

THE HYPERSPACE OF A PSEUDOARC IS A CANTOR MANIFOLD¹

TOOG NISHIURA AND CHOON-JAI RHEE

ABSTRACT. The following theorem which was conjectured by C. Eberhart and S. B. Nadler, Jr., in [EN] is proved.

THEOREM. *The hyperspace of nonvoid subcontinua of a pseudoarc is a two-dimensional Cantor manifold.*

1. **Introduction.** The hyperspace $C(X)$ of nonvoid subcontinua of a metric continuum X has been investigated extensively. (We will restrict our discussion to metric continua.) It is known that $C(X)$ is always compact and arcwise connected [KE]. The basic work [S] establishes the relationship between $C(X)$ and inverse limit spaces. Inverse limit methods have yielded further properties of $C(X)$. Namely, $C(X)$ is acyclic in all dimensions [S], unicoherent [S], [N], and has dimension exceeding one for nondegenerate X [EN]. By specializing X , much more can be said of $C(X)$. Notable works along this line are [D1] and [D2] where X is locally connected. The hyperspace of an hereditarily indecomposable continuum X also has been studied. See [KE], [EN], [R], [T] and [H]. The present paper concerns itself with one such hereditarily indecomposable continuum, the pseudoarc. It is known that the hyperspace of a pseudoarc is embeddable in Euclidean three-dimensional space [T], [H] and that its dimension is two [EN]. We add to the large collection of facts about $C(X)$ the theorem stated in the abstract. This theorem improves the dimension two assertion of [EN].

2. **The function μ .** Let X be a nondegenerate metric continuum and $C(X)$ be the space of all nonvoid subcontinua of X with the Hausdorff metric [KU]. In [KE], Kelley noted the existence (originally due to Whitney [W]) of a real-valued function μ defined on $C(X)$ and having

Presented to the Society, December 21, 1970; received by the editors February 10, 1971.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 54B20, 54F45.

Key words and phrases. Pseudoarc, hyperspace of continua, dimension, Cantor manifold.

¹ The first author was partially supported by the National Science Foundation Grant NSF GP-12915.

© American Mathematical Society 1972

the following properties:

- (1) μ is continuous;
- (2) if $A, B \in C(X)$, $A \subset B$ and $A \neq B$, then $\mu(A) < \mu(B)$;
- (3) $\mu(X) = 1$;
- (4) $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$ for each $x \in X$.

He proved among others that

- (a) $C(X)$ is an arcwise connected continuum;
- (b) if X is hereditarily indecomposable, $A, B \in C(X)$, $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ and $\mu(A) = \mu(B)$ then $A = B$;
- (c) X is hereditarily indecomposable if and only if $C(X)$ contains a unique arc between every pair of its elements.

Suppose further that X is a pseudoarc. Then, in [R], it was observed that the space $\mu^{-1}(t)$, $0 \leq t < 1$, is a totally pathwise disconnected continuum. Subsequently, Eberhart and Nadler in [EN] observed that $\mu^{-1}(t)$ is a continuous decomposition of X and hence, by [B1],

- (d) $\mu^{-1}(t)$ is a pseudoarc for $0 \leq t < 1$ whenever X is a pseudoarc.

We now prove three lemmas which will be needed later.

LEMMA 2.1. *Suppose X is a pseudoarc and $0 \leq t < 1$. Then there is a homeomorphism h_t of $C(X)$ onto $\mu^{-1}[t, 1] = \{A \in C(X) : t \leq \mu(A)\}$ such that $h_t[\mu^{-1}(0)] = \mu^{-1}(t)$.*

PROOF. Using (d) above, we let $h: X \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(t)$ be a homeomorphism. Define a mapping \bar{h} on $C(X)$ onto the hyperspace $C(\mu^{-1}(t))$ of the space $\mu^{-1}(t)$ by $\bar{h}(A) = h(A)$ for each $A \in C(X)$. Then \bar{h} is a homeomorphism.

