QUADRATURE-GALERKIN APPROXIMATIONS TO SOLUTIONS OF ELLIPTIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS¹

MARTIN H. SCHULTZ

ABSTRACT. In practice the Galerkin method for solving elliptic partial differential equations yields equations involving certain integrals which cannot be evaluated analytically. Instead these integrals are approximated numerically and the resulting equations are solved to give "quadrature-Galerkin approximations" to the solution of the differential equation. Using a technique of J. Nitsche, L^2 a priori error bounds are obtained for the difference between the solution of the differential equation and a class of quadrature-Galerkin approximations.

A popular way of obtaining approximate solutions of a large class of elliptic boundary value problems is Galerkin's method. In practice, these approximate solutions are not actually obtained since the Galerkin formulation involves certain integrals which cannot be evaluated analytically. Instead these integrals are approximated numerically and the resulting equations are solved to give "quadrature approximations" to the Galerkin approximations.

The object of this paper is to investigate a priori bounds for the difference between the solutions and the quadrature approximations. In particular, using a technique due to J. Nitsche, cf. [2], we obtain new bounds in the L^2 -norm. These substantially improve and generalize the weaker results reported in [1] which involved analogous bounds in Sobolev spaces.

Throughout this paper, let $\Omega \subset R^M$ be an open set, m be a positive integer, $W_0^{m,2}(\Omega)$ denote the closure of the real-valued functions $f \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|f\|_m \equiv \left(\int_{\Omega} \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} |D^\alpha f|^2 dx \right)^{1/2},$$

Presented to the Society, March 27, 1971; received by the editors July 22, 1971 and, in revised form, October 8, 1971.

AMS 1969 subject classifications. Primary 6566, 6555.

Key words and phrases. Galerkin method, quadrature, approximation, elliptic, partial differential equation.

¹ The preparation of this manuscript was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research (NR 044-401).

© American Mathematical Society 1972

where we have used the standard multi-index notation, cf. [5], $W^{m,2}(\Omega)$ denote the closure of the real-valued functions $f \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_m$, and H be any closed subspace of $W^{m,2}(\Omega)$ such that $W_0^{m,2}(\Omega) \subset H \subset W^{m,2}(\Omega)$. If we are considering a problem of order $2m$, the a priori bounds reported in [1] are in the Sobolev norm $\|\cdot\|_m$. Such error bounds trivially induce error bounds in the lower order Sobolev norms, $\|\cdot\|_j$, $0 \leq j \leq m-1$. But these induced error bounds are *not* sharp.

Let $a(u, v)$ be a real-valued, bounded, bilinear form over H such that there exist constants $0 < \delta \leq \mu$ such that

$$(1) \quad a(u, v) \leq \mu \|u\|_m \|v\|_m$$

and

$$(2) \quad \delta \|u\|_m^2 \leq a(u, u),$$

for all u and $v \in H$. Given a real-valued function $g \in W^{0,2}(\Omega)$, our problem is to find $u \in H$ such that

$$(3) \quad a(u, v) = (g, v)_0 \equiv \int_{\Omega} g(x)v(x) dx, \quad \text{for all } v \in H.$$

If S is any finite dimensional subspace of H , the Galerkin method is to find $u_S \in S$ such that

$$(4) \quad a(u_S, w) = (g, w)_0, \quad \text{for all } w \in S.$$

If S is the span of the N linearly independent basis functions $\{B_i(x) | 1 \leq i \leq N\}$, then the coefficients $\{\beta_i | 1 \leq i \leq N\}$ in the expansion $u_S(x) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i B_i(x)$ can be characterized as the solution of the linear system

$$(5) \quad A\beta = k,$$

where

$$(6) \quad A \equiv [a_{ij}] \equiv \left[\int_{\Omega} a(B_i, B_j) dx \right]$$

and

$$(7) \quad k \equiv [k_i] \equiv \left[\int_{\Omega} g(x)B_i(x) dx \right].$$

We recall two key results, which will be essential, giving bounds for the difference $u - u_S$. The reader is referred to [3] and [4] for the details of the proofs.

