

THE p -CLASSES OF A HILBERT MODULE

JAMES F. SMITH

ABSTRACT. Let H be a right Hilbert module over a proper H^* -algebra A . For $0 < p \leq \infty$, an extended-real value $\|f\|_p$ is associated with each $f \in H$, and the p -class H_p is defined to be $\{f \in H : \|f\|_p < \infty\}$. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $(H_p, \|\cdot\|_p)$ is a right normed A -module. If $1 \leq p \leq 2$, there is a conjugate-linear isometry of $(H_p, \|\cdot\|_p)$ onto the dual of $(H_q, \|\cdot\|_q)$, where $(1/p) + (1/q) = 1$; hence H_p is complete in its norm.

1. Introduction. Let A be a proper H^* -algebra with inner product and norm denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and $|\cdot|$, respectively. By a projection in A we mean a nonzero selfadjoint idempotent, and by a projection base for A we mean a maximal family of mutually orthogonal projections. The trace class of A , denoted by τA , is the set $\{xy : x, y \in A\}$. It is shown in [6] that a trace functional tr is unambiguously defined on τA by letting $\text{tr } xy = \langle x, y^* \rangle = \sum \langle xyp_\omega, p_\omega \rangle$, where $\{p_\omega : \omega \in \Omega\}$ is any projection base for A . It is further shown that for each nonzero $a \in A$ there exists a unique positive element $[a] \in A$ (that is, one possessing the property $\langle [a]x, x \rangle \geq 0$ for every $x \in A$) such that $[a]^2 = a^*a$; moreover, $a \in \tau A$ if and only if $[a] \in \tau A$. A norm τ is defined on τA by letting $\tau(a) = \text{tr}[a]$; then $(\tau A, \tau)$ is a Banach $*$ -algebra ([6], [5]). In [7] the present author has shown that each nonzero positive element b of A has a unique spectral representation $b = \sum \lambda_n e_n$, where the λ_i are positive numbers with $\lambda_i < \lambda_j$ if $i > j$, and the e_i are mutually orthogonal projections. In particular, for any nonzero $a \in A$, if $\sum \lambda_n e_n$ is the spectral representation of $[a]$, we define $|a|_p$, for $0 < p < \infty$, by $|a|_p^p = \sum \lambda_n^p |e_n|^2$. We also define $|a|_\infty$ to be λ_1 , and $|0|_p = 0$ for $0 < p \leq \infty$. The p -class A_p , $0 < p \leq \infty$, is then defined as $\{a \in A : |a|_p < \infty\}$. Among the results of [7] are the following: (1) $|a|_\infty = \|L_a\|$, where L_a denotes, as usual, the left multiplication operator; (2) $A_p \subset A_{p'}$, if $0 < p < p' \leq 2$, the inclusion being proper if A is infinite-dimensional; and $A_p = A$ if $p \geq 2$; (3) $(A_2, |\cdot|_2) = (A, |\cdot|)$ and $(A_1, |\cdot|_1) = (\tau A, \tau)$; (4) $(A_p, |\cdot|_p)$ is a normed $*$ -algebra for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and is complete for $1 \leq p \leq 2$.

A (right) Hilbert A -module H , introduced by Saworotnow in [4], is a complex linear space which is a right module over the proper H^* -algebra

Presented to the Society, March 27, 1972; received by the editors February 3, 1972.
AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 46H25; Secondary 46K15, 46C10.

Key words and phrases. Hilbert module, H^* -algebra, trace class, p -classes, normed module.

