

ELEMENTARY EXTENSIONS OF LINEAR TOPOLOGICAL ABELIAN GROUPS

R. G. PHILLIPS AND P. L. SPERRY

ABSTRACT. R. MacDowell and E. Specker obtain a structure theorem for elementary extensions of the integers by considering a certain residue mapping. In this paper we characterize those abelian groups in which an analogous situation exists and obtain the MacDowell-Specker result as a special case of our theory.

Introduction. In [3] MacDowell and Specker obtain a structure theorem for elementary extensions $*Z$ of Z (Z is the additive group of integers). Their method is to construct a homomorphism from $*Z$ to the Z -adic completion \hat{Z} of Z which extends the natural embedding μ of Z into \hat{Z} . It turns out that the kernel of this homomorphism is the divisible subgroup $d*Z$ of $*Z$ so that $*Z = d*Z \oplus K$ where K is a subgroup containing Z which is isomorphic to a subgroup of \hat{Z} by an isomorphism which extends μ . The homomorphism constructed in [3] essentially sends an element of $*Z$ into its sequence of standard residues modulo n for $n \in N$ (N is the set of positive natural numbers).

In this paper, we generalize the methods of [3] in the following way. Suppose G is an abelian linear topological group, that is, its topological structure is defined by a filter of subgroups \mathcal{D} . Then there are elementary extensions $*G$ of G which extend the topological structure of G . We investigate the existence of homomorphisms on these $*G$ into the \mathcal{D} completion \hat{G} of G which extend the canonical map of G into \hat{G} and which are, in some sense, "residue" mappings. According to our Theorem 1 such "residue" mappings exist for every such extension if and only if \mathcal{D} generates a topology coarser than the finite index topology; the mapping defined in I of Theorem 1 is the analog of the mapping defined in [3]. As a corollary of Theorem 1 we obtain a generalization of the Structure Theorem in [3].

Definitions. All groups are abelian; a pair (G, \mathcal{D}) will denote a group G and a filter \mathcal{D} of subgroups of G . Given (G, \mathcal{D}) , G will carry the topology

Received by the editors February 8, 1971.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 02H20; Secondary 20K45.

Key words and phrases. Elementary extension, linear topological abelian group, completion.

© American Mathematical Society 1972

generated by \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{D} will be written as an indexed family $(H_i)_{i \in I}$; I will be partially ordered by: $i \leq j$ iff $H_i \supset H_j$. Given (G, \mathcal{D}) the completion of G is the projective limit \hat{G} of the system $(G/H_i, \Pi_{ij})$ where, if $i \leq j$, $\Pi_{ij}(g_j + H_j) = g_j + H_i$; \hat{G} has the relative product topology which has as a base for the neighborhoods of zero the filter $(\hat{H}_i)_{i \in I}$ where $(g_i + H_i)_{i \in I}$ is in \hat{H}_k iff g_k is in H_k . The mapping μ of G into \hat{G} such that $\mu(g) = (g + H_i)_{i \in I}$ is a continuous homomorphism of G onto a dense subgroup of the complete Hausdorff space \hat{G} (see [1]).

Given (G, \mathcal{D}) , $L(G, \mathcal{D})$ will denote a first order language which includes a distinct constant symbol for each element of G , a function symbol “+” for the group operation on G , and for each $H \in \mathcal{D}$ a predicate symbol $H(x)$ such that $H(a)$ holds in G iff $a \in H$. Let $K(G, \mathcal{D})$ denote all sentences of $L(G, \mathcal{D})$ which hold in G ; a model of $K(G, \mathcal{D})$ will be called a \mathcal{D} -elementary extension of G . If $*G$ is a \mathcal{D} -elementary extension of G and $H \in \mathcal{D}$, $*H$ will denote the set of all elements \mathcal{Y} of $*G$ which satisfy $H(\mathcal{Y})$ in $*G$. Note that G is a subgroup of $*G$ and $*H \cap G = H$ for all $H \in \mathcal{D}$. A \mathcal{D} -elementary extension $*G$ of G will be called a strong \mathcal{D} -elementary extension if for each element $(g_i + H_i)_{i \in I}$ of \hat{G} there is an element x of $*G$ such that $x - g_i$ is an element of $*H_i$ for each i in I . The topology generated on $*G$ by $(*H_i)_{i \in I}$ is called the S -topology (see [4]).

