

## A NEW SIMPLE LIE ALGEBRA OF CHARACTERISTIC THREE

MARGUERITE FRANK

**ABSTRACT.** We define a restricted simple algebra  $T$  of dimension 18 over an arbitrary field of characteristic 3. From a certain property of its Cartan decomposition, we show  $T$  to be nonisomorphic to any known algebra of identical dimension.

0. The algebra  $T$  furnishes the first instance of a graded simple Lie algebra:

$$(0.1) \quad L = L_{-1} \oplus L_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_n, \quad [L_i, L_j] \subseteq L_{i+j},$$

in which  $L_0$  is a solvable algebra of dimension greater than 1.

Contained in  $T$  is a 10-dimensional simple restricted graded algebra  $S$ , with  $S_i \subseteq T_i$ , and  $S_0$  solvable, whose newness is still an open question.<sup>1</sup>

1. **Definition of  $T$ .** Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic 3. The algebras  $S$  and  $T$ , alluded to above, are realized as subalgebras of the Witt-Jacobson algebra  $W_3$  over  $F$ . This algebra is spanned by derivations:<sup>2</sup>

$$A = (a_1, a_2, a_3) = a_1\Delta_1 + a_2\Delta_2 + a_3\Delta_3,$$

where  $a_i \in F[x_1, x_2, x_3]$  with  $x_i^3 = 0$ , and  $\Delta_i$  denotes the differential operator  $\partial/\partial x_i$ . If  $B = (b_1, b_2, b_3)$ , multiplication in  $W_3$  is given by  $[A, B] = C = (c_1, c_2, c_3)$ , where

$$(1.1) \quad c_i = \sum_j [(\Delta_j a_i) b_j - (\Delta_j b_i) a_j].$$

The two algebras have nested gradations

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} S &= S_{-1} \oplus S_0 \oplus S_1, \\ T &= T_{-1} \oplus T_0 \oplus T_1 \oplus T_2 \oplus T_3, \\ [S_i, S_j] &\subseteq S_{i+j}, \quad [T_i, T_j] \subseteq T_{i+j}, \quad S_i \subseteq T_i, \end{aligned}$$

---

Received by the editors March 28, 1972.

*AMS (MOS) subject classifications* (1970). Primary 17B20.

<sup>1</sup> Although R. Wilson has shown  $S$  to be nonisomorphic to the classical matrix algebra of type  $B_2$ , the possibility still remains that  $S$  is one of the 10-dimensional algebras of [1], [5], or [6].

<sup>2</sup> Cf. [4].

where the subspaces  $S_i$  and  $T_i$  have the following bases over  $F$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_{-1} &= S_{-1} = \langle \Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3 \rangle, \\
 S_0 &= \langle A_1 = (x_1, x_2, x_3), A_2 = (0, x_2, -x_3), \\
 &\quad A_3 = (x_2, x_3, 0), A_4 = (0, x_1, -x_2) \rangle, \\
 S_1 &= \langle B_1 = (x_1x_2, x_1x_3, -x_2x_3), B_2 = (x_1^2, x_1x_2, x_2^2), \\
 &\quad B_3 = (-x_2^2, x_2x_3, x_3^2) \rangle, \\
 (1.3) \quad T_0 &= S_0 \oplus \langle A_5 = (x_3, 0, 0) \rangle, \\
 T_1 &= S_1 \oplus \langle B_4 = (x_1x_3, 0, x_3^2), B_5 = (x_2x_3, -x_3^2, 0), \\
 &\quad B_6 = (x_3^2, 0, 0) \rangle, \\
 T_2 &= \langle C_1 = (x_2^2x_3 - x_1x_3^2, x_2x_3^2, 0), \\
 &\quad C_2 = (x_1^2x_3 - x_1x_2^2, x_1x_2x_3, x_2^2x_3), C_3 = (x_1x_2x_3, -x_1x_3^2, x_2x_3^2) \rangle, \\
 T_3 &= \langle D_1 = (x_1x_2^2x_3 + x_1^2x_3^2, x_1x_2x_3^2, x_2^2x_3^2) \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

**THEOREM 1.1.** *The algebras  $S$  and  $T$  are restricted central simple algebras with a natural gradation such that  $S_0^{(4)} = T_0^{(4)} = 0$ .*

**PROOF.** We verify at once that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.4) \quad [S_i, S_1] &= S_{i+1} \quad (i = 0, 1), \\
 [T_i, T_{-1}] &= T_{i-1} \quad (i = 1, 2, 3), \\
 [T_0, T_3] &= T_3.
 \end{aligned}$$

