

A SIMPLE ALTERNATIVE PROBLEM FOR FINDING PERIODIC SOLUTIONS OF SECOND ORDER ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL SYSTEMS¹

J. W. BEBERNES

ABSTRACT. Existence of solutions for $x''=f(t, x, x')$, $x(0)=x(1)$, $x'(0)=x'(1)$ are proven by considering a simple alternative problem to which Leray-Schauder degree arguments can be directly applied.

1. Introduction. In this paper, we consider the existence of solutions to the periodic boundary value problem (PBVP)

$$(1) \quad x'' = f(t, x, x'),$$

$$(2) \quad x(0) = x(1), \quad x'(0) = x'(1).$$

Knobloch [4], Mawhin [5], Schmitt [6], and Bebernes and Schmitt [1] have recently considered this problem using degree-theoretic arguments—either finite or infinite dimensional.

Using only the basic properties of Leray-Schauder degree and applying these degree arguments to a simple alternative problem associated with (1)-(2), we obtain in this paper a single basic result (Theorem 2.1) which contains and in some cases permits slight generalizations of most of the results of the above mentioned papers.

2. The basic theorem. Let $I=[0, 1]$, R^n be n -dimensional Euclidean space with Euclidean norm $\|\cdot\|$ and inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, and let $D \subset I \times R^n \times R^n$ be a bounded open set in the relative topology of $I \times R^n \times R^n$ containing $\{(t, 0, 0) : t \in I\}$. Let $F : I \times R^n \times R^n \rightarrow R^n$ be a continuous function and consider

$$(3) \quad x'' = F(t, x, x').$$

For each $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, associate with (3) the equation

$$(4) \quad x'' = \lambda F(t, x, x') + (1 - \lambda)x.$$

Received by the editors April 6, 1973.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 34B15, 34C25; Secondary 47H15.

Key words and phrases. Periodic boundary value problems, alternative problems, Leray-Schauder degree, Nagumo-Hartman condition, Lyapunov-like functions.

¹ This research was supported by the U.S. Air Force under Grant AFOSR-72-2379.

© American Mathematical Society 1974

and assume:

(H) If $x(t)$ is a solution of (4)-(2), then $(t, x(t), x'(t)) \in D$ for all $t \in I$ or there exists $\tau \in I$ such that $(\tau, x(\tau), x'(\tau)) \notin \bar{D}$.

THEOREM. 2.1. *The periodic boundary value problem (3)-(2) has at least one solution such that $(t, x(t), x'(t)) \in D$ for all $t \in I$.*

PROOF. The periodic boundary value problem

$$(5) \quad x'' - x = 0, \quad x(0) = x(1), \quad x'(0) = x'(1)$$

has no nontrivial solutions. Let $H(t, x, x') = F(t, x, x') - x$, then $x(t)$ is a solution of (4)-(2) if and only if $x(t)$ is a solution of

$$(6) \quad x(t) = \lambda \int_0^1 G(t, s) H(s, x(s), x'(s)) ds$$

where $G(t, s)$ is the unique Green's function for (5).

Let $B = \{x \in C'[0, 1] : x(0) = x(1), x'(0) = x'(1)\}$ with norm

$$|x| = \max_I \|x(t)\| + \max_I \|x'(t)\|$$

be the Banach space under consideration, and define

$$\Omega = \{y \in B : (t, y(t), y'(t)) \in D \text{ for all } t \in I\}.$$

Note that Ω is a bounded open subset of B .

Define the map $T: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow B$ where $\bar{\Omega}$ is the closure of Ω by

$$(7) \quad (Ty)(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s) H(s, y(s), y'(s)) ds.$$

By standard arguments, $T(\bar{\Omega}) \subset B$, T is continuous, and $\text{cl}(T(\bar{\Omega}))$ is compact in B .

