ring  $R$  [1, Theorem 5.1], to prove Proposition 1.

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $R$  be a right Ore ring with respect to a multiplicative subset of regular elements  $\Sigma$ , and let  $Q$  be its classical right quotient ring with respect to  $\Sigma$ . If  $R$  is an  $f$ -ring, then  $Q$  can be made into an  $f$ -ring extension of  $R$  in exactly one way.*

**PROOF.** Let  $\Sigma^+ = \Sigma \cap R^+$ . If  $q \in Q$ , then  $q = xa^{-1} = xa(a^2)^{-1}$  and  $a^2 \in \Sigma^+$ . Thus  $Q$  is the right quotient ring of  $R$  with respect to  $\Sigma^+$ . Now use Proposition 1.

**2. *D*-domains.** A *value* of a nonzero element  $g$  in an  $l$ -group is a convex  $l$ -subgroup that is maximal with respect to the exclusion of  $g$ . A *special element* of an  $l$ -group  $G$  is an element that has exactly one value. Note that a special element is comparable to 0.  $G$  is *finitely-valued* if each of its elements has only a finite number of values. For the remainder of this paper, by a special element we shall mean a positive special element. A *basic element* is a positive nonzero element  $g$  for which the convex  $l$ -subgroup generated by  $g$ ,  $C(g)$ , is totally ordered. It is known that a basic element is special. For the theory of special elements see [2]. The following lemma is an immediate consequence of Lemma 1.

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $R$  be an  $l$ -ring containing an invertible element  $u$  such that  $u$  and  $u^{-1}$  are both positive.*

- (a) *If  $g \in R$ , then  $g$  is special (basic) if and only if  $ug$  is special (basic).*
- (b) *1 is special (basic) if and only if  $u$  is special (basic).*
- (c)  *$u$  is special (basic) if and only if  $u^{-1}$  is special (basic).*

An  $l$ -domain is an  $l$ -ring  $R$  in which  $R^+ \setminus \{0\}$  is a multiplicatively closed subset [6].

**COROLLARY.** *If  $R$  is an  $l$ -domain, then  $u$  is basic.*

**PROOF.**  $C(1)$ , the convex  $l$ -subgroup of  $R$  generated by 1, is an  $f$ -ring since 1 is a strong order unit in  $C(1)$ . Since  $C(1)$  is an  $l$ -domain, it is totally ordered.

This corollary is actually a generalization of the fact that a lattice-ordered division ring in which the inverse of every positive element is positive must be totally ordered. This is proven in [7, p. 199] for the commutative case.

By a  $D$ -domain we shall mean a commutative lattice-ordered ring  $R$ , without zero divisors, such that

- (a) the set  $S$  of special elements of  $R$  is nonempty, and
- (b) multiplication by an element of  $S$  is a lattice homomorphism.

**PROPOSITION 2.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative lattice-ordered integral domain. Then  $R$  is a  $D$ -domain if and only if*

$$S_1 = \{0 \neq s \in R^+ : \text{multiplication by } s \text{ is a lattice homomorphism}\}$$

*is nonempty and  $S = S_1$ . In particular, the special elements in a  $D$ -domain form a multiplicatively closed subset.*

**PROOF.** If  $R$  is a  $D$ -domain, then  $S \subseteq S_1$ , so  $S_1$  is nonempty. Clearly  $S_1$  is multiplicatively closed. Let  $Q$  be the ring of quotients of  $R$  with respect to  $S_1$ . By Proposition 1,  $Q$  is an  $l$ -ring extension of  $R$ , and its

positive cone is given by

$$Q^+ = \{as^{-1} \in Q : a \in R^+, s \in S\}.$$

If  $s \in S_1$ , then  $s$  and  $s^{-1}$  are in  $Q^+$ . By the previous corollary,  $s$  is basic in  $Q$ ; hence it is also basic in  $R$ . Thus  $S = S_1$ . The converse is trivial.

In [3, Theorem I] it is shown that an  $l$ -field  $R$  is a  $D$ -domain if and only if its set of special elements  $S$  forms a multiplicative group. The following example shows that a finitely-rooted, commutative, lattice-ordered domain, in which  $S$  is multiplicatively closed, need not be a  $D$ -domain.

EXAMPLE 1. Let  $R = F[x]$  be the polynomial ring over the totally ordered field  $F$ , and let  $R^+ = \{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1x + \cdots + \alpha_nx^n : \alpha_0 \geq 0 \text{ and } \alpha_n \geq 0\}$ . As an  $l$ -group  $R$  is the direct sum of two totally ordered groups, and so it has only two roots. Its set of special elements is

$$S = \{\alpha : 0 < \alpha \in F\} \cup \{\alpha_1x + \cdots + \alpha_nx^n : \alpha_n > 0\}.$$

Since  $1 \wedge x = 0$ , but  $x \wedge x^2 > 0$ ,  $R$  is not a  $D$ -domain.