The simplicity of  $S$  and  $T$  follows at once from (1.4) and the fact that the set of transformations induced in  $S_{-1}$ ,  $T_{-1}$  by multiplication by elements of  $S_0$  and  $T_0$ , respectively, is irreducible.<sup>3</sup> Indeed if  $\mathfrak{A} \neq 0$  is an ideal of  $S$ , then for some  $0 \leq r \leq 2$ ,  $\mathfrak{A}(\text{ad } S_{-1})^r \neq 0 \subseteq S_{-1} \cap \mathfrak{A}$ , and the irreducible representation of  $S_0 \rightarrow \text{Hom } S_{-1}$  then implies that  $\mathfrak{A} \supseteq S_{-1}$ . But then, by (1.4),  $\mathfrak{A} \supseteq S_0 \oplus S_1$ ,  $\mathfrak{A} = S$ , and  $S$  is central simple. Similarly if  $\mathfrak{A} \neq 0$  is an ideal of  $T$ ,  $\mathfrak{A} \supseteq T_{-1}$  and, by (1.4),  $\mathfrak{A} \supseteq \text{all } T_i$ , and  $T$  is central simple also.

The restrictedness of  $S$  and  $T$  follows at once from the restrictedness of  $S_0$  and  $T_0$ , respectively.<sup>4</sup> Indeed, denoting by  $A^3$  in  $W_3$  the third iterate of the derivation  $A$ , it is easily verified that  $A_1^3 = A_1$ ,  $A_2^3 = A_2$ , while  $A^3 = 0$  for all remaining basis elements of  $S$  and  $T$ .

We finally observe that the derived algebras of  $S_0$  and  $T_0$  have the following bases over  $F$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.5) \quad S_0^{(2)} &= \langle A_1, A_3, A_4 \rangle, & S_0^{(3)} &= \langle A_1 \rangle, & S_0^{(4)} &= 0. \\
 T_0^{(2)} &= \langle A_1, A_3, A_4, A_5 \rangle, & T_0^{(3)} &= \langle A_1, A_3 \rangle, & T_0^{(4)} &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

<sup>3</sup> Theorem 4.3 of [3] states that a naturally graded subalgebra  $G$  of the Witt-Jacobson algebra  $W_n$  containing all  $\partial/\partial x_i$  is simple if and only if  $G = G^2$ ,  $G_0 = [G_{-1}, G_1]$ ,  $G_1 = [G_1, G_0]$  and the representation of  $G_0$  in  $G_{-1}$  is irreducible.

<sup>4</sup> Cf. Theorem 3.3 of [3].

**2. Cartan decomposition.** The subspace  $H = \langle A_1, A_2 \rangle$  is an abelian subalgebra of  $S$  and  $T$ . For  $w \in H^*$ , define

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} T_w &= \{t \in T \mid t \operatorname{ad}(A) = w(A)t \text{ for all } A \in H\}, \\ S_w &= \{s \in S \mid s \operatorname{ad}(A) = w(A)s \text{ for all } A \in H\}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $w_i(A_j) = \delta_{ij}$  ( $i, j = 1, 2$ ), it follows directly that

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} H &= T_0 = \langle A_1, A_2 \rangle, \\ T_{w_1} &= \langle B_2, B_5 \rangle, & T_{-w_1} &= \langle \Delta_1, C_3 \rangle, \\ T_{w_2} &= \langle A_3, D_1 \rangle, & T_{-w_2} &= \langle A_4, A_5 \rangle, \\ T_{w_1+w_2} &= \langle B_1, B_6 \rangle, & T_{-w_1-w_2} &= \langle \Delta_2, C_2 \rangle, \\ T_{w_1-w_2} &= \langle B_3, B_4 \rangle, & T_{-w_1+w_2} &= \langle \Delta_3, C_1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} H &= S_0 = \langle A_1, A_2 \rangle, \\ S_{w_1} &= \langle B_2 \rangle, & S_{-w_1} &= \langle \Delta_1 \rangle, \\ S_{w_2} &= \langle A_3 \rangle, & S_{-w_2} &= \langle A_4 \rangle, \\ S_{w_1+w_2} &= \langle B_1 \rangle, & S_{-w_1-w_2} &= \langle \Delta_2 \rangle, \\ S_{w_1-w_2} &= \langle B_3 \rangle, & S_{-w_1+w_2} &= \langle \Delta_3 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $H$  is a splitting Cartan subalgebra of both  $S$  and  $T$ , with roots  $\alpha = \lambda_1 w_1 + \lambda_2 w_2$  for integers  $\lambda_i = -1, 0, 1$ .

