

LOWER BOUNDS FOR SOLUTIONS OF HYPERBOLIC INEQUALITIES IN UNBOUNDED REGIONS¹

AMY C. MURRAY

ABSTRACT. This paper considers C^2 solutions $u=u(t, \mathbf{x})$ of the differential inequality $|Lu| \leq k_1(t, \mathbf{x})|u| + k_2(t, \mathbf{x})\|\nabla u\|$. The coefficients of the hyperbolic operator L depend on both t and \mathbf{x} . Explicit lower bounds are given for the energy of u in a region of \mathbf{x} -space expanding at least as fast as wave-fronts for L . These bounds depend on the asymptotic behavior of k_1 , k_2 , and the coefficients of L . They do not require boundary conditions on u .

1. Introduction. Let L be a hyperbolic operator of the form $Lu = A(t, \mathbf{x})u - u_{tt}$ where $A(t, \mathbf{x})$ denotes a second order uniformly elliptic operator whose coefficients depend on the time variable t as well as the spatial coordinates $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$. Several authors [1], [3], [4], [5], [6] have considered the asymptotic behavior of solutions of the equation

$$(1.1) \quad Lu = F(t, \mathbf{x}, u, \nabla u).$$

Because of both the time-dependence in $A(t, \mathbf{x})$ and the presence of u_t on the right side, one cannot expect all solutions of (1.1) to behave like solutions of the wave equation.

In [4], the author discussed the asymptotic behavior of C^2 solutions of the inequality

$$(1.2) \quad |Lu| \leq k_1(t, \mathbf{x})|u| + k_2(t, \mathbf{x})\|\nabla u\|.$$

Such an inequality arises from (1.1) if F is assumed Lipschitz in its last two arguments. The results of [4] establish a kind of unique continuation at infinity, e.g., if a solution of (1.2) decays fast enough inside a forward characteristic conoid for L , then it must vanish there. This paper sharpens [4] by providing explicit lower bounds for nonvanishing solutions.

The bounds are comparable to those found by Ogawa [5] for the inequality $\|Lu\| \leq k(t)\|\nabla u\|$ where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the L^2 norm on a domain

Received by the editors July 26, 1971 and, in revised form, April 5, 1972.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 35B40, 35L99; Secondary 35B45.

Key words and phrases. Hyperbolic inequalities, maximal rates of decay, a priori inequalities.

¹ Research supported in part by Air Force Grant AF-AFOSR 68-1462 and in part by NSF Grant GP 28443.

© American Mathematical Society 1973

in \mathbf{R}^N . Ogawa's bounds improved certain maximal rate of decay results of Protter [6].

Recently Bloom and Kazarinoff [1] have announced upper bounds on solutions of $Lu=0$ in expanding regions outside an obstacle.

The operator $A(t, \mathbf{x})$ we consider is defined by

$$A(t, \mathbf{x})u = \sum_{i,j=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} a_{ij}(t, \mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}.$$

We assume that the coefficients a_{ij} are C^1 functions on the half-space $\mathcal{H} = \mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^N$ with $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$. Further, we assume that there are positive constants m and M such that

$$(1.3) \quad m^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{ij}(t, \mathbf{x}) \xi_i \xi_j \leq M^2$$

for all $(t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{H}$ and all unit vectors ξ in \mathbf{R}^N . Thus the bilinear form

$$((b, c)) = b_0 c_0 - \sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{ij}(t, \mathbf{x}) b_i c_j$$

defines a Lorentz metric in \mathcal{H} .

We can interpret a solution u of (1.2) as a scalar disturbance in a time varying anisotropic medium occupying \mathbf{R}^N . We study the energy of u in a region of \mathbf{x} -space which expands at least as fast as wave-fronts for L . Let $S(T)$ be the region at time T ; formally we consider $S(T)$ as a domain in the hyperplane $t=T$ in \mathcal{H} . As T increases, the $S(T)$ sweep out a region $D(0, \infty) = \bigcup \{S(T): T > 0\}$ in \mathcal{H} .