LEMMA. For any pair (G, \mathcal{D}) and any \mathcal{D} -elementary extension $*G$ of G there is a strong \mathcal{D} -elementary extension $**G$ of G which is also an elementary extension of $*G$.

PROOF. Extend $L(G, \mathcal{D})$ to any first order language L' that includes a constant symbol for each element of $*G$. Let K' be the set of all sentences of L' which hold in $*G$. Extend L' to a first order language L'' by adding, for each $\alpha \in \hat{G}$, a distinct new constant a_α . For each $\alpha \in \hat{G}$ let $K_\alpha = \{H_i(a_\alpha - g_i) : i \in I\}$ where $\alpha = (g_i + H_i)_{i \in I}$. Let $K_1 = (\bigcup \{K_\alpha : \alpha \in \hat{G}\}) \cup K'$. Now for each $(g_i + H_i)_{i \in I}$ in \hat{G} and each finite subset J of I there is a g in G such that $g - g_i$ is in H_i for each i in J . From this it is routine to show that K_1 is consistent. Any model of K_1 is clearly a strong \mathcal{D} -elementary extension of G containing $*G$ as an elementary substructure.

THEOREM 1. For any pair (G, \mathcal{D}) the following conditions are equivalent:

I. For every \mathcal{D} -elementary extension $*G$ of G there is a homomorphism ρ of $*G$ into $\Pi_I(G/H_i)$ which extends μ and has the property that $\rho(a) = (g_i + H_i)_{i \in I}$ implies $a - g_i \in *H_i$ for all $i \in I$.

II. For every \mathcal{D} -elementary extension $*G$ of G there is a homomorphism ρ of $*G$ into \hat{G} which extends μ and satisfies $\text{Ker } \rho = \bigcap_I *H_i$ and $\rho(*H_i) \subset \hat{H}_i$.

III. G is dense in every strong \mathcal{D} -elementary extension $*G$ of G (with respect to the S -topology).

IV. G is dense in every \mathcal{D} -elementary extension $*G$ of G (with respect to the S -topology).

V. G/H_i is finite for all $i \in I$.

PROOF. We show $I \Rightarrow II \Rightarrow III \Rightarrow IV \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow I$.

$I \Rightarrow II$. Let $*G$ be a \mathcal{D} -elementary extension of G and let ρ satisfy the property in I. To show that ρ has the property of II we only need prove that ρ maps $*G$ into \hat{G} . Given $a \in *G$ let $\rho(a) = (g_i + H_i)_{i \in I}$. Then if $J = \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$ is any finite subset of I and

$$X_J = \exists x [H_{i_1}(x - g_{i_1}) \wedge \dots \wedge H_{i_n}(x - g_{i_n})]$$

then X_J holds in G since it holds in $*G$. Thus there is a $g \in G$ so that $g - g_{i_t} \in H_{i_t}$ for $t = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and hence $\rho(a) \in \hat{G}$.

$II \Rightarrow III$. Let $*G$ be a strong \mathcal{D} -elementary extension of G and let ρ satisfy the property in II. Given $a \in *G$ and $k \in I$ there is an $h \in \tilde{H}_k$ and a $g \in G$ such that $\rho(a) + h = \mu(g)$ since $\mu(G)$ is dense in \hat{G} . If $h = (g_i + H_i)_{i \in I}$, there is a $b \in *G$ such that $b - g_i \in *H_i$ for all $i \in I$. Let $\rho(b) = (t_i + H_i)_{i \in I}$. Since $\rho(b - g_i) \in \tilde{H}_i$ for each $i \in I$ we have $g_i - t_i \in H_i$ for all i and hence $\rho(b) = h$. Since $h \in \tilde{H}_k, g_k \in H_k$ and hence $b \in *H_k; a + b - g \in \text{Ker } \rho = \bigcap_I *H_i$. Therefore $a - g \in *H_k$ which proves that G is dense in $*G$.