© American Mathematical Society 1973

A , and on which there is defined a vector inner product (\cdot, \cdot) mapping $H \times H$ into τA , such that for elements of H : (1) $(f+g, h) = (f, h) + (g, h)$; (2) $(f, g) = (g, f)^*$; (3) $(f, ga) = (f, g)a$, where $a \in A$; (4) $(f, \alpha g) = \alpha(f, g)$ for any complex number α ; (5) if $f \neq 0$ then $(f, f) = a^2$ for some (unique) positive element $a \neq 0$ in A ; we denote this a by $[f]$; (6) H is complete in the norm $\|\cdot\|$ derived from the inner product $[\cdot, \cdot]$ defined by $[f, g] = \text{tr}(g, f)$. Basic properties of Hilbert A -modules are obtained in [4], among them the fact that $\|fa\| \leq \|f\| \|a\|$ for $f \in H, a \in A$; hence a Hilbert A -module is evidently a particular instance of a Banach module (see [2, p. 263]). (We shall assume without loss of generality that H is a faithful module, since its right annihilator R is a closed two-sided ideal of A ; hence H is always a faithful Hilbert R^\perp -module.) Giellis [1] has defined the trace class of H to be $\tau H = \{fa : f \in H, a \in A\}$ and has defined a norm π on τH by $\pi(f) = \tau([f])$. He has shown that $(\tau H, \pi)$ is a Banach module and has presented results on duality relationships.

Our present aim is to generalize the results of [1] for Hilbert modules, much as those of [5] and [6] were generalized for H^* -algebras in [7]. For $f \in H$, and for $0 < p \leq \infty$, we define $\|f\|_p = [f]_p$, and we let $H_p = \{f \in H : \|f\|_p < \infty\}$ (that is, $f \in H_p$ if and only if $[f] \in A_p$). Our results are the following.

THEOREM 1. For $0 < p < p' \leq \infty$ and any $f \in H$, $\|f\|_{p'} \leq \|f\|_p$; hence $H_p \subset H_{p'}$, and $H_p = H$ if $p \geq 2$. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $(H_p, \|\cdot\|_p)$ is a right normed A -module. $(H_2, \|\cdot\|_2) = (H, \|\cdot\|)$, and $(H_1, \|\cdot\|_1) = (\tau H, \pi)$.

THEOREM 2. For $1 \leq p \leq 2$, let q be such that $(1/p) + (1/q) = 1$. Then there exists a conjugate-linear isometry of $(H_p, \|\cdot\|_p)$ onto the dual of $(H_q, \|\cdot\|_q)$; hence H_p is complete in its norm.

We conclude with a necessary and sufficient condition for the inclusion $H_p \subset H_{p'}$ to be proper ($1 \leq p < p' \leq 2$).

2. Preliminary results. We recall first of all from [4, Lemma 1] that $(fa, g) = a^*(f, g)$ for any $f, g \in H$ and $a \in A$. From the fact that $\tau(f, g) \leq \|f\| \|g\|$ [4, Theorem 2], it is easily established that (\cdot, \cdot) is τ -continuous on $H \times H$ and therefore $|\cdot|$ -continuous as well, since τ dominates $|\cdot|$ [6, Corollary 3]. We observe that $[f, ga] = \text{tr}(ga, f) = \text{tr } a^*(g, f) = \langle (g, f), a \rangle$; similarly, $[fa, g] = \langle a, (f, g) \rangle$. Also, $[f, ga] = \text{tr}(g, f)a^* = \text{tr}(g, fa^*) = [fa^*, g]$.

As in [1], we define the following sets of bounded linear transformations:

$$\begin{aligned} R(A) &= \{T: A \rightarrow A \mid T(ab) = (Ta)b \text{ for all } a, b \in A\}, \\ R(AH) &= \{T: A \rightarrow H \mid T(ab) = (Ta)b \text{ for all } a, b \in A\}, \\ R(HA) &= \{T: H \rightarrow A \mid T(fa) = (Tf)a \text{ for all } f \in H, a \in A\}. \end{aligned}$$

Still following [1], we define $L_f \in R(HA)$ for each $f \in H$ by $L_f g = (f, g)$. (Note that, by standard notation, $L_{[f]}$ is the operator effecting left multiplication by $[f]$ in A ; clearly, $L_{[f]} \in R(A)$.) For $f \in H$, we shall define $T_f \in R(AH)$ by $T_f a = fa$. By a remark of Giellis [1, p. 65], we have $T_f^* T_f = L_{[f]}^2 = L_{[f]}^2$. The relationship $T_f = L_f^*$ also holds, since for any $f, g \in H$ and $a \in A$, $[g, T_f a] = [g, fa] = \langle (f, g), a \rangle = \langle L_f g, a \rangle = [g, L_f^* a]$.