If $0 \notin (I - \lambda T)(\partial\Omega)$ where $\partial\Omega$ is the boundary of Ω for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, then by the invariance under compact homotopy property of the Leray-Schauder degree [7, p. 92], the degree $\deg(I - \lambda T, \Omega, 0) = \text{constant}$ for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. That $0 \in (I - \lambda T)(\partial\Omega)$ is equivalent to the existence of a solution $x(t)$ of the PBVP (4)-(2) with $(t, x(t), x'(t)) \in \bar{D}$ for all $t \in I$ and $(t, x(t), x'(t)) \in \partial D$ for some $t \in I$; but by assumption (H) there exists no such solution $x(t)$ of (4)-(2) with $(t, x(t), x'(t)) \in \bar{D}$ for all $t \in I$ and $(t, x(t), x'(t)) \in \partial \bar{D}$ for some $t \in I$. Hence, $\deg(I - T, \Omega, 0) = \deg(I, \Omega, 0) = 1$. By the existence property of the Leray-Schauder degree [7, p. 88], there exists $x \in \Omega$ such that $(I - T)x = 0$. This means that there exists a solution $x(t)$ of the PBVP (3)-(2) with $(t, x(t), x'(t)) \in D$ for all $t \in I$.

3. Applications of the basic theorem. In this section, we illustrate how Theorem 2.1 can be used to prove existence results for PBVP (1)-(2).

The first result is known (e.g., [1], [4], or [5]), but it well illustrates the power of our basic theorem.

THEOREM 3.1. *If $f(t, x, x')$ is continuous on $E_R = \{(t, x, x') : t \in I, \|x\| < R, \|x'\| < \infty\}$ and satisfies:*

(8) $\|x'\|^2 + \langle x, f(t, x, x') \rangle > 0$ for all $(t, x, x') \in E_R$ provided $\|x\| = R$ and $\langle x, x' \rangle = 0$;

(9) $\|f(t, x, x')\| \leq \varphi(\|x'\|)$ for all $(t, x, x') \in E_R$ where φ is a positive continuous function on $[0, \infty)$ with $\int_0^\infty s/\varphi(s) ds = +\infty$;

(10) there exists $\alpha \geq 0, K \geq 0$ such that

$$\|f(t, x, x')\| \leq 2\alpha(\|x'\|^2 + \langle x, f(t, x, x') \rangle) + K \text{ for all } (t, x, x') \in E_R;$$

then there exists a solution $x(t)$ of the PBVP (1)-(2) with $(t, x(t), x'(t)) \in E_R$.

PROOF. Let $\delta_M(s)$ be a continuous function on $[0, \infty)$ with $\delta_M(s) = 1$ on $[0, M]$ and $\delta_M(s) = 0$ for $s \geq 2M$ where $M = M(\alpha, K, R)$ is the Nagumo-Hartman bound (see Hartman [3, p. 429]).

Define

$$F(t, x, x') = \delta_M(\|x'\|)f(t, x, x') \quad \text{on } E_R, \text{ and}$$

$$F(t, x, x') = (R/\|x\|)F(t, Rx/\|x\|, x') \quad \text{if } \|x\| \geq R.$$

Then $F(t, x, x')$ is continuous and bounded on $I \times R^n \times R^n$ and satisfies (8) provided $\|x\| \geq R$ and $\langle x, x' \rangle = 0$, (9), and (10) for all $(t, x, x') \in I \times R^n \times R^n$.

The proof will be completed by showing that there can be constructed an open bounded set $D \subset I \times R^n \times R^n$ containing $\{(t, 0, 0) : t \in I\}$ such that solutions of PBVP (4)-(2) satisfy hypothesis (H) relative to D .

For each $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, let $F_\lambda(t, x, x') = \lambda F(t, x, x') + (1 - \lambda)F(t, x, x')$ where F is defined as above. Then for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and all $(t, x, x') \in I \times R^n \times R^n$,

$$(11) \quad \|x'\|^2 + \langle x, F_\lambda(t, x, x') \rangle > 0 \text{ provided } \|x\| \geq R$$

and $\langle x, x' \rangle = 0$. Let $x(t)$ be any solution of (4)-(2). Define $u(t) = \|x(t)\|^2 = \langle x(t), x(t) \rangle$. Because $u(t)$ satisfies the periodic boundary conditions (2), $u(t)$ can assume its maximum at $t_0 \in I$ only if $u(t_0) = 0, u'(t_0) \leq 0$. Claim $u(t) < R^2$ for all $t \in I$. Assume not; then there exists $t_0 \in I$ at which $u(t)$ assumes its maximum with $u(t_0) \geq R^2, u'(t_0) = 0$, and $u''(t_0) \leq 0$. But (11) implies that $u''(t_0) > 0$ which is a contradiction. Hence, $\|x(t)\| < R$ for all $t \in I$. For $(t, x, x') \in E_R$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, $F_\lambda(t, x, x')$ is bounded which implies that $F_\lambda(t, x, x')$ satisfies a Nagumo-Hartman condition (conditions (9) and (10) with $\alpha = 0$ and a K' in general different from K and $\varphi(s) = K'$). Hence, there exists an $M' > 0$ such that if $x(t)$ is any solution of (4) on I with $\|x(t)\| < R$, then $\|x'(t)\| < M'$.

