

## COMPACT INDEPENDENT SETS AND HAAR MEASURE

COLIN C. GRAHAM<sup>1</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** This is proved: Let  $H$  be a closed nondiscrete subgroup of an LCA group  $G$ ,  $x \in G$ , and  $E \subseteq G$  a  $\sigma$ -compact independent subset of  $G$ ; then  $H \cap (x + G_p E)$  has zero  $H$ -Haar measure. This generalizes a result in Rudin, *Fourier analysis on groups*; the proof here is quite different from that given by Rudin.

**THEOREM 1.** Suppose that  $H$  is a nondiscrete LCA group which is a subgroup of a group  $G$ ,  $x \in G$  and that  $E \subseteq G$  is an independent set. If

(i)  $G$  is a LCA group such that  $H$  with its topology is a closed subgroup in  $G$ , and

(ii)  $E$  is  $\sigma$ -compact;  
then

$$H \cap (x + G_p E) = H \cap \left( x + \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} n(E \cup -E) \right)$$

has zero Haar measure in  $H$ .

Theorem 1 generalizes the case  $H=G$ ,  $x=0$ ,  $E$  compact, given [1, 5.3.6] by Rudin.

The hypotheses (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1 may be modified in several ways; here is one of them.

**THEOREM 2.** Suppose that  $H$  is a nondiscrete LCA group, which is a subgroup of a group  $G$ ,  $x \in G$ , and that  $E \subseteq G$  is an independent set. If

(iii)  $H$  is  $\sigma$ -compact and  $H \cap (x + n(E \cup -E))$  is a Borel subset of  $H$  for each  $n=1, 2, \dots$ ,  
then  $H \cap (x + G_p E)$  has zero Haar measure in  $H$ .

*Comments.* Theorem 1 becomes false (in general) if (a) "closed" is omitted from (i) or if (b) " $\sigma$ -compact" is omitted from (ii). Theorem 2 becomes false (in general) if (c) " $\sigma$ -compact" is omitted from (iii), or if (d) "Borel" is omitted from (iii).

In cases (a)–(c) we consider  $H_a = R_a \times R$ , and  $E_1 \subseteq R$  a compact perfect independent set. Then the natural embedding of  $H_a$  in  $R \times R$  and  $E = E_1 \times \{0\}$  yield (a):  $H = H_a$ ;  $G = R \times R$ ;  $E$  has infinite Haar measure in  $H$ .

---

Received by the editors October 2, 1969 and, in revised form, July 21, 1971.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 22B05, 43A05, 28A70.

Key words and phrases. Haar measure, subgroups, independent sets.

<sup>1</sup> This research was partially supported by NSF contract GP-19526.

For (b) and (c) we choose  $H=H_n=G$ , and  $E=E_1 \times \{0\}$ . (This example was suggested to us by the referee.) For case (d), let  $E \subseteq R$  be a maximal independent set. It is easy to see that  $n(E \cup -E)$  will not be measurable (much less Borel) for some  $n$ , and  $R = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (1/n)G_p E$ .

This paper is divided: In §1 notation is given; in §2 some easy basic lemmas are proved; in §3, Theorem 1 is proved; the proof of Theorem 2 follows from Lemma 2, using the arguments of §3, and the observation that (iii) is exactly what is needed to obtain Lemma 2.

The author is indebted to the referee for pointing out an error in the formulation of the result and for suggesting a number of improvements in the exposition.

**1. Notation and definitions.** A subset  $E$  of a group  $G$  is *independent* if whenever  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in E$  are distinct,  $n_1, \dots, n_m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $\sum n_j x_j = 0$  then  $n_1 x_1 = \dots = n_m x_m = 0$ .  $G_p E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} n(Q)$ , where  $Q = E \cup -E$ ,  $1(Q) = Q$  and  $n(Q) = (Q) + (n-1)(Q)$ ,  $n=2, 3, \dots$ .

We follow the conventions of [1].

The identity of a group  $K$  is denoted by  $0_K$ .  $h$  will denote Haar measure on the LCA group  $H$ . By  $X \setminus Y$  we mean  $\{x \in X : x \notin Y\}$ .