LEMMA 1. For any $f \in H$ and $a \in A$, $\|fa\| = |[f]a|$.

PROOF. $\|fa\|^2 = [T_f a, T_f a] = \langle a, T_f^* T_f a \rangle = \langle a, [f]^2 a \rangle = \langle [f]a, [f]a \rangle = |[f]a|^2$.

COROLLARY 1. $\|f\|_\infty = |[f]|_\infty = \|L_{[f]}\| = \|T_f\| = \|L_f\|$.

For any $f \neq 0$ in H , let $\sum \lambda_n e_n$ be the spectral representation of $[f]$ [7, Theorem 2.5]. We shall denote the countable (possibly finite) set $\{e_n\}$ by $E_{[f]}$ and refer to it as the spectral family of $[f]$. Any projection base $\{e_\omega : \omega \in \Omega\}$ containing every $e_n \in E_{[f]}$ will be called a projection base associated with $[f]$. In [1, Lemma 1] it is shown that if $\sum \lambda_n e_n$ is the spectral representation of $[f]$, then the operator $W_f \in R(AH)$ defined for any $x \in A$ by $W_f x = \sum \lambda_n^{-1} f e_n x$ is a partial isometry with $f = W_f [f]$ and $[f] = W_f^* f$. We shall refer to W_f as the partial isometry associated with f .

The proofs of our next two lemmas make use of the fact that for any $S \in R(A)$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ we have $\|Sa\|_p \leq \|S\| \|a\|_p$, where $\|S\|$ denotes the norm of S as an operator on $(A, |\cdot|)$ [7, Proposition 3.19]. Lemma 2 gives a similar result for $T \in R(HA)$.

LEMMA 2. If $T \in R(HA)$, then $\|Tf\|_p \leq \|T\| \|f\|_p$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$).

PROOF. $\|Tf\|_p = \|TW_f [f]\|_p \leq \|TW_f\| \|[f]\|_p \leq \|T\| \|W_f\| \|f\|_p \leq \|T\| \|f\|_p$.

LEMMA 3. If $a \in A$ and $T \in R(HA)$, then there exists $g \in H$ such that $L_a T = L_g$; moreover, $\|g\|_p \leq \|T\| \|a\|_p$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$), where $\|T\|$ is the norm of T as a transformation from $(H, \|\cdot\|)$ to $(A, |\cdot|)$.

PROOF. The first part of the lemma is Lemma 7 of [1]. We shall show that $\|g\|_p \leq \|T\| \|a\|_p$, noting that this result is obvious for $p = \infty$, in view of Corollary 1. Assume now that $1 \leq p < \infty$ and that $\sum \lambda_n e_n$ is the spectral representation of $[g] \neq 0$. As shown above, we have $T_g = L_g^* = T^* L_a^* = T^* L_{a^*}$, so that $T_g [g] = g [g] = T^* a^* [g]$, and therefore

$$W_g^* T^* a^* [g] = W_g^* g [g] = [g]^2 = \sum \lambda_n^2 e_n.$$

It follows that $W_g^* T^* a^* [g] e_n = \lambda_n^2 e_n = \lambda_n W_g^* T^* a^* e_n$, and we conclude that $W_g^* T^* a^* e_n = \lambda_n e_n = e_n (W_g^* T^* a^*)^*$. Now for any $b \in A$, let $P_k b = \sum_{n=1}^k e_n b$.

P_k is the orthogonal projection onto the right ideal $\sum_{n=1}^k e_n A$ in A , and $P_k \in R(A)$. We have

$$P_k(W_g^* T^* a^*)^* = \sum_{n=1}^k e_n (W_g^* T^* a^*)^* = \sum_{n=1}^k \lambda_n e_n.$$

Thus, for each k ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^k \lambda_n^p |e_n|^2 &= |P_k(W_g^* T^* a^*)^*|_p^p \leq \|P_k\|^p |W_g^* T^* a^*|_p^p \\ &\leq \|W_g^* T^*\|^p |a^*|_p^p \leq \|W_g^*\|^p \|T^*\|^p |a|_p^p \leq \|T\|^p |a|_p^p. \end{aligned}$$

