IMPLICATIVE HOMOMORPHISMS WITH FINITE RANGES

WILLIAM C. NEMITZ

ABSTRACT. In this note, it is shown that every implicative homomorphism with a finite range is a factor of a projection.

In this note, we shall prove a theorem to the effect that given any implicative homomorphism with finite range, there is a subimplicative semilattice of the domain on which the homomorphism is an isomorphism. It follows from this that every finite implicative semilattice is an absolute quotient retract, and hence projective. Incidentally, it is easy to show that the only injective implicative semilattice is the trivial (one element) one. The theorem of this note also has applications in the theory of varieties of implicative semilattices, since it follows that the class of all implicative semilattices, which do not contain a given finite subdirectly irreducible implicative semilattice as a subalgebra, is a variety. For definitions and basic properties of implicative semilattices, the reader is referred to [1], [2], [3], and [4].

Let $\langle L, \Lambda, *, 1 \rangle$ be an implicative semilattice.

DEFINITION 1. If x and y are elements of L, we say y is fixed by x if x*y=y. If $S \subseteq L$, we say S is fixed by x if every element of S is fixed by S. Finally, if $S \subseteq L$, we say S is fixed by S is fixed by every element of S. Note that if S is fixed by S, then every subset of S is fixed by every subset of S. Also, note that if S is fixed by S, and S is fixed by S.

LEMMA 1. An element of a bounded implicative semilattice L is closed if and only if it is fixed by every dense element of L.

PROOF. First let a be closed in L, d dense in L. Then $d*a \le (d*a)^{**} = a$, so a is fixed by d. Now assume that a is an element of L which is fixed by every dense element of L. Then, in particular, a is fixed by $a^{**}*a$. But $(a^{**}*a)*a=(a^{**}*a)*(a^{**}\wedge(a^{**}*a))=a^{**}$, since a^{**} is closed in L and $a^{**}*a$ is dense. Hence a is closed in L.

DEFINITION 2. For $x \in L$, and $S \subseteq L$, we say that S is *total* with respect to x if $x*y \in S$ for every $y \in S$. Again if $T \subseteq L$, S is total with respect to T if S is total with respect to every element of T.

Received by the editors June 17, 1971 and, in revised form, October 4, 1971. AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 06A25, 06A20.

Key words and phrases. Implicative semilattice, implicative homomorphism, pseudo-complement.

Note that if S is total with respect to T, then S is total with respect to every subset of T, and the subimplicative semilattice of L generated by S is total with respect to T.

LEMMA 2. Let L be an implicative semilattice, J a principal filter of L, and A the closed algebra of J. Then A is total with respect to L, and hence with respect to any subset of L.

PROOF. This follows from Lemma 1 and the second note after Definition 1.

DEFINITION 3. For $A \subseteq L$, and $B \subseteq L$, let

 $A \nabla B = \{c : c = a \land b \text{ for some } a \in A \text{ and } b \in B\}.$

LEMMA 3. Let $A \leq_s L$, $B \leq_s L$, such that A is fixed by B, and B is total with respect to A. Then $A \nabla B \leq_s L$ (\leq_s denotes "is a subalgebra of").

PROOF. It suffices to show that for $a_i \in A$, $b_i \in B$, $i=1, 2, (a_1 \land b_1) * (a_2 \land b_2) \in A \nabla B$. But $(a_1 \land b_1) * (a_2 \land b_2) = b_1 * (a_1 * a_2) \land a_1 * (b_1 * b_2) \in A \nabla B$.

LEMMA 4. Let $A \leq_s L$, $B \leq_s L$, $C \leq_s L$, such that A is fixed by B and C, B is fixed by C, B and C are total with respect to A, and C is total with respect to B. Then A is fixed by $B\nabla C$, and $B\nabla C$ is total with respect to A.

PROOF. For $a \in A$, $b \in B$, and $c \in C$, $(b \land c)*a=b*(c*a)=a$, and $a*(b \land c)=a*b \land a*c \in B \lor C$.

