## A PROPERTY OF ARITHMETIC SETS

## HISAO TANAKA

ABSTRACT. We shall show that every nonempty countable arithmetic subset of  $N^N$  contains at least one element  $\alpha$  such that the singleton  $\{\alpha\}$  itself is arithmetic. The proof is carried out by using a method in classical descriptive set theory.

It is known that (\*) if no member of a nonempty  $\Sigma_1^1$  set E is hyperarithmetic then E contains a perfect subset. (In this note, sets mean subsets of  $N^N$ —the set of all 1-place number-theoretic functions which we identify with Baire zero-space.) In fact, every  $\Sigma_1^1$  set with a nonhyperarithmetic element contains a perfect subset. (See, e.g., Harrison [2, Theorem 2.12] and Mathias [4, T3200].) In what follows, we shall show that an arithmetic counterpart of the proposition (\*) holds true:

THEOREM 1. If no member of a nonempty arithmetic set A is an arithmetic singleton, then A contains a perfect subset.

It is evident that one can not replace "arithmetic singleton" by "arithmetic element" in our theorem.

T. G. McLaughlin has asked the following question (unpublished): Let A be a nonempty countable arithmetic set. Then, must some member of A be an arithmetic singleton? Now we can obtain an affirmative answer to this question as a direct corollary of our theorem, thus:

COROLLARY 2. If A is a nonempty countable arithmetic set, then A contains at least one arithmetic singleton.

Since every uncountable arithmetic set (in fact, every classical uncountable analytic set) contains a perfect subset, Corollary 2 is equivalent to Theorem 1. I do not know whether every member of a countable arithmetic set is an arithmetic singleton. This is also a problem presented by McLaughlin.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. We shall illustrate for the case that A is a  $\Pi_5^0$  set. Proof is analogous for the other cases. Note that if A is a  $\Sigma_{n+1}^0$  set then we can reduce it to the case of  $\Pi_n^0$ .

Received by the editors October 23, 1970.

AMS 1970 subject classifications, Primary 02F35, 02K30.

Key words and phrases. Arithmetic (i.e.,  $\dot{\Pi}_n^0$  or  $\Sigma_n^0$ ) subset of  $N^N$ , arithmetic singleton, dense-in-itself, perfect set.

<sup>©</sup> American Mathematical Society 1972

Now let A be a set defined by

$$A = \{ \alpha \in N^N \mid (\forall x_0)(\exists y_0)(\forall x_1)(\exists y_1)R(\alpha, x_0, x_1, y_0, y_1) \},$$

where R is  $\Pi_1^0$ . Then we have

$$\alpha \in A \Leftrightarrow (\exists \beta_0)(\exists \beta_1)(\forall x_0)(\forall x_1)R(\alpha, x_0, x_1, \beta_0(x_0), \beta_1(x_0, x_1))$$
$$\Leftrightarrow (\exists \beta)(\forall x)R(\alpha, (x)_0, (x)_1, \beta(\langle (x)_0 \rangle), \beta(\langle (x)_0, (x)_1, 1 \rangle)),$$

where  $\langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle = p_0^{a_0} \cdot p_1^{a_1} \cdot \dots \cdot p_k^{a_k}$  and  $p_i$  is the (i+1)st prime number. (For notations used in this note, we mostly borrow from Kleene [3].) Let R' and R'' be predicates defined as follows:

$$R'(\alpha, s) \Leftrightarrow [\operatorname{Seq}(s) \wedge \operatorname{Lh}(s) = \langle (\operatorname{Lh}(s))_0, (\operatorname{Lh}(s))_1, 2 \rangle$$

$$\to R(\alpha, (\operatorname{Lh}(s))_0, (\operatorname{Lh}(s))_1, \exp(s, \langle (\operatorname{Lh}(s))_0 \rangle) - 1,$$

$$\exp(s, \langle (\operatorname{Lh}(s))_0, (\operatorname{Lh}(s))_1, 1 \rangle) - 1),$$

where  $\exp(s, i) = (s)_i$ . And

$$R''(\alpha, s) \Leftrightarrow (\forall i)_{i \leq Lh(s)} R'(\alpha, rstr(s, i)),$$

where

$$\operatorname{rstr}(s, i) = \prod_{k < i} p_k^{(s)k}, \quad \text{if Seq}(s) \land i \leq \operatorname{Lh}(s),$$
  
= 1, otherwise.

