

## ON REPRESENTATIONS OF THE GROUP OF LISTING'S KNOT BY SUBGROUPS OF $SL(2, C)$

ALICE WHITTEMORE<sup>1</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** The subgroups  $G$  of  $SL(2, C)$  which represent the group of Listing's knot are characterized by the traces of  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $AB$ , where  $A$  and  $B$  are matrices which generate  $G$ . From this it follows that there exist finitely generated nondiscrete subgroups of  $SL(2, R)$  which are not isomorphic to any Fuchsian group.

**1. Introduction and statement of results.** The special linear group  $SL(2, C)$ , where  $C$  is the field of complex numbers, is the group of all  $2 \times 2$  matrices

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}, \quad ad - bc = 1, \quad a, b, c, d \in C.$$

For  $A \in SL(2, C)$  let  $\sigma(A)$  denote the trace of  $A$ . The quotient group  $SL(2, C)/\{\pm I\}$  is isomorphic to the group  $\Omega$  of all linear fractional transformations  $T(z) = (az+b)/(cz+d)$  of the extended complex plane into itself with complex coefficients and determinant 1. In this paper an element  $T$  of  $\Omega$  is identified with a matrix of the form (1.1), with the understanding that a matrix and its negative define the same  $T$ .

Let  $F_n$  be a free group on  $n$  free generators  $x_k$ ,  $k=1, \dots, n$ ; let  $\rho$  be a representation of  $F_n$  by a subgroup  $G$  of  $SL(2, C)$ . It is known [2], [3] that the characters of all the elements of  $F_n$  can be expressed in terms of the characters of a finite subset  $S_n$  of  $F_n$  consisting of the generators  $x_k$  and certain of their products, with  $|S_n| = 2^n - 1$ . When ordered, the  $2^n - 1$  complex numbers  $\sigma(\rho(u))$ ,  $u \in S_n$ , determine an element  $\sigma_\rho$  of  $C^{2^n-1}$ , and the character manifold

$$\mathcal{T}_n = \{\sigma_\rho / \rho: F_n \rightarrow SL(2, C), \rho \text{ is a representation}\}$$

---

Received by the editors June 19, 1972.

*AMS (MOS) subject classifications* (1970). Primary 20E40; Secondary 20H20.

*Key words and phrases.* Knot group, Listing knot, braid automorphism of free group, character manifold, elliptic and parabolic transformations, discrete subgroups of  $SL(2, C)$ .

<sup>1</sup> This research was supported by a grant from the City University of New York. The advice of Wilhelm Magnus and the helpful suggestions of the referee are gratefully acknowledged.

describes the continuum of all representations of  $F_n$  by subgroups of  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ . When  $n=2$  and  $\rho(x_1)$ ,  $\rho(x_2)$ ,  $\rho(x_1x_2)$  do not all have trace  $\pm 2$ , the point  $\sigma_\rho = (\sigma(\rho(x_1)), \sigma(\rho(x_2)), \sigma(\rho(x_1x_2)))$  of  $\mathcal{T}_2$  determines the representation  $\rho$  up to conjugation.

The braid group  $B_n$  is the group of automorphisms of  $F_n$  generated by the automorphisms  $\beta_k$ ,  $k=1, \dots, n-1$ , with  $\beta_k: x_k \rightarrow x_{k+1}$ ,  $\beta_k: x_{k+1} \rightarrow x_{k+1}x_kx_{k+1}^{-1}$ ,  $\beta_k: x_i \rightarrow x_i$ ,  $i \neq k, k+1$ . The fact that every knot group can be obtained from  $F_n$  (for appropriate  $n$ ) by identifying the generators  $x_k$  with their images under an element  $\beta$  of  $B_n$  [1] has motivated a long standing conjecture of Wilhelm Magnus: the points of the manifold  $\mathcal{T}_n$  corresponding to representations of a knot group are the fixed points of the automorphism of  $\mathcal{T}_n$  induced by the associated braid automorphism  $\beta \in B_n$ . The following theorem describes the submanifold of  $\mathcal{T}_2$  corresponding to representations of the group of Listing's knot.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $L = \langle a, b; R(a, b) \rangle$  with  $R(a, b) = b^{-1}a^{-1}bab^{-1}aba^{-1}b^{-1}a$  be a presentation of the group of Listing's knot; let  $\Lambda$  denote the set of all subgroups of  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$  which represent  $L$ . An abelian group  $G \in \Lambda$  if and only if  $G$  is cyclic; a nonabelian group  $G \in \Lambda$  if and only if  $G = \langle A, B \rangle$ , where  $\sigma(A) = \sigma(B)$  is an arbitrary complex number  $x$ , and*