## 2. Some easy lemmas.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $G$  be an LCA group, and let  $U \subseteq G$  be open. Then  $G_p U = G_p \bar{U}$ .*

**PROOF.**  $G_p U \subseteq G_p \bar{U}$  and  $\bar{U} \subseteq U + U - U \subseteq 3(U \cup -U) \subseteq G_p U$ . Thus  $G_p \bar{U} \subseteq G_p U$ . Q.E.D.

**COROLLARY.** *If  $U$  is open and is  $\sigma$ -compact, then  $G_p U$  is an open  $\sigma$ -compact subgroup.*

**PROOF.** Obvious.

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $H$  be an LCA group which is (qua group) a subgroup of a group  $G$ . Let  $E \subseteq G$  be independent, and  $x \in G$ . Suppose  $H$  is  $\sigma$ -compact and  $H \cap (x + nQ)$  is a Borel set in  $H$ , for each integer  $n \geq 1$ , and*

$$h(H \cap (x + G_p E)) \neq 0.$$

*Then there exists an integer  $k \geq 2$  such that  $kQ \cap H$  is a neighborhood (in  $H$ ) of  $0_H$ , where  $Q = E \cup -E$ .*

**PROOF.**  $(x + G_p E) \cap H = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (x + nQ) \cap H$ , so for some  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$h((x + nQ) \cap H) \neq 0.$$

Since  $h$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite regular measure ( $H$  is  $\sigma$ -compact), there is a compact subset  $X \subseteq (x + nQ) \cap H$  such that  $h(X) \neq 0$ . Using the argument of

[1, 1.4.2a], we let  $f$  be the characteristic function of  $X$ . Then  $f \in L^2(H) \cap L^1(H)$  and  $f * \tilde{f}(0) = \|f\|_2^2 = h(X) \neq 0$ , while  $f * \tilde{f}$  is continuous on  $H$ . The support of  $f * \tilde{f}$  is contained in  $X - X \subseteq ((x + nQ) - (x + nQ)) \cap H \subseteq (2nQ) \cap H$ . Thus  $(2nQ) \cap H$  is a neighborhood (in  $H$ ) of  $0_H$ . Q.E.D.

LEMMA 3. *If  $H$  is a compact abelian group, and  $U$  is a symmetric neighborhood of the identity  $0_H$ , then there is an integer  $n$  such that  $H^1 = nU$  is a compact open subgroup of finite index in  $H$ .*

PROOF.  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} jU = H^1$  is an open and closed subgroup, and hence compact, since  $H$  is compact. Therefore, for some  $n$ ,  $\bigcup_{j=1}^n jU = H^1 = nU$ . Since  $H$  is compact and  $H/H^1$  is both compact and discrete,  $H^1$  has finite index. Q.E.D.

LEMMA 4. *Let  $E$  be a compact infinite independent set, and  $P \subseteq E$  a finite subset. Let  $Q = E \cup -E$ ,  $Q' = Q \setminus (P \cup -P)$ . Then  $mQ'$  has interior in  $mQ$  for every integer  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ .*

PROOF. It is easy to see (using the independence of  $E$ ) that

$$mQ = (mQ') \cup ((m-1)Q' + (P \cup -P)) \cup \dots \\ \cup (Q' + (m-1)(P \cup -P)) \cup (m(P \cup -P)).$$

Thus

$$(1) \quad mQ' \supseteq mQ \setminus ((m-1)Q + m(P \cup -P)).$$

Since  $mQ \cap [((m-1)Q) + (m(P \cup -P))]$  is closed, the set on the right-hand side of (1) is open. That set is not empty, since if  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in E \setminus P$  are distinct, then

$$x_1 + \dots + x_m \notin (m-1)Q + m(P \cup -P)$$

(otherwise  $E$  could not be independent). Q.E.D.

