A THEORY OF GRADE FOR COMMUTATIVE RINGS¹

S. FLOYD BARGER

ABSTRACT. A theory of grade is developed using R-sequences, the Koszul complex and standard homological algebra. Most results of interest are for finitely generated ideals.

The theory of grade as developed in [5] or [7] is restricted to Noetherian rings. In all that follows rings are commutative with identity and modules are unitary; however no chain conditions are assumed. This theory has been applied in [1] to generalize [6].

Three notions of the grade of an ideal appear in the non-Noetherian case. These are denoted c gr, k gr, and r gr as defined later. A slightly stronger version of the following will be established.

THEOREM. If I is a finitely generated ideal and E is an R-module with $IE \neq E$, then $c \operatorname{gr}(I, E) \leq k \operatorname{gr}(I, E) \leq r \operatorname{gr}(I, E)$.

1. **Koszul complex and grade.** Let I be an ideal in R and E an R-module with $IE \neq E$. Let c gr(I, E) be the length of the longest maximal R-sequence on E in I. For $\{x_1, \dots, x_s\}$ a finite subset of I, let $g(x_1, \dots, x_s|E) = s - t$ where t is the largest integer so that the tth homology module of the Koszul complex over E determined by x_1, \dots, x_s is not zero. Let k gr(I, E) be the supremum over all finite subsets $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of the integers $g(x_1, \dots, x_n|E)$. For R Noetherian, c gr(I, E) = k gr(I, E). The common value is the grade of I on E. The first proposition follows from the properties of the Koszul complex [7].

PROPOSITION 1. Let I and J be ideals, E an R-module with $JE \neq E$. Then

- (1) If $I \subseteq J$, k gr $(I, E) \leq k$ gr(J, E).
- (2) If $(x_1, \dots, x_n) = J$ then $k \operatorname{gr}(J, E) \leq n$.
- (3) $\operatorname{cgr}(J, E) \leq \operatorname{kgr}(J, E)$.

An immediate consequence of Proposition 1 is that the length of an R-sequence in an ideal I is bounded by the minimum number of generators

Received by the editors September 23, 1971.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 13C99; Secondary 13A99, 13D99.

Key words and phrases. R-sequences, grade, Koszul complex, faithfully flat modules, coherent rings, Ext.

¹ The author wishes to thank his thesis advisor, Professor John Eagon, for his help in preparing this paper.

[©] American Mathematical Society 1973

of *I*. It is easy to see that for *I* finitely generated $k \operatorname{gr}(I, E) = 0$ is equivalent to the statement: there exists $l_0 \neq 0$, l_0 in *E*, so that $Il_0 = 0$.

For u in I, u not a zero divisor on E, one can easily verify that $c \operatorname{gr}(I, E/uE) \leq c \operatorname{gr}(I, E) - 1$. If the quantities involved are finite, one has equality if and only if u is the first term of a longest maximal R-sequence on E in I. By applying Proposition 2 and Theorem 4 of Chapter 8 of [7] one gets $k \operatorname{gr}(I, E) = k \operatorname{gr}(I, E/uE) + 1$. The behaviors under polynomial extensions are covered in the next two propositions.

PROPOSITION 2. Let S be a faithfully flat extension of R, I an ideal on R, E an R-module with $IE \neq E$, then

- (1) $(I \otimes_R S)(E \otimes_R S) \neq (E \otimes_R S)$, and
- (2) $k \operatorname{gr}(I, E) = k \operatorname{gr}(I \otimes_R S, E \otimes_R S)$.

PROOF. The key observation is that for v_1, \dots, v_n in $I \otimes_R S$, there exist t_1, \dots, t_m in I so that $(v_1, \dots, v_n) \subseteq (t_1 \otimes 1, \dots, t_m \otimes 1)$. The remainder of the proof follows from properties of the Koszul complex and faithfully flat modules [2].

If $\operatorname{cgr}(I, E) = 0$ and $\operatorname{kgr}(I, E) \neq 0$ then for S = R[x] and a_0, \dots, a_n in I so that $g(a_0, \dots, a_n | E) \neq 0$, one has $a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_n x^n$ is not a zero divisor on $E \otimes_R S$. Thus $\operatorname{cgr}(I \otimes_R S, E \otimes_R S) > 0$. As an extension of this one has:

PROPOSITION 3. If $c \operatorname{gr}(I, E) = V < n = k \operatorname{gr}(I, E)$, then for some positive integer t and for $S = R[x_1, \dots, x_t]$ one has

$$\operatorname{cgr}[I \otimes_R S, E \otimes_R S] = \operatorname{kgr}[I \otimes_R S, E \otimes_R S] = n.$$

For $I \subseteq J \subseteq \text{Rad } I$, it is easy to see that $k \operatorname{gr}(I, E) = k \operatorname{gr}(J, E)$.

