

## PROBLEM 26 OF L. FUCHS

CHIN-SHUI HSÜ

**ABSTRACT.** This solves the following problem: Which Abelian groups are the inverse limits of Abelian groups, each of which is a finite direct sum of quasi-cyclic and bounded Abelian groups? (Here quasi-cyclic means isomorphic to some  $Z(p^\infty)$ .) A necessary and sufficient condition for an Abelian group to be such is that it takes the form  $A_r \oplus \prod_p \text{Hom}_Z(A_p, Z(p^\infty))$  where  $A_r$  is complete and reduced, the  $A_p$  are torsion-free and the direct product is taken over the set of prime numbers.

We are going to solve the following problem of L. Fuchs [1]: Which Abelian groups are the inverse limits of Abelian groups each of which is a finite direct sum of quasi-cyclic and bounded Abelian groups?

We shall adopt the following notations for an Abelian group  $A$ :  $A_d$  is its maximal divisible subgroup;  $A_r = A/A_d$ ;  $A[n] = \{x \in A \mid nx = 0\}$ ;  $T_p(A)$  is the  $p$ -primary component of the torsion subgroup of  $A$ . We let  $Z$  denote the group of integers,  $Q$  the rational numbers,  $\hat{Z}_p$  the  $p$ -adic integers, and  $Z(p^\infty) = T_p(Q/Z)$ . An Abelian group is *quasi-cyclic* if it is isomorphic to  $Z(p^\infty)$  for some prime number  $p$ . To say that  $A$  is a finite direct sum of quasi-cyclic and bounded Abelian groups is equivalent to the conditions: (a)  $A_d$  is a finite direct sum of quasi-cyclic groups; (b)  $A_r$  is bounded.

Let  $R$  be a ring. An  $R$ -module shall mean a left  $R$ -module. A topology on an  $R$ -module  $A$  shall be one in which the additive group of  $A$  becomes a (Hausdorff) topological group. It is *linear* if there is an open base at 0 consisting of  $R$ -submodules. A linear topology on  $A$  is *linearly compact* if it satisfies the condition: Given a family  $\{K_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  of residue classes of  $A$  modulo closed  $R$ -submodules, if every finite subfamily has a nonempty intersection then  $\bigcap_{\omega \in \Omega} K_\omega \neq \emptyset$ .

Suppose that  $A$  is an  $R$ -module and  $A'$  is an  $R$ -submodule with some topology. For  $x \in A$ , we call a subset of  $x + A'$  a *linear subset* if it has the form  $y + B$ , where  $y \in x + A'$  and  $B$  is a closed  $R$ -submodule of  $A$ . Evidently we have

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $A, B$  be  $R$ -modules,  $A', B'$  be respectively their submodules with some topologies, and  $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$  be an  $R$ -homomorphism which induces a continuous  $R$ -homomorphism  $A' \rightarrow B'$ .*

---

Received by the editors November 16, 1970 and, in revised form, November 22, 1971.  
AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 20K25.

© American Mathematical Society 1974

(i) If  $\{K_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a family of linear subsets of  $x+A'$  ( $x \in A$ ), then  $\bigcap_{\omega \in \Omega} K_\omega$  is either a linear subset or  $\emptyset$ .

Furthermore, if  $A'$  is either linearly compact or compact and every finite subfamily of  $\{K_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  has nonempty intersection then  $\bigcap_{\omega \in \Omega} K_\omega \neq \emptyset$ .

(ii) For  $y \in \varphi(x)+B'$ ,  $\varphi^{-1}(y) \cap (x+A')$  is a linear subset of  $x+A'$ .

(iii) If  $A'$  is either linearly compact or compact and  $K$  is a linear subset of  $x+A'$  ( $x \in A$ ), then  $\varphi(K)$  is a linear subset of  $\varphi(x)+B'$ .

The next lemma is due to C. U. Jensen [2]. An alternative proof is included here because it is more elementary and needs fewer assumptions than that of [2]. The idea of this proof is derived from [3, Proposition 13-2-1, p. 66]. (We were not aware of the result of [2] until Professor Joseph Rotman kindly informed us. We are also indebted to the referee for some improvements.)

LEMMA 2 (C. U. JENSEN). *Let*

$$0 \longrightarrow \{A'_\alpha, \pi'_{\alpha\beta}\} \xrightarrow{\{\sigma_\alpha\}} \{A_\alpha, \pi_{\alpha\beta}\} \xrightarrow{\{\tau_\alpha\}} \{A''_\alpha, \pi''_{\alpha\beta}\} \longrightarrow 0$$

*be an exact sequence of inverse systems of  $R$ -modules where  $A'_\alpha$  are linearly compact (in some linear topologies) and  $\pi'_{\alpha\beta}$  are continuous, then  $\tau = \text{proj lim } \tau_\alpha$  is onto.*

The same conclusion also holds if  $A'_\alpha$  are compact (in some topologies) instead of linearly compact.