Let 2^X be the space of all nonvoid closed subsets of X with the Hausdorff metric, and $2^{\mu^{-1}(t)}$ be the space of all nonvoid closed subsets of $\mu^{-1}(t)$ with Hausdorff metric. Let $\sigma: 2^X \rightarrow 2^{\mu^{-1}(t)}$ be defined by $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) = \bigcup \{A \in 2^X : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$, $\mathcal{A} \in 2^{\mu^{-1}(t)}$. In [KE], it is shown that σ is continuous. Since $C(\mu^{-1}(t)) \subset 2^{\mu^{-1}(t)}$, we let σ be the restriction on $C(\mu^{-1}(t))$. Let $\mathcal{A} \in C(\mu^{-1}(t))$. Then $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ is a subcontinuum of X , and thus $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \in C(X)$. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $t = \mu(A)$ and $A \subset \sigma(\mathcal{A})$, so that by the property (2) of μ , $\mu(A) \leq \mu(\sigma(\mathcal{A}))$. This implies that $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \in \mu^{-1}[t, 1]$. If $A \in \mu^{-1}[t, 1]$, then $\mu(A) = s$, $s \geq t$. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{B \in \mu^{-1}(t) : B \subset A\}$. For each $x \in A$, since the unique arc \mathcal{A}_x in $C(X)$ from $\{x\}$ to X must meet $\mu^{-1}(t)$, there is an element $B \in \mu^{-1}(t)$ such that $x \in B$ and $B \subset A$ [KE]. We would like to show that $\mathcal{A} \in C(\mu^{-1}(t))$. Consider the projection mapping f of X onto the space $\mu^{-1}(t)$ defined by $f(x) = B$ if $x \in B$. This function is continuous [R], and $f(A) = \mathcal{A}$. Since A is a subcontinuum of X , so is \mathcal{A} in $\mu^{-1}(t)$. Therefore $\mathcal{A} \in C(\mu^{-1}(t))$. Thus $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) = A$ and σ is a continuous mapping of $C(\mu^{-1}(t))$ onto $\mu^{-1}[t, 1]$. The fact that σ is one-to-one follows from (b) above. Therefore $\sigma: C(\mu^{-1}(t)) \rightarrow \mu^{-1}[t, 1]$ is a homeomorphism.

We let $h_t: C(X) \rightarrow \mu^{-1}[t, 1]$ be the homeomorphism defined by $h_t = \sigma \circ \bar{h}$. The lemma is now proved.

LEMMA 2.2. *Suppose X is a pseudoarc and $0 \leq t < 1$. Then there is a mapping $g_t: C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$ such that g_t restricted to $\mu^{-1}[t, 1]$ is h_t^{-1} and $g_t[\mu^{-1}[0, t]] = \mu^{-1}(0)$.*

PROOF. For each $A \in \mu^{-1}[0, t]$ there is a unique set $B_A \in \mu^{-1}(t)$ such that $A \subset B_A$. Let $g_t(A) = h_t^{-1}(B_A)$. It is clear that g_t is continuous on $\mu^{-1}[0, t]$. If g_t is defined to be h_t^{-1} on $\mu^{-1}[t, 1]$ then the desired mapping is constructed.

Since μ is a closed continuous mapping, we have immediately the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.3. *For each closed set F and open set $\mathcal{O} \supset \mu^{-1}[F]$, there is an open set Q such that $\mu^{-1}[F] \subset \mu^{-1}[Q] \subset \mathcal{O}$.*

Finally, we remark that, if $h: C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$ is a homeomorphism and X is a pseudoarc then necessarily $h[\mu^{-1}(0)] = \mu^{-1}(0)$ and $h(X) = X$.

3. The dimension of the hyperspace of a pseudoarc. In this section we prove two theorems concerning the dimension of the hyperspace $C(X)$ of a pseudoarc X . The first theorem has been established by Eberhart and Nadler [EN]. The present proof is new and relies only on properties of the pseudoarc. In the above-mentioned paper, it is observed that $C(X)$ is of dimension two at each point of $\mu^{-1}(0, 1) = \{A \in C(X) : 0 < \mu(A) < 1\}$. The second theorem of this section shows that $C(X)$ is also of dimension two at X . This fact will be used in the next section to prove the main theorem.

THEOREM 3.1. *If X is a pseudoarc then the dimension of $C(X)$ is two.*

PROOF. Since $C(X)$ is a nondegenerate continuum, we have $\dim C(X) \geq 1$. From Theorem VI.7 of [HW], we have

$$\dim C(X) \leq \dim \mu[C(X)] + \sup \{\dim \mu^{-1}(t) : 0 \leq t \leq 1\}.$$

From §2 above, we have that the right side of the above inequality is two since the dimension of a pseudoarc is one. We need to prove $\dim C(X) \neq 1$.

