## A GENERALIZATION OF THE BANACH-STONE THEOREM<sup>1</sup>

## BAHATTIN CENGIZ<sup>2</sup>

ABSTRACT. In this paper the following generalization of the Banach-Stone theorem is proved: If  $\phi$  is a linear isomorphism of an extremely regular linear subspace of  $C_0(X)$  onto such a subspace of  $C_0(Y)$  with  $\|\phi\| \|\phi^{-1}\| < 2$  then X and Y are homeomorphic.

If X is a locally compact space,<sup>3</sup> we denote by  $C_0(X)$  ( $C_0^r(X)$ ) the Banach space of continuous, complex- (real-) valued functions vanishing at infinity on X, provided with the usual supremum norm. (We recall that if X is actually compact,  $C_0(X)$  ( $C_0^r(X)$ ) coincides with the set of all continuous, complex- (real-) valued functions on X and is denoted by C(X) ( $C^r(X)$ ).) We call a closed linear subspace A of  $C_0(X)$  completely regular (extremely regular) if for each  $x \in X$ , each open neighborhood V of X (and each real number E with 0 < E < 1) there is a function  $f \in A$  such that  $1 = \|f\| = f(x) > \sup\{|f(x')|: x' \in X \setminus V\}$  ( $1 = \|f\| = f(x) > E \ge |f(x')|$  for every  $x' \in X \setminus V$ ). Clearly, every extremely regular function space is completely regular. But the converse is false. In [3] it is shown that if X has at least three points then  $C_0(X)$  has proper completely regular linear subspaces while  $C_0(X)$  has a proper extremely regular linear subspaces while  $C_0(X)$  has a proper extremely regular linear subspace if, and only if, X is nondispersed, that is, the Eth derived set Eth is nonvoid for every ordinal number Eth is a local support of Eth is nonvoid for every ordinal number Eth is denoted by Eth is nonvoid for every ordinal number Eth is nonvoided.

The well-known Banach-Stone theorem states that if  $C_0(X)$  and  $C_0(Y)$  are isometrically isomorphic then X and Y are homeomorphic.

Myers [4] has proved that a sufficient condition for compact spaces X and Y to be homeomorphic is that a completely regular linear subspace of  $C^r(X)$  and such a subspace of  $C^r(Y)$  be isometrically isomorphic.

Cambern [2] has shown that if there is a linear isomorphism  $\phi$  of  $C_0(X)$  onto  $C_0(Y)$ , for any locally compact spaces X and Y, such that  $\|\phi\| \|\phi^{-1}\| < 2$ , then X and Y are homeomorphic. (Amir [1] proved this result, independently, in the special case that X and Y are compact and  $\phi$  is from  $C^r(X)$  onto  $C^r(Y)$ .)

Received by the editors May 16, 1972.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 46E15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This is a part of the author's Ph.D. thesis prepared at the University of California, Santa Barbara, under the supervision of Professor M. Cambern.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Work supported by Air Force Office of Scientific Research grant 72-2164.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Throughout this paper all topological spaces are assumed to be Hausdorff.

The purpose of this article is to prove the following theorem, which, in certain special cases, combines Myers' and Cambern's results.

THEOREM. Let X and Y be locally compact spaces and let A and B be extremely regular linear subspaces of  $C_0(X)$  and  $C_0(Y)$  respectively. If there is a linear isomorphism  $\phi$  of A onto B with  $\|\phi\| \|\phi^{-1}\| < 2$ , then X and Y are homeomorphic.

Before beginning the proof of the theorem, we establish some conventions regarding notation. Let  $\mu$  be a finite regular Borel measure on the locally compact space X. For a Borel set F in X,  $\mu/F(f)$ ,  $f \in C_0(X)$ , denotes the  $\mu$ -integral of f over F. If F = X we use  $\mu(f)$  instead of  $\mu/X(f)$ .  $\|\mu\|$  denotes  $|\mu|(X)$ , where  $|\mu|$  is the total variation of  $\mu$ . For a point  $x \in X$ ,  $\mu_x$  denotes the unit point mass at x.