(We have used the fact that $|a|_p = |a^*|_p$ [7, Corollary 3.16].) Therefore, $|[g]|_p^p \leq \|T\|^p |a|_p^p$, or $\|g\|_p \leq \|T\| |a|_p$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1. The first statement of the theorem is evident from the corresponding statements about A_p [7, Corollary 3.12]. To show that H_p ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) is a linear space we verify that $\|\cdot\|_p$ is a linear space norm, a fact which is obvious for $p = \infty$, by Corollary 1. To establish subadditivity for $1 \leq p < \infty$, let f and g be any elements of H_p , and let W be the partial isometry associated with $f+g$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f + g\|_p &= |W^*(f + g)|_p = |W^*f + W^*g|_p \\ &= |W^*W_f[f] + W^*W_g[g]|_p \leq |W^*W_f[f]|_p + |W^*W_g[g]|_p \\ &\leq \|W^*W_f\| |f|_p + \|W^*W_g\| |g|_p \leq \|f\|_p + \|g\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

We have again used Proposition 3.19 of [7], as well as the triangle inequality for $|\cdot|_p$ [7, Proposition 3.23]. The remaining properties of a linear space norm are readily verified. Now for any $f \in H$, $a \in A$, let W be the partial isometry associated with fa . We have $\|fa\|_p = |[fa]|_p = |W^*fa|_p \leq |W^*f|_p |a|_\infty$ by [7, Corollary 3.20]. Since $|a|_\infty \leq |a|$ [7, Lemma 3.9],

$$|W^*f|_p |a|_\infty \leq \|W^*\| \|f\|_p |a| \leq \|f\|_p |a|.$$

Thus H_p is a right normed A -module. The final statement of the theorem follows from corresponding results in A [7, Remark 3.5], along with [1, Lemma 2]: for any $f \in H$,

$$\|f\|_2^2 = |[f]|_2^2 = |[f]|^2 = \text{tr}[f]^2 = \text{tr}(f, f) = [f, f] = \|f\|^2,$$

and

$$\|f\|_1 = |[f]|_1 = \tau([f]) = \pi(f).$$

We remark that the completeness of $(H_p, \|\cdot\|_p)$ for $1 \leq p < 2$ can be established by the method of [3, p. 265] as adapted in [1]; however we omit this proof since it is rendered unnecessary by Theorem 2.

4. Proof of Theorem 2. The case $p=1$ is Theorem 2 of [1]. For $1 < p \leq 2$ we observe that $2 \leq q < \infty$. For any $g \in H_q (=H)$ and any $f \in H_p$, let $\phi_f(g) = [g, f] = \text{tr}(f, g)$. Clearly, ϕ_f is a linear functional on H_q and the mapping $f \rightarrow \phi_f$ is conjugate-linear. We shall show first that ϕ_f is bounded and that $\|\phi_f\| \leq \|f\|_p$. If $E = \{e_\omega : \omega \in \Omega\}$ is any projection base for A , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_f(g)| &= |\text{tr}(f, g)| = |\text{tr}(g, f)| = \left| \sum \langle (g, f)e_\omega, e_\omega \rangle \right| \leq \sum |\langle (g, fe_\omega), e_\omega \rangle| \\ &= \sum |[fe_\omega, ge_\omega]| \leq \sum \|fe_\omega\| \|ge_\omega\| = \sum |[f]e_\omega| |[g]e_\omega|, \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 1. If E is now taken to be a projection base associated with $[f]$, we conclude as in the proof of [7, Lemma 3.25] that this last sum does not exceed $[f]_p [g]_q = \|f\|_p \|g\|_q$.

To show that $\|f\|_p \leq \|\phi_f\|$, we consider the linear functional $\theta_{[f]}$ defined on A_q by $\theta_{[f]}(a) = \text{tr } a[f]$. From [7, Proposition 3.26], we have $\|\theta_{[f]}\| = [f]_p = \|f\|_p$; hence it suffices to show that $\|\theta_{[f]}\| \leq \|\phi_f\|$. Let a be any element of A_q . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\theta_{[f]}(a)| &= |\text{tr } a[f]| = |\text{tr } aW_f^*| = |\text{tr } L_g f| \\ &= |\text{tr}(g, f)| = |\text{tr}(f, g)| = |\phi_f(g)|, \end{aligned}$$

where, by Lemma 3, $g \in H$ is such that $L_a W_f^* = L_g$, with $\|g\|_q \leq \|a\|_q$. Using this last inequality we conclude that $\|\theta_{[f]}\| \leq \|\phi_f\|$.