Suppose $\dim C(X) = 1$. Since $C(X)$ is contractible [R], any mapping on a subcontinuum of $C(X)$ into S^1 is inessential, and therefore each subcontinuum of $C(X)$ has property (b). Thus, each subcontinuum of $C(X)$ is unicoherent [WH, p. 226]. But there are subcontinua of $C(X)$ which are not unicoherent. For example, $\mu^{-1}(0) \cup \mathcal{A}_{x,y}$, where $\mathcal{A}_{x,y}$ is the unique arc in $C(X)$ between $\{x\}$ and $\{y\}$, $x \neq y$, $x, y \in X$. Since a pseudoarc contains no arc, $\mu^{-1}(0) \cap \mathcal{A}_{x,y} = \{x, y\}$. Consequently, $\dim C(X) \neq 1$ and the theorem is proved.

THEOREM 3.2. *If X is a pseudoarc then $C(X)$ has dimension two at the point X .*

PROOF. The proof is by contradiction. Since $C(X)$ is a nondegenerate continuum, we have that the dimension of $C(X)$ at X is no less than one. We will show that the assumption that $C(X)$ is of dimension one at the point X implies $\dim C(X)=1$. The proof will be made in three parts.

Part 1. Let $0 < t < 1$. If $C(X)$ has dimension one at X then there are two disjoint open sets \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{T} of $C(X)$ such that $\mu^{-1}(0) \subset \mathcal{S}$, $\mu^{-1}[t, 1] \subset \mathcal{T}$, and their boundaries have $\dim \text{Bd}(\mathcal{S})=0=\dim \text{Bd}(\mathcal{T})$.

PROOF. Let \mathcal{O} be an open neighborhood of X such that $\mathcal{O} \subset \mu^{-1}[\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ and $\dim \text{Bd}(\mathcal{O})=0$. Then, if \mathcal{P} is the complement of the closure of \mathcal{O} , \mathcal{P} is an open set containing $\mu^{-1}(0)$ and $\dim \text{Bd}(\mathcal{P})=0$.

Let $P_0 \in \mathcal{O}$ and $P_0 \neq X$. As Eberhart and Nadler in [EN] observed, Theorem 15 of [B1] implies for each $A \in \mu^{-1}[t, 1]$, $A \neq X$, there exists a homeomorphism $h_A: C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$ such that $h_A(P_0)=A$. Associated with this homeomorphism are two disjoint open sets $\mathcal{O}_A=h_A(\mathcal{O})$ and $\mathcal{P}_A=h_A(\mathcal{P})$ for which $A \in \mathcal{O}_A$, $\mu^{-1}(0) \subset \mathcal{P}_A$, and $\dim \text{Bd}(\mathcal{O}_A)=0=\dim \text{Bd}(\mathcal{P}_A)$. Now, $\{\mathcal{O}_A: A \in \mu^{-1}[t, 1], A \neq X\}$ is an open cover of the compact set $\mu^{-1}[t, 1]$. Let $\mathcal{O}_{A_1}, \dots, \mathcal{O}_{A_n}$ be a subcover, $\mathcal{T}=\bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_{A_i}$ and $\mathcal{S}=\bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathcal{P}_{A_i}$. Then \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{T} are disjoint open sets with $\mu^{-1}(0) \subset \mathcal{S}$ and $\mu^{-1}[t, 1] \subset \mathcal{T}$. Since $\text{Bd}(\mathcal{S}) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{Bd}(\mathcal{P}_{A_i})$ and $\text{Bd}(\mathcal{T}) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{Bd}(\mathcal{O}_{A_i})$, we have $\dim \text{Bd}(\mathcal{S})=0=\dim \text{Bd}(\mathcal{T})$ and the first part is proved.

Part 2. Let $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and \mathcal{O} be an open neighborhood of $\mu^{-1}(t)$. Suppose the conclusion of Part 1 holds. Then there is an open neighborhood \mathcal{W} of $\mu^{-1}(t)$ such that $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{O}$ and $\dim \text{Bd}(\mathcal{W})=0$.