For the remainder of this paper let  $R$  be a  $D$ -domain with special elements  $S$ . By Proposition 2,  $S$  is a multiplicatively closed subset of  $R$ . If  $Q$  is the ring of quotients of  $R$  with respect to  $S$ , then Proposition 1 says that  $Q$  is an  $l$ -ring extension of  $R$ . Let  $T$  be the set of special elements of  $Q$ .

PROPOSITION 3.  *$T$  is the quotient po-group of  $S$ ; i.e.,  $T = \{as^{-1} \in Q : a, s \in S\}$ .*

PROOF. If  $a, s \in S$ , then  $(as^{-1})^{-1} = sa^{-1} \in Q^+$ . So  $as^{-1} \in T$ , by the corollary to Lemma 2. Conversely, suppose that  $as^{-1} \in T$ . By Lemma 2(a),  $a \in T$ . Let  $Q(a)$  (respectively  $R(a)$ ) be the convex  $l$ -subgroup of  $Q$  (respectively  $R$ ) generated by  $a$ . Then  $Q(a) = \text{lex } N$  for a proper convex  $l$ -subgroup  $N$  of  $Q(a)$  [2, Theorem 3.6]. But then  $N \cap R(a) \subsetneq R(a)$ , and  $R(a) = \text{lex}(N \cap R(a))$ . Thus  $a \in S$ .

COROLLARY 1.  *$Q$  is a  $D$ -domain and  $T = \{q \in Q^+ : q^{-1} \in Q^+\}$ .*

PROOF. This follows immediately from Proposition 3 and the previous corollary.

Note that  $T \cap R = S$  and that  $S$  is actually the set of basic elements of  $R$ .

COROLLARY 2.  *$R$  is finitely-valued if and only if its underlying  $l$ -group is a direct sum of totally ordered groups.*

PROOF. The only if part follows from the fact that each special element is basic and from [8, Theorem 2.12]. The if part is trivial.

PROPOSITION 4. (a) *If  $R$  is finitely-valued, then so is  $Q$ .*

(b)  *$R$  has exactly  $n$  roots if and only if  $Q$  has exactly  $n$  roots.*

PROOF. Suppose that  $R$  is finitely-valued and  $gs^{-1} \in Q^+$ . Then  $g$  is the sum of pairwise disjoint special elements of  $R$ ;  $g = g_1 + \dots + g_n$  [2, Theorem 3.7]. Thus  $gs^{-1}$  is the sum of pairwise disjoint special elements of  $Q$ . So  $Q$  is finitely-valued.

As is well known  $R$  has exactly  $n$  roots if and only if  $R$  has a basis containing exactly  $n$  elements [5]. Suppose that  $R$  has exactly  $n$  roots and  $\{g_i u_i^{-1} : i = 1, \dots, m\}$  is a set of pairwise disjoint elements of  $Q$ . Let  $s_i = u_1 \dots u_{i-1} u_{i+1} \dots u_m$ . Then  $\{g_i s_i : i = 1, \dots, m\}$  is a set of pairwise disjoint elements of  $R$ . So  $m \leq n$ , and  $Q$  has at most  $n$  roots. But  $R$  contains  $n$  disjoint elements, so  $Q$  has at least  $n$  roots. Thus  $Q$  has exactly  $n$  roots.

Conversely, if  $\{g_i s^{-1} : i = 1, \dots, n\}$  is a basis of  $Q$ , then  $\{g_i : i = 1, \dots, n\} \subset S$ . Since  $R$  cannot have more than  $n$  disjoint elements,  $\{g_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$  is a basis of  $R$ .

PROPOSITION 5. *Each  $D$ -domain contains a unique largest convex  $l$ -subring that is a finitely-valued  $D$ -domain.*

PROOF. We first show that the sum of a finitely-rooted convex  $l$ -subgroup  $A$  and a totally ordered subgroup  $B$  of an  $l$ -group  $G$  is a finitely-rooted  $l$ -subgroup. For  $A+B$  is an  $l$ -subgroup of  $G$  since  $B+A/A$  is one of  $G/A$ . Note that since  $B+A/A \cong B/A \cap B$ ,  $A$  is a prime subgroup of  $B+A$ . Suppose that  $A$  has only  $n$  roots, and let  $\{a_i + b_i : a_i \in A, b_i \in B; i = 1, \dots, m\}$  be  $m$  disjoint elements in  $A+B$ . If  $b_i \in A$  for all  $i$ , then clearly  $m \leq n$ . If  $b_i \notin A$  for some  $i$ , then  $a_j + b_j \in A$  for  $j \neq i$ , and so  $m \leq n + 1$ . Thus  $A+B$  is finitely-rooted. By induction, it is easily seen that the sum of  $n$  totally ordered convex  $l$ -subgroups of an  $l$ -group has at most  $n$  roots.

