

A SPLITTING THEOREM FOR ALGEBRAS OVER COMMUTATIVE VON NEUMANN REGULAR RINGS

WILLIAM C. BROWN

ABSTRACT. Let R be a commutative von Neumann ring. Let A be an R -algebra which is finitely generated as an R -module and has A/N separable over R . Here N is the Jacobson radical of A . Then it is shown that there exists an R -separable subalgebra S of A such that $S+N=A$ and $S\cap N=0$. Further it is shown that if T is another R -separable subalgebra of A for which $T+N=A$ and $T\cap N=0$, then there exists an element $n\in N$ such that $(1-n)S(1-n)^{-1}=T$. This result is then used to determine the structure of all strong inertial coefficient rings.

Introduction. The purpose of this note is to prove the following theorem: Let R be a commutative von Neumann regular ring. Let A be an R -algebra which is finitely generated as an R -module and has A/N separable over R , N the Jacobson radical of A . Then there exists a separable R -subalgebra S of A such that $S+N=A$ and $S\cap N=0$. In terms of the definitions of [1], this theorem states that the pair $(R, 1)$ is a strong inertial coefficient ring if R is a von Neumann regular ring. This theorem is then used to obtain a complete characterization of all strong inertial coefficient rings.

Preliminaries. Throughout this paper, any ring will be assumed to be associative and to contain an identity element. All subrings of a given ring are assumed to contain the identity of the given ring. All ring homomorphisms are assumed to take the identity to identity. R will always denote a commutative ring and A an R -algebra. We shall let p and N denote the Jacobson radicals of R and A respectively.

Let $\pi_0: R \rightarrow R/p$ be the natural projection of R onto R/p . Then R together with a ring homomorphism $\mathcal{E}: R/p \rightarrow R$ will be called a pair and written (R, \mathcal{E}) if $\pi_0\mathcal{E}$ is the identity map on R/p . The pair (R, \mathcal{E}) is called a strong inertial coefficient ring if for every R -algebra A which is finitely generated as an R -module and has A/N separable over R , there exists an (R/p) -separable subalgebra S of A such that $S+N=A$ and $S\cap N=0$. The basic properties of strong inertial coefficient rings can be found in [1].

Received by the editors February 8, 1972.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 13B20, 16A16, 16A56.

Key words and phrases. von Neumann ring, strong inertial coefficient ring.

© American Mathematical Society 1973

The ring R is a von Neumann regular ring if for every z in R there exists a y in R such that $zyz=z$. The proof of the main result in this paper is based on the theorems and techniques which appear in [6]. The author assumes the reader is familiar with these results.

The main result. We begin with the following lemma:

LEMMA 1. *Let A be an R -algebra. Then A is separable over R if there exist elements $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ and $\{a'_1, \dots, a'_n\}$ in A such that*

$$(1) \sum_{i=1}^n a'_i a_i = 1;$$

(2) for every $a \in A$, there exist constants $\lambda_{ij}(a) \in R$, $i, j=1, \dots, n$ such that

$$a_i a = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_{ij} a_j \quad \text{and} \quad a a'_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_{ji} a'_j.$$

PROOF. Let I denote the kernel of the multiplication mapping $\mu: A \otimes_R A^\circ \rightarrow A$. Then A is separable over R if and only if $0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow A \otimes_R A^\circ \xrightarrow{\mu} A \rightarrow 0$ splits as $(A \otimes_R A^\circ)$ -modules. That is, A is separable over R if and only if there exists an $(A \otimes_R A^\circ)$ -module homomorphism $P: A \rightarrow A \otimes_R A^\circ$ such that μP is the identity map on A . Conditions 1° and 2° of the lemma imply that the map $P: A \rightarrow A \otimes_R A^\circ$ defined by $P(1) = \sum_{i=1}^n a'_i \otimes a_i$ is an $(A \otimes_R A^\circ)$ -module homomorphism for which μP is the identity map. \square

THEOREM 1. *Let R be a commutative von Neumann regular ring. Let A be an R -algebra which is finitely generated as an R -module and has A/N separable over R . Then there exists an R -separable subalgebra S of A such that $S+N=A$ and $S \cap N=0$.*

PROOF. Let $X(R)$ denote the decomposition space of R [6, p. 8]. Let $x \in X(R)$. If M is any R -module, we shall let M_x be $M \otimes_R R/xR$. It is well known that $\otimes_R R_x$ is an exact functor. Hence $0 \rightarrow N_x \rightarrow A_x \rightarrow (A/N)_x \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of R_x -algebras. Since R is a von Neumann regular ring, R_x is a field. $(A/N)_x$ being a homomorphic image of A/N is separable over R_x . Thus N_x is the Jacobson radical of A_x . By Wedderburn's theorem, there exists an R_x -subalgebra S_x of A_x such that $N_x \oplus S_x = A_x$.

