CLASS NUMBER IN CONSTANT EXTENSIONS OF FUNCTION FIELDS

JAMES R. C. LEITZEL

ABSTRACT. Let F/K be a function field in one variable of genus g having the finite field K as exact field of constants. Suppose p is a rational prime not dividing the class number of F . In this paper an upper bound is derived for the degree of a constant extension E necessary to have p occur as a divisor of the class number of the field E .

Throughout this paper the term "function field" will mean a function field in one variable whose exact field of constants is a finite field with q elements.

Let F/K be a function field. The order of the finite group of divisor classes of degree zero is the class number h_F . For F/K of genus g , we use the notation of [2] and denote by $L(u)$ the polynomial numerator of the zeta function of F . It follows from the functional equation of the zeta function that

$$(1) \quad L(u) = 1 + a_1u + a_2u^2 + \cdots + a_gu^g + qa_{g-1}u^{g+1} + \cdots + q^{g-1}a_1u^{2g-1} + q^gu^{2g}$$

and $L(u) \in Z[u]$, Z the rational integers. Furthermore the class number $h_F = L(1)$. If E/F is a constant field extension of degree n , then the polynomial numerator $L_n(u)$ of the zeta function for E is given by

$$(2) \quad L_n(u) = 1 + b_1u + \cdots + b_gu^g + q^n b_{g-1}u^{g+1} + \cdots + q^{ng}u^{2g}$$

where the coefficients b_j ($j=1, \dots, g$) are, with appropriate sign, the elementary symmetric functions of the n th powers of the reciprocals of the roots of (1). The genus of E is the same as that of F because F is conservative.

In this paper we give an upper bound for the degree of a constant extension E of F necessary to have a predetermined prime p occur as a

Received by the editors September 10, 1971 and, in revised form, March 12, 1972.
 AMS 1969 subject classifications. Primary 1078; Secondary 1278, 1435.
 Key words and phrases. Zeta function, constant extension, reciprocal polynomials.

divisor of the class number h_E . Precisely, we prove

THEOREM 1. *Let F/K be a function field of genus g and p a rational prime. If $p \nmid h_F$ then $p \mid h_E$ for E a constant extension of F of degree m where*

(a) $m = f(p^{2r(g)} - 1)$ if $p \neq \text{char } K$ and $f = \text{ord } q(p)$.

(b) $m = p^{r(g)} - 1$ if $p = \text{char } K$ and $L(u) \neq 1$ in $Z_p[u]$.

Here $r(g)$ denotes the least common multiple of the integers $1, 2, \dots, g$.

1. We collect here some results from the theory of equations. For K a field, we say $f(x) \in K[x]$ is a *reciprocal polynomial* if and only if $f(x) = x^{\deg f} f(1/x)$ [1, Vol. 1, §32]. Observe that if $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + x^n$ and $f(x)$ is a reciprocal polynomial then $a_{n-i} = a_i$, $i = 1, \dots, [n/2]$, since necessarily $a_0 = +1$.

LEMMA 1. *Let K be a finite field, $f(x) \in K[x]$ a monic reciprocal polynomial of even degree $2m$. Let E be a splitting field for $f(x)$ over K , then $[E:K] \mid 2r(m)$, where $r(m)$ is the least common multiple of the integers $1, 2, \dots, m$.*

PROOF. Suppose

$$f(x) = x^{2m} + a_1x^{2m-1} + \dots + a_mx^m + \dots + a_1x + 1.$$

Dividing by x^m and combining terms we get

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} f(x)/x^m &= (x^m + 1/x^m) + a_1(x^{m-1} + 1/x^{m-1}) \\ &+ \dots + a_{m-1}(x + 1/x) + a_m. \end{aligned}$$

Set $z = x + 1/x$ and for nonnegative integers s , $W_s = x^s + 1/x^s$. It is easy to verify that $W_{s+1} = zW_s - W_{s-1}$. Substituting into (3) we get a polynomial $g(z)$ of degree m . Since $z = x + 1/x$ the roots of $f(x)$ can be obtained from the roots of $g(z)$ by solving quadratic polynomials. Since finite fields have cyclic galois groups we have from elementary field theory that $g(z)$ splits in an extension of degree at most $r(m)$. For a finite field there is a unique quadratic extension, so a splitting field E for $f(x)$ has degree dividing $2r(m)$.