THEOREM 1. *If (1) and (2) hold, (3) has a unique solution, u , (4) has a unique solution, u_S , for every finite dimensional subspace S of H and*

$$(8) \quad \|u - u_S\|_m \leq \delta^{-1} \mu \inf_{y \in S} \|u - y\|_m.$$

We now make the additional assumption that $a(u, v)$ is *strongly coercive* over H , i.e., that every solution, u , of (3) is in $W^{2m,2}(\Omega)$ and that there exists a positive constant, ρ , such that

$$(9) \quad \|u\|_{2m} \leq \rho \|g\|_0, \quad \text{for all } g \in W^{0,2}(\Omega).$$

THEOREM 2. *Let (1) and (2) hold, $a(u, v)$ be strongly coercive over H and C be a collection of finite dimensional subspaces of H such that if $g \in W^{2m,2}(\Omega) \cap H$, then there exists a positive, real-valued function E on C such that*

$$(10) \quad \inf_{y \in S} \|g - y\|_m \leq E(S) \|g\|_\rho,$$

for some $m \leq p \leq 2m$ and all $S \in C$. Then

$$(11) \quad \|u - u_S\|_0 \leq \rho \delta^{-1} \mu E(\omega) \inf_{y \in S} \|u - y\|_m, \quad \text{for all } S \in C.$$

In practice, instead of solving (4), we solve

$$(12) \quad a(\hat{u}_S, w) = (\hat{g}, w)_0,$$

for all $w \in S$, where $\hat{g} \in W^{0,2}(\Omega)$ is a "good" approximation to g such that the integrals $\int_\Omega \hat{g}(x) B_i(x) dx$, $1 \leq i \leq N$, can be evaluated analytically. First we give a bound for the error $(u - \hat{u}_S)$ in the $W^{m,2}(\Omega)$ -norm, which generalizes Theorem 3 of [1].

THEOREM 3. *If the hypotheses of Theorem 1 hold,*

$$(13) \quad \|u - \hat{u}_S\|_m \leq \delta^{-1} \|g - \hat{g}\|_0 + \delta^{-1} \mu \inf_{y \in S} \|u - y\|_m$$

for all finite dimensional subspaces S of H and all $\hat{g} \in W^{0,2}(\Omega)$.

PROOF. By the triangle inequality, we have

$$(14) \quad \|u - \hat{u}_S\|_m \leq \|u_S - \hat{u}_S\|_m + \|u - u_S\|_m.$$

From (4) and (12) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \|u_S - \hat{u}_S\|_m^2 &\leq a(u_S - \hat{u}_S, u_S - \hat{u}_S) = (g - \hat{g}, u_S - \hat{u}_S)_0 \\ &\leq \|g - \hat{g}\|_0 \|u_S - \hat{u}_S\|_0 \leq \|g - \hat{g}\|_0 \|u_S - \hat{u}_S\|_m. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(15) \quad \|u_S - \hat{u}_S\|_m \leq \delta^{-1} \|g - \hat{g}\|_0$$

and the result follows from using (8) and (15) to bound the right-hand side of (14). Q.E.D.

Second, using a technique of J. Nitsche, cf. [2], we give a bound for the error $(u - \hat{u}_S)$ in the $W^{0,2}(\Omega)$ -norm, which extends Theorem 3 of [1].

THEOREM 4. *If the hypotheses of Theorem 2 hold, then*

$$(16) \quad \|u - \hat{u}_S\|_0 \leq \rho(1 + \mu\delta^{-1}E(S)) \|g - \hat{g}\|_0 + \rho\delta^{-1}\mu^2 E(S) \inf_{y \in S} \|u - y\|_m,$$

for all finite dimensional subspaces S of H and all $\hat{g} \in W^{0,2}(\Omega)$.

PROOF. Let $w_S \equiv (u - \hat{u}_S)/\|u - \hat{u}_S\|_0$ and ϕ_S be the solution of

$$a(u - \hat{u}_S, \phi_S) = (w_S, u - \hat{u}_S)_0 = \|u - \hat{u}_S\|_0.$$

If $v \in S$ is the orthogonal projection of ϕ_S onto S with respect to the inner-product in $W^{m,2}(\Omega)$,

$$a(u - \hat{u}_S, \phi_S - v) = (w_S, u - \hat{u}_S)_0 + (\hat{g} - g, v)_0$$

and hence $\|u - \hat{u}_S\|_0 = a(u - \hat{u}_S, \phi_S - v) + (g - \hat{g}, v)_0$. Thus, using (1), we have

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} \|u - \hat{u}_S\|_0 &\leq \mu \|u - \hat{u}_S\|_m \|\phi_S - v\|_m + \|g - \hat{g}\|_0 \|v\|_0 \\ &\leq \mu E(S) \|\phi_S\|_p \|u - \hat{u}_S\|_m + \|\phi_S\|_m \|g - \hat{g}\|_0 \\ &\leq \mu E(S) \|\phi_S\|_{2m} \|u - \hat{u}_S\|_m + \|\phi_S\|_{2m} \|g - \hat{g}\|_0 \\ &\leq \rho\mu E(S) \|u - \hat{u}_S\|_m + \rho \|g - \hat{g}\|_0. \end{aligned}$$

The result follows by using (13) to bound the right-hand side of (17).