PROOF. Given  $x = \{x_\alpha\} \in \text{proj lim } A''_\alpha$  we have an inverse system of sets  $\{E_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}\}$ , where  $E_\alpha = \tau_\alpha^{-1}(x_\alpha)$  and  $f_{\alpha\beta}: E_\beta \rightarrow E_\alpha$  are induced by  $\pi_{\alpha\beta}$ . By Lemma 1, the conditions (i)–(iv) of [4, Theorem 1, p. 199] are satisfied (here  $\mathfrak{S}_\alpha$  is the family of all linear subsets of  $E_\alpha$  together with  $\emptyset$ ). Therefore  $\text{proj lim } E_\alpha$  is nonempty. Let  $z \in \text{proj lim } E_\alpha$ , then we have  $\tau(x) = z$ , i.e.,  $\tau$  is onto.

REMARK. This proof also works for inverse systems of rings as well as (noncommutative) groups.

COROLLARY 1. *If  $\{A_\alpha, \pi_{\alpha\beta}\}$  is an inverse system of divisible Abelian groups satisfying the conditions: For every positive integer  $n$ , (a) each  $A_\alpha[n]$  has a compact topology, (b) each  $\pi_{\alpha\beta}$  induces a continuous homomorphism  $\pi_{\alpha\beta}[n]: A_\beta[n] \rightarrow A_\alpha[n]$ , then  $\text{proj lim } A_\alpha$  is also divisible.*

PROOF. Given a positive integer  $n$ , we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \{A_\alpha[n], \pi_{\alpha\beta}[n]\} \longrightarrow \{A_\alpha, \pi_{\alpha\beta}\} \xrightarrow{\{\tau_\alpha\}} \{A_\alpha, \pi_{\alpha\beta}\} \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $\tau_\alpha(x) = nx$  for all  $x \in A_\alpha$ . By Lemma 2,

$$\tau = \text{proj lim } \tau_\alpha: \text{proj lim } A_\alpha \rightarrow \text{proj lim } A_\alpha$$

is onto. We can verify directly that  $\tau(x) = nx$  for all  $x \in \text{proj lim } A_\alpha$ . Therefore  $\text{proj lim } A_\alpha$  is divisible.

REMARK. For bounded Abelian groups compactness coincides with linear compactness. There is no gain of generality to assume that the  $A_\alpha[n]$  are linearly compact instead of being compact.

COROLLARY 2. *If  $\{A_\alpha, \pi_{\alpha\beta}\}$  is an inverse system of Abelian groups where each  $A_\alpha$  is a finite direct sum of quasi-cyclic groups then  $\text{proj lim } A_\alpha$  is divisible.*

THEOREM 1. *If  $\{A_\alpha, \pi_{\alpha\beta}\}$  is an inverse system of Abelian groups where  $A_\alpha$  are finite direct sums of quasi-cyclic and bounded Abelian groups, then*

$$A_d = \text{proj lim}(A_\alpha)_d, \quad A_r = \text{proj lim}(A_\alpha)_r.$$

*As a consequence  $A$  is algebraically compact.*

PROOF. We have an exact sequence of inverse systems of Abelian groups  $0 \rightarrow \{(A_\alpha)_d, \pi'_{\alpha\beta}\} \rightarrow \{A_\alpha, \pi_{\alpha\beta}\} \rightarrow \{(A_\alpha)_r, \pi''_{\alpha\beta}\} \rightarrow 0$  where  $\pi'_{\alpha\beta}, \pi''_{\alpha\beta}$  are homomorphisms induced by  $\pi_{\alpha\beta}$ . By Lemma 2, the limit sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{proj lim}(A_\alpha)_d \rightarrow \text{proj lim } A_\alpha \rightarrow \text{proj lim}(A_\alpha)_r \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. By Corollary 2,  $\text{proj lim}(A_\alpha)_d$  is divisible. By [1, Proposition 39.4],  $\text{proj lim}(A_\alpha)_r$  is reduced. Therefore  $\text{proj lim}(A_\alpha)_d = A_d$ ,  $\text{proj lim}(A_\alpha)_r = A_r$ .

LEMMA 3. *If  $\{A_\alpha, \pi_{\alpha\beta}\}$  is an inverse system of torsion Abelian groups in which each  $A_\alpha$  has only a finite number of nonzero primary components, then*

$$\text{proj lim } A_\alpha = \prod_p (\text{proj lim } T_p(A_\alpha)).$$

This is a consequence of the universal property of inverse limit.