If $a \in A$, we shall denote by a_x the image of a in $A_x = A/xA$. Thus $a_x = a + \bar{x}A$ ($\bar{x} = xR$). Now let $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ be a set of R -module generators for A . Then if $\pi: A \rightarrow A/N$ denotes the natural projection of A onto A/N , we have $\{(a_1)_x, \dots, (a_n)_x\}$ generates A_x as an R_x -module, $\{\pi(a_1) = \bar{a}_1, \pi(a_n) = \bar{a}_n\}$ generates A/N as an R -module and $\{(\bar{a}_1)_x, \dots, (\bar{a}_n)_x\}$ generates $(A/N)_x$ as an R_x -module. Since S_x is an R_x -separable subalgebra of A_x which is isomorphic to $(A/N)_x$, we can make the following statements:

1°. There exist elements $s_1^x, \dots, s_{m(x)}^x \in S_x$ and elements $r_{ijk}^x \in R_x$, $i, j, k=1, \dots, m(x)$ and $r_i^x \in R_x$, $i=1, \dots, m(x)$ such that

- (a) $\{s_1^x, \dots, s_{m(x)}^x\}$ is a vector space basis of S_x over R_x ,
- (b) $s_i^x s_j^x = \sum_{k=1}^{m(x)} r_{ijk}^x s_k^x$ for all $i, j=1, \dots, m(x)$,
- (c) $1_x = \sum_{i=1}^{m(x)} r_i^x s_i^x$.

Here $m(x)$ denotes some positive integer depending on x .

2° [4, Theorem 71.6]. There exist elements $r_{ij}^x \in R_x$, $i, j=1, \dots, m(x)$ such that $s_i^{x'} = \sum_{j=1}^{m(x)} r_{ij}^x s_j^x$, for $i=1, \dots, m(x)$, satisfy the following two properties:

- (a) $\sum_{j=1}^{m(x)} s_j^{x'} s_j^x = 1_x$,
- (b) for all $s^x \in S_x$, if $s_i^x s^x = \sum_{j=1}^{m(x)} \lambda_{ij}^x s_j^x$ for $i=1, \dots, m(x)$ ($\lambda_{ij}^x \in R_x$), then $s^x s_i^{x'} = \sum_{j=1}^{m(x)} \lambda_{ji}^x s_j^{x'}$.

3°. There exist elements $t_{ij}^x \in R_x$, $i=1, \dots, n, j=1, \dots, m(x)$, and elements $z_i^x \in N_x$ such that

$$(a_i)_x - \sum_{j=1}^{m(x)} t_{ij}^x s_j^x = z_i^x \quad \text{for } i=1, \dots, n.$$

Now let $\{r_{ijk}\}$, $\{r_i\}\{r_{ij}\}$ and $\{t_{ij}\} \in R$ such that their images in R_x are $\{r_{ijk}^x\}$, $\{r_i^x\}$, $\{r_{ij}^x\}$ and $\{t_{ij}^x\}$ respectively. Similarly let $\{s_1, \dots, s_{m(x)}\}$ and $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$ be elements in A and N respectively such that their images are $\{s_1^x, \dots, s_{m(x)}^x\}$ and $\{z_1^x, \dots, z_n^x\}$ in A_x . Now the elements

$$s_i s_j - \sum_{k=1}^{m(x)} r_{ijk} s_k, \quad 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m(x)} r_i s_i \quad \text{and} \quad a_i - \sum_{j=1}^{m(x)} t_{ij} s_j - z_i$$

may be viewed as global sections on the sheaf $\mathcal{A}(A)$ [6, p. 18] over $X(R)$. These sections are zero at x and hence are zero on some open set U of $X(R)$ containing x . Thus for all $y \in U$, the sections $s_1, \dots, s_{m(x)}$ generate an R_y -subalgebra S_y of A_y such that $N_y + S_y = A_y$.

