

n -GORENSTEIN RINGS

HANS-BJØRN FOXBY

ABSTRACT. The object of this note is to study commutative noetherian n -Gorenstein rings. The first result is: if each module satisfying Samuel's conditions (a_i) for some $i \leq n$ is an i th syzygy, then the ring is n -Gorenstein. This is the converse to a theorem of Ischebeck. The next result characterizes n -Gorenstein rings in terms of commutativity of certain rings of endomorphisms. This answers a question of Vasconcelos. Finally the last result deals with embedding of finitely generated modules into finitely generated modules of finite projective dimension.

1. Notation. Throughout this note A will denote a commutative noetherian ring. Modules will in general be finitely generated (=f.g.)—the exceptions will be easy to identify. For a module M and a prime ideal p let $\text{depth } M_p$ denote the A_p -depth (= A_p -homological codimension) of M_p , and let $\text{gr}(p)$ denote the grade of p (=maximal length of A -regular sequence in p). Recall that $\text{gr}(p) = \inf\{\text{depth } A_q \mid q \in \bigvee(p)\}$.

For a module M we define $\Omega^i(M)$, $i \geq 0$, and $D(M)$ as follows:

$$\Omega^i(M) = \text{Coker}(P_{i+1} \rightarrow P_i)$$

$$D(M) = \text{Coker}(P_0^* \rightarrow P_1^*)$$

where

$$\cdots \rightarrow P_i \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

is any projective resolution of M with each P_i f.g. and $*$ = $\text{Hom}(-, A)$. Furthermore write $E^i = \text{Ext}^i(-, A)$. $\Omega^i(M)$ and $D(M)$ depends of course on the choice of projective resolution, but $\Omega^i(M)$ is unique up to projective equivalence and $E^i(D(M))$ is unique (cf. [1, Chapter 2, §1]).

2. Four properties on a module. For a module M and an integer $n \geq 0$ we will consider the following four properties on M :

(a_n) Each A -regular sequence of length at most n is also M -regular (for $n=1$ this means simply: M is torsion free).

(b_n) $\text{depth } M_p \geq \inf(n, \text{depth } A_p)$ for all prime ideals p ($n=1$: $\text{Ass}(M) \subseteq \text{Ass}(A)$).

(s_n) M is an n th syzygy, that is: $M = \Omega^n(L)$ for a suitable L (and a suitable projective resolution) ($n=1$: M is torsionless).

Received by the editors February 26, 1973.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 13H10, 13C10; Secondary 13C15.

Key words and phrases. (a_n)- and (b_n)-module, n th syzygy, module without n -torsion.

© American Mathematical Society 1974

(t_n) M is without n -torsion (or n -torsion free), that is: $E^i(D(M))=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ ($n=1$: M is torsionless; $n=2$: M is reflexive, [1, (2.1), p. 48]).

The next four results are known and included to show the relations between these four properties ($n \geq 0$ is a fixed integer in what follows).

2.1. PROPOSITION. *For all modules (still f.g.) we have:*

$$(t_n) \Rightarrow (s_n) \Rightarrow (b_n) \Rightarrow (a_n).$$

Cf. [1, Theorem 2.17] and [6, Satz 4.4].

2.2. PROPOSITION. (a_n) and (b_n) are equivalent for all modules if A satisfies Serre's condition:

(S_n) $\text{depth } A_p \geq \inf(n, \text{ht}(p))$ for all prime ideals (or equivalently: A_p is a Cohen-Macaulay ring for all prime ideals p with $\text{depth } A_p < n$), cf. [8, Proposition 6].

In Proposition 3.3, we shall see that the equivalence of (a_n) and (b_n) characterizes a slightly wider class of rings than the (S_n) -rings.

2.3. PROPOSITION. *All four properties are equivalent (i.e. $(a_n) \Rightarrow (t_n)$) if A is n -Gorenstein, that is:*

(G_n) A_p is Gorenstein for all prime ideals p with $\text{depth } A_p < n$.

Cf. [6, 4.6 Satz]. It will be proved later that the converse of this also holds. This answers Question 4.8 of Ischebeck [6], but is already known in the following special cases:

If $n=1$ it is proved by Vasconcelos [9, Theorem A.1].

If $n=2$ and A satisfies (S_2) , then it is proved by Fossum and Reiten [3, Proposition 9].

If A is Cohen-Macaulay and is a homomorphic image of a Gorenstein ring then it is proved for all n in [4, Proposition 3.2].