Let X, Y, A, B and  $\phi$  be as in the theorem. We may assume that  $\phi$  is norm-increasing and that  $\|\phi^{-1}\|=1$ . (If not, we take  $\psi=\|\phi^{-1}\|\phi$  which has these properties.) For each  $y\in Y$  ( $x\in X$ ),  $\phi^*\mu_y$  ( $\phi^{*-1}\mu_x$ ) will denote the linear functional on A (on B) defined by:  $\phi^*\mu_y(f)=\phi(f)(y)$  ( $\phi^{*-1}\mu_x(g)=\phi^{-1}(g)(x)$ ) for each  $f\in A$  ( $g\in B$ ), where  $\phi^*$  denotes the adjoint of  $\phi$ . For each  $y\in Y$  ( $x\in X$ ), we fix a finite regular Borel measure  $\nu(y)$  on X ( $\nu(x)$  on Y) such that  $\nu(y)(f)=\phi^*\mu_y(f)$  ( $\nu(x)(g)=\phi^{*-1}\mu_x(g)$ ) for each  $f\in A$  ( $g\in B$ ) and  $\|\nu(y)\|=\|\phi^*\mu_y\|$  ( $\|\nu(x)\|=\|\phi^{*-1}\mu_x\|$ ).

If  $\{U_i: i \in I\}$  denotes the family of all open neighborhoods of a point  $x \in X$ , the index set I will always be assumed partially ordered by the relation that  $i \ge j$  if, and only if,  $U_i \subseteq U_j$ .

In the proof of the theorem we shall employ the techniques of [2]. Let M be a positive number such that  $\|\phi\| < 2M < 2$ , and let  $M' = \|\phi\| - M$ ,  $N = \frac{1}{2}M$  and N' = 1 - N. It follows that for each  $x \in X$  there exists at most one  $y \in Y$  such that  $|v(x)(\{y\})| > N$ , and for each  $y \in Y$  there is at most one  $x \in X$  such that  $|v(y)(\{x\})| > M$ . Define  $Y_1 = \{y \in Y : |v(y)(\{x\})| > M$  for some  $x \in X\}$  and  $X_1 = \{x \in X : |v(x)(\{y\})| > N \text{ for some } y \in Y\}$ . Now let us define  $\rho$  from  $Y_1$  into X by:  $\rho(y) = x$  such that  $|v(y)(\{x\})| > M$ , and similarly define  $\tau$  from  $X_1$  into Y by:  $\tau(x) = y$  such that  $|v(x)(\{y\})| > N$ .

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. We shall fix a decreasing sequence  $\varepsilon_n$  of positive numbers with  $0 < \varepsilon_n < 1$  and  $\lim_n \varepsilon_n = 0$ . If  $\{V_i : i \in I\}$  is the set of all open neighborhoods of  $x \in X$ , we call a family of functions  $\{f_{in} : i \in I; n=1, 2, \cdots\} \subseteq C_0(X)$  an extremely regular system at x if  $1 = ||f_{in}|| = f_{in}(x) > \varepsilon_n \ge ||f_{in}(z)||$  for every  $z \in X \setminus V_i$ .

We shall need the following simple lemmas.

LEMMA 1. Let  $\mu$  be a finite regular Borel measure on X, x be a point in X and  $\{V_i : i \in I\}$  be the set of all open neighborhoods of x. For each  $i \in I$ , let  $f_i$  be a measurable function on X vanishing outside  $V_i$  with  $1 = f_i(x) = \sup\{|f_i(z)| : z \in X\}$ . Then,  $\lim_i \mu(f_i) = \mu(\{x\})$ .

Lemma 2. Let  $x_0 \in X$  (resp.  $y_0 \in Y$ ) be arbitrary, and let  $\{f_{in}: i \in I; n=1, 2, \cdots\} \subset A$  (resp.  $\{g_{jn}: j \in J; n=1, 2, \cdots\} \subset B$ ) be an extremely regular system at  $x_0$  (resp. at  $y_0$ ). Now let  $Y(x_0, n)$  (resp.  $X(y_0, n)$ ) denote the set of all  $y \in Y$  (resp.  $x \in X$ ) such that y (resp. x) is a cluster point of a net  $\{y_i: i \in I\}$  (resp.  $\{x_j: j \in J\}$ ) with  $|\phi(f_{in})(y_i)| > M$  (resp.  $|\phi^{-1}(g_{jn})(x_j)| > N$ ). Then,  $Y(x_0, n)$  (resp.  $X(y_0, n)$ ) is finite for every n, provided  $\varepsilon_n < M/\|\phi\| - \frac{1}{2}$  (resp.  $\varepsilon_n < \frac{1}{2}M - \frac{1}{2}$ ).