LEMMA 5. Let $A \leq_s L$, $B \leq_s L$, $A' \leq_s L'$, $B' \leq_s L'$, L' another implicative semilattice. Let A be fixed by B, B total with respect to A, and similarly for A' and B'. Let α be an isomorphism from A onto A', and β be an isomorphism from B onto B' such that for $a \in A$ and $b \in B$,

$$\alpha(a) * \beta(b) = \beta(a * b).$$

Let σ be defined as follows: For $c \in A \nabla B$, let $c = a \wedge b$, for some $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. Define σ by $\sigma(c) = \alpha(a) \wedge \beta(b)$. Then σ is an isomorphism from $A \nabla B$ onto $A' \nabla B'$.

PROOF. 1. σ is a mapping. Let $c \in A \nabla B$, such that $c = a_i \wedge b_i$, $a_i \in A$, $b_i \in B$, i = 1, 2. Then $a_1 \wedge b_1 \leq a_2$, and so $b_1 * (a_1 * a_2) = a_1 * a_2 = 1$, and hence $a_1 \leq a_2$. Similarly $a_2 \leq a_1$, and hence $a_1 = a_2$. Call this element a. Now $a*b_1 = a*b_2$, so $\alpha(a)*\beta(b_1) = \beta(a*b_1) = \beta(a*b_2) = \alpha(a)*\beta(b_2)$, and so $\alpha(a) \wedge \beta(b_1) = \alpha(a) \wedge \beta(b_2)$.

2. σ is a homomorphism. Clearly, σ preserves meets. Let $c_i = a_i \wedge b_i$,

$$\begin{split} a_i \in A, \ b_i \in B, \ i = 1, \ 2. \ \text{Then} \\ \sigma(c_1 * c_2) &= \sigma(a_1 * a_2 \wedge a_1 * (b_1 * b_2)) \\ &= \alpha(a_1) * \alpha(a_2) \wedge \alpha(a_1) * (\beta(b_1) * \beta(b_2)) \\ &= (\alpha(a_1) \wedge \beta(b_1)) * (\alpha(a_2) \wedge \beta(b_2)) \end{split}$$

 $= \sigma(c_1) * \sigma(c_2).$

- 3. Clearly σ is onto.
- 4. σ is one-to-one. Let $\sigma(c_1) = \sigma(c_2)$, $c_i = a_i \wedge b_i$, $a_i \in A$, $b_i \in B$, i = 1, 2. Then $\alpha(a_1) \wedge \beta(b_1) = \alpha(a_2) \wedge \beta(b_2)$, so $\alpha(a_1) = \alpha(a_2)$, and since α is an isomorphism, $a_1 = a_2$. Also, $\alpha(a_1) * \beta(b_1) = \alpha(a_1) * \beta(b_2)$. So $a_1 * b_1 = a_2 * b_2$, and hence $c_1 = c_2$.

THEOREM. Let f be a homomorphism from an implicative semilattice L onto a finite implicative semilattice M. Then there is a finite subimplicative semilattice N of L such that $f \mid N$ is an isomorphism onto M.

PROOF. We first examine the structure of M. Since M is bounded, $M=M^{**}\nabla D_1$, where D_1 is the dense filter of M, and M^{**} is the closed algebra of M. Similarly D_1 can be decomposed into $D_1^{**}\nabla D_2$, and so on. Hence, letting $D_0=M$,

$$M = D_0^{**}\nabla(D_1^{**}\nabla(D_2^{**}\nabla(\cdots D_i^{**}\nabla(D_{i+1}^{**}\nabla\cdots\nabla D_n)\cdots))).$$

Now each of the D_i^{**} in this sequence is a finite Boolean algebra which is total with respect to all of its predecessors and fixed by each of its successors. That D_i^{**} is total with respect to its predecessors follows from Lemma 2. That D_1^{**} is fixed by each of its successors follows from the fact that D_i^{**} is fixed by D_{i+1} and each of the successors is a subset of D_{i+1} .

We shall construct a sequence $E_0, E_1, E_2, \cdots, E_n$ such that each E_i is a subimplicative semilattice of L, and such that

- 1. $f|E_i$ is an isomorphism of E_i onto D_i^{**} ,
- 2. each E_i is fixed by each of its successors,
- 3. each E_i is total with respect to each of its predecessors.