We say that region $S(T)$ expands faster than light, or faster than wave-fronts for L , if the following two conditions are met: First, the boundaries $\partial S(T)$ sweep out a smooth hypersurface S' in \mathcal{H} , which is the lateral part of the boundary of $D(0, \infty)$. Second, the outer unit normal $\mathbf{n} = (n_0, n_1, \dots, n_N)$ on $\partial D(0, \infty)$ satisfies $n_0 < 0$ and $((\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n})) \geq 0$ along S' . For example, for a fixed r the region $S(T) = \{(T, \mathbf{x}): |\mathbf{x}| \leq MT + r\}$ expands faster than light. If \mathbf{n} is negative characteristic on S' , then the $\partial S(T)$ are an expanding wave-front for L .

Suppose that the region $S(T)$ expands at least as fast as light. If w is a C^2 function in \mathcal{H} , we discuss its size in terms of the energy integral

$$\mathcal{E}(w, T) = \int_{S(T)} \left(w^2 + w_t^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{ij} w_{,i} w_{,j} \right) dx.$$

This paper gives conditions under which a solution u of (1.2) will satisfy a lower bound of the form

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{E}(u, T) \geq C e^{-\gamma f(T)} \mathcal{E}(u, \tau)$$

for $T > \tau \geq 0$. In (1.4), C and γ are positive constants, and f is a function which increases without bound as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

In particular we have the following results:

RESULT I. If $k_1(t, x) = O(t^{-2})$, $k_2(t, x) = O(t^{-1})$, and all $|(a_{ij})_t| = O(t^{-1})$, then (1.4) holds with $f(T) = \ln(T)$.

RESULT II. If k_1 , k_2 , and all $|(a_{ij})_t|$ are bounded, then (1.4) holds with $f(T) = T$.

RESULT III. If there is a constant $c > 1$ such that $k_1(t, x) = O(t^{2c-2})$, $k_2(t, x) = O(t^{c-1})$, and all $|(a_{ij})_t| = O(t^{c-1})$, then (1.4) holds with $f(T) = T^c$.

For derivatives we use the notation $\partial w / \partial t = w_t$ and $\partial w / \partial x_i = w_{,i}$. The gradient ∇w is taken with respect to all $N+1$ variables; and $|\nabla w|^2 = w_t^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N w_{,i}^2$.

We introduce the quadratic form

$$P_{b,c}(\xi) = 2((b, \xi))(c, \xi) - ((b, c))(\xi, \xi)$$

for vector fields b , c , and ξ on $R \times R^N$. As shown by Hörmander [2], this form is positive definite if b and c are positive timelike vectors. [A vector $d = (d_0, d_1, \dots, d_N)$ is positive timelike iff $d_0 > 0$ and $((d, d)) > 0$.] Notice that $P_{b,c}(\xi)$ is linear in b and c . We use h to denote the timelike vector $h = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ in $R \times R^N$. Since $P_{h,h}(\xi) = \xi_0^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{ij} \xi_i \xi_j$, $|\nabla w|$ and $(P_{h,h}(\nabla w))^{1/2}$ are equivalent.

The starting point for the basic estimates is the formula

$$(1.5) \quad \int_D 2\lambda w_t Lw = \int_D \left(P_{h,\nabla\lambda}(\nabla w) + \lambda \sum_{i,j=1}^N (a_{ij})_t w_{,i} w_{,j} \right) - \int_{\partial D} \lambda P_{h,n}(\nabla w)$$

which is valid for any C^1 function $\lambda = \lambda(t, x)$ and any C^2 function $w = w(t, x)$ where D is a bounded domain with piecewise smooth boundary and n is the outer unit normal along ∂D . This formula follows directly from integration by parts.

2. Proof of Result II. In this section we consider (1.2) under the assumption that there are constants such that

$$(2.1) \quad |(a_{ij})_t| \leq K; \quad k_1(t, x) \leq K_1; \quad k_2(t, x) \leq K_2$$

in \mathcal{H} . We will prove Result II of the Introduction as Theorem 2.3. §3 will outline the slight modifications which adapt the proof to the hypotheses for Results I and II.

We start by developing the basic a priori inequality. Notice that no boundary conditions are imposed; the choice of domains and the properties of $P_{b,c}(\xi)$ combine to make boundary conditions unnecessary.

Let $S(T)$ be a region in \mathbf{x} -space expanding faster than light. Let $D(\tau, T)$ be the region in \mathcal{H} swept out by the $S(t)$ for $\tau < t < T$; i.e.,

$$D(\tau, T) = \{(t, \mathbf{x}) : \mathbf{x} \in S(t) \text{ and } \tau < t < T\}.$$

Then the boundary $\partial D(\tau, T)$ is composed of three smooth pieces: $S(T)$, $S(\tau)$, and the lateral portion along S' . The outer unit normal $\mathbf{n} = (n_0, n_1, \dots, n_N)$ on $\partial D(\tau, T)$ is equal to \mathbf{h} on $S(T)$ and to $-\mathbf{h}$ on $S(\tau)$.