In [3] several equivalent conditions on n -Gorenstein rings are examined, we shall use the following (see [1, Proposition 4.21]):

2.4. PROPOSITION. *Each $(n+1)$ th syzygy is without $(n+1)$ -torsion if and only if A is n -Gorenstein.*

3. The properties (a_n) and (b_n) . From the proof of [8, Proposition 6] one easily obtains:

3.1. LEMMA. *M is an (a_n) -module if and only if $\text{depth } M_p \geq \inf(n, \text{gr}(p))$ for all p .*

The next two lemmas give examples of (a_n) - and (b_n) -modules:

3.2a. LEMMA. *If all $p \in \text{Ass}(M)$ have $\text{gr}(p) < n$ then $\Omega^{n-1}(M)$ is an (a_n) -module.*

PROOF. Since $\Omega^{n-1}(M)$ is a $(n-1)$ th syzygy it is a fortiori an (a_{n-1}) -module (Proposition 2.1). Therefore assume $\text{gr}(\mathfrak{p}) \geq n$ (and we want to show $\text{depth } \Omega^{n-1}(M)_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq n$). It follows from the homological characterization of depth that $\text{depth } \Omega^{n-1}(M)_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq \inf(\text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{p}}, \text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} + n - 1) \geq n$, since $\text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq 1$ by assumption.

3.2b. LEMMA. *If all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(M)$ have $\text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{p}} < n$ then $\Omega^{n-1}(M)$ is a (b_n) -module.*

3.3. PROPOSITION. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *For all $i \leq n$ each (a_i) -module satisfies (b_i) .*
- (2) *$\text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq \inf(n, \text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{q}})$ for all prime ideals \mathfrak{p} and \mathfrak{q} where $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{q}$.*
- (3) *$\text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq \inf(n, \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) - 1)$ for all prime ideals \mathfrak{p} .*
- (4) *$\text{gr}(\mathfrak{p}) \geq \inf(n, \text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{p}})$ for all prime ideals \mathfrak{p} .*
- (5) *$\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(A/(a_1, \dots, a_g))$ for all maximal A -regular sequences a_1, \dots, a_g in a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} with $g = \text{gr}(\mathfrak{p}) < n$.*
- (6) *Each prime ideal of grade less than n is an associated prime of a module of finite G -dimension (for definition see [1, Chapter 3]).*

PROOF. (1) implies (2). Assume $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$, $d = \text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{p}} < n$. $\Omega^d(A/\mathfrak{q})$ is by Lemma 3.2a an (a_{d+1}) -module and hence also a (b_{d+1}) -module by assumption. Now $\text{depth } \Omega^d(A/\mathfrak{q})_{\mathfrak{q}} = \text{depth } \Omega_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}}^d(k(\mathfrak{q})) \leq d < d+1$, and hence $\text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{q}} \leq d$ as desired.

(2) implies (3). By [2, Corollary 5.3] we have

$$\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq \sup\{\text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{q}} + 1 \mid \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}.$$

(3) implies (4). Assume $g = \text{gr}(\mathfrak{p}) = \text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{q}} < n$, $\mathfrak{q} \in \bigvee(\mathfrak{p})$. If $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q}$ we are done, and if $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{q}$ we have $\text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq \text{ht}(\mathfrak{q}) - 1 \leq \text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{q}} = g$.

(4) implies (5). Let $a_1, \dots, a_g \in \mathfrak{p}$ be an A -regular sequence, $g = \text{gr}(\mathfrak{p}) < n$. Then $g = \text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{depth}(A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{a}A_{\mathfrak{p}}) + g$, where $\mathfrak{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_g)$, and hence $\text{depth}(A/\mathfrak{a})_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$, i.e. $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(A/\mathfrak{a})$.

(6) implies (4). Assume $g = \text{gr}(\mathfrak{p}) = \text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{q}} < n$, $\mathfrak{q} \in \bigvee(\mathfrak{p})$. Then $g = \text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{q}} \geq G\text{-dim } M_{\mathfrak{q}} \geq G\text{-dim } M_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{p}} - \text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{p}}$, cf. [1, Chapter 3].

That (5) implies (6) and that (4) implies (1) is obvious (cf. respectively [1, Chapter 3] and Lemma 3.1).