Q.E.D.

As a rather typical example, let H and $a(u, v)$ be such that if $g \in W^{t,2}(\Omega)$, then the solution $u \in W^{2m+t,2}(\Omega)$ and C be a one-parameter family of finite dimensional subspaces $\{S_h(d) \mid h \geq 0\}$, d a fixed integer $\geq m$, such that $E(S_h(d)) \equiv \inf_{y \in S_h(d)} \|g - y\|_m \leq K_d h^{r-m} \|g\|_r$ and

$$\inf_{y \in S_h(d)} \|u - y\|_m \leq C_d h^{z-m} \|u\|_z,$$

where $r \equiv \min(2m, d+1)$ and $z \equiv \min(2m+t, d+1)$. For example, $S_h(d)$ might be a space of polynomial spline functions of degree d with associated mesh length equal to h . Then

$$\|u - \hat{u}_S\|_m \leq \|g - \hat{g}\|_0 + \delta^{-1}\mu C_d h^{z-m} \|u\|_z$$

and

$$\|u - \hat{u}_S\|_0 \leq \rho(1 + \mu\delta^{-1}K_d h^{r-m}) \|g - \hat{g}\|_0 + \rho\delta^{-1}\mu^2 K_d C_d h^{r+z-2m} \|u\|_z.$$

If in addition, $\hat{g}$ is given by an interpolation mapping of degree p such that

$$\|g - \hat{g}\|_0 \leq M_q h^q \|g\|_q, \quad \text{where } q \equiv \min(p+1, t),$$

then we have

$$\|u - \hat{u}_S\|_m \leq M_q h^q \|g\|_q + \delta^{-1}\mu C_d h^{z-m} \|u\|_z$$

and

$$\|u - \hat{u}_S\|_0 \leq \rho(1 + \mu\delta^{-1}K_d h^{r-m})M_q h^q \|g\|_q + \rho\delta^{-1}\mu^2 K_d C_d h^{r+z-2m} \|u\|_z.$$

In [1], a quadrature scheme was defined to be $(m-)$ consistent if and only if $q \geq z-m$, i.e., if and only if the error in the $W^{m,2}(\Omega)$ -norm due to the quadrature scheme is of the same order of magnitude as the error due to Galerkin's method. However, here we see that if we demand consistency in the $W^{0,2}(\Omega)$ -norm, we must have $q \geq r+z-2m$. But $r+z-2m \geq (m+1)+z-2m \geq z-m+1$, which is a *more* stringent condition on the regularity of g and the degree of the interpolation mapping. In the still further special case of $r=2m$ and $z=d+1$ we have m -consistency if and only if $q \geq d+1-m$ and 0-consistency if and only if $q \geq d+1$. Thus, for 0-consistency we must have $t \geq d+1$ and $p \geq d$.

REFERENCES

1. R. J. Herbold, M. H. Schultz and R. S. Varga, *The effect of quadrature errors in the numerical solution of boundary value problems by variational techniques*, Aequationes Math. **3** (1969), 247-270. MR **41** #6410.
2. J. Nitsche, *Ein Kriterium für die Quasi-Optimalität des Ritzschen Verfahrens*, Numer. Math. **11** (1968), 346-348. MR **38** #1823.
3. M. H. Schultz, *Multivariate spline functions and elliptic problems*, Proc. Sympos. Approximations with Special Emphasis on Spline Functions (Univ. of Wisconsin, Madison, Wis., 1969), Academic Press, New York, 1969, pp. 279-347. MR **41** #2210.
4. ———, *L^2 -error bounds for the Rayleigh-Ritz-Galerkin method*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **8** (1971), 737-748.
5. K. Yosida, *Functional analysis*, Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften, Band 123, Academic Press, New York; Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1965. MR **31** #5054.

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, YALE UNIVERSITY, NEW HAVEN, CONNECTICUT 06520