**3. Proof of Theorem 1.** *Reduction to the case  $H$  is  $\sigma$ -compact.* Let  $U$  be an open subset of  $G$ , with  $\sigma$ -compact closure, which contains  $E \cup \{x\}$ . Then  $K = G_p U$  is (by Lemma 1 and its corollary) a  $\sigma$ -compact open subgroup of  $G$ , and

$$H \cap (x + G_p E) = (H \cap K) \cap (x + G_p E).$$

Because  $H$  is closed in  $G$ ,  $H \cap K$  is  $\sigma$ -compact. The Haar measure of  $H \cap K$  is the restriction of that of  $H$  to  $H \cap K$  so we may assume that  $H$  is  $\sigma$ -compact.

*Reduction to the case:  $E$  is compact.* Since  $E$  is  $\sigma$ -compact, we may write  $E = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j$ , where each  $E_j$  is compact. Then

$$k(E \cup -E) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} k\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^n (E_j \cup -E_j)\right).$$

Then

$$x + G_p E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( x + G_p \bigcup_{j=1}^n E_j \right).$$

We see that  $h(x + G_p E) \neq 0$  implies that  $h(x + G_p \bigcup_{j=1}^n E_j) \neq 0$  for some  $n$ . Thus, we may assume that  $E$  is compact.

Using Lemma 2, we see that we may now assume that (for some  $k \geq 2$ )  $x = 0_H$  and  $U = kQ \cap H$  is a neighborhood in  $H$  of  $0_H$ . [Lemma 2 may be applied because  $H \cap (x + nQ)$  is a compact subset of  $H$ .]

We now have two cases.

*Case 1:*  $H$  is compact. Lemma 3 tells us that  $H^1$  (as defined, using  $U = kQ \cap H$ , in Lemma 3) is a compact open subgroup of  $H$ , and that there is an integer  $n \geq 1$  such that  $nU = H^1$ . Hence

$$H^1 = (nkQ) \cap H = (nkQ) \cap H^1.$$

Now,  $H^1$  contains an infinite number of distinct elements  $x_1, x_2, \dots$ , because  $H^1$  is nondiscrete.

Since  $x_j \in nkQ$ , there exist integers  $M_j$  and  $\alpha_{jm}$ , and elements  $p_{jm} \in E$  such that

$$(2) \quad \alpha_{jm} p_{jm} \neq 0_G, \quad p_{jm} \neq p_{jm'}, \quad m \neq m', \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

$$(3) \quad \sum_{m=1}^{M_j} |\alpha_{jm}| \leq nk \quad \text{and} \quad x_j = \sum_{m=1}^{M_j} \alpha_{jm} p_{jm}.$$

If  $P = \{p_{jm} : 1 \leq m \leq M_j, j = 1, 2, \dots\}$  is a finite subset of  $E$  then, by (3), there can be only a finite number of distinct  $x_j$ . Hence  $P$  is infinite. By applying induction to (2) and (3) we can find integers  $j(1), j(2), \dots$ ,  $m(1), m(2), \dots$  such that

$$(4) \quad p_{j(s), m(s)} \notin \{p_{j(t), m} : 1 \leq m \leq M_{j(t)}, t \neq s, t = 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

[Here are the details of the induction: For each  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ , there can be at most  $nk$  distinct elements of  $P$  which belong to

$$\{p_{jm} : 1 \leq m \leq M_j \leq nk\} = P_j,$$

that is which appear in the expansion (3) of  $x_j$ ; also  $nk P_j$  can contain only a finite number (a crude estimate is  $(\text{card } P_j)^{2nk} \leq (nk)^{2nk}$ ) of elements of  $H^1$ . We choose  $j(1) = 1$ , and apply the preceding sentence to produce  $1 \leq m(1) \leq M_{j(1)}$  and an infinite subset  $\{x_j^{(1)}\}$  of  $\{x_j\}$  such that the expansion (3) for each  $x_{k(1,j)} = x_j^{(1)}$  does not contain  $p_{1, m(1)}$ .

We now repeat the above process with  $\{x_j^{(1)}\}$ , obtaining  $j(2) = k(1, 1)$ ,  $1 \leq m(2) \leq M_{j(2)}$ , and  $\{x_j^{(2)}\} \subseteq \{x_j^{(1)}\}$  and an infinite subset  $\{x_j^{(2)}\} \subseteq \{x_j^{(1)}\}$  such that the expansion (3) for each  $x_{k(2,j)} = x_j^{(2)}$  does not contain  $p_{j(2), m(2)}$ .