Now $s_i' = \sum_{j=1}^{m(x)} r_{ij} s_j$, $i=1, \dots, m(x)$, may also be viewed as sections on $\mathcal{A}(A)$. The section $\sum_{i=1}^{m(x)} (\sum_{j=1}^{m(x)} r_{ij} s_j) s_i - 1$ is zero at x . Hence by shrinking U if need be, we may assume for all $y \in U$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m(x)} (s_i')_y (s_i)_y = 1_y.$$

By 1°(b) and 2°(b), we have $s_j^x s_i^{x'} = \sum_{k=1}^{m(x)} r_{kji}^x s_k^{x'}$ for all $i, j=1, \dots, m(x)$. Thus, by shrinking U still further if need be, we can assume for all $y \in U$ and for all $i, j=1, \dots, m(x)$,

$$(s_j)_y (s_i')_y = \sum_{k=1}^{m(x)} (r_{kji})_y (s_k')_y.$$

Since each S_y , for $y \in U$, is generated as an R_y -module by $(s_1)_y, \dots, (s_{m(x)})_y$, we get for every $a \in S_y$ there exist constants $\lambda_{ij}(a)$ in R_y such that

$$(s_i)_y a = \sum_{j=1}^{m(x)} \lambda_{ij}(a)(s_j)_y \quad \text{and} \quad a(s'_i)_y = \sum_{j=1}^{m(x)} \lambda_{ji}(a)(s'_j)_y.$$

Thus by Lemma 1, each S_y for $y \in U$ is a separable R_y -subalgebra of A_y . Since R_y is a field, S_y is semisimple. Hence $N_y \cap S_y = 0$.

Since x was an arbitrary point of $X(R)$, we have proven the following assertion: For each point x in $X(R)$, there exists an open set U_x in $X(R)$ containing x and there exist elements $s_1(x), \dots, s_{m(x)}(x), s'_1(x), \dots, s'_{m(x)}(x) \in A$, $z_1(x), \dots, z_n(x) \in N$, and elements $\{r_{ijk}(x)\}, \{r_i(x)\}, \{r_{ij}(x)\}, \{t_{ij}(x)\} \in R$ such that the elements $s_1(x)_y, \dots, s_{m(x)}(x)_y$ generate an R_y -subalgebra S_y for which $S_y \oplus N_y = A_y$, for all $y \in U_x$. Now $\{U_x | x \in X(R)\}$ is an open covering of $X(R)$. Hence by the partition property, there exist a finite number of open and closed, pairwise disjoint subsets N_1, \dots, N_q of $X(R)$ such that $\bigcup N_i = X(R)$ and each N_i is contained in some U_x .

Let x_1, \dots, x_q be elements in $X(R)$ such that $N_i \subset U_{x_i}$ for $i=1, \dots, q$. On each N_i we may restrict the sections $s_1(x_i), \dots, s_{m(x_i)}(x_i), s'_1(x_i), \dots, s'_{m(x_i)}(x_i), z_1(x_i), \dots, z_n(x_i), \{r_{ijk}(x_i)\}$, etc. Let $m = \max\{m(x_1), \dots, m(x_q)\}$. Since the N_i 's are pairwise disjoint, we may piece the sections together on each open set N_i to form global sections

$$\tilde{s}_1, \dots, \tilde{s}_m, \tilde{s}'_1, \dots, \tilde{s}'_m \in \Gamma(X(R), \mathcal{A}(A)), \quad \tilde{z}_1, \dots, \tilde{z}_n \in \Gamma(X(R), \mathcal{A}(N))$$

and $\{\tilde{r}_{ijk}\}, \{\tilde{r}_i\}, \{\tilde{r}_{ij}\}, \{\tilde{t}_{ij}\} \in \Gamma(X(R), \mathcal{R}(R))$ as follows:

$$\text{For } \alpha \in N_i, i = 1, \dots, q, \quad \tilde{s}_j(\alpha) = \begin{cases} s_j(x_i)_\alpha & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq m(x_i), \\ 0 & \text{if } j > m(x_i). \end{cases}$$

The other sections are defined similarly. We now have for each $x \in X(R)$, $\{\tilde{s}_1(x), \dots, \tilde{s}_m(x)\}$ generates an R_x -subalgebra S_x of A_x for which $N_x \oplus S_x = A_x$.