PROOF. As in the proof Proposition 1 of [2, p. 1063] one can easily show that if  $y \in Y(x_0, n)$ ,  $|\phi^{-1}(g)(x_0)| \ge M/\|\phi\| - \frac{1}{2}$  for each  $g \in B$  with  $M = \|g\| = g(y)$ . Let  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m$  be m distinct points of  $Y(x_0, n)$  and let  $U_1, U_2, \dots, U_m$  be disjoint open sets with  $y_j \in U_j$ . For each  $1 \le k \le m$  let  $g_k \in B$  such that  $M = \|g_k\| = g_k(y_k)$  and  $|g_k(y)| \le M/m$  for every  $y \in Y \setminus U_k$ . Choose complex numbers  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m$  with  $|\lambda_k| = 1$  and such that  $\lambda_1 \phi^{-1}(g_1)(x_0), \dots, \lambda_m \phi^{-1}(g_m)(x_0)$  have equal arguments. Then we get:

$$2M > \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_k g_k \right\| \ge \left\| \phi^{-1} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_k g_k \right) \right\|$$
$$\ge \left| \sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_k \phi^{-1}(g_k)(x_0) \right| \ge m(M/\|\phi\| - \frac{1}{2}),$$

from which we conclude that  $Y(x_0, n)$  is finite.

To prove that  $X(y_0, n)$  is finite, replace  $\phi$  by  $\psi = \|\phi\|\phi^{-1}$ , M by  $M_0 = \|\phi\|N$  and interchange X and Y in the above proof.

LEMMA 3. Let x and y be arbitrary points of X and Y respectively and  $\{g_{jn}: j \in J; n=1, 2, \cdots\} \subseteq B$  be an extremely regular system at y. If  $N_1 > N$  and  $\varepsilon_n < N_1 - N$  then the inequality  $|v(x)(\{y\})| > N_1$  implies that x is a cluster point of a net  $\{x_j: |\phi^{-1}(g_{jn})(x_j)| > N; j \in J\}$ .

PROOF. Let  $\lambda$  be any element of the cluster set of the net  $\{|\phi^{-1}(g_{jn})(x)|: j \in J\}$ . It is easy to show that  $\lambda \ge |\nu(x)(\{y\})| - \varepsilon_n > N_1 - (N_1 - N) = N$ . (Use Lemma 1 and the fact that  $\lambda$  is the limit of a subnet of  $\{|\nu(x)(g_{jn})|: j \in J\}$ .) Now it is clear that x is a cluster point of the net  $\{x_j: j \in J\}$ , where  $x_j = x$  if  $|\phi^{-1}(g_{jn})(x)| > N$  and  $x_j$  is any point such that  $|\phi^{-1}(g_{jn})(x_j)| > N$ , otherwise.

As in [2] the proof of the theorem is now completed by means of three propositions.

PROPOSITION 1.  $\rho$  (resp.  $\tau$ ) is a mapping of  $Y_1$  (resp.  $X_1$ ) onto X (resp. Y).

PROOF. Let x be any point of X. We want to show that there exists  $y \in Y$  such that  $|v(y)(\{x\})| > M$ . To this end, we fix a real number  $M_1$  with  $M < M_1 < 1$  and assume that  $\varepsilon_1 < \min\{(M_1 - M)/4, M/\|\phi\| - \frac{1}{2}\}$ . Let  $\{V_i : i \in I\}$  denote the set of all open neighborhoods of x and let  $\{f_{in} : i \in I; n=1, 2, \cdots\} \subseteq A$  be an extremely regular system at x. Since  $\varepsilon_1 < M/\|\phi\| - \frac{1}{2}$ ,

Y(x, 1) is finite (Lemma 2). Suppose that  $|v(y)(\{x\})| \leq M$  for each  $y \in Y(x, 1)$ . Then from Lemma 1 and the inequality  $|v(y)(f_{i1})| \leq |[v(y)/V_i(f_{i1})]| + 2\varepsilon_1$  for each  $y \in Y$  and each  $i \in I$ , it follows that there exists  $i_1 \in I$  such that  $|v(y)(f_{i1})| < M + 4\varepsilon_1 < M_1$  for all  $i \geq i_1$  and all  $y \in Y(x, 1)$ .

