THE APPROXIMATION PROPERTY DOES NOT IMPLY THE BOUNDED APPROXIMATION PROPERTY

T. FIGIEL AND W. B. JOHNSON¹

ABSTRACT. There is a Banach space which has the approximation property but fails the bounded approximation property. The space can be chosen to have separable conjugate, hence there is a nonnuclear operator on the space which has nuclear adjoint. This latter result solves a problem of Grothendieck [2].

I. Introduction. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space. We show that if there is a constant λ so that $(X, |\cdot|)$ has the λ -metric approximation property (λ -m.a.p., in short) for each equivalent norm $|\cdot|$ on X , then X^* has the bounded approximation property (b.a.p., in short). This result is used to construct an example of a Banach space which possesses the approximation property (a.p.) but fails the b.a.p.

For ε, λ positive constants, we say that X has the (ε, λ) -m.a.p. provided that, for each finite dimensional subspace Z of X and each $\delta > 0$, there is a finite rank operator T on X so that $\|T\| \leq \lambda + \delta$ and $\|Tz - z\| \leq (\varepsilon + \delta)\|z\|$ for each $z \in Z$. An intermediate step in our construction is that if X has the (ε, λ) -m.a.p. for some $\varepsilon, 0 < \varepsilon < 1$, then X has the $\lambda(1 - \varepsilon)^{-1}$ -m.a.p.

We use the standard notation in Banach space theory. Let us only recall the types of approximation conditions a Banach space X may satisfy. X has the a.p. if for each compact subset K of X and $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a finite rank operator (=bounded, linear operator) T on X so that $\|Tk - k\| \leq \varepsilon$ for each $k \in K$. If always T can be chosen with $\|T\| \leq \lambda$ then X is said to have the λ -m.a.p. A space which has the λ -m.a.p. for some λ is said to have the b.a.p. For equivalent formulations of these definitions (which we use without further reference) the reader is referred to [2] and [4].

We wish to thank Professor A. Pełczyński for a revision of an earlier incorrect proof of the main result. Pełczyński's description [6] led us to the proof presented here.

Received by the editors January 18, 1973 and, in revised form, February 23, 1973.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 46B99, 47B10; Secondary 41A65.

Key words and phrases. Approximation property, nuclear operators.

¹ The second author was supported in part by NSF GP-33578.

© American Mathematical Society 1973

II. Implications among approximation conditions. Given a Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$, let $\mathcal{A}$ be the family of equivalent norms, $|\cdot|$, on X whose dual norms on X^* are of the form $|x^*| = \|x^*\| + M d(x^*, Z)$. Here M ranges over positive constants, Z ranges over finite dimensional subspaces of X^* , and $d(x^*, Z) \equiv \inf\{\|x^* - z\| : z \in Z\}$ is the $\|\cdot\|$ -distance of x^* to Z . Since finite dimensional subspaces of X^* are weak* closed, it is evident that each such norm on X^* is the dual of an equivalent norm on X .

PROPOSITION 1. *Suppose that $(X, |\cdot|)$ has the λ -m.a.p. for each norm, $|\cdot|$, in $\mathcal{A}$. Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. Then $(X^*, \|\cdot\|)$ has the $(\varepsilon, \lambda[1 + 2\varepsilon^{-1}\lambda])$ -m.a.p.*

PROOF. Suppose that Z is a finite dimensional subspace of X^* . Let $\beta > \lambda$ and $\delta > 0$. Define $|\cdot|$ on X^* by $|x^*| = \|x^*\| + 2\varepsilon^{-1}\beta d(x^*, Z)$.

Pick a finite dimensional subspace Y of X such that for each $z \in Z$, $\|z\| \leq (1 + \delta) \sup\{z(y) : y \in Y, \|y\| \leq 1\}$. Since $(X, |\cdot|)$ has the λ -m.a.p., there is a finite rank operator T on X so that $Ty = y$ for $y \in Y$ and $|T| \leq \beta$.