Now let K be arbitrary and $f(x) \in K[x]$ with degree $f = n$. Then if $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ are the roots of $f(x)$ in a splitting field the sums of the k th powers of these roots are elements in K . In fact if we let $S_k = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^k$, then the following relations hold [4, p. 81]:

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} S_k &= S_{k-1}\sigma_1 - S_{k-2}\sigma_2 + \dots + (-1)^{k+1}k\sigma_k \quad \text{for } k \leq n, \\ S_k &= S_{k-1}\sigma_1 - S_{k-2}\sigma_2 + \dots + (-1)^{n+1}S_{k-n}\sigma_n \quad \text{for } k > n \end{aligned}$$

where σ_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) are the elementary symmetric functions of the roots.

LEMMA 2. Let Z denote the rational integers, $f(x) \in Z[x]$ a monic polynomial. Let p be a rational prime and $f^*(x) \in Z_p[x]$ the image of $f(x)$ under the canonical homomorphism of $Z[x] \rightarrow Z_p[x]$. Let S_k (S_k^*) denote the sum of the k th powers of the roots of $f(x)$ ($f^*(x)$). Then for all k we have $S_k \equiv S_k^* (p)$.

PROOF. Let σ_i (σ_i^*), $i=1, \dots, \deg f$, denote the elementary symmetric functions of the roots of $f(x)$ ($f^*(x)$). Since the coefficients of $f(x)$ ($f^*(x)$) are, with appropriate sign, these elementary symmetric functions we have $\sigma_i \equiv \sigma_i^* (p)$ for all i by definition. The conclusion then follows from the relations given in (4).

COROLLARY 2.1. If $f(x) \in Z[x]$ is a monic polynomial of degree $2m$ and p a prime in Z such that $f^*(x) \in Z_p[x]$ is a reciprocal polynomial we have

$$S_{p^{2r(m)}-1} \equiv 2m (p).$$

PROOF. By Lemma 1 if $[E:Z_p]=2r(m)$ then E contains a splitting field for $f^*(x)$. In E , every $\beta \neq 0$ satisfies $\beta^{p^{2r(m)}-1}=1$. Therefore by Lemma 2,

$$S_{p^{2r(m)}-1} \equiv S_{p^{2r(m)}-1}^* \equiv 2m (p).$$

It is clear from (4) that the elementary symmetric functions of the roots of a polynomial can be expressed in terms of the S_k . In fact [1, Vol. 2, p. 39] if $f(x)=x^n+\sum_{r=1}^n a_r x^{n-r}$ then for $r=1, \dots, n$ we have

$$(5) \quad r! a_r = (-1)^r \det A_r$$

where A_r is the $r \times r$ matrix given by

$$(6) \quad A_r = \begin{pmatrix} S_1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ S_2 & S_1 & 2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdot & & & & \\ \cdot & & & & \\ \cdot & & & & \\ S_{r-1} & S_{r-2} & \cdots & r-1 \\ S_r & S_{r-1} & \cdots & S_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In the work that follows we will need to compute the determinant of matrices of the form (6) where the entries S_i have particular values. All of these are of the general type described in the next result.

LEMMA 3. Let x, a, k be nonnegative integers with $k|x$, say $x=ky$. Let A be the $r \times r$ matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} xa & k & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ xa^2 & xa & 2k & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdot & & & & \\ \cdot & & & & \\ \cdot & & & & \\ xa^{r-1} & xa^{r-2} & \cdots & (r-1)k \\ xa^r & xa^{r-1} & \cdots & xa \end{pmatrix};$$

then $\det A = k^r a^r \prod_{j=0}^{r-1} (y-j)$.