The proof is completed by repeatedly applying Lemmas 4 and 5.

To find the E_i , first find a principal filter F_0 of L which f maps onto $D_0 = M$. Then select a principal filter F_1 in the dense filter of F_0 such that f maps F_1 onto D_1 . Continue in this manner, getting a sequence $F_0, F_1, \dots, F_i, \dots, F_n$, such that F_i is a principal filter of the dense filter of F_{i-1} , and f maps F_i onto D_i . Each E_i will be chosen from the closed algebra of F_i , and hence clearly condition 2 above will be satisfied.

We shall describe a process for finding E_i , assuming the E_0, \dots, E_{i-1} have already been found. It will be obvious that a simplification of this process can be used to find E_0 . Briefly, the process is as follows: For each dual atom of D_i^{**} , select a preimage under f, closed in F_i . If this preimage fails to meet certain requirements, it is replaced by another preimage

determined by the first choice. This process of replacement is continued through several steps until a set of preimages of the dual atoms of D_i^{**} is found which generate an algebra E_i , which satisfies the three requirements stated above.

Let $A = \bigcup_{i=0}^{i-1} E_i$. The problem is to find E_i total with respect to A. For each element a of A, let a' denote f(a). Now let b' be a dual atom of D_i^{**} . The elements of A can be divided into two classes; class 1b', those elements a of A such that a'*b'=1, and class 2b', those elements a of A such that a'*b'=b'. These two sets form a partition of A, since a'*b' is an element of D_i^{**} . Recall that it follows from Lemma 2 that D_i^{**} is total with respect to M. Choose $b \in F_i^{**} \cap f^{-1}(b')$. Now consider the elements a of class 1b'seriatum. If $a*b \neq 1$, replace b by the closure in F_i of the pseudojoin (see [5]) of a and b. The pseudojoin of a and b is defined to be $((a*b)*b) \land$ ((b*a)*a). It is idempotent, commutative, an upper bound of the set $\{a, b\}$, and is equal to b if and only if $a \le b$. Note that the new b is in $f^{-1}(b')$. Now let x be the meet of all elements of class 2b'. If $x*b \neq b$, replace b by x*b. At this stage, for each dual atom b' of D_i^{**} , we have chosen an element bof $F_i^{**} \cap f^{-1}(b')$, such that if a is in class 1b', then a*b=1, and if a is in class 2b', a*b=b. Hence the set made up of these elements b together with 1 is total with respect to A, and thus so is the subimplicative semilattice Bgenerated by this set. Also note that B is finite, $B \subseteq F_i^{**}$, and $f(B) = D_i^{**}$. Now for each dual atom b' of D_i^{**} choose b maximal in $B \cap f^{-1}(b')$. It follows that b is greatest in $B \cap f^{-1}(b')$, since if $a \in B \cap f^{-1}(b')$, then so is the pseudojoin of a and b. Since b is maximal in $B \cap f^{-1}(b')$, this pseudojoin equals b, and hence $a \le b$. Again if a is in class 1b', then a*b=1, and if a is in class 2b', then a*b=b. Also, if b_1 and b_2 are the elements chosen for two distinct dual atoms b'_1 and b'_2 , then $b_1*b_2=b_2$. From this it follows that E_i can be taken as the set of elements which can be obtained as meets of some set of these finally chosen b, together with 1.

REFERENCES

- 1. Garrett Birkhoff, Lattice theory, 3rd ed., Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 25, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1967. MR 37 #2638.
- 2. H. B. Curry, Foundations of mathematical logic, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1963. MR 26 #6036.
- 3. Orrin Frink, Pseudo-complements in semi-lattices, Duke Math. J. 29 (1962), 505-514. MR 25 #3869.
- 4. W. C. Nemitz, *Implicative semi-lattices*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 117 (1965), 128-142. MR 31 #1212.
- 5. ——, Semi-Boolean lattices, Notre Dame J. Formal Logic 10 (1969), 235-238. MR 39 #6794.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTHWESTERN AT MEMPHIS, MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE 38112