CONDITION FOR A FUNCTION SPACE TO BE LOCALLY COMPACT

R. V. FULLER

ABSTRACT. Let F be an equicontinuous family of functions from a compact Hausdorff space to a locally compact Hausdorff uniform space. In this paper we prove that the pointwise closure of F is locally compact relative to the topology of uniform convergence.

A function space is proved *compact* by identifying it with a closed subset of a (in general) nonfinite product of compact spaces. Since a nonfinite product of locally compact spaces is not necessarily locally compact this approach is not suitable to prove a function space *locally compact*. Instead to obtain the present result we identify F, the family of functions from X to Y, with a closed subset of the hyperspace of compact subsets of $X \times Y$ endowed with the finite topology.

The author wishes to thank a conscientious referee for help in improving the exposition of the original paper.

Throughout, F is a family of functions from a topological space X to a topological or uniform space Y, f_{α} is a net of functions from X to Y, and f is a function from X to Y. We identify a function f from X to Y with its graph $\{(x, f(x)): x \in X\}$ and thus consider it a subset of $X \times Y$.

We refer the reader to Kelley [1] for standard definitions and results not given here.

If Z is a set and W is a subset of Z, P(W) is the collection of all nonempty subsets of W and R(W, Z) is the collection of all nonempty subsets of Z which intersect W.

DEFINITIONS. Let Z be a topological space and W any open subset of Z. The family of all sets of the form P(W) is a basis for the *upper semifinite* (usf) topology on P(Z). The family of all sets of the form R(W, Z) is a subbasis for the *lower semifinite* (lsf) topology on P(Z). The finite topology on P(Z) is the least upper bound of the usf and lsf topologies. Of course

Presented to the Society, March 6, 1972; received by the editors January 26, 1972. AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 54C35; Secondary 54B20, 54D45.

Key words and phrases. Function space, hyperspace, finite topology, upper semi-finite topology, lower semifinite topology, topology of pointwise convergence, topology of uniform convergence, equicontinuous family of functions, graph of a function, graph topology.

we may relativise these topologies to subcollections of P(Z) such as C(Z) the collection of all nonempty compact subsets of Z.

PROPOSITION 1. The lsf topology on F is contained in the topology of pointwise convergence. If (Y, \mathscr{V}) is a uniform space and F is equicontinuous the two topologies coincide on F.

PROOF. Let f be in F and f_{α} be a net in F which converges pointwise to f. Let $R(U \times V, X \times Y)$ be a subbasic neighborhood of f in the lsf topology and (p, f(p)) be in $f \cap U \times V$ where U and V are open. Then f(p) is in V, so $f_{\alpha}(p)$ is eventually in V. Therefore $(p, f_{\alpha}(p))$ is eventually in $U \times V$. It follows that f_{α} converges to f in the lsf topology, so the lsf topology is contained in the pointwise topology.

If (Y, \mathscr{V}) is a uniform space and F is equicontinuous, let f be in F and f_{α} be a net in F which converges to f in the lsf topology. Let p be in X and V in \mathscr{V} . There is an open symmetric V_1 in \mathscr{V} so that $V_1^2 \subset V$. Since F is equicontinuous there is a neighborhood U of p so that x in U implies $(f_{\alpha}(x), f_{\alpha}(p))$ is in V_1 for all α . Since f_{α} converges to f in the lsf topology there is an α_0 so that $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ implies $f_{\alpha} \cap (U \times V_1[f(p)]) \neq \varnothing$.

Hence for $\alpha \ge \alpha_0$ there is an x_{α} in U with $f_{\alpha}(x_{\alpha})$ in $V_1[f(p)]$. Accordingly, for $\alpha \ge \alpha_0$, $(f_{\alpha}(p), f(p))$ is in $V_1^2 \subset V$. Therefore, f_{α} converges to f pointwise. Thus on F the lsf and pointwise topologies coincide.

PROPOSITION 2. Let X be compact Hausdorff, Y be a uniform space, and F be a family of continuous functions. On F, the topology of uniform convergence and the usf topology coincide.