Suppose v is a C^2 function and D is one of the regions $D(\tau, T)$ for $0 \leq \tau < T$. We develop a weighted L^2 estimate for v and ∇v in D in terms of Lv . To do this we introduce an auxiliary function $w = e^{\alpha t}v$ for α a positive parameter. Computation shows that

$$e^{\alpha t}Lv = Lw + 2\alpha w_t - \alpha w^2.$$

Using the elementary inequality $(X + Y + Z)^2 \geq 2Y(X + Z)$ we get

$$e^{2\alpha t}|Lv|^2 \geq 2(2\alpha w_t)(Lw - \alpha^2 w).$$

For $\beta > 0$, we multiply through by $e^{\beta t}$ and then integrate over D to obtain

$$(2.2) \quad \iint_D e^{\beta t} e^{2\alpha t} |Lv|^2 \geq 2\alpha \iint_D 2e^{\beta t} w_t Lw - 2\alpha^3 \iint_D e^{\beta t} (w^2)_t.$$

Integration by parts and the properties of n on ∂D give us

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} - \iint_D e^{\beta t} (w^2)_t &= \iint_D \beta e^{\beta t} w^2 - \int_{\partial D} n_0 e^{\beta t} w^2 \\ &\geq \beta \iint_D e^{\beta t} w^2 + \int_{S(\tau)} e^{\beta t} w^2 - \int_{S(T)} e^{\beta t} w^2. \end{aligned}$$

The next two lemmas provide an estimate for the other integral on the right side of (2.2).

LEMMA 2.1. Suppose $\beta m^2 \geq 2KN$. Then

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} 2 \iint_D e^{\beta t} w_t Lw &\geq \frac{1}{2} \beta \iint_D e^{\beta t} P_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}}(\nabla w) - \int_{S(T)} e^{\beta t} P_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}}(\nabla w) \\ &\quad + \int_{S(\tau)} e^{\beta t} P_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}}(\nabla w). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. If $\lambda = e^{\alpha t}$ and $D = D(\tau, T)$, then formula (1.5) specializes to

$$(2.5) \quad 2 \iint_D e^{\beta t} w_t Lw + \int_{\partial D} e^{\beta t} P_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{n}}(\nabla w) = \iint_D e^{\beta t} \left\{ \beta P_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}}(\nabla w) + \sum_{i,j=1}^N (a_{ij})_t w_{,i} w_{,j} \right\}.$$

By assumption (1.3) we have

$$P_{h,h}(\nabla w) = w_t^2 + \sum_{ij=1}^N a_{ij} w_{,i} w_{,j} \geq m^2 \sum_{i=1}^N (w_{,i})^2.$$

But we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum (a_{ij})_t w_{,i} w_{,j} \right| &\leq \sum_{ij=1}^N |(a_{ij})_t| |w_{,i}| |w_{,j}| \\ &\leq K \left(\sum_{i=1}^N |w_{,i}| \right)^2 \leq KN \sum_{i=1}^N (w_{,i})^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{1}{2}\beta m^2 \geq KN$, we can conclude that

$$(2.6) \quad \beta P_{h,h}(\nabla w) + \sum (a_{ij})_t w_{,i} w_{,j} \geq \frac{1}{2}\beta P_{h,h}(\nabla w).$$

The properties of n on ∂D yield the inequality

$$(2.7) \quad \int_{\partial D} e^{\beta t} P_{h,n}(\nabla w) \leq \int_{S(T)} e^{\beta t} P_{h,h}(\nabla w) - \int_{S(\tau)} e^{\beta t} P_{h,h}(\nabla w).$$

Using (2.6) and (2.7) to estimate terms in (2.5) we obtain the inequality of the lemma.

We now prove the basic a priori inequality.

