ON LOCAL SOLVABILITY OF PSEUDO-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

A. MENIKOFF

ABSTRACT. A sufficient condition for the local solvability of the equation $u_t - A(x, t, D_x)u = f(x, t)$ is proved, where A is a first order pseudo-differential operator with real symbol. This is a special case of the local solvability conjecture of Nirenberg and Treves.

Introduction. Let P(x, D) be a linear partial differential operator of principal type with smooth complex value coefficients. The question of when the equation Pu=f is locally solvable has been settled by Nirenberg and Treves [4] and Beals and Fefferman [1]. Local solvability is equivalent to the condition:

(P) The imaginary part of P does not change signs on the null bicharacteristics of the real part of P.

For a pseudo-differential operator Nirenberg and Treves conjectured that local solvability is equivalent to the condition:

 (Ψ) On every null bicharacteristic of Re P, if Im P is negative at a point it remains nonpositive from then on.

The purpose of this note is to prove the following special case of this conjecture.

THEOREM 1. Let $P=d/dt-A(t,x,D_x)$ for $(t,x)\in\Omega$ where A is a first order pseudo-differential operator with real symbol $a(t,x,\xi)$. Assume that (Ψ) if $a(t_0,x_0,\xi_0)<0$ then for $t>t_0$, $a(t,x_0,\xi_0)\leq 0$; and if $a(t_0,x_0,\xi_0)=0$ then $\operatorname{grad}_{x,\xi}a(t_0,x_0,\xi_0)=0$.

Then P is locally solvable.

Theorem 1 is a simple consequence of the following a priori estimate for the adjoint of P.

Received by the editors April 9, 1973 and, in revised form, May 4, 1973 and July 2,

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 35A05; Secondary 35F05, 35A05.

Key words and phrases. Local solvability, pseudo-differential operators, localization, Gårding's inequality, a priori estimates, Hörmander's partition of unity.

THEOREM 2. Let $L=d/dt-a(t,x,D_x)$ for $(t,x)\in\Omega=I\times U\subset R^{n+1}$ (I is an interval containing t=0) where A is a first order pseudo-differential operator with real symbol $a(t,x,\xi)$. Assume that if $a(t_0,x_0,\xi_0)>0$ then $a(t,x_0,\xi_0)\geqq0$ for $t>t_0$. Also assume that if a=0 that $\operatorname{grad}_{x,\xi}a=0$. Then given $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a δ such that

$$||u||_0 \le \varepsilon ||Lu||_0$$
 for all $u \in C_0^{\infty}((-\delta, \delta) \times U)$.

The proof of Theorem 2 follows the lines of the constant coefficient case (cf. Nirenberg-Treves [5]). To do this first we localize A as in Hörmander [2]. We need to prove an estimate similar to the sharp Gårding's inequality, but when the symbol does not have constant sign. Lax-Nirenberg [3] have observed that the positivity of the symbol is needed in the proof of Gårding's inequality only to establish that $|\operatorname{grad}_{\xi} a(x, \xi)|^2 \leq C|a(x, \xi)| |\xi|^{-1}$. But this is a consequence of our second assumption about a.

Proof of Theorem 2. We use the notation of Hörmander [2]. In particular $a_{\beta}^{\alpha} = (iD_{x})^{\beta}(iD_{\xi})^{\alpha}a(x, \xi)$.

LEMMA 1. If $a(x, \xi)=0$ implies $\operatorname{grad}_{x,\xi} a(x, \xi)=0$ then

$$|\operatorname{grad}_{\xi} a(x, \xi)|^2 (1 + |\xi|) + |\operatorname{grad}_x a(x, \xi)|^2 (1 + |\xi|)^{-1} \le C |a(x, \xi)|.$$

PROOF. If f(x)=0 implies f'(x)=0 then |f(x)| is a nonnegative C^2 function. Hence $|f'(x)|^2 \le 2|f(x)| \max |f''|$. Applying this inequality to each variable in question and using the pseudo-homogeneity of a we get the lemma.

Hereafter we make the convention that C is any constant depending only on the symbol of A.

We now introduce Hörmander's partition of unity. Construct non-negative functions $\phi_j(x) \in C_0^{\infty}(R^n)$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \phi_j^2(x) = 1$ and $x, y \in \sup \phi_j$ implies that $|x-y| \leq C$, and the supports overlap a bounded number of times. Set $\psi_j(\xi) = \phi_j(\xi|\xi|^{-1/2})$ also in $C_0^{\infty}(R^n)$. The important properties of the ψ_j are that $\sum \psi_j^2 = 1$,

(2)
$$\xi, \eta \in \text{supp } \psi_j \text{ implies } |\xi - \eta| < C |\xi|^{1/2} \text{ and}$$

(3)
$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\psi_{j}(\eta) - \psi_{j}(\xi)|^{2} \leq \frac{C |\xi - \eta|^{2}}{|\xi|} + \frac{8 |\xi - \eta|}{|\xi|}.$$

(See Hörmander [2, pp. 141–142] for proofs.) Let ξ_j be any point in the support of ψ_j and set $u^{jk}(x) = \phi_k(x|\xi_j|^{1/2})\psi_j(D)u$ and $\phi_{jk} = \psi_k(x|\xi_j|^{1/2})$. Observe that

(4)
$$\sum_{i,b} \|u^{jk}\|_0^2 = \|u\|_0^2.$$

Choose x^{jk} an arbitrary point in the support of ϕ_{jk} .