PROOF. This is essentially Theorem 4.2 or 4.6 of Naimpally [3]. Note that on F the graph topology and usf topology are the same.

PROPOSITION 3. Let F be an equicontinuous family of functions and (Y, \mathcal{V}) a Hausdorff uniform space. Let \hat{F} denote the pointwise closure of F in Y^X . Then \hat{F} is closed in the finite topology on $P(X \times Y)$.

PROOF. Let f_{α} be a net in \hat{F} which converges to A in $P(X \times Y)$ relative to the finite topology.

First we show that A is a function from a subset of X to Y. Suppose for some p in X, (p, q_1) and (p, q_2) belong to A where $q_1 \neq q_2$. There exists a symmetric V in $\mathscr V$ so that $q_1 \notin V^4(q_2)$. Since F is equicontinuous, a neighborhood U of p exists so that x in U implies $(f_a(x), f_a(p))$ is in V for all α .

Since f_{α} converges to A in the lsf topology there is a β so that $f_{\beta} \cap (U \times V[q_i]) \neq \emptyset$ for i=1, 2. Thus for i=1, 2 there is an x_i in U so that $f_{\beta}(x_i) \in V[q_i]$.

Consequently we have in summary that $(q_1, f_{\beta}(x_1))$, $(f_{\beta}(x_1), f_{\beta}(p))$, $(f_{\beta}(p), f_{\beta}(x_2))$ and $(f_{\beta}(x_2), q_2)$ are in V so that q_1 is in $V^4[q_2]$. This contradiction shows that A is a function.

Now we show that the domain of A is X. Suppose $p \notin \text{dom } A$. Since Y is Hausdorff, $\{p\}$ is closed. Thus $\{p\} \times Y$ is a closed set which does not intersect A. Then we see that $X \times Y - \{p\} \times Y$ is a neighborhood of A in the usf topology on $P(X \times Y)$, but f_{α} (since $\text{dom } f_{\alpha} = X$) cannot be eventually in this neighborhood.

Thus A is in Y^X and so applying Proposition 1 to $\hat{F} \cup \{A\}$ we have that f_{α} converges pointwise to A. Therefore A is in \hat{F} , and \hat{F} is closed in $P(X \times Y)$ with the finite topology.

THEOREM. Let F be an equicontinuous family of functions from a compact Hausdorff space X to a locally compact Hausdorff uniform space Y. Let \hat{F} be the pointwise closure of F. Then \hat{F} is locally compact in the topology of uniform convergence.

PROOF. Under our hypothesis pointwise convergence is equivalent to uniform convergence on \hat{F} . Thus by Propositions 1 and 2 the topology of uniform convergence and the finite topology coincide on \hat{F} .

Now all members of \hat{F} are compact in $X \times Y$ since they are continuous and X is compact, so $\hat{F} \subset C(X \times Y)$. By Proposition 3, \hat{F} is closed in $P(X \times Y)$ with the finite topology and thus since $\hat{F} \cap C(X \times Y) = \hat{F}$, \hat{F} is closed in $C(X \times Y)$.

Since $X \times Y$ is locally compact Hausdorff, $C(X \times Y)$ is locally compact (see Michael [2, Proposition 4.4.1, p. 162]; note " 2^X " should be "C(X)"). Therefore since \hat{F} is a closed subset of a locally compact space, it is locally compact itself.

REMARK. Professor J. S. Yang points out that the statement obtained from the preceding theorem by letting X be locally compact instead of compact and replacing uniform convergence by uniform convergence on compacta is false. Let X be an uncountable set with the discrete topology and Y be the reals. Then Y^X is equicontinuous but is not locally compact in the topology of uniform convergence on compacta since this coincides with the topology of pointwise convergence.

REFERENCES

- 1. J. L. Kelley, General topology, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1955. MR 16, 1136.
- 2. E. A. Michael, Topologies on spaces of subsets, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 71 (1951), 152-182. MR 13, 54.
- **3.** S. A. Naimpally, *Graph topology for function spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **123** (1966), 267–272. MR **33** #691.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA, COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29208