Let  $\mu = \nu(x) - \sum_{v \in Y(x,1)} \beta_v \mu_v$ , where  $\beta_v = \nu(x)(\{y\})$ . Since  $\mu$  is identically zero on Y(x,1) there exists a compact K in  $Y \setminus Y(x,1)$  such that  $|\mu|(Y \setminus K) < (1-M_1)/4$ . Since K is compact and disjoint from Y(x,1) there exists  $i_2 \in I$  such that  $|\phi(f_{in})(y)| \leq M$  for all  $i \geq i_2$  and all  $y \in K$ . For each  $i \in I$ ,  $i \geq i_1$  and  $i \geq i_2$  we have (noting that  $\sum_{v \in Y(x,1)} |\beta_v| \leq 1$  and  $\|\mu\| \leq 1 - \sum_{v \in Y(x,1)} |\beta_v|$ )

$$\begin{split} 1 &= f_{i1}(x) = \nu(x)(\phi(f_{i1})) \\ &= \sum_{y \in Y(x,1)} \beta_y \mu_y(\phi(f_{i1})) + \mu/K(\phi(f_{i1})) + \mu/(Y \setminus K)(\phi(f_{i1})) \\ &< \sum_{y \in Y(x,1)} |\beta_y| |M_1 + M ||\mu|| + 2(1 - M_1)/4 \\ &< \sum_{y \in Y(x,1)} |\beta_y| |M_1 + M \left(1 - \sum_{y \in Y(x,1)} |\beta_y| \right) + (1 - M_1)/2 < 1, \end{split}$$

which is absurd.

To prove that  $\tau$  maps  $X_1$  onto Y we let  $\psi = \|\phi\|\phi^{-1}$  and  $M_0 = \|\phi\|N$ . By the above discussion, for each  $y \in Y$  there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $|\gamma(x)(\{y\})| > M_0$ , where  $\gamma(x) = \|\phi\|\nu(x)$ . Hence  $|\nu(x)(\{y\})| > N$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.** If  $y \in Y_1$ ,  $\rho(y) = x$ , then  $x \in X_1$  and  $\tau(x) = y$ .

PROOF. Let  $y \in Y_1$ ,  $x = \rho(y)$  and let  $\{g_{jn}: j \in J; n=1, 2, \dots\} \subseteq B$  be an extremely regular system at y. We know that if either  $x \notin X_1$  or  $x \in X_1$  but  $\tau(x) \neq y$  then  $|\nu(x)(\{y\})| \leq N$ . Suppose that  $|\nu(x)(\{y\})| \leq N$ . Choose a positive number  $N_1$  such that  $N < N_1 < 1/\|\phi\|$ . Then  $P > N_1$ , where P = $\sup\{|\nu(x')(\{y\})|: x' \in X\}$ . (For if we call  $M_1 = \frac{1}{2}N_1$ , then  $\|\phi\| < 2M_1 < 2$ , therefore, by Proposition 1 there exists  $x' \in X$  with  $|\nu(x')(\{y\})| > N_1$ .) Let  $\varepsilon$  be a positive number less than  $N_1 - N$  and  $(P - \varepsilon)M > (P + \varepsilon)M' + \varepsilon$ . We may assume that  $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon/2$  and  $\varepsilon_1 < \frac{1}{2}M - \frac{1}{2}$ . Then, by Lemma 2, X(v, 1) is finite, and by Lemma 3,  $|\nu(x')(\{y\})| > N_1$  implies that  $x' \in X(y, 1)$ . Thus,  $P = \max\{|v(x')(\{y\})| : x' \in X(y, 1)\} = |v(x_1)(\{y\})| \text{ for some } x_1 \in X(y, 1). \text{ It}$ is easy to show (by Lemma 1) that there exists  $j_1 \in J$  such that P—  $\varepsilon < |\nu(x_1)(g_{j1})|$  and  $|\nu(x')(g_{j1})| < P + \varepsilon$  for all  $j \ge j_1$ , all  $x' \in X(y, 1)$  and  $x' \neq x_1$ . Since  $\tau(x_1) = y$  and  $x_1 \neq x$ , there exists  $y_1 \in Y$ ,  $y_1 \neq y$  and  $\rho(y_1) = x_1$ . Let  $v = v(y_1) - \sum_{x' \in X(y,1)} \alpha_{x'} \mu_{x'}$  where  $\alpha_{x'} = v(y_1)(\{x'\})$ . Since  $|\alpha_{x_1}| > M$ ,  $M' > \sum_{x' \in X(y,1)} |\alpha_{x'}| + ||\nu|| - |\alpha_{x_i}|$ . Since  $\nu$  is identically zero on X(y,1)there exist a compact set  $K \subseteq X \setminus X(y, 1)$  and  $j_2 \in J$  such that  $|\nu|(X \setminus K) \leq$  $(P+\varepsilon-N)\|\nu\|$  and  $|\phi^{-1}(g_{j1})(x')| \leq N$  for all  $j \geq j_2$  and all  $x' \in K$ . Let  $j_0 \in J$ 

