AN ELEMENTARY PROOF OF A RADON-NIKODÝM THEOREM FOR FINITELY ADDITIVE SET FUNCTIONS

JAN PACHL

ABSTRACT. In 1967 Charles Fefferman proved a Radon-Nikodým theorem for finitely additive measures. We give an elementary proof of a generalization of this theorem.

In this paper we give an elementary proof of the

THEOREM. *Let μ, γ be real-valued bounded finitely additive set functions on an algebra Σ of subsets of the set S . Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $N \in \Sigma$ and a μ -simple function f on S such that*

$$E \in \Sigma \text{ \& } E \subseteq N \Rightarrow |\mu E| < \varepsilon,$$

$$E \in \Sigma \text{ \& } E \subseteq S \setminus N \Rightarrow \left| \gamma E - \int_E f d\mu \right| < \varepsilon.$$

(Hence there is a μ -simple function g with $E \in \Sigma \Rightarrow |\gamma E - \gamma(E \cap N) - \int_E g d\mu| < \varepsilon$.)

If γ is absolutely μ -continuous (i.e., for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $|\mu E| < \delta \Rightarrow |\gamma E| < \varepsilon$), the Theorem reduces to a result of Fefferman which he proved using functional analysis (see Corollary).

LEMMA 1 ("WEAK HAHN DECOMPOSITION"). *Let ν be a real-valued bounded finitely additive set function on an algebra $\Sigma \subseteq \exp S$. For every $\alpha > 0$ there is a $B \in \Sigma$ (written $B(\nu, \alpha)$) such that*

$$E \in \Sigma \text{ \& } E \subseteq B \Rightarrow \nu E > -\alpha, \quad E \in \Sigma \text{ \& } E \subseteq S \setminus B \Rightarrow \nu E < \alpha.$$

PROOF. ν is bounded and so there is a smallest natural number k with $\nu \leq k\alpha$; for some $B \in \Sigma$ we have $\nu B > k\alpha - \alpha$. If $E \in \Sigma$ and $E \subseteq B$, then $\nu E = \nu B - \nu(B \setminus E) > k\alpha - \alpha - k\alpha = -\alpha$; if $E \in \Sigma$ and $E \subseteq S \setminus B$, then $\nu E = \nu(B \cup E) - \nu B < k\alpha - (k\alpha - \alpha) = \alpha$.

LEMMA 2 (CF. [2, §22.2], [1, THEOREM 2]). *Let μ, γ be finite real-valued finitely additive set functions on an algebra $\Sigma \subseteq \exp S$. If there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\mu > -\delta/2$ and $\gamma > -\delta/2$, then there exist $N \in \Sigma$ and a μ -simple function f on S such that*

Received by the editors August 27, 1970.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 28-00, 28A25, 28A80.

© American Mathematical Society 1972

$$E \in \Sigma \text{ \& } E \subseteq N \Rightarrow |\mu E| < \delta,$$

$$E \in \Sigma \text{ \& } E \subseteq S \setminus N \Rightarrow \left| \gamma E - \int_E f d\mu \right| < \delta.$$

PROOF. Let m be an integer $> 2(\gamma S + \delta) \cdot (\mu S + \delta) / \delta^2$. Put

$$B_k = B \left(\gamma - \frac{\delta k}{2(\mu S + \delta)} \mu, \frac{\delta}{2m} \right) \quad (\text{by Lemma 1}),$$

$$A_1 = S \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^m B_j, \quad A_k = B_{k-1} \setminus \bigcup_{j=k}^m B_j, \quad k = 2, 3, \dots, m,$$

$$N = B_m, \quad f = \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\delta(k-1)}{2(\mu S + \delta)} \chi_{A_k},$$

where χ_A is the characteristic function of A . If $E \in \Sigma$ and $E \subseteq N$, then

$$\gamma E - \frac{\delta m}{2(\mu S + \delta)} \mu E > -\frac{\delta}{2m} \geq -\frac{\delta}{2};$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mu E &< \frac{2}{m} \frac{\mu S + \delta}{\delta} \left(\gamma E + \frac{\delta}{2} \right) < \frac{\delta^2}{(\gamma S + \delta)(\mu S + \delta)} \frac{\mu S + \delta}{\delta} \\ &\times \left(\gamma S - \gamma(S \setminus E) + \frac{\delta}{2} \right) < \frac{\delta}{\gamma S + \delta} \left(\gamma S + \frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2} \right) = \delta. \end{aligned}$$

If $E \in \Sigma$ and $E \subseteq S \setminus N$, then E is the disjoint union of the sets $E_1, \dots, E_m$, where $E_k = E \cap A_k$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Moreover, since $E_k \subseteq A_k \subseteq S \setminus B_k$, we have

$$\gamma E_k - \frac{\delta k}{2(\mu S + \delta)} \mu E_k < \frac{\delta}{2m} \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

and since $E_k \subseteq A_k \subseteq B_{k-1}$, we have

$$\gamma E_k - \frac{\delta(k-1)}{2(\mu S + \delta)} \mu E_k > -\frac{\delta}{2m} \quad \text{for } k = 2, \dots, m.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} -\delta &< -\frac{\delta}{2} + (m-1) \left(-\frac{\delta}{2m} \right) < \sum_{k=1}^m \left(\gamma E_k - \frac{\delta(k-1)}{2(\mu S + \delta)} \mu E_k \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m \left(\gamma E_k - \frac{\delta k}{2(\mu S + \delta)} \mu E_k \right) + \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\delta}{2(\mu S + \delta)} \mu E_k \\ &< m \frac{\delta}{2m} + \frac{\delta}{2} \frac{\mu E}{\mu S + \delta} < \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{k=1}^m (\gamma E_k - (\delta(k-1)/2(\mu S + \delta))\mu E_k) = \gamma E - \int_E f d\mu$, Lemma 2 is proved.