Then R'' has the following properties:

- (1)  $\alpha \in A \Leftrightarrow (\exists \beta)(\forall x)R''(\alpha, \bar{\beta}(x)),$
- (2) R'' is  $\Pi_1^0$  and hence for each sequence number s, the set  $E_s = \{\alpha | R''(\alpha, s)\}$  is a *closed* set, and
- (3) the Souslin system  $\mathfrak{S} = \{E_s | \text{Seq}(s)\}\$  is monotonic; that is, for all  $\beta$  and x,  $E_{\beta(x+1)} \subseteq E_{\beta(x)}$ .

Now, as is usual with classical descriptive set theory, for a given sequence number  $\bar{\gamma}(m)$ , we shall define a set  $A^{\bar{\gamma}(m)}$  as follows:

(4) 
$$\alpha \in A^{\overline{\gamma}(m)} \Leftrightarrow (\exists \beta)(\forall x)R''(\alpha, \overline{\gamma}(m) * \overline{\beta}(x)).$$

Then we have

$$\alpha \in A^{\overline{\gamma}(m)} \Leftrightarrow (\exists \beta)(\forall x)(\forall i)_{i \leq m+x} R'(\alpha, \operatorname{rstr}(\overline{\gamma}(m) * \overline{\beta}(x), i))$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (\forall i)_{i \leq m} R'(\alpha, \overline{\gamma}(i)) \wedge (\exists \beta)(\forall i) R'(\alpha, \overline{\gamma}(m) * \overline{\beta}(i))$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (\forall i)_{i \leq m} [i = \langle (i)_0, (i)_1, 2 \rangle$$

$$\rightarrow R(\alpha, (i)_0, (i)_1, \gamma(\langle (i)_0 \rangle), \gamma(\langle (i)_0, (i)_1, 1 \rangle))]$$

$$\wedge (\exists \beta)(\forall i) [m + i = \langle (m + i)_0, (m + i)_1, 2 \rangle$$

$$\rightarrow . \{\langle (m + i)_0, (m + i)_1, 1 \rangle < m$$

$$\rightarrow R(\alpha, (m + i)_0, (m + i)_1, \gamma(\langle (m + i)_0 \rangle),$$

$$\gamma(\langle (m + i)_0, (m + i)_1, 1 \rangle))\}$$

The second member of the outermost conjunction in the latter formula is equivalent to

$$(\exists \beta_0)(\exists \beta_1)(\forall x_0)(\forall x_1)[\{\langle x_0, x_1, 1\rangle < m \rightarrow R(\alpha, x_0, x_1, \gamma(\langle x_0\rangle), \gamma(\langle x_0, x_1, 1\rangle))\}$$

$$\land \{\langle x_0\rangle < m \land \langle x_0, x_1, 1\rangle \geqq m$$

$$\rightarrow R(\alpha, x_0, x_1, \gamma(\langle x_0\rangle), \beta_1(\langle x_0, x_1, 1\rangle - m))\}$$

$$\land \{\langle x_0\rangle \geqq m$$

$$\rightarrow R(\alpha, x_0, x_1, \beta_0, (\langle x_0\rangle - m), \beta_1(\langle x_0, x_1, 1\rangle - m))\}]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (\forall x_0)(\exists y_0)(\forall x_1)(\exists y_1)[\{\langle x_0, x_1, 1\rangle < m$$

$$\rightarrow R(\alpha, x_0, x_1, \gamma(\langle x_0\rangle), \gamma(\langle x_0, x_1, 1\rangle))\}$$

$$\land \{\langle x_0\rangle < m \land \langle x_0, x_1, 1\rangle \geqq m \rightarrow R(\alpha, x_0, x_1, \gamma(\langle x_0\rangle), y_1)\}\}$$

$$\land \{\langle x_0\rangle \geqq m \rightarrow R(\alpha, x_0, x_1, y_0, y_1)\}\}.$$

(Note that  $\bar{\gamma}(m)$  is a given fixed sequence number.) Therefore, for each sequence number s,  $A^s$  is an arithmetic subset of  $N^N$ , too. Further, by the definition (4) we have

(5) 
$$A^{[a_0,a_1,\cdots,a_k]} = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A^{[a_0,a_1,\cdots,a_k,n]},$$

where we denote  $\langle a_0+1, a_1+1, \cdots, a_k+1 \rangle$  by  $[a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_k]$ .

