A NECESSARY CONDITION IN THE CALCULUS OF VARIATIONS

EDWARD SILVERMAN¹

ABSTRACT. A necessary condition that an extremal be a relative minimum is derived. The condition includes and may be stronger than the Legendre-Hadamard condition.

By considering a slightly more general variation than Hadamard we will obtain a necessary condition in the calculus of variations which may be stronger than the Legendre-Hadamard condition [1, p. 253] and [2, p. 11].

If $\phi \in L(E, F)$ and $p \in E$ let $[p, \phi] = \phi(p)$ where E and F are vector spaces. If $g \in L(E, L(E, F))$ and if $h, k \in E$ let $ghk = [k, [h, g]]$ and $gh^{(2)} = ghh$.

Let $e_\alpha \in R^v$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, v$, and $E_i \in R^N$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, be defined by

$$e_\alpha = (\delta_\alpha^1, \dots, \delta_\alpha^v) \quad \text{and} \quad E_i = (\delta_i^1, \dots, \delta_i^N).$$

Let $e^\beta \in L(R^v, R) = R_v$ be defined by $e^\beta e_\alpha = \delta_\alpha^\beta$. Let $e^\beta E_i$ be that element of $L(R^v, R^N)$ defined by $(e^\beta E_i)e_\alpha = \delta_\alpha^\beta E_i$. If $\lambda \in R_v$ and $\xi \in R^N$ then $(\lambda \xi)e_\alpha = (\lambda_\beta e^\beta \xi^i E_i)e_\alpha = \lambda_\alpha \xi^i E_i$ (tensor convention for summation). If $\phi \in C''(L(R^v, R^N), F)$ we write ϕ_α^i for $\phi' e^\alpha E_i$ and $\phi_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$ for $\phi'' e^\alpha e^\beta E_i E_j$. Thus if $\lambda = \lambda_\alpha e^\alpha \in R_v$ and $\xi = \xi^i E_i \in R^N$,

$$\phi_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} \lambda_\alpha \lambda_\beta \xi^i \xi^j = \phi'' e^\alpha e^\beta E_i E_j \lambda_\alpha \lambda_\beta \xi^i \xi^j = \phi'' (\lambda \xi)^{(2)}.$$

Let G be a bounded domain in R^v and $f \in C''(G \times R^N \times L(R^v, R^N), R)$. Let $C' = C'(G, R^N)$ and if $z \in C'$ let $\|z\| = \sup\{\|z(x)\| + \|z'(x)\| \mid x \in G\}$. If $z \in C'$ let $I_f(z) = \int_G f(x, z(x), p(x)) dx$ where $p = z'$. Suppose that $I_f(z) \leq I_f(z + \zeta)$ whenever $\zeta \in C'$, support $\zeta \subset \subset G$ and $\|\zeta\|$ is small enough. Then $\phi''(\lambda) \geq 0$ where $\phi(\lambda) = I(z + \lambda \zeta)$ whenever $\zeta \in C'$ and support $\zeta \subset \subset G$. Thus

$$\int_G \{f_{zz}(P) \zeta^{(2)}(x) + 2f_{zp}(P) \zeta(x) \zeta'(x) + f_{pp}(P) \zeta'^{(2)}(x)\} dx \geq 0$$

Received by the editors December 15, 1970.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 49B20.

Key words and phrases. Legendre-Hadamard necessary condition, quasi-convex.

¹ This research was sponsored in part by the National Science Foundation under grant 6075-50-13955.

© American Mathematical Society 1973

where $P=(x, z(x), p(x))$. Let $x_0 \in G$, let n be so large that

$$n^{-1}(-x_0 + \text{support } \zeta) \subset \subset -x_0 + G$$

and let ζ_n be defined on G with support $\zeta_n \subset x_0 + n^{-1}(-x_0 + \text{support } \zeta)$ by $\zeta_n(x_0 + y) = \zeta(x_0 + ny)n^{-1}$. Evidently support $\zeta_n \subset \subset G$, $\zeta_n \in C'$, and

$$\zeta'_n(x_0 + y) = \zeta'(x_0 + ny).$$

Using the continuity of f'' and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we see that

$$f_{pp}(x_0, z(x_0), p(x_0)) \int_G \zeta'^{(2)}(x) dx \geq 0.$$

By approximations we obtain

LEMMA. If z is a weak relative minimum for I then

$$f_{pp}(x_0, z(x_0), p(x_0)) \int_G \zeta'^2(x) dx \geq 0$$

for all Lipschitzian ζ with support $\zeta \subset \subset G$.