4. Equivalence of all four properties.

4.1. THEOREM. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *A is an n -Gorenstein ring.*
- (2) *Each (a_n) -module is without n -torsion.*
- (3) *For all i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, each (a_i) -module is an i th syzygy.*
- (4) *Each (b_n) -module is without n -torsion.*
- (5) *For all i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, each (b_i) -module is an i th syzygy.*

PROOF. That (1) implies both (2) and (3) follows from Proposition 2.3, and from Proposition 2.1 we know that (4) and (5) are weaker than respectively (2) and (3).

(4) *implies* (5). Since each n th syzygy is a (b_n) -module and hence without n -torsion by assumption, we conclude that A is $(n-1)$ -Gorenstein, by Proposition 2.4. By Proposition 2.3 we get that for all $i < n$ each (b_i) -module is an i th syzygy, and a (b_n) -module is already an n th syzygy by assumption.

(5) *implies* (1). First we treat the case $n=1$. For $p \in \text{Ass}(A)$ let $p^{(i)}$ denote the i th symbolic power of p . $A/p^{(i)}$ is a (b_1) -module and hence torsionless. Therefore $p^{(i)} = \text{Ann } x_i$ for a suitable element x_i in some free A -module, and we conclude that $p^{(i)} = \text{Ann}(\mathfrak{A}_i)$ for a suitable ideal \mathfrak{A}_i . This gives $\text{Ann}(\text{Ann}(p^{(i)})) = p^{(i)}$. From the descending chain: $p^{(1)} \supseteq p^{(2)} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq p^{(i)} \supseteq \cdots$ we obtain an ascending chain: $\text{Ann}(p^{(1)}) \subseteq \text{Ann}(p^{(2)}) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \text{Ann}(p^{(i)}) \subseteq \cdots$. That is: $\text{Ann}(p^{(i)}) = \text{Ann}(p^{(i+1)})$ for i big enough, and hence $p^{(i)} = p^{(i+1)}$. By passing to the local ring A_p we obtain: $(pA_p)^i = p^{(i)}A_p = p^{(i+1)}A_p = (pA_p)^{i+1}$, and hence $(pA_p)^i = 0$, i.e. $\text{ht}(p) = 0$. Now it is proved that all associated primes are of height 0, that is: A is an (S_1) -ring, and hence $(a_1) \Leftrightarrow (b_1)$, so by Vasconcelos [9, Theorem A.1] A is 1-Gorenstein. This completes the proof in the case $n=1$.

Now back to the proof in the general case: $n \geq 1$. Let a_1, \dots, a_i , $i < n$, be any A -regular sequence. For an A -module X we will write $\bar{X} = X/(a_1, \dots, a_i)X$. We are going to prove that any (b_1) - \bar{A} -module is a torsionless \bar{A} -module, because this will imply that \bar{A} is 1-Gorenstein, and hence that A is n -Gorenstein by [6, Theorem 3.15].

Let M be any (b_1) - \bar{A} -module. If $p \in \text{Ass}_A(M)$ then

$$p' = p/(a_1, \dots, a_i) \in \text{Ass}_{\bar{A}}(\bar{M}) \subseteq \text{Ass}_{\bar{A}}(\bar{A}),$$

i.e. $\text{depth } A_p = i + \text{depth } \bar{A}_{p'} = i < i+1$. By Lemma 3.2b $K = \Omega_A^i(M)$ is a (b_{i+1}) - A -module and hence an $(i+1)$ th syzygy by our assumption. Let $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow F \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ be exact with F projective and C an i th syzygy. We have $\text{Tor}_1^A(C, \bar{A}) = 0$, and hence an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \bar{K} \rightarrow \bar{F}$. This shows that \bar{K} is a torsionless \bar{A} -module. Furthermore we have $\text{Tor}_1^A(\Omega_A^{i-1}(M), \bar{A}) = \text{Tor}_i^A(M, \bar{A}) = M$, the last isomorphism holds because $a_1, \dots, a_i \in \text{Ann}_A(M)$. Now, since $K = \Omega_A^i(M)$ there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow F \rightarrow \Omega_A^{i-1}(M) \rightarrow 0$ with F free. Tensoring with \bar{A} gives an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow \bar{K}$, that is: M is a submodule of a torsionless \bar{A} -module, and hence M is torsionless as an \bar{A} -module and this was what we desired.