Continuing in this way, we see that (4) may be satisfied.]

From the independence of  $E$  and (2)–(4) we see that  $x_{j(1)} + \cdots + x_{j(kn+1)} \notin knQ$ , so  $(kn+1)H^1 \not\subseteq knQ$ , which contradicts our choice of  $kn$ . This means that  $h(x + G_p E) = 0$ , if  $H$  is compact.

*Case II:  $H$  not compact.* We shall reduce to the preceding case, by using the well-known [1, 2.4.2] result that a locally compact abelian group  $A$  generated by a compact neighborhood  $U$  of its identity contains a closed discrete subgroup  $B$  of the form  $Z^p$  such that  $A/B$  is compact.

Choose a compact symmetric neighborhood  $V \subseteq H$  of  $0_H$  such that  $V \subseteq kQ \cap H$ , and let  $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} nV$ . Then  $A$  is a  $\sigma$ -compact open subgroup of  $H$  and  $A \cap kQ \supseteq V$ . Thus  $A$  satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1, and is generated by a compact neighborhood of its identity. Of course, Haar measure on  $A$  is precisely that of  $H$  restricted to  $A$ .

Let  $B$  be a subgroup with the properties above. Since  $B$  is finitely generated and  $A \subseteq G_p E$ , there exists a finite set  $P \subseteq E$  such that  $B \subseteq G_p P$ . Set  $E' = E \setminus P$ ,  $Q' = E' \cup -E'$  and  $H^2 = A \cap G_p E'$ . We claim  $H^2$  is a compact open subgroup of  $A$ . If this is established, then the Haar measure of  $H^2$  will be that of  $A$  restricted to  $H^2$ , and we may apply Case I to  $H^2$ .

*$H^2$  is open.* Since  $A \subseteq G_p E = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} mQ$  and each  $mQ'$  has interior in  $mQ$  (by Lemma 4) for  $m=1, 2, \dots$ ,  $A \cap mQ'$  has interior in  $A \cap mQ$  for  $m=1, 2, \dots$ . Since  $A \cap kQ$  is open in  $A$ ,  $A \cap kQ'$  has interior in  $A$ . Because  $A \cap kQ'$  is symmetric,  $A \cap 2kQ' = 2(A \cap kQ')$  contains a neighborhood of  $0_A$  in  $A$ . Therefore  $A \cap G_p E' = H^2$  is open in  $A$ .

*$H^2$  is compact.* Consider the sequence of maps  $A \rightarrow A/B \rightarrow A/C$  where  $C = A \cap G_p P$ . Now  $C \cap H^2 = \{0_H\}$ , since  $E$  is independent, and  $C + H^2 = A$ . Because  $H^2$  is open,  $C$  must be closed. Now  $A/C = (C + H^2)/C \simeq H^2$ , as groups. On the other hand  $C \supseteq B$ , and  $C$  is closed, so  $A/B \rightarrow A/C = H^2$  is continuous, and  $A/B$  is compact. Hence  $H^2$  is compact.

[*Note.* That  $C$  is closed is proved as follows:  $C + H^2 = A$ , so if  $x \in \bar{C} \setminus C$ , then  $x$  has a neighborhood of the form  $c + H^2$ , where  $c \in C$ . Now, there must be an element  $d \neq c$ ,  $d \in C$  in  $c + H^2$ , since  $x \notin C$ . But  $(d + H^2) \cap (c + H^2) = \emptyset$  since  $C \cap H^2 = \{0\}$ . Hence  $\bar{C} \setminus C = \emptyset$ .]

This completes the reduction to Case I, and the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

#### REFERENCES

1. W. Rudin, *Fourier analysis on groups*, Interscience, Tracts in Pure and Appl. Math., no. 12, Interscience, New York, 1962. MR 27 #2808.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY, EVANSTON, ILLINOIS 60201