Now let  $S$  be the set of special elements of the  $D$ -domain  $R$ , and let  $A$  be the additive subgroup of  $R$  generated by  $S$ :

$$A = \{g_1 + \dots + g_n : |g_i| \in S\}.$$

Since each special element is basic,  $g \in S$  implies  $C(g) \subseteq A$ . So, by the preceding paragraph,  $A$  is a convex  $l$ -subring of  $R$ . Since each special element of  $A$  is special in  $R$  [2, Theorem 3.5],  $A$  is a  $D$ -domain. It is clearly the largest convex  $l$ -sub- $D$ -domain of  $R$  that is finitely-valued.

Note that Example 2 and the remarks following it show that the finitely-valued part of a  $D$ -field need not be a field.

If  $\Gamma$  is the value set of  $R$ , then the mapping  $v_R : S \rightarrow \Gamma$  that sends each element of  $S$  to its value is order preserving. Moreover,  $v_R$  has the following properties:

- (1)  $v_R(s) = v_R(t)$  if and only if  $C(s) = C(t)$ .
- (2)  $v_R(s) < v_R(t)$  if and only if  $s$  is infinitely smaller than  $t$ .
- (3) If  $v_R(s) = v_R(t)$  and  $a \in S$ , then  $v_R(as) = v_R(at)$ .

The last property implies that the multiplication in  $S$  can be transferred to  $v_R(S)$  via  $v_R$ . Thus, using additive notation,  $v_R(S)$  becomes a rooted partially-ordered semigroup if addition is defined by  $v_R(s) + v_R(t) = v_R(st)$ . We will say that  $v_R(S)$  is torsion-free if for all  $\alpha, \beta \in v_R(S)$  and  $0 \neq n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $n\alpha = n\beta$  implies  $\alpha = \beta$ .

We can, of course, do the same thing for  $Q$ . In this case  $v_Q(T)$  becomes a rooted  $po$ -group since  $T$  is a group. It is clear that  $v_Q(T)$  is the quotient  $po$ -group of  $v_R(S)$ , where  $v_R(S)$  is embedded in  $v_Q(T)$  via  $v_R(a) \rightarrow v_Q(a)$ . Note that  $v_Q(T)$  is a torsion-free group exactly when  $v_R(S)$  is a torsion-free semigroup. For brevity, we will call  $(Q, T, v_Q(T))$  the *quotient system* of  $(R, S, v_R(S))$ .

Now suppose that  $R$  is finitely-valued. Then  $v_R(S) = \Gamma$  [2, Theorem 3.8]. By Proposition 4,  $Q$  is also finitely-valued; so  $v_Q(T) = \Delta$  is the value set of  $Q$ . We now state the main results of this paper. As mentioned earlier, their proofs are identical with those given in [3] for the case that  $R$  is a field.  $V(\Gamma, \mathbf{R})$  is the formal power series  $l$ -ring with exponents in  $\Gamma$ , coefficients in the real field  $\mathbf{R}$ , and whose lattice order is that of its underlying Hahn product.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $R$  be a finitely-valued  $D$ -domain whose value semigroup  $\Gamma$  is torsion-free. Then the lattice order of  $R$  can be extended to a total (ring) order of  $R$ .*

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $R$  be a finitely-rooted  $D$ -domain, and let  $(Q, T, \Delta)$  be the quotient system of  $(R, S, \Gamma)$ . If  $\Gamma$  is torsion-free there is a value preserving  $l$ -isomorphism of  $Q$  into the  $l$ -field  $V(\Delta, \mathbf{R})$ , whose restriction to  $R$  is also value preserving.*

**3. Remarks.** The following example shows that the quotient  $l$ -ring  $Q$  of a finitely-valued  $D$ -domain  $R$  (for which  $\Gamma$  is torsion-free) need not be its quotient field. Note that any such  $Q$  must be  $l$ -simple, i.e.,  $0$  and  $Q$  are its only  $l$ -ideals. If  $Q$  is finitely-rooted we do not know if it must be a field.