$III \Rightarrow IV$. Follows immediately from the lemma.

$IV \Rightarrow V$. Suppose G/H is infinite for some $H \in \mathcal{D}$. We set $K' = K(G, \mathcal{D}) \cup \{\neg H(\alpha - g) : g \in G\}$ where α is a new constant symbol. K' is consistent since G serves as a model for any finite subset of K' with a suitable interpretation of α . But G is not dense in any model of K' .

$V \Rightarrow I$. Let $G/H_i = \{H_i, g_{i_1} + H_i, \dots, g_{i_n} + H_i\}$. Then if

$$X_i = \forall x [H_i(x) \bar{\vee} \dots \bar{\vee} H_i(x - g_{i_n})]$$

where “ $\bar{\vee}$ ” denotes exclusive “or”, X_i holds in G for all $i \in I$ and hence holds in every \mathcal{D} -elementary extension $*G$ of G . Given such a $*G$, if $a \in *G$ we define $\rho(a) = (g_i + H_i)_{i \in I}$ if and only if $a - g_i \in *H_i$ for $i \in I$. Conditions X_i assure that ρ is well defined and it follows easily that ρ satisfies the condition in I.

COROLLARY. Let G be any group with $H_n = nG$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If for every elementary extension $*G$ of $G, *G = D \oplus K$ where D is divisible, $G \subset K$ and there is a homomorphism ϕ of K into \hat{G} which extends μ , has its kernel contained in G and has a pure image, then I-V are also true.

PROOF. The S -topology on any $*G$ is the Z -adic topology. Since $\phi[K]$ is pure in \hat{G} , the relative and Z -adic topologies coincide on $\phi[K]$ so $\mu(G)$ is dense in $\phi[K]$ with respect to the Z -adic topology. Hence $\phi[K]/\mu[G]$ is

divisible and isomorphic to K/G so that $*G/G$ is isomorphic to $D \oplus (K/G)$ which is divisible, hence G is dense in $*G$ and IV holds.

COROLLARY. *Let G be a reduced torsion free group and let $H_n = nG$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then I–V are equivalent to the property that $*G = d*G \oplus K$ for every elementary extension $*G$ of G where $G \subset K$, $d*G$ is the divisible subgroup of $*G$ and K is isomorphic to a subgroup of \hat{G} by an isomorphism which extends μ .*

PROOF. Assume II. The kernel of the ρ guaranteed by II is $\bigcap n*G = d*G$ since $*G$ is torsion free. Since G is reduced and pure in $*G$ there is a subgroup K of $*G$ which contains G such that $*G = d*G \oplus K$. It is easily seen that $\rho|_K$ satisfies the conditions needed.

Conversely, suppose $\phi: K \rightarrow \hat{G}$ is an isomorphism which extends μ , let $\pi: *G \rightarrow K$ be the projection, then $\phi\pi$ is easily seen to satisfy II since $(nG) \sim = n\hat{G}$ (see [2]).

Note (see for instance [5, Corollary 2]) that $\prod J_p$, where J_p is the p -adic integers, is \hat{Z} with respect to the Z -adic topology.

COROLLARY (MACDOWELL AND SPECKER). *Any elementary extension of Z is isomorphic to the direct sum of its divisible subgroup and a subgroup of $\prod J_p$.*

REFERENCES

1. N. Bourbaki, *Topologie générale*, Actualités Sci. Indust., no. 1143, Hermann, Paris, 1960. MR 25 #4021.
2. L. Fuchs, *Infinite abelian groups*. I, Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 36, Academic Press, 1970. MR 41 #333.
3. R. MacDowell and E. Specker, *Modelle der Arithmetik*, Infinitistic Methods, (Proc. Sympos. Foundations of Math., Warsaw, 1959), Pergamon, Oxford, 1961, pp. 257–263. MR 27 #2425.
4. A. Robinson, *Non-standard analysis*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1966. MR 34 #5680.
5. J. Rotman, *A completion functor on modules and algebras*, J. Algebra 9 (1968), 369–387. MR 37 #5258.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA, COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29208