Define $D = \{(t, x, x') : t \in I, \|x\| < R, \|x'\| < M'\}$. From the observations made above it is immediate that solutions of (4)-(2) satisfy (H) relative to D . By Theorem 2.1, the PBVP (3)-(2) has a solution $x(t)$ with $\|x(t)\| < R$. Since $F(t, x, x')$ satisfies (9) and (10), $\|x'(t)\| < M$ on $[0, 1]$ which implies that $x(t)$ is a solution of PBVP (1)-(2) on I with $(t, x(t), x'(t)) \in E_R$.

Equality can be permitted in (8) by an approximating argument like the one given in [3, p. 433].

The preceding theorem can be generalized by replacing $\|x\|^2$ by a function $V(t, x)$ which plays essentially the same role. In so doing, we obtain results similar to those obtained by Knobloch [4] and Mawhin [5].

Assume $f(t, x, x') : I \times R^n \times R^n \rightarrow R^n$ is continuous and let R^+ denote the nonnegative reals.

DEFINITION. Let $V \in C^2(I \times R^n \times R^n, R^+)$ be such that:

(a) there exists $R > 0$ such that $\Phi \equiv \{x \in R^n : V(t, x) < R, t \in I\}$ is bounded,

(b) $U(t, x, x') \equiv V_{tt}(t, x) + 2\langle V_{tx}(t, x), x' \rangle + \langle V_{xx}(t, x)x', x' \rangle \geq 0$,

(c) $V_f'(t, x) = U(t, x, x') + \langle V_x(t, x), f(t, x, x') \rangle > 0$ provided $V(t, x) = R$ and $V_t(t, x) + \langle V_x(t, x), x' \rangle = 0$,

(d) $\langle V_x(t, x), x \rangle > 0$ for all (t, x) such that $V(t, x) = R$,

(e) $V(0, x) = V(1, x)$, $V_t(0, x) + \langle V_x(0, x), x' \rangle \geq V_t(1, x) + \langle V_x(1, x), x' \rangle$.

Any such V is called a *bounding Lyapunov function* relative to (1).

THEOREM 3.2. If V is a bounding Lyapunov function for (1), then for every $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ every solution $x(t)$ of the PBVP:

$$(12) \quad x'' = f_\lambda(t, x, x')$$

where $f_\lambda = \lambda f + (1 - \lambda)f$ is such that $V(\tau, x(\tau)) > R$ for some $\tau \in I$ or $V(t, x(t)) < R$ for all $t \in I$.

PROOF. Let $x(t)$ be any solution of the PBVP (12)-(2) and let $m(t) = V(t, x(t))$, then $m'(t) = V_t(t, x(t)) + \langle V_x(t, x(t)), x'(t) \rangle$ and

$$(13) \quad m''(t) = U(t, x(t), x'(t)) + \langle V_x(t, x(t)), f_\lambda(t, x(t), x'(t)) \rangle.$$

By (b), (c), and (d), $m''(t) > 0$ if $V(t, x(t)) = R$ and $V_t(t, x(t)) + \langle V_x(t, x(t)), x'(t) \rangle = 0$. If there exists $\tau \in I$ such that $m(\tau) > R$, we are through.

Assume $m(t) \leq R$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. If there exists $t_0 \in I$ such that $m(t_0) = R$, then $m'(t_0) = 0$ and $m''(t_0) \leq 0$ since $m(0) = m(1)$ and $m'(0) \geq m'(1)$ by (e). But this is impossible by the observation made above that $m''(t_0) > 0$. Hence, $m(t) < R$ on I and the conclusion of the theorem follows.

Our next theorem is similar to Theorem 6.1 [5].