We have, for $x^* \in X^*$,

$$\|T^*x^*\| + 2\varepsilon^{-1}\beta d(T^*x^*, Z) \leq \beta[\|x^*\| + 2\varepsilon^{-1}\beta d(x^*, Z)].$$

Hence $\|T^*x^*\| \leq \beta(1 + 2\varepsilon^{-1}\beta)\|x^*\|$ whence $\|T\| \leq \beta(1 + 2\varepsilon^{-1}\beta)$. Now for $z \in Z$, $2\varepsilon^{-1}\beta d(T^*z, Z) \leq \beta\|z\|$, so there exists $w \in Z$ satisfying $\|T^*z - w\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon\|z\|$. But for $y \in Y$, $(T^*z)y = z(Ty) = z(y)$, and thus $\sup\{|z(y) - w(y)| : y \in Y, \|y\| \leq 1\} \leq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon\|z\|$. Therefore $\|z - w\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(1 + \delta)\|z\|$, from which it follows that

$$\|T^*z - z\| \leq [\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(1 + \delta) + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon]\|z\| \leq (1 + \delta)\varepsilon\|z\|.$$

Since $\delta > 0$, $\beta > \lambda$ are arbitrary, the conclusion follows.

PROPOSITION 2. *Suppose $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ has the (ε, λ) -m.a.p. with $\varepsilon < 1$. Then X has the $(1 - \varepsilon)^{-1}\lambda$ -m.a.p.*

PROOF. We thank Professor W. J. Davis for the proof given here. Davis' proof is rather more revealing than proofs discovered by us.

Suppose Z is a finite dimensional subspace of X . Let $0 < \varepsilon < \delta < 1$ and $\beta > \lambda$.

Construct by induction finite rank operators T_n on X so that

$$\|T_1z - z\| \leq \delta\|z\| \quad \text{for } z \in Z, \quad \|T_{n+1}x - x\| \leq \delta\|x\|$$

for $x \in \text{span } Z \cup T_nX \cup T_{n-1}X \cup \cdots \cup T_1X$, and $\|T_n\| \leq \beta$.

Define S_n by $I - S_n = (I - T_n)(I - T_{n-1}) \cdots (I - T_1)$. Then for $z \in Z$, $\|(I - S_n)z\| \leq \delta^n\|z\|$. Also,

$$S_n = (I - T_n)(I - T_{n-1}) \cdots (I - T_2)T_1 + (I - T_n)(I - T_{n-1}) \cdots \\ (I - T_3)T_2 + \cdots + (I - T_n)T_{n-1} + T_n,$$

so that $\|S_n\| \leq \delta^{n-1}\beta + \cdots + \delta\beta + \beta < (1 - \delta)^{-1}\beta$.

Hence X has the $(\tau, (1-\delta)^{-1}\beta)$ -m.a.p. for each $\tau > 0$, $\delta > \varepsilon$ and $\beta > \lambda$, whence X has the $(1-\varepsilon)^{-1}\lambda$ -m.a.p.

Setting $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ in the above two propositions yields:

THEOREM 1. *If, for each $|\cdot|$ in $\mathcal{A}$, $(X, |\cdot|)$ has the λ -m.a.p., then X^* has the $2\lambda(1+4\lambda)$ -m.a.p.*

REMARK 1. If X^* has the λ -m.a.p. then for each $|\cdot|$ in $\mathcal{A}$, $(X^*, |\cdot|)$ has the λ -m.a.p. (hence also $(X, |\cdot|)$ has the λ -m.a.p.). For if $|x^*| = \|x^*\| + M d(x^*, Z)$, Y is a finite dimensional subspace of X^* , and $\varepsilon > 0$, then there is a finite rank operator T on X^* so that $\|T\| \leq \lambda + \varepsilon$ and $Tx^* = x^*$ for $x^* \in \text{span } Y \cup Z$. Since T is the identity on Z , $|T| \leq \|T\| \leq \lambda + \varepsilon$.