5. Commutative endomorphism rings. In [10] Vasconcelos conjectured that the ring of endomorphisms $\text{End}_A(\mathfrak{A})$ is commutative for all ideals \mathfrak{A} if and only if A is 1-Gorenstein. This is proved in the following:

5.1. THEOREM. *The ring A is n -Gorenstein if and only if: For each ideal \mathfrak{A} in A and each A -regular sequence $a_1, \dots, a_i \in \mathfrak{A}$ with $0 \leq i < n$ the ring of endomorphisms $\text{End}_A(\mathfrak{A}/(a_1, \dots, a_i))$ is commutative.*

PROOF. By [6, Theorem 3.15] it is enough to prove that A is 1-Gorenstein if and only if $\text{End}(\mathfrak{A})$ is commutative for all \mathfrak{A} , and this will follow from:

5.2. LEMMA. *Let M be a nonzero A -module. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $\text{End}_A(N)$ is commutative for all submodules N of M .
- (2) $\text{Ass}(M)$ is without embedded primes and $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ has simple socle over $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(M)$.

PROOF. (1) implies (2). Let N_1 and N_2 be submodules of M such that $N_1 \cap N_2 = 0$. Since $\text{End}(N_1 \oplus N_2)$ is commutative it is easy to see $\text{Hom}(N_1, N_2) = 0$. This shows that for all distinct associated primes \mathfrak{p} and \mathfrak{q} (of M) we have $\text{Hom}(A/\mathfrak{p}, A/\mathfrak{q}) = 0$ and hence \mathfrak{p} cannot be contained in \mathfrak{q} . Let S be the (nonzero) socle of the (artinian) $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then $\text{End}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}(S)$ is commutative and (as above) we conclude that S is indecomposable, i.e. S is simple.

(2) implies (1). Let $\text{Ass}(M) = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r\}$. Then $E(M) = E(A/\mathfrak{p}_1) \oplus \dots \oplus E(A/\mathfrak{p}_r)$ by the last part of our assumption (2). $\text{End}(E(A/\mathfrak{p}))$ is commutative, since it is ring-isomorphic to the $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -adic completion of $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ (cf. [7, Theorem 3.7]). By the first part of our assumption

$$\text{Hom}(E(A/\mathfrak{p}_i), E(A/\mathfrak{p}_j)) = 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq j.$$

It is now justified that $\text{End}(E(M))$ is commutative. Now let N be any submodule of M . Since every endomorphism of N can be extended to an endomorphism of $E(M)$ we conclude that $\text{End}(N)$ is commutative.

6. **Embedding of modules over n -Gorenstein rings.** After some modifications of the proof of Theorem 2 in [5] it is possible to prove:

6.1. THEOREM. *Let A be a homomorphic image of a Gorenstein ring. Then A is n -Gorenstein if and only if A is (S_n) and each f.g. module M such that $\text{gr}(\mathfrak{p}) < n$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(M)$ is embeddable in a f.g. module of finite projective dimension.*

It would be nice to avoid the condition that A is (S_n) ; if the embeddability-condition holds A satisfies at least: $\text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq \inf(n, \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) - 1)$ by Proposition 3.3.

ADDED IN PROOF. Theorem 5.1 has already been proved in the main case $n=1$ by S. Alamelu, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 37 (1973), 29-31.

REFERENCES

1. M. Auslander and M. Bridger, *Stable module theory*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., no. 94 (1969). MR 42 #4580.
2. H. Bass, *Injective dimension in Noetherian rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 102 (1962), 18–29. MR 25 #2087.
3. R. Fossum and I. Reiten, *Commutative n -Gorenstein rings*, Math. Scand. 31 (1972), 33–48.
4. H.-B. Foxby, *Gorenstein modules and related modules*, Math. Scand. 31 (1972), 267–284.
5. ———, *Embedding of modules over Gorenstein rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 36 (1972), 336–340.
6. F. Ischebeck, *Eine Dualität zwischen den Funktoren Ext und Tor*, J. Algebra 11 (1969), 510–531. MR 38 #5894.
7. E. Matlis, *Injective modules over noetherian rings*, Pacific J. Math. 8 (1958), 511–528. MR 20 #5800.
8. P. Samuel, *Anneaux gradués factoriels et modules réflexifs*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 92 (1964), 237–249. MR 32 #4160.
9. W. V. Vasconcelos, *Reflexive modules over Gorenstein rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 19 (1968), 1349–1355. MR 38 #5762.
10. ———, *On commutative endomorphism rings*, Pacific J. Math. 35 (1970), 795–798. MR 43 #4812.

KØBENHAVNS UNIVERSITETS MATEMATISKE INSTITUT, UNIVERSITETSPARKEN 5, KØBENHAVN Ø, DENMARK

Current address: Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois 61801