THEOREM 3.3. *If V is a positive definite bounding Lyapunov function relative to (1) and if there exists $S > 0$ such that for any $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ any solution $x(t)$ of PBVP (12)-(2) with $V(t, x(t)) < R$ on I satisfies $\|x'(t)\| < S$ for $t \in I$, then PBVP (1)-(2) has at least one solution $x(t)$ with $V(t, x(t)) < R$.*

PROOF. Let $D = \{(t, x, x') : t \in I, V(t, x) < R, \|x'\| < S\}$. By Theorem 3.2, solutions of (12)-(2) satisfy (H) relative to D . Hence, by Theorem 1.2, the conclusion follows.

There are several ways of ensuring the a priori bound condition on the derivative of solutions of (12)-(2) and hence we have the following corollaries.

COROLLARY 3.4. *If V is a bounding positive definite Lyapunov function for (1) and if $f(t, x, x')$ satisfies (9) and (10) for all $t \in I, x \in \Phi, \|x'\| < \infty$, then PBVP (1)-(2) has a solution $x(t) \in \Phi$ for all $t \in I$.*

COROLLARY 3.5. *If V is a bounding positive definite Lyapunov function for (1), if $f(t, x, x')$ satisfies (9) for all $t \in I, x \in \Phi, \|x'\| < \infty$, and if there exists $\beta \geq 0, L \geq 0$ such that*

$$(14) \quad \|f(t, x, x')\| \leq \beta(U(t, x, x') + \langle V_x(t, x), f(t, x, x') \rangle) + L$$

for all $t \in I, x \in \Phi$, and $\|x'\| \leq \infty$, then PBVP (1)-(2) has a solution $x(t) \in \Phi$ for all $t \in I$.

COROLLARY 3.6. *If V is a bounding positive definite Lyapunov function for (1), if $f(t, x, x')$ satisfies (9) for all $t \in I, x \in \Phi, \|x'\| < \infty$, and if there exists a function $\rho(t) \in C^2(I)$ such that*

$$(15) \quad \|f(t, x, x')\| \leq \rho''(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in I, x \in \Phi, \|x'\| < \infty,$$

then PBVP (1)-(2) has a solution $x(t) \in \Phi$ for all $t \in I$.

4. Further consequences. In this section, we present two further applications of Theorem 2.1. The first theorem presented shows that the bounding set Φ need not be given in terms of a bounding Lyapunov function. Assume $f(t, x, x') : I \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let G be a bounded convex open set in \mathbb{R}^n containing 0 and assume there is a function $N : \partial G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying:*

$$(16) \quad \langle N(x), x \rangle > 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in \partial G,$$

$$(17) \quad \bar{G} \subseteq \{y : \langle N(x), y - x \rangle \leq 0 \text{ for each } x \in \partial G\},$$

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle N(x), f(t, x, x') \rangle &> 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in I, x \in \partial G, \\ x' \text{ with } \langle N(x), x' \rangle &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

then for every $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ every solution $x(t)$ of (12)-(2) is such that $x(\tau) \notin G$ for some $\tau \in I$ or $x(t) \in G$ for all $t \in I$.

REMARK. Conditions (16) and (17) say that $N(x)$ is an outer normal for G . Gustafson and Schmitt [2] have used a similar outer normal condition to study existence of periodic solutions for delay differential equations.

PROOF. Let $x(t)$ be any solution of (12)-(2). If $x(\tau) \notin G$ for some $\tau \in I$, we are through so assume $x(t) \in \bar{G}$ for all $t \in I$.

If $x(t_0) \in \partial G$ for some $t_0 \in I$, we may assume $t_0 \in [0, 1]$. By (16) and (18), $\langle N(x(t_0)), f_\lambda(t_0, x(t_0), x'(t_0)) \rangle > 0$ and hence there is an $h > 0$ such that $\langle N(x(t_0)), x''(t) \rangle > 0$ for all $t \in [t_0, t_0 + h]$. Since $x(t) \in \bar{G}$, $\langle N(x(t_0)), x'(t_0) \rangle = 0$. Looking at the Taylor expansion for $x(t)$, we have immediately that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle N(x(t_0)), x(t) - x(t_0) \rangle \\ = (t - t_0) \langle N(x(t_0)), x'(t_0) \rangle + \frac{1}{2}(t - t_0)^2 \langle N(x(t_0)), y(\bar{\xi}) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where $y(\bar{\xi}) = (x_1''(\bar{\xi}), \dots, x_n''(\bar{\xi}))$ and $t_0 < \xi_i < t < t_0 + h$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. From this, $\langle N(x(t_0)), x(t) - x(t_0) \rangle > 0$ meaning that $x(t) \notin \bar{G}$, which is a contradiction.