PROOF. Simply use elementary column operations and cofactor expansions; i.e., begin by subtracting a times column 2 from column 1 and then expand by cofactors of the resulting column 1.

2. **Proof of Theorem 1(a).** Let p be a prime and F/K a function field of genus g . Since constant extensions are essentially unique, we first make the constant extension of degree $f = \text{ord } q(p)$. Thus without loss of generality we assume that F/K is a function field with $|K| = q \equiv 1(p)$ and $p \neq \text{char } K$. Let $L(u)$ be the polynomial numerator of the zeta function of F . Because of our assumptions on p and q and the form (1) of $L(u)$ we see that $L^*(u) \in Z_p[u]$ is a reciprocal polynomial of degree $2g$. Hence from Corollary 2.1 we have, for S_n denoting the sums of the n th powers of the reciprocals of the roots of $L(u)$,

$$S_{k(p^{2r(g)}-1)} \equiv 2g(p), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Let $m = p^{2r(g)} - 1$. The coefficients of $L_m(u)$ can be computed from (5); namely, $r! b_r = (-1)^r \det A_r^{(m)}$, where

$$(7) \quad A_r^{(m)} = \begin{pmatrix} S_m & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ S_{2m} & S_m & 2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdot & & & & \\ \cdot & & & & \\ \cdot & & & & \\ S_{rm} & S_{(r-1)m} & \cdots & S_m \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using $S_{km} \equiv 2g(p)$ and Lemma 3 with $x=2g$, $a=k=1$, we deduce

$$(8) \quad b_r \equiv (-1)^r \binom{2g}{r} (p).$$

Moreover

$$h_E = L_m(1) = 1 + q^{mg} + \sum_{i=1}^{g-1} (1 + q^{m(g-i)})b_i + b_g.$$

Substituting from (8) we get

$$(9) \quad h_E \equiv 2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{g-1} (-1)^i \binom{2g}{i} + (-1)^g \binom{2g}{g} (p).$$

Observing that $(-1)^i \binom{2g}{i} = (-1)^{2g-i} \binom{2g}{2g-i}$ we conclude

$$(10) \quad h_E \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{2g} (-1)^i \binom{2g}{i} \equiv 0 (p).$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1(b). Suppose now F/K is a function field of genus g , and p a prime with $p = \text{char } K$. Let $L(u)$ as given by (1) denote the polynomial numerator of the zeta function of F . Assume that $L^*(u) \not\equiv 1$ in $Z_p[u]$ and set $t = \max\{j \mid \text{such that } a_j \not\equiv 0 (p)\}$. Clearly $1 \leq t \leq g$. Consequently $L^*(u)$ is a polynomial of degree t and therefore splits in the extension of Z_p of degree $r(t)$. As before denoting by S_n^* the sum of the n th powers of the reciprocals of the roots of $L^*(u)$, we have, as in Corollary 2.1,

$$(11) \quad S_{k(p^{r(t)}-1)} \equiv t (p), \quad k \in Z^+.$$

If E is the constant extension of degree $m = p^{r(t)} - 1$, then to compute h_E we need the coefficients b_i ($i = 1, \dots, g$) of $L_m(u)$ as given by (2).

From Lemma 3 with $x=t$, $a=k=1$ we see

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} b_j &\equiv (-1)^j \binom{t}{j} (p), & j = 1, \dots, t, \\ b_j &\equiv 0 (p), & j = t+1, \dots, g. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$h_E = L_m(1) = 1 + q^{mg} + \sum_{i=1}^{g-1} b_i(1 + q^{m(g-i)}) + b_g$$

gives, after substitution from (12) and $q \equiv 0 (p)$,

$$(13) \quad h_E \equiv 1 + \sum_{i=1}^t (-1)^i \binom{t}{i} (p),$$

i.e., $h_E \equiv \sum_{i=0}^t (-1)^i \binom{t}{i} \equiv 0 (p)$. Since $p^{r(t)} - 1 \mid p^{r(g)} - 1$ we have Theorem 1(b).