such that  $j_0 \ge j_1$ ,  $j_0 \ge j_2$  and  $y_1 \notin V_{j_0}$ . Then we obtain:

$$\nu(y_1)(h) = \alpha_{x_1}h(x_1) + \sum_{x' \in X(y,1); x' \neq x_1} \alpha_{x'}h(x') + \nu/K(h) + \nu/(X\backslash K)(h),$$

where  $h = \phi^{-1}(g_{j_0 1})$ .  $|\nu(y_1)(h)| < \varepsilon$ ,  $|\alpha_{x_1}h(x_1)| > M(P - \varepsilon)$  and the modulus of the sum of the remaining terms of the right-hand side is less than  $(P + \varepsilon)M'$ . Thus, we get  $(P - \varepsilon)M < (P + \varepsilon)M' + \varepsilon$ , which contadicts the choice of  $\varepsilon$ . Hence,  $x \in X_1$  and  $\tau(x) = y$ , proving the proposition.

PROPOSITION 3.  $\tau$  is a closed map of X onto Y.

PROOF. Let F be a closed subset of X. Let y be any point in  $Y \setminus \tau(F)$  and let  $x = \rho(y)$ . Now let  $\{f_{in} : i \in I; n = 1, 2, \cdots\} \subset A$  be an extremely regular system at x. We may assume that  $\varepsilon_1 < \min\{(M - M')/2, 2(|\alpha| - M)/3\}$ , where  $\alpha = \nu(y)(\{x\})$ . Choose  $i_0 \in I$  such that  $|\phi(f_{i_01})(y)| > |\alpha| - \varepsilon_1 > M$ . It is easy to see that  $|\phi(f_{i_01})(y')| \le 2\varepsilon_1 + M' < M$  for each  $y' \in \tau(F)$ . Thus, for each  $x \in X \setminus F$  there exists  $f_x \in A$  such that

- (i)  $|\phi(f_x)(y')| < M$  for all  $y' \in \tau(F)$ ,
- (ii)  $|\phi(f_x)(y)| > M$  where  $y = \tau(x)$ .

Now define  $E_x = \{y \in Y : |\phi(f_x)(y)| \le M\}$ ,  $x \in X \setminus F$ . Each  $E_x$  is a closed set. Thus  $\bigcap_{x \in X/F} E_x = \tau(F)$  is closed in Y. Thus,  $\tau$  is a closed map, which implies that  $\rho$  is continuous. Similarly  $\tau = \rho^{-1}$  is continuous. This completes the proof of the theorem.

## REFERENCES

- 1. D. Amir, On isomorphisms of continuous function spaces, Israel, J. Math. 3 (1965), 205-210. MR 34 #596.
- 2. M. Cambern, On isomorphisms with small bound, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 18 (1967), 1062-1066. MR 36 #669.
  - 3. B. Cengiz, On extremely regular function spaces, Pacific J. Math. (to appear).
- 4. S. B. Myers, Banach spaces of continuous functions, Ann. of Math. (2) 49 (1948), 132-140. MR 9, 291.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA, CALIFORNIA 93106

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MIDDLE EAST TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, ANKARA, TURKEY (Current address)