We must show, finally, that the mapping $f \rightarrow \phi_f$ is onto the dual of H_q . Let ϕ be any bounded linear functional on H_q . For each $g \in H_q (=H)$, $|\phi(g)| \leq \|\phi\| \|g\|_q \leq \|\phi\| \|g\|$, since $q \geq 2$. Thus ϕ is bounded on $(H, \|\cdot\|)$ and there exists $f \in H$ such that $\phi(g) = [g, f]$. We need only show that $f \in H_p$. Let $\sum \lambda_n e_n$ be the spectral representation of $[f]$, and let

$$v_k = \sum_{n=1}^k \lambda_n^{p-1} e_n \in A_q;$$

then

$$|v_k|_q = \left(\sum_{n=1}^k \lambda_n^{pq-q} |e_n|^2 \right)^{1/q} = \left(\sum_{n=1}^k \lambda_n^p |e_n|^2 \right)^{1/q}.$$

Using Lemma 3, we take $g_k \in H$ such that $L_{g_k} = L_{v_k} W_f^*$, where $\|g_k\|_q \leq \|v_k\|_q$. Then for each k ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^k \lambda_n^p |e_n|^2 &= \left| \text{tr} \sum_{n=1}^k \lambda_n^p e_n \right| = \left| \text{tr} \left(\sum_{n=1}^k \lambda_n^{p-1} e_n \right) [f] \right| = |\text{tr } v_k [f]| \\ &= |\text{tr } v_k W_f^*| = |\text{tr}(g_k, f)| = |[g_k, f]| = |\phi(g_k)| \\ &\leq \|\phi\| \|g_k\|_q \leq \|\phi\| \|v_k\|_q = \|\phi\| \left(\sum_{n=1}^k \lambda_n^p |e_n|^2 \right)^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $(\sum_{n=1}^k \lambda_n^p |e_n|^2)^{1/p} \leq \|\phi\|$ for each k , and consequently $\|f\|_p \leq \|\phi\| < \infty$.

5. Conditions for distinctness of the p -classes. Suppose $1 \leq p < p' \leq 2$. In the H^* -algebra A , for A_p to be a proper subset of $A_{p'}$, it is necessary and sufficient that A be infinite-dimensional [7, Proposition 3.14]. We shall give a condition for the corresponding relationship to hold in the case of H_p and $H_{p'}$.

An element of H will be called primitive if it is of the form $fe \neq 0$, where e is a primitive projection in A ; if $\|fe\| = |e|$, fe will be called a normal primitive element. (Note that the primitive projection e is uniquely determined for fe , since if $fe = gp$, where p is a primitive projection in A , then $e(f, f)e = p(g, g)p = \alpha e = \beta p \neq 0$; hence $e = p$.) A pair of primitive elements $f_1 e_1$ and $f_2 e_2$ will be called doubly orthogonal if $(f_1 e_1, f_2 e_2) = 0$ and $\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle = 0$.

PROPOSITION 1. *For $1 \leq p < p' \leq 2$, H_p is a proper subset of $H_{p'}$ if and only if H contains an infinite set of pairwise doubly orthogonal primitive elements.*