Note. If $L(u) \not\equiv 1 (p)$ we can extend the argument to produce a value m' such that the constant extension E/F of degree m' has h_E divisible by

p^s , $s \geq 1$. From Leitzel [3, Theorem 2], we have if $p \nmid h_M$ and T/M is the constant extension of degree p then h_T is divisible by at least p^2 , since the p -rank of h_M is larger than one. Thus h_E is divisible by p^s , $s \geq 1$, if E/F is the constant extension of degree $m' = mp^{s-1}$, where m is the value determined in the above Theorem 1.

I am indebted to the referee for indicating the following more direct proof of this extended result: We have $L(u) = \prod_{i=1}^{2g} (1 - w_i u)$ where the w_i are algebraic integers. Let L'' be the splitting field of $L(u)$ over Q . Let P be a prime of L'' dividing p . Then $P \nmid w_i$ for at least one i (since otherwise $L(u) \equiv 1 \pmod{P}$, and thus also $\pmod{p}$). Let $L' = Q(w_i)$ and P' the prime of L' divisible by P . Then $e'f' \leq 2g$ where e' and f' are ramification index and residue class degree of P' over Q . Also, the order of the multiplicative group of the residue class ring of the integers in L' modulo $P'^{e'(s-1)+1}$ is $m = (p' - 1)p^{e'f'(s-1)}$. Thus $w_i^m \equiv 1 \pmod{P'^{e'(s-1)+1}}$ and so $h_E = L_m(1) \equiv 0 \pmod{P'^{e'(s-1)+1}}$. But then, $h_E \equiv 0 \pmod{p^s}$. Arguments similar to those of Theorem 1 can be applied to show that m can be taken as $f(p^{2r(g)} - 1)p^{2g(s-1)}$ in case (a) (where $p \nmid q$) and $(p^{r(g)} - 1)p^{g(s-1)}$ in case (b) (where $p \mid q$).

4. An additional comment. In §3 we discussed the situation where F/K is a function field of genus g , $p = \text{char } K$, and $L^*(u) \not\equiv 1$ in $Z_p[u]$. In this section we discuss the case $L^*(u) \equiv 1$ in $Z_p[u]$.

Let F/K be a function field of genus g and p a prime. Suppose $L(u)$, the polynomial numerator of the zeta function of F as given by (1), satisfies the condition

$$(14) \quad a_i \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \quad i = 1, \dots, g.$$

Then $L^*(u) = 1 + q^g u^{2g}$ in $Z_p[u]$ if $p \neq \text{char } K$ and $L^*(u) \equiv 1$ in $Z_p[u]$ if $p = \text{char } K$. For a function field satisfying the condition (14) we give an explicit congruence relation for the class number h_E of any constant extension E/F . This is contained in

THEOREM 2. *Let F/K be a function field of genus g and p a prime. Suppose $L^*(u) = 1 + q^g u^{2g}$ in $Z_p[u]$ and E/F is a constant extension of degree m . Then if $d = \gcd(m, 2g)$ we have*

$$(15) \quad h_E \equiv [1 - (-1)^{m/d} q^{gm/d}]^d \pmod{p}.$$

PROOF. Let S_n again denote the sum of the n th powers of the reciprocals of the roots of $L(u)$. From our assumption on $L(u)$ and the relations of (4) we deduce

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} S_n &\equiv 0 \pmod{p} && \text{if } 2g \nmid n, \\ S_n &\equiv (-1)^k 2g q^{kg} \pmod{p} && \text{if } n = 2gk. \end{aligned}$$