LEMMA 4. *Let  $A$  be an Abelian group.  $A$  is the inverse limit of Abelian groups each of which is the direct sum of finite copies of  $Z(p^\infty)$  iff  $A = \text{Hom}_Z(B, Z(p^\infty))$  where  $B$  is a torsion-free Abelian group.*

PROOF. Let  $\{A_\alpha, \pi_{\alpha\beta}\}$  be an inverse system of Abelian groups, where the  $A_\alpha$  are finite direct sums of  $Z(p^\infty)$ , and  $A = \text{proj lim } A_\alpha$ .

Case I. All  $\pi_{\alpha\beta}$  are onto. We have a direct system  $\{\hat{A}_\alpha, \hat{\pi}_{\alpha\beta}\}$  of Abelian groups with

$$\hat{A}_\alpha = \text{Hom}_Z(A_\alpha, Z(p^\infty)), \quad \hat{\pi}_{\alpha\beta} = \text{Hom}_Z(\pi_{\alpha\beta}, Z(p^\infty)).$$

Obviously  $\hat{A}_\alpha$  are finite direct sums of  $\hat{Z}_p$  and  $\hat{\pi}_{\alpha\beta}$  are monomorphisms. We also have

$$A_\alpha = \text{Hom}_Z(\hat{A}_\alpha, Z(p^\infty)), \quad \pi_{\alpha\beta} = \text{Hom}_Z(\hat{\pi}_{\alpha\beta}, Z(p^\infty)).$$

(These can be obtained either by direct computation or by Pontrjagin duality.) Therefore

$$\text{proj lim } A_\alpha = \text{proj lim Hom}_Z(\hat{A}_\alpha, Z(p^\infty)) = \text{Hom}_Z(\text{inj lim } \hat{A}_\alpha, Z(p^\infty)).$$

Since  $\hat{A}_\alpha$  are torsion-free and  $\hat{\pi}_{\alpha\beta}$  are 1-1,  $B = \text{inj lim } \hat{A}_\alpha$  is torsion-free.

*Case II (the general case).* Let  $\pi_\alpha: A \rightarrow A_\alpha$  be the inverse limit projections,  $A'_\alpha = \text{Im } \pi_\alpha$ , and  $\pi'_{\alpha\beta}: A'_\beta \rightarrow A'_\alpha$  be induced by  $\pi_{\alpha\beta}$ , then  $\{A'_\alpha, \pi'_{\alpha\beta}\}$  is an inverse system. Obviously the  $\pi'_{\alpha\beta}$  are onto, and  $A = \text{proj lim } A'_\alpha$ . By Case I,  $A = \text{Hom}_Z(B, Z(p^\infty))$ , where  $B$  is a torsion-free Abelian group.

The converse is obvious.

**COROLLARY.** *An Abelian group is the inverse limit of finite direct sums of  $Z(p^\infty)$  iff it is the direct product of copies of  $Z(p^\infty)$  and copies of  $Q$  where the number of  $Q$  among the factors is either 0 or an infinite cardinal.*

This is a consequence of [1, Theorem 47.1].

Combining all the previous results we have

**THEOREM 2.** *An Abelian group  $A$  is the inverse limit of Abelian groups each of which is a finite direct sum of quasi-cyclic and bounded Abelian groups iff the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (a)  $A_r$  is complete.
- (b)  $A_d = \prod_p \text{Hom}_Z(B_p, Z(p^\infty))$ , where the  $B_p$  are torsion-free Abelian groups.

**COROLLARY.** *Condition (b) can be replaced by*

- (b')  $A_d$  is a direct product of quasi-cyclic groups and copies of  $Q$  where the number of  $Q$  among the factors is either 0 or an infinite cardinal.

**REMARK.** Our results can be easily extended to modules over a Dedekind domain.

#### REFERENCES

1. L. Fuchs, *Infinite abelian groups*. Vol. 1, Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 36, Academic Press, New York, 1970. MR 41 #333.
2. C. U. Jensen, *On the vanishing of  $\varprojlim^{(i)}$* , J. Algebra 15 (1970), 151-166. MR 41 #5460.
3. A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. III. Étude cohomologique des faisceaux cohérents*. I, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. No. 11 (1961). MR 36 #177c.
4. N. Bourbaki, *Théorie des ensembles*, Chap. I: *Description de la mathématique formelle*, Actualités Sci. Indust., no. 1212, Hermann, Paris, 1954; English transl., Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1968. MR 16, 454; MR 38 #5631.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF IOWA, IOWA CITY, IOWA 52240