Let k be a field, let u_f be an indeterminate for $f\neq 0$, f in the ideal generated by x_0, \dots, x_n of $k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$. Let $T=k[x_0, \dots, x_n][\{u_f\}]$. Let I_1 and I_2 be ideals generated by objects of the form fu_f and $u_f f_g$ respectively. Let $R=T/(I_1+I_2)$ and $I=(x_0,x_1)$, where x_0 represents $x_0+(I_1+I_2)$. c gr(I,R)=0 and k gr $(I,R)\neq 0$. Let $K(a_1,\dots,a_n)$ denote the Koszul complex determined by a_1,\dots,a_n , and let $H_i(K(a_1,\dots,a_n))$ denote the ith homology module of $K(a_1,\dots,a_n)$. One has

$$H_0(K(a_1, \cdots, a_n)) = R/(a_1, \cdots, a_n),$$

and the exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow H_1(K(x_0, x_1)) \longrightarrow R/(x_0) \xrightarrow{x_1} R/(x_0) \longrightarrow R/(x_0, x_1) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since x_1 is a zero divisor on $R/(x_0)$, $H_1K(x_0, x_1) \neq 0$. So k gr(I, R) = 1. In like manner one can show k gr $((x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n), R) = 1$.

For another example, let k be a field and $T=k[y, x_1, \cdots, x_n]$. For $f\neq 0$, f a polynomial in x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n without constant term, adjoin an indeterminate u_f to T forming $T_1=T[\{u_f\}]$. Let I_1 and I_2 be ideals generated by elements of the forms u_fu_g and $u_f(y-f)$ respectively. Let $R=T_1/(I_1+I_2)$ and let $I=(y, x_1, \cdots, x_n)$. $n\leq c$ gr $(I, R)\leq k$ gr $(I, R)\leq n+1$ so $n-1\leq k$ gr $(I, R/(y))\leq n$ but c gr(I, R/(y))=0.

2. Homological representation. Rees [8] and [9] proved that in a Noetherian ring R, $c \operatorname{gr}(I, E) = n$ provided n is the least integer so that $\operatorname{Ext}^n(R/I, E) \neq 0$. Denote by $\operatorname{r} \operatorname{gr}(I, E)$ the least integer n such that $\operatorname{Fxt}^n_R(R/I, E) \neq 0$. Rees [9] proves $\operatorname{c} \operatorname{gr}(I, E) \leq \operatorname{r} \operatorname{gr}(I, E)$ and for u in I, u not a zero divisor on E, $\operatorname{r} \operatorname{gr}(I, E) = 1 + \operatorname{r} \operatorname{gr}(I, E/uE)$.

It is easy to see that $r \operatorname{gr}(I, E) = 0$ is equivalent to the statement: There exist $l_0 \neq 0$, l_0 in E, so that $Il_0 = 0$. For I finitely generated both are equivalent to $k \operatorname{gr}(I, E) = 0$. For I finitely generated and $c \operatorname{gr}(I, E) = k \operatorname{gr}(I, E)$ one can easily see that $r \operatorname{gr}(I, E) = k \operatorname{gr}(I, E)$. This is not true for infinitely generated ideals. For k a field and

$$R = k[x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n, \cdots]/(x_1^1, x_2^2, x_3^3, \cdots, x_n^n, \cdots)$$
 and $I=(x_2, x_3, \cdots, x_n, \cdots)$, c gr $(I, R)=k$ gr $(I, R)=0$ but r gr $(I, R)>0$.

PROPOSITION 4. For I finitely generated, one has $k \operatorname{gr}(I, E) = n$ where n is the least integer such that $\operatorname{Ext}_R^n(R|I, E\infty) \neq 0$. Here $E\infty$ is the direct sum of countably many copies of E. Further $k \operatorname{gr}(I, E) \leq r \operatorname{gr}(I, E)$.