PROOF. By Lemma 2.3, there are two numbers s_1 and s_2 such that $s_1 < t < s_2$ and $\mu^{-1}[s_1, s_2] \subset \mathcal{O}$. We assume for convenience that $0 < t < 1$. The contrary cases involve only a slight modification of the argument. We may now further assume $0 \leq s_1 < t < s_2 \leq 1$.

Let us consider s_1 . By Lemma 2.2 there is a mapping $g_{s_1}: C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$ such that g_{s_1} maps $\mu^{-1}[s_1, 1]$ homeomorphically onto $C(X)$ and $g_{s_1}[\mu^{-1}[0, s_1]] = \mu^{-1}(0)$. $g_{s_1}[\mu^{-1}[t, 1]]$ is a closed set disjoint with $\mu^{-1}(0)$. Hence by Lemma 2.3 there is a number T_1 with $0 < T_1$ such that $\mu^{-1}[0, T_1] \cap g_{s_1}[\mu^{-1}[t, 1]] = \emptyset$. From Part 1 there is an open set \mathcal{T} such that the closure of \mathcal{T} does not meet $\mu^{-1}(0)$, $\mathcal{T} \supset \mu^{-1}[T_1, 1]$ and $\dim \text{Bd}(\mathcal{T})=0$. Thus, if $\mathcal{W}_1 = g_{s_1}^{-1}(\mathcal{T})$ then \mathcal{W}_1 is open, $\mu^{-1}(t) \subset \mathcal{W}_1 \subset \mu^{-1}[s_1, 1]$ and $\dim \text{Bd}(\mathcal{W}_1)=0$.

Next, consider t . By Lemma 2.2 there is a mapping $g_t: C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$ such that g_t maps $\mu^{-1}[t, 1]$ homeomorphically onto $C(X)$ and $g_t[\mu^{-1}[0, t]] = \mu^{-1}(0)$. $g_t[\mu^{-1}[s_2, 1]]$ is a closed set disjoint with $\mu^{-1}(0)$. Hence by Lemma 2.3 there is a number T_2 with $0 < T_2$ such that

$\mu^{-1}[0, T_2] \cap g_t[\mu^{-1}[s_2, 1]] = \emptyset$. From Part 1, there is an open set \mathcal{S} such that the closure of \mathcal{S} does not meet $\mu^{-1}[T_2, 1]$, $\mathcal{S} \supset \mu^{-1}(0)$ and $\dim \text{Bd}(\mathcal{S}) = 0$. Thus, if $\mathcal{W}_2 = g_t^{-1}(\mathcal{S})$ then \mathcal{W}_2 is open, $\mu^{-1}(t) \subset \mathcal{W}_2 \subset \mu^{-1}[0, s_2]$ and $\dim \text{Bd}(\mathcal{W}_2) = 0$.

Let $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}_1 \cap \mathcal{W}_2$. Then \mathcal{W} is open, $\mu^{-1}(t) \subset \mathcal{W} \subset \mu^{-1}[s_1, s_2] \subset \mathcal{O}$ and $\dim \text{Bd}(\mathcal{W}) \leq \dim \text{Bd}(\mathcal{W}_1) + \dim \text{Bd}(\mathcal{W}_2) = 0$. Thus Part 2 is proved.

Part 3. If $C(X)$ has dimension one at X then $\dim C(X) = 1$.

PROOF. Let $\mathcal{K} = \{\mu^{-1}(t) : 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$. Then \mathcal{K} is a family of closed subsets of $C(X)$. By Part 2, each neighborhood of $\mu^{-1}(t)$ contains a neighborhood whose boundary has dimension zero. Since $\dim \mu^{-1}(t) \leq 1$ for each t , we have, by Proposition G on p. 90 of [HW], $\dim C(X) = \dim \bigcup \mathcal{K} \leq 1$, a contradiction to Theorem 3.1. Thus Theorem 3.2 is proved.

4. Proof of the main theorem. We are now in a position to prove our main theorem. Lemma 2.3 provides us with the fact that the family $\mu^{-1}[t, 1]$, $0 \leq t < 1$, forms a basis of closed neighborhoods of the point X in $C(X)$. We infer from Lemma 2.1 that we need only consider the neighborhood $C(X)$.

THEOREM 4.1. *If X is a pseudoarc then $C(X)$ is a two-dimensional Cantor manifold.*

PROOF. By denying the conclusion, we will establish a contradiction to Theorem 3.2. That is, we will show that the existence of a zero-dimensional separator of $C(X)$ implies the existence of an open neighborhood of X , disjoint with $\mu^{-1}(0)$, whose boundary has dimension zero. Then the preliminary remarks of this section will complete the proof.