Our existence theorem then follows.

THEOREM 4.2. *If G is a bounded convex open set in \mathbb{R}^n containing 0, if there is a function $N: \partial G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying (16), (17), and (18), and if there exists $S > 0$ such that for any $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ any solution $x(t)$ of PBVP (12)-(2) with $x(t) \in G$ for all $t \in I$ satisfies $\|x'(t)\| < S$ for $t \in I$, then PBVP (1)-(2) has at least one solution with $x(t) \in G$ for all $t \in I$.*

PROOF. Let $D = \{(t, x, x') : t \in I, x \in G, \|x'\| < S\}$. By Theorem 4.1 solutions of (11)-(2) satisfy (H) relative to D . Result then follows immediately from Theorem 2.1.

REMARK. One can state corollaries of the above theorem analogous to Corollaries 3.4, 3.5, and 3.6.

In \mathbb{R}^n , let $x \leq y$ if and only if $x_i \leq y_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $x < y$ if and only if $x_i < y_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Let $f(t, x, x')$ be continuous on $\{(t, x, x') : t \in I, \alpha(t) \leq x \leq \beta(t), x' \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ where $\alpha, \beta: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $\alpha(t) < 0 < \beta(t)$ are twice continuously differentiable with

$$(19) \quad \alpha(0) = \alpha(1), \quad \beta(0) = \beta(1), \quad \alpha'(0) \geq \alpha'(1), \quad \beta'(0) \leq \beta'(1).$$

Assume also that α, β are strict lower, upper solutions of (1), i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha''(t) &> f_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, \alpha_i(t), x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n, x'_1, \dots, \\ &\quad x'_{i-1}, \alpha'_i(t), x'_{i+1}, \dots, x'_n), \\ (20) \quad \beta''(t) &< f_i(t, x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, \beta_i(t), x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n, x'_1, \dots, \\ &\quad x'_{i-1}, \beta'_i(t), x'_{i+1}, \dots, x'_n), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(21) \quad \alpha_i''(t) > \alpha_i(t), \quad \beta_i''(t) < \beta_i(t)$$

for $\alpha_j(t) \leq x_j \leq \beta_j(t)$, $j \neq i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

We now can state our final result.

THEOREM 4.3. *If f is continuous on $\{(t, x, x') : t \in I, \alpha(t) \leq x \leq \beta(t), x' \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ where α, β are strict periodic lower, upper solutions of (1) satisfying (19), (20), and (21), and if there exists $S > 0$ such that for any $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ any solution $x(t)$ of (12)-(2) with $\alpha(t) \leq x(t) \leq \beta(t)$ on I satisfies $\|x'(t)\| < S$ then PBVP (1)-(2) has a solution $x(t)$ with $\alpha(t) < x(t) < \beta(t)$.*

The proof is similar to those previously given and is for this reason omitted. By a proper modification of $f(t, x, x')$, condition (21) can be dropped and equality can be permitted in (20). With that observation, we have a generalization of Theorem 4.1 in [1].

REFERENCES

1. J. Bebernes and K. Schmitt, *Periodic boundary value problems for systems of second order differential equations*, J. Differential Equations **13** (1973), 32-47.
2. G. Gustafson and K. Schmitt, *A note on periodic solutions for delay-differential systems*, J. Differential Equations **13** (1973), 567-587.
3. P. Hartman, *Ordinary differential equations*, Wiley, New York, 1964. MR **30** #1270.
4. H. Knobloch, *On the existence of periodic solutions of second order vector differential equations*, J. Differential Equations **9** (1971), 67-85. MR **43** #3557.
5. J. Mawhin, *Boundary value problems for nonlinear second order vector differential equations* (to appear).
6. K. Schmitt, *Periodic solutions of systems of second order differential equations*, J. Differential Equations **11** (1972), 180-192. MR **45** #3858.
7. J. Schwartz, *Nonlinear functional analysis*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1969.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO, BOULDER, COLORADO 80302