Now let $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_\nu \in R^N$ and $\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^\nu$ be a linearly independent set in R_ν . Let $y^\alpha = \lambda_\beta^\alpha (x^\beta - x_0^\beta)$ and $r = \|y\|$. Let $h > 0$ be so small that $x \in G$ if $r \leq h$. If $i=1, \dots, N$ let w^i be defined on $G' = \{y | x \in G\}$ with support w^i contained in $r \leq h$ by $w^i(y) = (h^2 - r^2) \xi_\gamma^i y^\gamma$. Then

$$w_\alpha^i(y) = -2y^\alpha \xi_\gamma^i y^\gamma + (h^2 - r^2) \xi_\gamma^i \delta_\alpha^\gamma$$

and $w_{\alpha\beta}^i(y) = -2\xi_\gamma^i (\delta_{\alpha\beta} y^\gamma + y^\alpha \delta_\beta^\gamma + y^\beta \delta_\alpha^\gamma)$ if $r < h$. Let $T_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma\epsilon} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta^{\gamma\epsilon} + \delta_\alpha^\gamma \delta_\beta^\epsilon + \delta_\alpha^\epsilon \delta_\beta^\gamma$ and note that $\int_{r \leq h} (h^2 - r^2) y^\alpha y^\beta dy = Q \delta_{\alpha\beta} h^{\nu+4}$ for some positive constant Q . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{r \leq h} w_\alpha^i(y) w_\beta^j(y) dy &= - \int_{r \leq h} w_{\alpha\beta}^i(y) w^j(y) dy \\ &= 2\xi_\gamma^i \int_{r \leq h} (h^2 - r^2) \xi_\epsilon^j y^\epsilon (\delta_{\alpha\beta} y^\gamma + y^\alpha \delta_\beta^\gamma + y^\beta \delta_\alpha^\gamma) dy \\ &= 2Qh^{\nu+4} \xi_\gamma^i \xi_\epsilon^j T_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma\epsilon} \quad \text{since } w^j \text{ vanishes on } r = h. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\zeta^i(x) = w^i(y)$. Then ζ is Lipschitzian with support $\zeta \subset \subset G$ and $\zeta_\alpha^i(x) = w_\beta^i(y) \lambda_\alpha^\beta$ if $r < h$. Let $\phi(p) = f(x_0, z(x_0), p)$ and $a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} = \phi_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(p(x_0))$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} \int_G \zeta_\alpha^i(x) \zeta_\beta^j(x) dx \\ &= a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} \lambda_\alpha^\gamma \lambda_\beta^\epsilon \int_{r \leq h} w_\gamma^i(y) w_\epsilon^j(y) |\det \lambda|^{-1} dy \\ &= 2Qh^{\nu+4} |\det \lambda|^{-1} a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} \lambda_\alpha^\gamma \lambda_\beta^\epsilon \xi_\rho^i \xi_\sigma^j T_{\gamma\epsilon}^{\rho\sigma}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

THEOREM. If z is a weak relative minimum for I then

$$(*) \quad a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} \lambda_\alpha^\gamma \lambda_\beta^\gamma \xi_\rho^i \xi_\sigma^j T_{\gamma\epsilon}^{\rho\sigma} \geq 0$$

for all $\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^v \in R_v$ and $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_v \in R^N$.

If we set $\lambda^1 = \lambda$, $\xi_1 = \xi$ and $\lambda^\rho = 0$, $\xi_\sigma = 0$ for $\rho \neq 1$, $\sigma \neq 1$, then we get the Legendre-Hadamard condition

$$(**) \quad a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} \lambda_\alpha \lambda_\beta \xi^i \xi^j \geq 0$$

for all $\lambda \in R_v$ and $\xi \in R^N$. If $f \in C''$ then f is *quasi-convex* if the Legendre-Hadamard condition holds [2, p. 112]. Let us say that f is *pseudo-convex* if $f \in C''$ and $(*)$ holds. (In fact, Morrey defined quasi-convexity without imposing differentiability conditions on f .) Within the class of C'' functions it is evident that pseudo-convexity implies quasi-convexity.

Let us say [2, p. 114] that f is *strongly quasi-convex* if $f \in C''$ and if

$$\int_G f(x_0, z_0, p_0 + \zeta'(x)) dx \geq f(x_0, z_0, p_0) \cdot m(G)$$

for any constant (x_0, z_0, p_0) , any bounded domain G , and any Lipschitz ζ with support $\zeta \subset \subset G$. Let $z^i(x) = (p_0)_\alpha^i x^\alpha$ so that $z' = p_0$. Let $F(x, z, p) = f(x_0, z_0, p)$. If f is strongly quasi-convex and if $\{\zeta_n\}$ is a sequence of Lipschitz functions with support $\zeta_n \subset \subset G$ and $\|\zeta_n\| \rightarrow 0$, then $I_F(z) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_F(z + \zeta_n)$. Thus F , and hence f , satisfies $(*)$ so that f is pseudo-convex if f is strongly quasi-convex. Thus to show that there exist quasi-convex functions which are not strongly quasi-convex it is sufficient to show that there exist functions satisfying $(**)$ but not $(*)$.

REFERENCES

1. J. Hadamard, *Leçons sur la propagation des ondes et les équations de l'hydrodynamique*, Hermann, Paris, 1903.
2. C. B. Morrey, Jr., *Multiple integrals in the calculus of variations*, Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften, Band 130, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1966. MR 34 #2380.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, WEST LAFAYETTE, INDIANA 47907