Suppose \mathcal{S} is a closed zero-dimensional subset of $C(X)$ which separates $C(X)$. Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be nonvoid open sets such that $C(X) - \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$. We will consider two cases.

Case 1. Suppose $X \notin \mathcal{S}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $X \in \mathcal{A}$. There are now two possibilities. Either $\mathcal{S} \cap \mu^{-1}(0) = \emptyset$ or $\mathcal{S} \cap \mu^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$. Let us dispose of the first possibility.

(a) *Suppose $\mathcal{S} \cap \mu^{-1}(0) = \emptyset$.* In the event that $\mu^{-1}(0) \subset \mathcal{B}$, the desired neighborhood of X is \mathcal{A} and the contradiction is established. Since $\mu^{-1}(0)$ is connected, $\mu^{-1}(0) \not\subset \mathcal{B}$ implies $\mu^{-1}(0) \subset \mathcal{A}$. \mathcal{B} being nonvoid, choose $P \in \mathcal{B}$. P is a nondegenerate subcontinuum of X since $P \notin \mu^{-1}(0)$. Hence P is a pseudoarc. $C(P)$ is homeomorphic to $C(X)$ and $C(P)$ is a subspace of $C(X)$. Clearly, $\mathcal{B} \cap C(P)$ is an open neighborhood of P in $C(P)$, disjoint with $C(P) \cap \mu^{-1}(0) = \{\{p\} : p \in P\}$, whose boundary in $C(P)$ has dimension zero. Hence, the required neighborhood of X exists and the

contradiction is established. Thus, we have disposed of the possibility $\mathcal{S} \cap \mu^{-1}(0) = \emptyset$.

(b) Suppose $\mathcal{S} \cap \mu^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$. Either $\mathcal{B} \cap \mu^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$ or $\mathcal{B} \cap \mu^{-1}(0) = \emptyset$. Suppose first that $\mathcal{B} \cap \mu^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$. Let $P_0 \in \mathcal{B} \cap \mu^{-1}(0)$ and $A \in \mu^{-1}(0)$. Then, both P_0 and A are singleton subsets of X . Since X is homogeneous, there is a homeomorphism $h_A: C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$ such that $h_A(P_0) = A$. Associated with each such homeomorphism are two disjoint open sets $\mathcal{O}_A = h_A(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{P}_A = h_A(\mathcal{B})$ with the properties $X \in \mathcal{O}_A$ and $\dim \text{Bd}(\mathcal{O}_A) = 0$. Since $\{\mathcal{P}_A: A \in \mu^{-1}(0)\}$ is an open cover of the compact set $\mu^{-1}(0)$, there is a finite cover $\mathcal{P}_{A_1}, \dots, \mathcal{P}_{A_n}$. Let $\mathcal{O} = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_{A_i}$ and $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{P}_{A_i}$. Then \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{P} are disjoint open sets, $X \in \mathcal{O}$, $\mu^{-1}(0) \subset \mathcal{P}$ and $\dim \text{Bd}(\mathcal{O}) = 0$. Thus, the desired neighborhood of X is found and the contradiction established. Next, suppose $\mathcal{B} \cap \mu^{-1}(0) = \emptyset$. Since $X \notin \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B} \neq \emptyset$, there is a non-degenerate subcontinuum $P \in \mathcal{B}$. P is a pseudoarc and $C(P)$ is a subspace of $C(X)$ which is homeomorphic to $C(X)$. Since $\dim[C(P) \cap \mu^{-1}(0)] = 1$ and $\dim \mathcal{S} = 0$, we have $[C(P) \cap \mu^{-1}(0)] - \mathcal{S}$ is a nonempty subset of $\mathcal{A} \cap C(P)$. By considering $C(P)$, $\mathcal{S}' = C(P) \cap \mathcal{S}$, $\mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{B} \cap C(P)$ and $\mathcal{B}' = \mathcal{A} \cap C(P)$, we see that \mathcal{S}' is a zero-dimensional separator of $C(P)$, $C(P) - \mathcal{S}' = \mathcal{A}' \cup \mathcal{B}'$ where \mathcal{A}' and \mathcal{B}' are open sets, $P \in \mathcal{A}'$ and $\mathcal{B}' \cap \mu_P^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$ where μ_P is a μ function associated with the pseudoarc P . We have arrived at the situation which immediately preceded the one at hand.