$$(1.2) \quad \sigma(AB) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + x^2 \pm ((x^2 - 1)(x^2 - 5))^{1/2}).$$

The question of faithfulness of the representations given by the theorem is open. The derived group  $L'$  of  $L$  is free of rank 2 [5], and a group  $G$  in  $\Lambda$  will faithfully represent  $L$  if and only if  $G'$  is free of rank 2, but there are presently no known criteria for determining the freeness of subgroups of the groups in  $\Lambda$ .

For  $x \in \mathbb{C}$  let  $G_x = \langle A_x, B_x \rangle$  be a nonabelian group in  $\Lambda$  with  $\sigma(A_x) = \sigma(B_x) = x$ , and  $\sigma(A_x B_x)$  given by (1.2). We may conjugate so that  $G_{\pm 2}$  is generated by

$$\pm \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \pm \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad t = e^{i\pi/3},$$

and for  $x \neq \pm 2$ ,  $G_x$  is generated by

$$(1.3) \quad A_x = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \lambda = \frac{1}{2}(x \pm (x^2 - 4)^{1/2}),$$

$$B_x = \begin{bmatrix} \mu & 1 \\ \mu(x - \mu) - 1 & x - \mu \end{bmatrix}$$

$\mu = (\lambda z - x)/(\lambda^2 - 1)$ , where  $z = \sigma(AB)$  is given by (1.2). If  $G_x$  is regarded as a subgroup of  $\Omega$ , then  $A_{-x} = A_x^{-1}$ ,  $B_{-x} = B_x^{-1}$ ; hence  $G_x = G_{-x}$ .  $G_{5^{1/2}}$  is

torsion free metabelian,  $G_1$  is generated by elliptic transformations of order 3 and is not discrete [4, Theorem 2.3]. For  $x$  real,  $x^2 > 5$ ,  $G_x$  is not metabelian; moreover the following theorem holds.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $z = \frac{1}{2}(1 + x^2 + ((x^2 - 1)(x^2 - 5))^{1/2})$  where  $x$  is real and transcendental with  $x^2 > 5$ . Let  $G = \langle A, B \rangle$  where  $A = A_x$ ,  $B = B_x$  are given by (1.3).  $G$  is a finitely generated nondiscrete subgroup of  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  which is not isomorphic to any Fuchsian group.*

A more detailed description of the nonabelian groups in  $\Lambda$  awaits further investigation.

**2. The proof of the theorems.** We need the following facts about the trace function on  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$  [2]:

For all  $A, B, C \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$

$$(2.1) \quad \sigma(A) = \sigma(A^{-1}),$$

$$(2.2) \quad \sigma(AB) = \sigma(A) \cdot \sigma(B) - \sigma(AB^{-1}),$$

$$(2.3) \quad \sigma(ABC) = \sigma(A) \cdot \sigma(BC) + \sigma(B) \cdot \sigma(AC) + \sigma(C) \cdot \sigma(AB) - \sigma(A) \cdot \sigma(B) \cdot \sigma(C) - \sigma(ACB).$$

When applying (2.3) to rewrite  $\sigma(X_1 X_2 \cdots X_n)$ ,  $X_i \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , we say that  $\sigma(X_1 X_2 \cdots X_n)$  is expanded according to the subdivision  $X_1 \cdots X_k (X_{k+1} \cdots X_m) X_{m+1} \cdots X_n$  if  $A = X_1 \cdots X_k$ ,  $B = X_{k+1} \cdots X_m$ ,  $C = X_{m+1} \cdots X_n$ .