THEOREM 2.2. *Suppose v is a C^2 function on $\bar{D}(0, \infty)$. If $\alpha > 1$, $\beta m^2 \geq 2KN$, and $0 \leq \tau < T$, then there are constants c_i such that*

$$\begin{aligned} (2.8) \quad 6\alpha^3 e^{(\beta+2\alpha)T} \mathcal{E}(v, T) + \iint_{D(\tau, T)} e^{(\beta+2\alpha)t} |Lv|^2 \\ \geq c_1 \alpha \beta \iint_{D(\tau, T)} e^{(\beta+2\alpha)t} (v^2 + |\nabla v|^2) + c_2 e^{(\beta+2\alpha)\tau} \mathcal{E}(v, \tau). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Let D denote $D(\tau, T)$ and let $w = e^{\alpha t} v$. Then we can combine inequalities (2.3), (2.4), and (2.2) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (2.9) \quad \iint_D e^{(\beta+2\alpha)t} |Lv|^2 + 2\alpha \int_{S(T)} e^{\beta t} [P_{h,h}(\nabla w) + \alpha^2 w^2] \\ \geq \alpha \beta \iint_D e^{\beta t} [P_{h,h}(\nabla w) + 2\alpha^2 w^2] + 2\alpha \int_{S(\tau)} e^{\beta t} [P_{h,h}(\nabla w) + \alpha^2 w^2]. \end{aligned}$$

From the expression of $P_{h,h}(\nabla w)$ in terms of v we can show that

$$P_{h,h}(\nabla w) \leq 2e^{2\alpha t} [\alpha^2 v^2 + P_{h,h}(\nabla v)]$$

and

$$P_{h,h}(\nabla w) \geq e^{2\alpha t} [-\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2 v^2 + \frac{1}{3}P_{h,h}(\nabla v)].$$

Thus (2.9) leads to the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_D e^{(\beta+2\alpha)t} |Lv|^2 + 2\alpha e^{(\beta+2\alpha)T} \int_{S(T)} [3\alpha^2 v^2 + P_{h,h}(\nabla v)] \\ \geq \alpha\beta \iint_D e^{(\beta+2\alpha)t} [\frac{3}{2}\alpha^2 v^2 + \frac{1}{3}P_{h,h}(\nabla v)] \\ + 2\alpha e^{(\beta+2\alpha)\tau} \int_{S(\tau)} [\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2 v^2 + \frac{1}{3}P_{h,h}(\nabla v)]. \end{aligned}$$

The desired inequality (2.8) now follows because of the hypothesis $\alpha > 1$, the equivalence of $P_{h,h}(\nabla v)$ with $|\nabla v|^2$, and the definition of $\mathcal{E}(v, T)$.

THEOREM 2.3. *Suppose u is a C^2 solution of (1.2) in the closure of $D(\tau, \infty)$. Then there are positive constants C and γ , independent of u , such that*

$$\mathcal{E}(u, T) \geq C e^{-\gamma T} \mathcal{E}(u, \tau)$$

for all $T > \tau$.

PROOF. Pick a fixed β so that $\beta m^2 \geq 2KN$. Since u is a C^2 function we can apply Theorem 2.2. Let D denote $D(\tau, T)$. For $\alpha > 1$ and $T > \tau \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 6\alpha^3 e^{(\beta+2\alpha)T} \mathcal{E}(u, T) + \iint_D e^{(\beta+2\alpha)t} |Lu|^2 \\ \geq c_1 \alpha \beta \iint_D e^{(\beta+2\alpha)t} (u^2 + |\nabla u|^2) + c_2 e^{(\beta+2\alpha)\tau} \mathcal{E}(u, \tau). \end{aligned}$$

From (1.2) and (2.1) we find

$$\iint_D e^{(\beta+2\alpha)t} |Lu|^2 \leq 2 \iint_D e^{(\beta+2\alpha)t} (K_1^2 u^2 + K_2^2 |\nabla u|^2).$$

Let $K_3 = \max\{K_1^2, K_2^2\}$. It follows that

$$6\alpha^3 e^{(\beta+2\alpha)T} \mathcal{E}(u, T) \geq \iint_D e^{(\beta+2\alpha)t} (c_1 \alpha \beta - 2K_3)(u^2 + |\nabla u|^2) + c_2 e^{(\beta+2\alpha)\tau} \mathcal{E}(u, \tau).$$

Setting $\gamma = \beta + 2\alpha$ for α sufficiently large we have

$$6\alpha^3 e^{\gamma T} \mathcal{E}(u, T) \geq c_2 e^{\gamma \tau} \mathcal{E}(u, \tau).$$

The choice of C required for the theorem is now apparent.