PROOF. The last remark follows from the first and $E \infty = E \oplus E \infty$. By Proposition 3, there is an integer s so that for $S = R[x_1, \dots, x_s]$ one has

$$k \operatorname{gr}(I, E) = k \operatorname{gr}[(I \otimes_R S), (E \otimes_R S)]$$

= c \text{gr}(I \otimes_R S, E \otimes_R S) = r \text{gr}(I \otimes_R S, E \otimes_R S).

By the homological identity

$$\operatorname{Ext}_R^n(R/I, E \otimes_R S) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_S^n[S/I \otimes_R S, E \otimes_R S],$$

the result follows. For a proof of the identity see Theorem 3.1 of [3].

A ring R is called *coherent* if every finitely generated ideal is finitely presented. Properties of coherent rings are discussed in [4]. The next proposition was suggested to me by M. Hockster who gave a proof of the first part. As a preliminary, note that for R a ring and k an integer $0 \rightarrow K_1 \rightarrow R^k \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$ exact, the map $\text{Hom}(R^k, E\infty) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(K, E\infty)$ assigns to α in $\text{Hom}(R^k, E\infty)$ a certain matrix map in $\text{Hom}(K_1, E)$.

PROPOSITION 5. For I finitely generated:

- (1) If k gr(I, E) = 1, then r gr(I, E) = 1.
- (2) If R is coherent and k gr(I, E) = n then r gr(I, E) = n.

PROOF. For I finitely generated and R coherent one has a long exact sequence

$$0 \to K \to F_{n-1} \to F_{n-2} \to \cdots \to F_1 \to I \to 0$$

with K finitely generated and F_i free and finitely generated. This gives

$$0 \to K \to F_{n-1} \to F_{n-2} \to \cdots \to F_1 \to R \to R/I \to 0.$$

One has $\operatorname{Ext}^n(R/I, E\infty) \neq 0$ where $n = \operatorname{kgr}(I, E)$. If $\operatorname{rgr}(I, E) \neq n$ then one has $\operatorname{Ext}^n(R/I, E) = 0$. Thus $\operatorname{Ext}^1(K, E) = 0$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^1(K, E\infty) \neq 0$. For $F_{n-1} = R^k$, and $0 \rightarrow K' \rightarrow F^k \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$ one gets, for K' finitely generated,

$$\operatorname{Hom}(R^k, E) \xrightarrow{t} \operatorname{Hom}(K', E) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}(K, E) = 0.$$

Thus t is onto. Now, this says every homomorphism $K' \to E$ is given by the map $(v_1, \dots, v_k) \to \sum v_i l_i$ for (l_1, \dots, l_k) is a fixed element of E^k . Likewise

$$\operatorname{Hom}(F^k, E\infty) \xrightarrow{\gamma} \operatorname{Hom}(k', E\infty) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}(K, E\infty) \longrightarrow 0$$

so γ is not onto, thus there is a homomorphism $g: K' \to E \infty$ which is not a matrix map. Since K' is finitely generated, Im $g \subseteq E^m$ some m, so g is determined by its projections g_j , $g_j: K' \to E$ by $g_j = g \circ \pi_j$, $\pi_j: E \infty \to E$ (jth projection) $j = 1, \dots, m$. Thus g_j is determined by $(l_1^{(j)}, l_2^{(j)}, \dots, l_k^{(j)})$. Thus g is given by a matrix. It is noted that for part (1) one does not need that R is coherent.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. S. F. Barger, Generic perfection and the theory of grade, Doctoral Thesis, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minn.
- 2. N. Bourbaki, Éléments de mathématique. Fasc. XXVII. Algèbre commutative, Actualitiés Sci. Indust., no. 1290, Hermann, Paris, 1961. MR 36 #146.
- 3. H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological algebra*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1956. MR 17, 1040.
- 4. S. U. Chase, Direct products of modules, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 97 (1960), 457-473. MR 22 #11017.
- 5. I. Kaplansky, Commutative rings, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, Mass., 1970. MR 40 #7234.
- 6. D. G. Northcott, Grade sensitivity and generic perfection, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 20 (1970), 597-618. MR 42 #7652.
- 7. ——, Lessons on rings, modules and multiplicities, Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1968. MR 38 #144.
- 8. D. Rees, The grade of an ideal or module, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 53 (1957), 28-42. MR 18, 637.
- 9. ——, A theorem of homological algebra, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 52 (1956), 605-610. MR 18, 277.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YOUNGSTOWN STATE UNIVERSITY, YOUNGSTOWN, OHIO 44503