## STEINITZ CLASSES IN QUARTIC FIELDS

## STEPHEN PIERCE1

ABSTRACT. Let K be normal quartic over the rationals. Let  $l\equiv 3$  (4) be an odd prime. If the class number of K is even, there is a normal extension L of degree l over K such that the relative discriminant is principal, but L has no relative integral base over K.

I. Introduction and results. Let K be an algebraic number field, and L a finite extension. The relative discriminant  $D_{L/K}$  is an ideal of K. Let d be the discriminant of a K-base of L and (d) the principal ideal generated by d. Then  $D_{L/K} = B^2(d)$  for some fractional ideal B of K. The ideal class to which B belongs is written C(L/K) and is called the Steinitz class of L with respect to K.

Artin [1] showed that L has a relative integral base over K if and only if C(L/K) is principal. Thus if the class number  $h_K$  is odd, L has a relative integral base if and only if  $D_{L/K}$  is principal.

The story is different if  $h_K$  is even; C(L/K) may be in a class of order 2, i.e.,  $D_{L/K}$  can be principal without L having an integral K-base.

Fröhlich [2] showed that every ideal class of K is a Steinitz class for some quadratic extension. For a fixed odd prime l, Long [5] found which classes of K can be Steinitz classes for some normal extension of degree l. We repeat his result. The classes are those of the form  $C^{l-1/2}$ , where C is a class containing a prime divisor of l or C contains a prime which splits fully upon adjunction of the lth roots of unity.

Let K be an algebraic number field, and let l be an odd prime. We say K has property (\*) with respect to l if there is a normal extension L of degree l which has no relative integral base, but  $D_{L/K}$  is principal.

No field K with odd class number can have (\*) with respect to any prime;  $D_{L/K}$  is principal if and only if L has a relative integral base. Thus, for the rest of the paper, we only deal with fields K for which  $h_K$  is even.

If  $l\equiv 1\pmod 4$  and  $h_K=2$ , it is clear that K does not have (\*) with respect to l. For the case  $l\equiv 3$  (4) and  $h_K$  even, the problem seems harder. We do not know of any such fields which do not have property (\*) with respect to l.

Received by the editors April 16, 1973.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 12A30; Secondary 12A65.

Key words and phrases. Steinitz class, ideal class group.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This work was partly supported by National Research Council of Canada Grant A-7862.

<sup>©</sup> American Mathematical Society 1974

THEOREM 1. Let K be quadratic over the rationals Q. Suppose  $h_K$  i even and  $l \equiv 3$  (4) is prime. Then K has (\*) with respect to l.

THEOREM 2. Let K be normal quartic over Q. Suppose  $h_K$  is even and  $l\equiv 3$  (4) is prime. Then K has (\*) with respect to l.

II **Proofs.** First, some preliminary remarks. The ideal classes of K which are Steinitz classes for some normal extension of K of degree l form a group [5]. If K does not have (\*) then all primes which split fully upon adjunction of an lth root of unity  $\zeta$  are in classes of odd order. Thus the 2-part of the Hilbert class field of K lies in  $K(\zeta)$  and hence  $h_K \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . Also  $K((-l)^{1/2})$  is quadratic unramified over K and K is totally imaginary.

Theorem 1 is easy to complete. We have K imaginary and  $l|D_{K/Q}$ . Now  $K\neq Q((-l)^{1/2})$ , since -l is not a square in K; thus l is the square of a prime ideal in a class of order 2.

We divide Theorem 2 into two cases. First assume K is cyclic over Q. Let k be the unique subfield; k is real. A prime fully ramified from Q to K is  $\equiv 1$  (4) or is 2. Any prime ramified in k is fully ramified in K. Thus k is ramified from k to K.

Let  $h_0$  be the narrow class number of k. Let t be the number of primes (including infinite primes) ramifying from k to K. By a formula of Hasse [3, p. 99], the number h of ambiguous classes of K over k is

$$(1) h = h_0 2^{t+q^*-3}$$

and the number h' of ambiguous classes of K containing ambiguous ideals is

$$(2) h' = h_0 2^{t+q-3}$$

where  $q^*$ , q are given by

(3) 
$$2^{q^*} = (E_k \cap N_{K/k} K^* : E_k^2),$$

(4) 
$$2^{q} = (E_{k} \cap N_{K/k} E_{K} : E_{k}^{2}).$$

In (3), (4),  $E_K$ ,  $E_k$  are the unit groups.

The ambiguous classes of K are a group, and since  $h_K \equiv 2$  (4), we also have  $h \equiv 2$  (4). In the case of K cyclic over Q, we have  $t \ge 4$  and hence  $h_0$  is odd and  $q^* = 0$ . Thus  $k = Q(p^{1/2})$  or  $Q(2^{1/2})$  and  $p \equiv 1$  (4). Now l is inert in k; otherwise  $t \ge 5$ . Thus  $D_{K/k} = (lp^{1/2})$ . It follows that l is the square of a prime in the class of order 2 in K.

Next, let K have Galois group  $C_2 \times C_2$ . Let k be the real subfield. Suppose l ramifies from Q to k. Then  $2|h_0$  and the fundamental unit  $\varepsilon$  of k has norm 1. Hasse's formula yields t=3,  $q^*=0$ ; t=2,  $q^*=1$ ; or t=2,

 $q^*=0$ . Since  $\varepsilon$  is totally positive,  $\varepsilon$  is a norm at all primes except possibly one; hence  $\varepsilon$  is a global norm and  $q^* \ge 1$ . Our only alternative is t=2,  $q^*=1$ ,  $h_0 = 2$  (4). Then K must be  $k((-l)^{1/2})$  which contradicts the fact that -l is not a square in K.

Finally, suppose l does not ramify in k. In (1),  $t \ge 3$  and our alternatives are t=3,  $q^*=0$ ; t=3,  $q^*=1$ ; t=4,  $q^*=0$ . If  $q^*=1$ , t=3, then  $h_0$  is odd and  $k=Q(p^{1/2})$ ,  $p \equiv 1$  (4) a prime or p=2. In either case,  $\varepsilon$  is not totally positive, so  $q^* \ne 1$ .

In the other cases,  $q^*=q=0$ . If t=3, l is the only finite prime ramifying, so l ramifies in the class of order 2. If t=4,  $h_0$  is odd and  $k=Q(p^{1/2})$  or  $Q(2^{1/2})$  as before. Then  $k'=Q((-lr)^{1/2})$  is a subfield of K, where r is a prime different from p. Thus l, r are inert in k and ramify from k to K. Hence the prime in K dividing l must lie in the class of order 2.

III. Additional remarks. It is clear that any normal extension K of Q with even class number must have property (\*) with respect to any odd prime l, simply because l cannot have even ramification index in K.

If  $l\equiv 3$  (4) and K is an abelian field with even class number and not having property (\*), then the largest subfield of K which has degree a power of 2 also does not have (\*).

## REFERENCES

- 1. E. Artin, Collected works, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., pp. 229-321.
- 2. A. Fröhlich, Discriminants of relative extensions and the existence of integral bases, Mathematika 7 (1960), 15-22. MR 27 #1436.
- 3. H. Hasse, Bericht über neuere Untersuchungen und Probleme aus der Theorie der algebrasichen Zahlkörper, 1930.
- 4. H. B. Mann, On integral bases, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 9 (1958), 167-172. MR 20 #26.
- 5. R. L. Long, Steinitz classes of cyclic extensions of prime degree, J. Reine Angew. Math. 250 (1971), 87-98. MR 44 #6647.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, TORONTO M5S 1A1, CANADA