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. Let $B = B(\mu, \varepsilon/8)$, $B' = B(\gamma, \varepsilon/8)$, $\mu_1 E = \mu(E \cap B)$, $\mu_2 E = -\mu(E \setminus B)$, $\gamma_1 E = \gamma(E \cap B')$ and $\gamma_2 E = -\gamma(E \setminus B')$ for $E \in \Sigma$ (so that $\mu = \mu_1 - \mu_2$ and $\gamma = \gamma_1 - \gamma_2$). Clearly $\mu_i > -\varepsilon/8$ and $\gamma_i > \varepsilon/8$ for $i=1, 2$. By Lemma 2 (with $\delta = \varepsilon/4$) for $i, j=1, 2$ there are sets N_{ij} and μ -simple functions f_{ij} such that

$$E \in \Sigma \text{ \& } E \subseteq N_{ij} \Rightarrow |\mu_i E| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4},$$

$$E \in \Sigma \text{ \& } E \subseteq S \setminus N_{ij} \Rightarrow \left| \gamma_j E - \int_E f_{ij} d\mu_i \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

Let $N = \bigcup_{i,j=1,2} N_{ij}$ and $f = (f_{11} - f_{12})\chi_B + (f_{21} - f_{22})\chi_{S \setminus B}$. If $E \in \Sigma$ and $E \subseteq N$, then E is the disjoint union of sets E_{ij} , $i, j=1, 2$, with $E_{ij} \in \Sigma$ and $E_{ij} \subseteq N_{ij}$,

$$\mu E = \sum_{i,j=1,2} \mu E_{ij} \text{ and } -\varepsilon < 4 \cdot \left(-\frac{\varepsilon}{8}\right) < \sum_{i,j=1,2} \mu E_{ij} < 4 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{4} = \varepsilon,$$

i.e., $|\mu E| < \varepsilon$. If $E \in \Sigma$ and $E \subseteq S \setminus N$, then $E \subseteq S \setminus N_{ij}$ for $i, j=1, 2$; in addition $\mu_2(E \cap B) = \mu_1(E \setminus B) = 0$, and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \gamma E - \int_E f d\mu \right| &\leq \left| \gamma(E \cap B) - \int_{E \cap B} (f_{11} - f_{12}) d\mu \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \gamma(E \setminus B) - \int_{E \setminus B} (f_{21} - f_{22}) d\mu \right| \\ &\leq \left| \gamma_1(E \cap B) - \int_{E \cap B} f_{11} d\mu_1 \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \gamma_2(E \cap B) - \int_{E \cap B} f_{12} d\mu_1 \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \gamma_1(E \setminus B) - \int_{E \setminus B} f_{21} d\mu_2 \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \gamma_2(E \setminus B) - \int_{E \setminus B} f_{22} d\mu_2 \right| < 4 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{4} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

The Theorem is proved.

It is now easy to prove the

COROLLARY. Let μ, γ be bounded real-valued finitely additive set functions on an algebra $\Sigma \subseteq \exp S$. Let γ be absolutely μ -continuous (in the

sense mentioned above). Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a μ -simple function f such that

$$E \in \Sigma \Rightarrow \left| \gamma E - \int_E f d\mu \right| < \varepsilon.$$

As Fefferman [1, Lemma 1] has shown, it suffices to suppose that γ is finite (instead of bounded).

By the Corollary (if μ and γ satisfy its hypotheses), there is a sequence $\{f_n\}$ of μ -simple functions f_n with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_n d\mu = \gamma E$ uniformly for $E \in \Sigma$ (it was in this form formulated in [1]).

The following example shows there need not be an increasing such sequence:

S is the set of all natural numbers (≥ 1),

Σ is the algebra of all finite subsets of S and their complements,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma E &= 0, & \mu E &= \sum_{k \in E} 2^{-k} & \text{for finite } E, \\ \gamma E &= 1, & \mu E &= 1 + \sum_{k \in E} 2^{-k} & \text{for infinite } E. \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

1. Charles Fefferman, *A Radon-Nikodym theorem for finitely additive set functions*, Pacific J. Math. **23** (1967), 35–45. MR **35** #6791.
2. Eduard Čech, *Point sets*, Jednota Českoslov. Mat. a Fyz., Prague, 1936. (Czech).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CHARLES UNIVERSITY, PRAGUE, CZECHOSLOVAKIA