**EXAMPLE 2.** Let  $R = F[x]$  be the polynomial ring over the totally ordered field  $F$ .  $R$  becomes a  $D$ -domain if its positive cone is defined by  $R^+ = \{\sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i x^i : \alpha_i \geq 0 \text{ for each } i\}$ . Then  $S = \{\alpha x^n : \alpha > 0\}$ ,  $Q = \{\sum_{i=-m}^n \alpha_i x^i\}$ ,  $Q^+ = \{\sum_{i=-m}^n \alpha_i x^i : \alpha_i \geq 0\}$ , and  $T = \{\alpha x^n : n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } \alpha > 0\}$ . Using (1) and (2) it is easily seen that  $\Gamma \cong v(F) \oplus \mathbb{Z}^+$  and  $\Delta \cong v(F) \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $v(F)$  is the value group of  $F$  and  $\mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{Z}^+)$  is the trivially ordered group (semigroup) of (positive) integers.

We have not been able to determine whether the quotient field  $E$  of a  $D$ -domain  $R$  can be made into an  $l$ -ring extension of  $R$ . In the preceding example  $Q$  can be value-embedded in an  $l$ -field  $G$  that is a  $D$ -domain, but

which is not finitely-valued:

$$G = \left\{ \sum_{i=-\infty}^n \alpha_i x^i : \alpha_i \in F \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

and

$$G^+ = \left\{ \sum_{i=-\infty}^n \alpha_i x^i : \alpha_i \geq 0 \text{ for each } i \right\}.$$

If  $R$  is finitely-rooted and  $\Delta$  is torsion-free, and if  $E$  is an  $l$ -subring of  $V(\Delta, R)$ , then  $E$  is a  $D$ -domain.

Examples of  $D$ -domains may be obtained as follows. Let  $\Delta$  be a finitely-rooted torsion-free abelian  $po$ -group, and let  $\Delta_1$  be the unique totally ordered group whose underlying group is  $\Delta$  and such that  $\Delta^+ \subseteq \Delta_1^+$  [3, p. 387]. Let  $V = V(\Delta, R)$  and  $V_1 = V(\Delta_1, R)$ . Then  $V$  and  $V_1$  are the same ring, and  $V^+ \subseteq V_1^+$  [3, 2.2]. Let

$$\begin{aligned} R &= \{g \in V_1 : \text{value of } g \leq 0\} \cup \{0\} \\ &= \{g \in V_1 : |g| \leq n \text{ for some positive integer } n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $R$  is a sub- $D$ -domain of  $V$ , and  $Q(R) = V$ . If  $\Delta$  is not abelian, then  $R$  is a *noncommutative  $D$ -domain*.

Finally, we note that the results of §2 hold if  $R$  is only a commutative  $l$ -domain (but otherwise satisfying the defining properties of a  $D$ -domain). For the proof of Proposition 2 remains valid provided  $S_1$  consists of regular elements. But if  $a \in S_1$ , then  $ab = 0$  implies  $ab^+ = ab^- = 0$ . Hence  $b = 0$ , and  $a$  is regular. Now Propositions 3 through 5 hold for  $R$ ; and the proof of Theorem 1 shows that if  $R$  is finitely-valued and  $\Gamma$  is torsion-free, then  $R$  is, in fact, a domain.

ADDED IN PROOF. Professor Henriksen has informed us that the quotient field of a finitely-valued  $D$ -domain  $R$  cannot always be made into an  $l$ -ring extension of  $R$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. F. W. Anderson, *Lattice-ordered rings of quotients*, *Canad. J. Math.* **17** (1965), 434-448. MR 30 #4801.
2. P. Conrad, *The lattice of all convex  $l$ -subgroups of a lattice-ordered group*, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **15** (90) (1965), 101-123. MR 30 #3926.
3. P. Conrad and J. Dauns, *An embedding theorem for lattice-ordered fields*, *Pacific J. Math.* **30** (1969), 385-398. MR 40 #128.
4. P. Conrad and J. E. Diem, *The ring of polar preserving endomorphisms of an abelian lattice-ordered group*, *Illinois J. Math.* **15** (1971), 222-240.
5. P. Conrad, J. Harvey and C. Holland, *The Hahn embedding theorem for abelian lattice-ordered groups*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **108** (1963), 143-169. MR 27 #1519.

6. J. E. Diem, *A radical for lattice-ordered rings*, Pacific J. Math. **25** (1968), 71–82. MR 37 #2653.
7. L. Fuchs, *Teilweise geordnete algebraische Strukturen*, Studia Mathematica-Mathematische Lehrbücher, Band 19, Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1966. MR 34 #4386.
8. S. A. Steinberg, *Finitely-valued  $f$ -modules*, Pacific J. Math. (to appear).
9. E. C. Weinberg, *Lectures on ordered groups and rings*, Lecture Notes, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois, 1968.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI-ST. LOUIS, ST. LOUIS,  
MISSOURI 63121

*Current address:* Department of Mathematics, University of Toledo, Toledo, Ohio  
43606