REMARK 2. It is known [3, Theorem 4] that if $(X, |\cdot|)$ has the 1-m.a.p. for each $|\cdot|$ in $\mathcal{A}$, then X^* has the 1-m.a.p. We do not know whether a similar result is true with "1" replaced by " λ ". It may even be true that if X^* has the b.a.p., then X^* also has the 1-m.a.p. This is the case when X^* is separable [4, Remark 4.11].

EXAMPLE. *There is a Banach space which has the a.p. but fails the b.a.p.*

PROOF. Of course, we need the important result of Enflo [1] that there is a Banach space which fails the a.p. Lindenstrauss [5] (see [3] for a specific example) had shown that a consequence of this is the existence of a Banach space X which possesses the 1-m.a.p., but whose conjugate fails the a.p. By Theorem 1, there is a sequence $(|\cdot|_n)$ of equivalent norms on this X so that $(X, |\cdot|_n)$ fails the n -m.a.p. Thus $(\Sigma(X, |\cdot|_n))_{i_2}$ fails the b.a.p. but possesses the a.p.

Note that $(\Sigma(X, |\cdot|_n))_{i_2}$ can be chosen to have separable conjugate, since Lindenstrauss' construction can yield an X with X^* separable.

III. Nonnuclear operators with nuclear adjoints. The example constructed in §II justifies the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 3. *If a Banach space X has the a.p. but fails the b.a.p., and X^* is separable, then there is a nonnuclear operator T on X such that T^* is nuclear.*

Since the proof is an almost immediate consequence of results of [2], we give only some indications.

Let $N(X)$ denote the space of nuclear operators on X [2, Definition 4] and $L_0(X)$ the space of finite rank operators on X . Since the weak*-continuous nuclear operators form a closed subset of $N(X^*)$, it is enough to show that $\{T^*: T \in N(X)\}$ is not closed in $N(X^*)$.

Consider the natural mappings $X^* \hat{\otimes} X \xrightarrow{\varphi} N(X) \xrightarrow{\chi} [L_0(X)]^* \xleftarrow{\psi} N(X^*)$. Here $\chi(T)S = \text{trace } ST$ and $\psi(T)S = \text{trace}(TS^*)$.

Observe that

(i) φ is an isometry onto, because X has the a.p. (cf. [2, Proposition 35, $A \Rightarrow B_1$]).

(ii) $\chi\varphi$ is not an isomorphic embedding, for otherwise (cf. [2, Proposition 39, proof of $B_1 \Rightarrow A_1$]) X would have the b.a.p.

(iii) ψ is an isometry onto. For given $F \in L_0(X)^*$, consider the factorization (cf. [2, Proposition 27, (a) $\Rightarrow$ (d)]) $X^* \rightarrow L_\infty \rightarrow L_1 \rightarrow X^*$ of the operator induced on X^* by F . X^* is separable, so the Dunford-Pettis theorem yields (cf. [2, Lemma 9]) that $L_\infty \rightarrow L_1 \rightarrow X^*$ is nuclear.

Since (i), (ii), and (iii) imply that the range of $\psi^{-1}\chi$ is not closed, it only remains to observe that $\psi^{-1}\chi(T) = T^*$ for each $T \in N(X)$.

REFERENCES

1. P. Enflo, *A counter example to the approximation problem*, Acta Math. (to appear).
2. A. Grothendieck, *Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No. 16 (1955). MR 17, 763.
3. W. B. Johnson, *A complementably universal conjugate Banach space and its relation to the approximation problem*, Israel J. Math. 13 (1972), 301–310.
4. W. B. Johnson, H. P. Rosenthal and M. Zippin, *On bases, finite dimensional decompositions, and weaker structures in Banach spaces*, Israel J. Math. 9 (1971), 488–506. MR 43 #6702.
5. J. Lindenstrauss, *On James' paper 'Separable conjugate spaces'*, Israel J. Math. 9 (1971), 279–284. MR 43 #5289.
6. A. Pełczyński, *Collected letters* (unpublished).

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, WARSAW, POLAND

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, COLUMBUS, OHIO 43210
(Current address of W. B. Johnson)

Current address (T. Figiel): Department of Mathematics, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210