**3. Newness of  $T$ .** The only known simple algebra of dimension 18 is the Witt-Jacobson algebra  $W_2$ . As shown in [2], every Cartan subalgebra of  $W_2$  is conjugate to one and only one of

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &= \langle (x_1, 0), (0, x_2) \rangle, & H_2 &= \langle (x_1 + 1, 0), (0, x_2) \rangle, \\ H_3 &= \langle (x_1 + 1, 0), (0, x_2 + 1) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

If  $H$  is a Cartan subalgebra of a Lie algebra  $L$ , let  $n(L, H)$  denote the number of pairs (unordered) of roots  $\{\alpha, -\alpha\}$  such that  $[L_\alpha, L_{-\alpha}] = H$ . Then  $n(L, H)$  depends only on the conjugacy of  $H$ . We prove<sup>5</sup>

**LEMMA 3.1.** *If  $H$  is a Cartan subalgebra of  $W_2$ , then  $n(W_2, H) \geq 2$ .*

**PROOF.** By writing  $H = \langle \theta_1 = (y_1, 0), \theta_2 = (0, y_2) \rangle$ , where  $y_1 = x_1$  or  $x_1 + 1$ ,  $y_2 = x_2$  or  $x_2 + 1$ , we can prove the lemma for all three  $H_i$  at once. Let

$$U_w = \{u \in W_2 \mid u \operatorname{ad}(\theta) = w(\theta)u \text{ for all } \theta \in H\}.$$

<sup>5</sup> The author is indebted to R. Wilson for suggesting a proof based on [2] much simpler than her original one. The related proof given here is even shorter.

Letting  $w_i(\theta_j) = \delta_{ij}$  for  $i, j = 1, 2$ , we determine

$$\begin{aligned} U_{w_1} &= \langle (y_1^2, 0), (0, y_1 y_2) \rangle, & U_{-w_1} &= \langle (1, 0), (0, y_1^2 y_2) \rangle, \\ U_{w_2} &= \langle (y_1 y_2, 0), (0, y_2^2) \rangle, & U_{-w_2} &= \langle (y_1 y_2^2, 0), (0, 1) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It is at once immediate that  $[U_{w_1}, U_{-w_1}] = [U_{w_2}, U_{-w_2}] = H$  for all allowable substitutions for  $y_1$  and  $y_2$ . Thus  $n(W_2, H) \geq 2$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.** *The algebra  $T$  is not isomorphic to  $W_2$  and is therefore new.*

**PROOF.** For  $\alpha = w_1, w_2, w_1 + w_2$  the subspace  $[T_\alpha, T_{-\alpha}]$  is equal to  $\langle A_1 + A_2 \rangle, \langle A_1 \rangle, \langle A_1 - A_2 \rangle$ , respectively. While  $[T_{w_1 - w_2}, T_{-w_1 + w_2}] = H$ . Hence  $n(T, H) = 1$ , and by Lemma 3.1,  $T$  cannot be isomorphic to  $W_2$ .

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. G. Brown, *Lie algebras of characteristic three with nondegenerate Killing form*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **137** (1969), 259–268. MR **39** #2825.
2. S. P. Demuškin, *Cartan subalgebras of the simple Lie algebra  $W_n$  and  $S_n$* , Sibirsk. Mat. Ž. **11** (1970), 310–325 = Siberian Math. J. **11** (1970), 233–245. MR **41** #6919.
3. M. Frank, *On a theory relating matrix Lie algebras of characteristic  $p$  and subalgebras of the Witt-Jacobson algebra*, Progress Report I.T., Math. Dept., University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minn., 1943, pp. 107–121.
4. N. Jacobson, *Classes of restricted Lie algebras of characteristic  $p$* , II, Duke Math. J. **10** (1943), 107–121. MR **4**, 187.
5. I. Kaplansky, *Lie algebras of characteristic  $p$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **89** (1958), 149–183. MR **20** #5799.
6. A. I. Kostrikin, *A parametric family of simple Lie algebras*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **34** (1970), 744–756 = Math. USSR Izv. **4** (1970), 751–764. MR **43** #302.

115 BROADMEAD, PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY 08540