To compute h_E for E/F a constant extension of degree m it is necessary to determine the coefficients of $L_m(u)$. These all require the computation of

the determinant of a matrix of the type (7). Because of the relations (16), nonzero entries occur only when $jm \equiv 0 \pmod{2g}$, $j=1, \dots, r$. If $d = \gcd(m, 2g)$ and $m = td$, $2g = kd$, then the values of j which yield nonzero entries are precisely lk for $1 \leq l \leq [d/2]$. Thus using this observation we can express the coefficients as

$$(17) \quad b_{lk} \equiv \frac{(-1)^{2lk-l}}{(lk)!} \frac{(lk-1)!}{k2k \cdots (l-1)k} \times \det \begin{pmatrix} S_{km} & k & \cdots & 0 \\ S_{2km} & S_{km} & 2k & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ S_{(l-1)km} & \cdots & (l-1)k \\ S_{lkm} & \cdots & S_{km} \end{pmatrix} (p).$$

Here we have used (16) and cofactor expansions along rows to get the final form. Now apply Lemma 3 with $x=2g=kd$ and $a=(-q^g)^{m/d}$. We have then

$$(18) \quad b_{lk} \equiv \frac{(-1)^{2lk-l}}{l!k^l} k^l (-q^g)^{ml/d} \prod_{j=0}^{l-1} (d-j)(p) \\ \equiv (-1)^{2lk-l} (-q^g)^{ml/d} \left(\frac{d}{l}\right) (p).$$

Substituting this information in

$$h_E = L_m(1) = 1 + q^{mg} + \sum_{i=1}^{g-1} b_i (1 + q^{m(g-i)}) + b_g$$

we find, for odd d ,

$$h_E \equiv 1 + q^{mg} + \sum_{l=1}^{[d/2]} b_{kl} (1 + q^{m(g-kl)}) (p)$$

or

$$h_E \equiv 1 + q^{mg} + \sum_{l=1}^{[d/2]} (1 + q^{m(g-kl)}) (-1)^{2lk-l} (-q^g)^{ml/d} \left(\frac{d}{l}\right) (p).$$

Since

$$q^{m(g-kl)} (-1)^l (-q^g)^{ml/d} \left(\frac{d}{l}\right) = (-1)^{d-l} \left(\frac{d}{d-l}\right) (-q^g)^{m(d-l)/d}$$

and $m+d \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ this can be rewritten as

$$(19) \quad h_E \equiv \sum_{l=0}^d (-1)^l \left(\frac{d}{l}\right) ((-1)^{m/d} q^{mg/d})^l (p).$$

If d is even a similar argument leads to the same formula. Hence

$$h_E \equiv [1 - (-1)^{m/d} q^{mg/d}]^d (p).$$

COROLLARY 1. If $\gcd(m, 2g)=1$, then $h_E \equiv 1 + q^{mg} (p)$.

PROOF. $(m, 2g)=1$ forces $d=1$ and $m \equiv 1 (2)$.

COROLLARY 2. If $2g|m$, then for $m=2gt$ we have $h_E \equiv [1 - (-1)^t q^{gt}]^{2g} (p)$.

COROLLARY 3. If $p = \text{char } K$ and $L(u) \equiv 1$ in $Z_p[u]$ then $p \nmid h_E$ for any constant extension E/F .

PROOF. Clearly $h_E \equiv 1 (p)$ in this case.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. W. S. Burnside and A. W. Panton, *The theory of equations: With an introduction to the theory of binary algebraic forms*. Vols. 1, 2, Dover, New York, 1960. MR 22 #6784.
2. M. Eichler, *Introduction to the theory of algebraic numbers and functions*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1963; English transl., Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 23, Academic Press, New York, 1966. MR 29 #5821; MR 35 #160.
3. J. R. C. Leitzel, *Galois cohomology and class number in constant extensions of algebraic function fields*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 22 (1969), 206–208. MR 39 #4126.
4. B. L. van der Waerden, *Moderne Algebra*. Vol. 1, 2nd rev. ed., English transl., Ungar, New York, 1953.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, COLUMBUS, OHIO 43210