Now suppose that no member of A constitutes an arithmetic singleton. Let  $\alpha \in A$ . Since  $A = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A^{[n]}$ , there is an  $n_0$  such that  $\alpha \in A^{[n_0]}$ .  $A^{[n_0]}$  does not contain any arithmetic singleton, since its overset A does not. As seen above,  $A^{[n_0]}$  is also an arithmetic set and hence it contains no isolated elements. Therefore  $A^{[n_0]}$  is dense-in-itself. So, for each number  $m_0$ ,  $A^{[n_0]} \cap \delta(\bar{\alpha}([m_0]))$  is nonempty and dense-in-itself, where  $\delta(s)$  denotes the Baire interval determined by a sequence number s. Let us put

$$B^{[m_0]} = A^{[n_0]}$$
 and  $F_{[m_0]} = E_{[n_0]}$ 

for all  $m_0$ . From each set  $B^{[m_0]} \cap \delta(\bar{\alpha}([m_0]))$  we can choose an element  $\alpha_{[m_0]}$  such that the  $\alpha_{[m_0]}$ 's satisfy the following conditions:

$$\alpha_{[m_0]} \neq \alpha$$
,  $\alpha_{[m_0]} \neq \alpha_{[m'_0]}$  if  $m_0 \neq m'_0$ .

Since  $B^{[m_0]} = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A^{[n_0,n]}$ , for each  $m_0$  there is an  $n_1$  such that  $\alpha_{[m_0]} \in A^{[n_0,n_1]}$ . Let us put

$$B^{[m_0,m_1]} = A^{[n_0,n_1]}$$
 and  $F_{[m_0,m_1]} = E_{[n_0,n_1]}$ 

for all  $m_1$ . Then  $B^{[m_0,m_1]} \cap \delta(\bar{\alpha}_{[m_0]}([m_0+m_1+1]))$  is nonempty and dense-in-itself. From each set  $B^{[m_0,m_1]} \cap \delta(\bar{\alpha}_{[m_0]}([m_0+m_1+1]))$ , we can choose an  $\alpha_{[m_0,m_1]}$  such that  $\alpha_{[m_0,m_1]}$ 's satisfy the following conditions:

$$\begin{split} &\alpha_{[m_0,m_1]} \neq \alpha; &\alpha_{[m_0,m_1]} \neq \alpha_{[m_0']}; \\ &\alpha_{[m_0,m_1]} \neq \alpha_{[m_0',m_1']} & \text{if } [m_0,m_1] \neq [m_0',m_1']. \end{split}$$

And so on. Thus we obtain elements  $\alpha_{[m_0,m_1,\dots,m_k]} \in A$  for  $k, m_i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , and they possess the following properties:

(6) 
$$\alpha_{[m_0,\dots,m_k]} \neq \alpha_{[m'_0,\dots,m'_j]}$$
 if  $[m_0,\dots,m_k] \neq [m'_0,\dots,m'_j]$ ,

(7) 
$$\alpha_{[m_0,\dots,m_k]} \in B^{[m_0,\dots,m_k]} = A^{[n_0,\dots,n_k]} \subseteq E_{[n_0,\dots,n_k]} = F_{[m_0,\dots,m_k]}$$

where  $[n_0, \dots, n_k]$  is determined by  $[m_0, \dots, m_k]$ ,

(8) 
$$\alpha_{[m_0,\dots,m_k,m_{k+1}]} \in \delta(\bar{\alpha}_{[m_0,\dots,m_k]}([m_0+\dots+m_{k+1}+k+1])).$$

Let  $Q = {\alpha_s | \text{Seq}(s) \text{ and } \text{Lh}(s) > 0}$ . Then Q is dense-in-itself and hence its derived set Q' is a perfect set. Using (1)-(3) and (6)-(8) we can show that Q' is contained in A. In proving this fact, note that each  $E_s$  is a closed set. (For details, see Hahn [1, pp. 356-358].) Therefore A contains a perfect subset. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Since the final expression for  $\alpha \in A^{\overline{\gamma}(m)}$  in the preceding proof is also  $\Pi_5^0$ , we have shown that if A is a nonempty  $\Pi_5^0$  set with no  $\Pi_5^0$  singleton then A contains a perfect subset. Thus we obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 3. Every nonempty countable  $\sum_{n=1}^{0}$  set contains a  $\Pi_n^0$  singleton.

## REFERENCES

- 1. H. Hahn, Reelle Funktionen, Akademie Verlagsgesellschaft, Leipzig, 1932; reprint, Chelsea, New York, 1948.
- 2. J. Harrison, Recursive pseudo-well-orderings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 131 (1968), 526-543. MR 39 #5366.
- 3. S. C. Kleene, Arithmetical predicates and function quantifiers, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 79 (1955), 312-340. MR 17, 4.
- 4. A. R. D. Mathias, A survey of recent results in set theory, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 13, part 2 (to appear).

COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, HOSEI UNIVERSITY, TOKYO, JAPAN

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOS, URBANA, ILLINOIS 61801

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This is based on a suggestion of Professor McLaughlin.