Now by [6, Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 4.5], $\Gamma(X(R), \mathcal{A}(A)) \cong A$, $\Gamma(X(R), \mathcal{A}(N)) \cong N$ and $\Gamma(X(R), \mathcal{R}(R)) \cong R$. Thus there exist elements $\hat{s}_1, \dots, \hat{s}_m \in A$, $\hat{z}_1, \dots, \hat{z}_n \in N$ and elements $\{\hat{r}_{ijk}\}, \{\hat{r}_i\}, \{\hat{r}_{ij}\}, \{\hat{t}_{ij}\}$ in R such that for every x in $X(R)$

$$\tilde{s}_i(x) = (\hat{s}_i)_x = \hat{s}_i + \bar{x}A,$$

$$\tilde{z}_i(x) = (\hat{z}_i)_x = \hat{z}_i + \bar{x}N,$$

$$\tilde{r}_{ijk}(x) = (\hat{r}_{ijk})_x = \hat{r}_{ijk} + xR, \quad \text{etc.}$$

Since $\bigcap_{x \in X(R)} \bar{x}A = 0$, it follows easily that $S = \sum_{i=1}^m \hat{s}_i R$ is an R -subalgebra of A such that $S + N = A$ and $S \cap N = 0$. Since S is isomorphic to A/N , S is separable. \square

COROLLARY. *Let R have Jacobson radical zero. Then the pair $(R, 1)$ is a strong inertial coefficient ring if and only if R is a von Neumann regular ring.*

PROOF. By Theorem 1, if R is a von Neumann regular ring, then $(R, 1)$ is a strong inertial coefficient ring. It follows from the proof of [3, Proposition 1] (whether R is assumed Noetherian or not) that if $(R, 1)$ is a strong inertial coefficient ring, then $I=I^2$ for every ideal I in R . Hence if $z \in R$, then $zR=(zR)^2$. So there exists a $y \in R$ such that $zyz=z$. \square

The Malcev analog of Theorem 1 follows immediately from [5, Corollary 2.4]. Thus under the hypotheses of Theorem 1, if S and T are two separable R -subalgebras of A such that $S \oplus N = A$ and $T \oplus N = A$, then there exists an element $n \in N$ such that $(1-n)S(1-n)^{-1} = T$.

In terms of the definitions in [1], Theorems 1 and 2 may be summarized as follows: If R is a von Neumann regular ring, then $(R, 1)$ is a strong inertial coefficient ring with the uniqueness property.

In [2], the author and E. Ingraham completely characterized all semi-local inertial coefficient rings. Namely, a ring R is an inertial coefficient ring with finitely many maximal ideals if and only if R is a finite direct sum of Hensel rings. If (R, \mathcal{E}) is a strong inertial coefficient ring, then R is an inertial coefficient ring [1, Proposition 1]. Thus using the previous result, we get (R, \mathcal{E}) is a strong inertial coefficient ring with finitely many maximal ideals if and only if R is a finite direct sum of split Hensel rings. In this paper, we have determined the structure of all (Jacobson) semi-simple strong inertial coefficient rings. We may use these two results to give a complete characterization of strong inertial coefficient rings.

THEOREM 2. *A pair (R, \mathcal{E}) is a strong inertial coefficient ring if and only if for every $x \in X(R)$, $R_x = R/xR$ is a Hensel ring.*

PROOF. Suppose that for each x in $X(R)$, R_x is a Hensel ring. Then (R_x, \mathcal{E}_x) is a strong inertial coefficient ring. Thus the same proof as used in Theorem 1 with minor changes shows that (R, \mathcal{E}) is a strong inertial coefficient ring.

Conversely, for any pair (R, \mathcal{E}) we note that $X(R) = X(\mathcal{E}(R/p))$. If we assume (R, \mathcal{E}) is a strong inertial coefficient ring, then for any $x \in X(R)$ the pairs (R_x, \mathcal{E}_x) and $(R/p, 1)$ are also strong inertial coefficient rings. By the corollary to Theorem 1, R/p is a von Neumann regular ring. Now

$$0 \rightarrow p_x \rightarrow R_x \rightarrow (R/p)_x \rightarrow 0$$

is exact and $(R/p)_x = (R/p)/x(R/p)$ is a field. Thus R_x is a quasilocal ring. It now follows from [2, Theorem] that R_x is a Hensel ring.

REFERENCES

1. W. C. Brown, *Strong inertial coefficient rings*, Michigan Math. J. **17** (1970), 73–84. MR **41** #8402.
2. W. C. Brown and E. C. Ingraham, *A characterization of semilocal inertial coefficient rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **26** (1970), 10–14. MR **41** #5354.
3. W. C. Brown, *Some splitting theorems for algebras over commutative rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **162** (1971), 303–315.
4. C. W. Curtiss and I. Reiner, *Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras*, Interscience, New York, 1962. MR **26** #2519.
5. A. R. Magid, *Pierce's representation and separable algebras*, Illinois J. Math. **15** (1971), 114–121. MR **42** #7713.
6. R. S. Pierce, *Modules over commutative regular rings*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No. 70 (1967). MR **36** #151.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST LANSING,
MICHIGAN 48823