PROOF. We note first that there exist nonempty sets of pairwise doubly orthogonal primitive elements in H , since for any $f \in H$ there is a primitive projection e such that $fe \neq 0$ (Lemma 1). Now suppose that every maximal set of such elements is finite, and let $\{f_1 e_1, \dots, f_k e_k\}$ be a maximal set. We may assume that the $f_n e_n$ are normal. We have $[f_n e_n]^2 = (f_n e_n, f_n e_n) = e_n [f_n e_n]^2 e_n = \alpha_n^2 e_n$ for positive α_n , since $[f_n e_n]^2$ is a positive element of A . Hence $[f_n e_n] = \alpha_n e_n$, and from $\alpha_n |e_n| = |[f_n e_n]| = \|f_n e_n\| = |e_n|$ we conclude that $\alpha_n = 1$. Thus for any $a \in H$, $\|f_n e_n a\| = |[f_n e_n] e_n a| = |e_n a|$, and hence $f_n e_n A$ is a closed submodule of A isomorphic to the closed right ideal $e_n A$. Let $M = \sum_{n=1}^k f_n e_n A$. Then $H = M \oplus M^\perp$, where $M^\perp = \{f \in H: (f, g) = 0 \text{ for all } g \in M\}$ [4, corollary to Lemma 3]. Clearly, every element of M belongs to the trace class τH . We shall show that the same is true for elements of M^\perp . Let $\{e_\omega: \omega \in \Omega\}$ be a projection base for A containing $\{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$. For any $f \in M^\perp$ and any $e_\alpha \neq e_n$ ($n = 1, \dots, k$), $f e_\alpha = 0$ or else $f e_\alpha$ would be a primitive element doubly orthogonal to each $f_n e_n$ ($n = 1, \dots, k$), contradicting maximality. Thus $[f] e_\alpha = 0$, by Lemma 1, and we have $[f] = \sum [f] e_\omega = \sum_{n=1}^k [f] e_n$; hence $[f] \in \tau A$ and $f \in \tau H$. Since H is identical with its trace class, $H_p = H$ for $1 \leq p \leq 2$.

Suppose, to the contrary, that H contains an infinite set $\{f_n e_n: n \in N\}$ of pairwise doubly orthogonal (normal) primitive elements. For $1 \leq p < p' \leq 2$, choose r with $p < r < p'$, and consider the series $\sum n^{-1/r} |e_n|^{-2/p'} f_n e_n$. The terms of this series are mutually orthogonal in $(H, \|\cdot\|)$; and, recalling from above that $[f_n e_n] = e_n$, we easily show that the squares of their norms have a finite sum. Thus there exists $f \in H$ such that $f = \sum n^{-1/r} |e_n|^{-2/p'} f_n e_n$.

Now by the continuity of (\cdot, \cdot) on $H \times H$, we have $[f]^2 = (f, f) = \sum n^{-2/r} |e_n|^{-4/p'} (f_n e_n, f_n e_n) = \sum n^{-2/r} |e_n|^{-4/p'} e_n$; and therefore $[f] = \sum n^{-1/r} |e_n|^{-2/p'} e_n$. It is now a simple matter to show, just as in [7, Proposition 3.14], that $f \in H_{p'}$, but $f \notin H_p$.

We close by remarking that for the condition of Proposition 1 to hold, A must necessarily be infinite-dimensional, as is evident. This is not sufficient, however, as is shown by the Hilbert A -module eA , where e is a primitive projection and A is topologically simple (the latter condition assuring that the module is faithful). However, by means of Theorem 6 of [4], along with the accompanying examples, it is readily possible to provide instances of Hilbert A -modules possessing the property of Proposition 1.

REFERENCES

1. G. R. Giellis, *Trace-class for a Hilbert module*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **29** (1971), 63–68. MR **43** #2523.
2. E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract harmonic analysis*. Vol. II: *Structure and analysis for compact groups analysis on locally compact Abelian groups*, Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften, Band 152, Springer-Verlag, New York and Berlin, 1970. MR **41** #7378.
3. C. A. McCarthy, c_p , Israel J. Math. **5** (1967), 249–271. MR **37** #735.
4. P. P. Saworotnow, *A generalized Hilbert space*, Duke Math. J. **35** (1968), 191–197. MR **37** #3333.
5. ———, *Trace-class and centralizers of an H^* -algebra*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **26** (1970), 101–104. MR **42** #2305.
6. P. P. Saworotnow and J. C. Friedell, *Trace-class for an arbitrary H^* -algebra*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **26** (1970), 95–100. MR **42** #2304.
7. J. F. Smith, *The p -classes of an H^* -algebra*, Pacific J. Math. (to appear).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LE MOYNE COLLEGE, SYRACUSE, NEW YORK 13214