The proof of Theorem 1 requires the following two lemmas.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $A, B \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ ; let  $R = B^{-1}A^{-1}BAB^{-1}ABA^{-1}B^{-1}A$  and suppose  $\sigma(A) = \sigma(B) = x$ ,  $\sigma(AB) = z$ . Let  $f = f(x, z) = x^2 - z - 2$ ,  $g = g(x, z) = z^2 - (1 + x^2)z + 2x^2 - 1$ . Then*

- (i)  $\sigma(RB) = x$ ,
- (ii)  $\sigma(R) - 2 = fg^2$ ,
- (iii)  $\sigma(RA^{-1}) - x = x(2 - z)fg$ .

**PROOF.** (i) follows from the fact that  $RB$  and  $A$  are conjugate in  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ . Let  $C(x, z) = \sigma(B^{-1}A^{-1}BA) = 2x^2 + z^2 - x^2z - 2$ . Expansion of  $\sigma(R)$  via (2.3) according to the subdivision  $B^{-1}A^{-1}BA(B^{-1}AB)A^{-1}B^{-1}A$ , using (2.1), (2.2) and the fact that the trace function is invariant under conjugation, yields  $\sigma(R) - 2 = x^2(C - 1)^2 - z(C^2 - C - 1) - 2 = fg^2$ .

Expansion of  $\sigma(RA^{-1})$  according to the subdivision

$$B^{-1}ABA(B^{-1}AB)A^{-1}B^{-1}$$

yields  $\sigma(RA^{-1}) - x = x(z(2 - C) + C(C - 1) - 2) = x(2 - z)fg$ .

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $A, B, R \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$  with  $\sigma(A) = \sigma(B) = x$  and  $\sigma(AB) \neq 2$ ,  $\sigma(AB) \neq x^2 - 2$ . If  $R$  satisfies  $\sigma(R) = 2$ ,  $\sigma(RA) = \sigma(RB) = x$ , then  $R = I$ .*

PROOF. If  $R \neq I$  then we may conjugate  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $R$  so that  $R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .  $\sigma(RA) = \sigma(RB) = x$  implies that

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \gamma \\ 0 & \alpha^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } \alpha = \frac{1}{2}(x \pm (x^2 - 4)^{1/2}),$$

and

$$B^{\pm 1} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \mu \\ 0 & \alpha^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma, \mu \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Hence  $\sigma(AB) = x^2 - 2$  or  $\sigma(AB) = 2$ .

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Since  $L/L'$  is infinite cyclic [5] it is clear that an abelian subgroup  $G$  of  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$  belongs to  $\Lambda$  if and only if  $G$  is cyclic. If  $G \in \Lambda$  is nonabelian and  $\rho: L \rightarrow G$  is an epimorphism with  $\rho(a) = A$ ,  $\rho(b) = B$ , then since  $A$  and  $B$  are conjugate in  $G$ ,  $\sigma(A) = \sigma(B)$ . Without loss of generality  $A$  is in Jordan canonical form. Using the notation of Lemma 1, by (ii) either  $f(x, z) = 0$  or  $g(x, z) = 0$ . In the latter case (1.2) of the theorem is satisfied. If  $f(x, z) = 0$  then  $x \neq \pm 2$ , else  $G$  would be abelian, contrary to assumption. Thus we may write

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda + \frac{1}{\lambda} = x, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} \mu & \beta \\ \gamma & x - \mu \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mu(x - \mu) - \beta\gamma = 1.$$

Setting  $\sigma(AB) = x^2 - 2$  and solving for  $\mu$  yields  $\mu = \lambda$  and  $B = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \beta \\ \gamma & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$  with either  $\beta$  or  $\gamma$  equal to 0. The relation  $R = I$  forces  $\lambda^4 - 3\lambda^2 + 1 = 0$ ; hence  $x = \lambda + 1/\lambda = 5^{1/2}$ ,  $\sigma(AB) = 3$ , and  $x, z = \sigma(AB)$  again satisfy (1.2).