Now the two possibilities (a) and (b) under Case 1 have been completely disposed of.

Case 2. Suppose $X \in \mathcal{S}$. We will dispose of this case by reducing it to Case 1.

For each $x \in X$, there is a unique arc \mathcal{A}_x in $C(X)$ from $\{x\}$ to X [KE]. Let $M = \{x \in X: \mathcal{A}_x \cap \mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset\}$ and $N = \{x \in X: \mathcal{A}_x \cap \mathcal{B} \neq \emptyset\}$. Since $\dim \mathcal{S} = 0$, we have $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{A}_x - \mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$ for each $x \in X$. Consequently, $X = M \cup N$. We will show $M \neq \emptyset$ and open. A symmetric argument shows $N \neq \emptyset$ and open. To this end, we recall a continuous mapping $\Phi: X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow C(X)$ defined in Theorem 3.5 of [R]. Φ is defined as

$$\Phi(x, t) = A, \quad \text{where } x \in A \in C(X) \text{ and } \mu(A) = t.$$

Since each pair of points in $C(X)$ has a unique arc between them, we have $\mathcal{A}_x = \Phi[\{x\} \times [0, 1]]$. Consequently, $M = F[\Phi^{-1}(\mathcal{A})]$, where F is the natural projection $F: X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$.

Since X is connected $M \cap N \neq \emptyset$. Let $x \in M \cap N$ and $P \in \mathcal{A}_x \cap \mathcal{A}$ and $Q \in \mathcal{A}_x \cap \mathcal{B}$. Since P and Q are in the arc \mathcal{A}_x , either $P \supset Q$ or $P \subset Q$. Also, $P \neq Q$. Suppose $P \supset Q$. By considering the pseudoarc P , we have for $C(P)$, $\mathcal{S}' = C(P) \cap \mathcal{S}$, $\mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{A} \cap C(P)$, $\mathcal{B}' = \mathcal{B} \cap C(P)$, precisely the Case 1. Similar considerations apply when $P \subset Q$.

The main theorem is now established.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [B1] R. H. Bing, *A homogeneous indecomposable plane continuum*, Duke Math. J. **15** (1948), 729–742. MR **10**, 261.
- [B2] ———, *Concerning hereditarily indecomposable continua*, Pacific J. Math. **1** (1951), 43–51. MR **13**, 265.
- [D1] R. Duda, *On the hyperspace of subcontinua of a finite graph. I*, Fund. Math. **62** (1968), 265–286. MR **38** #5175a.
- [D2] ———, *On the hyperspace of subcontinua of a finite graph. II*, Fund. Math. **63** (1968), 225–255. MR **38** #5175b.
- [D3] ———, Correction to the paper “*On the hyperspace of subcontinua of a finite graph. I*,” Fund. Math. **69** (1970), 207–211.
- [EN] C. Eberhart and S. B. Nadler, Jr., *The dimension of certain hyperspaces*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. (to appear).
- [H] G. W. Henderson, *On the hyperspace of subcontinua of arc-like continua*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **27** (1971), 416–417.
- [HW] W. Hurewicz and H. Wallman, *Dimension theory*, Princeton Math. Series, vol. 4, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1941. MR **3**, 312.
- [KE] J. Kelley, *Hyperspaces of a continuum*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **52** (1942), 22–36. MR **3**, 315.
- [KU] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*. Vol. II, Academic Press, New York, 1968. MR **41** #4467.
- [N] S. B. Nadler, Jr., *Inverse limits and multicoherence*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **76** (1970), 411–414. MR **40** #6514.
- [R] C. J. Rhee, *On dimension of hyperspace of a metric continuum*, Bull. Soc. Roy. Sci. Liège **38** (1969), 602–604.
- [S] J. Segal, *Hyperspaces of the inverse limit space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **10** (1959), 706–709. MR **21** #7492.
- [T] W. R. Transue, *On the hyperspace of subcontinua of the pseudoarc*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **18** (1967), 1074–1075. MR **36** #5901.
- [WH] H. Whitney, *Analytic topology*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 28, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1942.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WAYNE STATE UNIVERSITY, DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48202