COROLLARY. *If u is a nonnull solution of (1.2) in $\bar{D}(\tau, \infty)$, then $\mathcal{E}(u, T)$ cannot decay faster than $e^{-\gamma T}$, where γ is chosen as above.*

PROOF. Let C and γ be taken as in the theorem. If $\tau < \sigma < T$, then the proof of the theorem also shows that

$$\mathcal{E}(u, T) \geq C e^{-\gamma T} \mathcal{E}(u, \sigma).$$

So if $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} e^{\gamma T} \mathcal{E}(u, T) = 0$, then $\mathcal{E}(u, \sigma) = 0$ for all $\sigma > \tau$. This would show that $u \equiv 0$ in $\bar{D}(\tau, \infty)$.

3. Remarks on Results I and III. This section indicates how the proof of Theorem 2.3 is adapted to prove Results I and III. Again we consider a given family of regions $S(T)$ expanding faster than light.

THEOREM 3.1. *Suppose the coefficients of (1.2) satisfy the bounds*

$$(3.1) \quad |(a_{ij})_t| \leq K t^{-1}; \quad k_1(t, x) \leq K_1 t^{-2}; \quad k_2(t, x) \leq K_2 t^{-1}$$

in $\bar{D}(\tau, \infty)$ for some $\tau > 0$. Suppose u is a solution of (1.2) in $\bar{D}(\tau, \infty)$. Then there are constants C and γ , independent of u , such that

$$\mathcal{E}(u, T) \geq C T^{-\gamma} \mathcal{E}(u, \tau) \quad \text{for all } T > \tau.$$

PROOF. The pattern of proof follows that of §2 exactly except that the weight functions $\lambda = e^{\alpha t}$ are replaced by $\lambda = \exp(\alpha \ln(t)) = t^\alpha$. The decay conditions imposed on the coefficients of (1.2) by (3.1) are those required so that the weighted L^2 integral for Lu on $D(\tau, T)$ can be dominated by the terms in u^2 and $P_{h,h}(\nabla u)$ which arise from the a priori estimate analogous to Theorem 2.2.

THEOREM 3.2. *Suppose there is a constant $c > 1$ such that the coefficients of (1.2) satisfy the bounds*

$$(3.2) \quad |(a_{ij})_t| \leq K t^{c-1}; \quad k_1(t, x) \leq K_1 t^{2c-2}; \quad k_2(t, x) \leq K_2 t^{c-1}$$

in some $\bar{D}(\tau, \infty)$. Suppose that u satisfies (1.2) in $\bar{D}(\tau, \infty)$. There are constants C and γ , independent of u , such that

$$\mathcal{E}(u, T) \geq C \exp(-\gamma T^c) \mathcal{E}(u, \tau) \quad \text{for all } T > \tau.$$

PROOF. Here again we follow the outline of §2 using this time the weight functions $\lambda = \exp(\alpha t^c)$ in place of $\lambda = e^{\alpha t}$.

REFERENCES

1. C. O. Bloom and N. D. Kazarinoff, *Energy decay exterior to star-shaped obstacles in energy-active media*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **19** (1972), A-141. Abstract #691-35-16.
2. L. Hörmander, *Uniqueness theorems for normally hyperbolic partial differential equations of the second order*, Tofte Skand. Math. Kongr., Lund, 1953, pp. 105-115. MR **16**, 483.

3. H. A. Levine, *Some uniqueness criteria in the Cauchy problem for $Pu_{tt} + Mu_t + Nu = 0$ in Hilbert space*, Battelle (Geneva) Advanced Studies Center Report 52, 1971.
4. A. C. Murray, *Asymptotic behavior of solutions of hyperbolic inequalities*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **157** (1971), 279–296. MR **43** #680.
5. H. Ogawa, *Lower bounds for solutions of hyperbolic inequalities*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (1965), 853–857. MR **33** #1596.
6. M. H. Protter, *Asymptotic behavior and uniqueness theorems for hyperbolic operators*, Outlines Joint Sympos. Partial Differential Equations (Novosibirsk, 1963), Acad. Sci. USSR Siberian Branch, Moscow, 1963, pp. 348–353. MR **34** #1659.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CORNELL UNIVERSITY, ITHACA, NEW YORK 14850

Current address: Department of Mathematics, Douglass College, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903