Conversely, let  $G = \langle A, B \rangle \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$  with  $\sigma(A) = \sigma(B) = x$ ,  $\sigma(AB) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + x^2 \pm ((x^2 - 1)(x^2 - 5))^{1/2})$ . Then  $\sigma(AB)$  is different from 2 and  $x^2 - 2$ , whence  $G$  is nonabelian. Since  $g(x, z) = 0$ , by Lemma 1  $\sigma(R) = 2$ ,  $\sigma(RB) = x$  and  $\sigma(RA^{-1}) = x$ ; hence by (2.2)  $\sigma(RA) = x$ . Lemma 2 now applies to complete the proof.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. For  $x^2 > 5$  and transcendental,

$$z = \frac{1}{2}(1 + x^2 + ((x^2 - 1)(x^2 - 5))^{1/2}),$$

and  $A$  and  $B$  given by (1.3),  $G$  is clearly a subgroup of  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ . By Theorem 1  $G \in \Lambda$ . By (2.2)  $\sigma(BAB^{-2}) = x^2 - z$ . The choices of  $x$  and  $z$  imply that  $BAB^{-2}$  is elliptic of infinite order, hence  $G$  is not discrete.

It is known [7] that a Fuchsian group of rank 2 has a presentation of one of the following three types:

- (i)  $H_{k,l,m} = \langle C, D; C^k, D^l, (CD)^m \rangle$  with  $1/k + 1/l + 1/m < 1$ ;
- (ii)  $H_k = \langle C, D; [C, D]^k \rangle$ ;
- (iii)  $H_{k,l} = \langle C, D; C^k, D^l \rangle$ .

The relations in  $H_{k,l,m}$ , and the fact that the traces of all group elements are polynomials with integer coefficients in  $\sigma(C)$ ,  $\sigma(D)$ ,  $\sigma(CD)$  [3], imply

that the traces of all elements in  $H_{k,l,m}$  are algebraic numbers. Thus  $G$  cannot be isomorphic to a group of type (i). In case (ii), if  $\varphi: H_k \rightarrow G$  were an isomorphism, then  $[A, B]$  would be conjugate in  $G$  to  $\varphi([C, D]^{\pm 1})$  [6, Theorem 4], forcing  $\sigma([A, B])$  to be algebraic. But the relation  $g(x, z) = 0$  satisfied by  $x$  and  $z$  implies that  $\sigma([A, B]) = z - 1$ , where  $z$  is transcendental. To dispense with case (iii), one needs the facts, implicit in the results of [5], that the derived group  $L'$  of  $L$  is free on two generators, and that  $G$  is isomorphic to  $L$  if  $G'$  is also free of rank 2. For the derived group of  $H_{k,l}$  is free of rank  $(k-1)(l-1)$ ; thus the assumption that  $G$  is isomorphic to  $H_{k,l}$  implies that  $(k, l) = (2, 3)$  and that  $G'$  is free of rank 2. But then  $G$ , and hence the group  $H_{2,3}$ , would be isomorphic to the torsion free group  $L$ , which is impossible.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

#### REFERENCES

1. E. Artin, *Theorie der Zöpfe*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **4** (1926), 47–72.
2. R. Fricke and F. Klein, *Vorlesungen über die Theorie der automorphen Functionen*, Vol. 1, Teubner, Leipzig, 1897, pp. 338, 366.
3. R. Horowitz, *Characters of free groups represented in the two-dimensional special linear group*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **25** (1972), 635–650.
4. A. W. Knap, *Doubly generated Fuchsian groups*, Michigan Math. J. **15** (1968), 289–304. MR **40** #1483.
5. W. Magnus, *Untersuchungen über einige unendliche diskontinuierliche Gruppen*, Math. Ann. **105** (1931), 52–74.
6. G. Rosenberger, *Automorphismen und Erzeugende für Gruppen mit einer definierenden Relation*, Math. Z. **129** (1972), 259–267.
7. H. Zieschang, Unpublished.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HUNTER COLLEGE (CUNY), NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10021