LEMMA 2. Depending on whether $a(x^{jk}, \xi^j) \ge 0$ or <0,

$$\operatorname{Re}(\psi^{jk}(x)\psi_j(D)Au, \psi^{jk}(x)\psi_j(D)u) \ge R_{jk}(t)$$
 or $\le R_{jk}(t)$

where $\sum_{i,k} |R_{ik}(t)| \leq C ||u(t)||_0^2$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Let Lu=f. By the first assumption on a, for each j, k there is a t_{jk} such that $a(t, x_{jk}, \xi) \leq 0$ for $t < t_{jk}$ and ≥ 0 for $t > t_{jk}$. Let $u \in C_0^{\infty}((-\delta, \delta) \times U)$, δ to be determined in a moment. If $a(t, x_{jk}, \xi_j) \leq 0$ we have that,

$$\frac{d \|u^{jk}\|^2}{dt} = 2 \operatorname{Re}(\phi^{jk}(x)\psi_j(D)u_t, u^{jk})$$

$$= 2 \operatorname{Re}(\phi^{jk}\psi_j(D)(Au + f), u^{jk})$$

$$\leq 2R_{jk} + \|\phi^{jk}(x)\psi_j(D)f\|^2 + \|u_{jk}\|^2$$

Upon integrating the above inequality from $-\delta$ to t_{ik} we get

$$||u^{jk}(t)||^2 \leq \int_{-\delta}^{t_{jk}} 2R_{jk}(t) + ||\phi^{jk}\psi_j f||^2 + ||u^{jk}||^2 dt.$$

Similarly when $a(x^{jk}, \xi_i) \ge 0$ we get

$$||u^{jk}(t)||^2 \leq \int_{t_{ik}}^{\delta} 2R_{jk}(t) + ||\phi^{jk}\psi_j f||^2 + ||u^{jk}||^2 dt.$$

Combining the last two inequalities, summing over j and k and applying Lemma 2 and equation (4) yields that

$$||u||_{0}^{2} = \sum_{jk} ||u^{jk}||^{2} \le \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \sum_{jk} R_{jk} + ||\phi^{jk}\psi_{j}(D)f||^{2} + ||u^{jk}||^{2} dt$$
$$\le \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} C ||u||_{0}^{2} + ||f(t)||^{2} dt.$$

If we choose δ small enough so that $4\delta C < 1$ it follows that

$$||u(t)||^2 \le 2 \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} ||f(t)||^2 dt$$

and integrating once more that

$$\int ||u(t)||^2 dt \leq 2\delta \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} ||f(t)||^2 dt,$$

which proves Theorem 1.

PROOF OF LEMMA 2. First we will show that,

(6)
$$\sum_{i} |(\psi_{i}(D)Au, \psi_{i}(D)u) - (A\psi_{i}(D)u, \psi_{i}(D)u)| \leq C \|u\|^{2}.$$

Let $\hat{a}(\eta, \xi)$ be the Fourier transform of $a(x, \xi)$ with respect to x. We may assume that, outside of U, a has compact support in x, so it then follows that $|\hat{a}(\eta, \xi)| \leq C_N |\xi| (1 + |\eta|)^{-N}$ for any integer N. Using Parseval's theorem, the left-hand side of (6) equals

$$\begin{split} \iint &\hat{a}(\eta - \xi, \xi)(\phi_j^2(\eta) - \psi_j(\eta)\psi_j(\xi))\hat{u}(\xi)\hat{u}(-\eta) \,d\xi \,d\eta \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \iint &\hat{a}(\eta - \xi, \xi) \,|\psi_j(\eta) - \psi_j(\xi)|^2 \,\hat{u}(\xi)\hat{u}(-\eta) \,d\xi \,d\eta. \end{split}$$

Taking absolute values, summing up over j and applying inequality (3) we may bound the left-hand side of (6) by

$$\iint \frac{C_N |\xi|}{(1+|\eta-\xi|)^N} \left[\frac{C |\eta-\xi|^2}{|\xi|} + 8 \frac{|\xi-\eta|}{|\xi|} \right] |\hat{u}(\xi)| |\hat{u}(\eta)| d\eta d\xi \le C ||u||_0^2$$
if $N \ge n+1$.

Next setting $A_j = a(x, \xi_j) + \sum_{\nu=1}^n a^{\nu}(x, \xi_j)(D_{\nu} - \xi_j)$, we have that

(7)
$$|((A - A_j)\psi_j(D)u, \psi_j(D)u)| \le C \|\psi_j(D)u\|_0^2.$$

Parseval's theorem tells us that the left side of (7) equals

$$\iiint \left\{ \hat{a}(\eta - \xi, \xi) - \hat{a}(\eta - \xi, \xi_j) - \sum_{\nu} \hat{a}^{\nu}(\eta - \xi, \xi_j)(\xi_{\nu} - \xi_{\nu}^{\xi}) \right\}$$

$$\cdot \psi_{j}(\eta)\psi_{j}(\xi)u(-\eta)u(\xi) d\eta d\xi.$$

But $|\xi - \xi_j| \leq C |\xi_j|^{1/2}$ when $\psi_j(\xi) \neq 0$.

Thus by Taylor's theorem the expression in parentheses is

$$O\left(\frac{|\xi_j|^{-1}}{1+|\eta-\xi|^N}|\xi-\xi_j|^2\right) = O((1+|\eta-\xi|)^{-N})$$

and the bound follows. Note that

(8)
$$\operatorname{Re}(\phi(x)A_{j}u, \phi u) = \operatorname{Re}\left\{ (A_{j}\phi u, \phi u) + i \int_{v} a^{v} \phi(iD_{v})\phi |u|^{2} \right\}$$

$$= \operatorname{Re}(A_{j}\phi u, \phi u) \text{ since } a \text{ is real.}$$

Combining (6), (7) and (8) we have that

(9)
$$\sum_{j,k} |\operatorname{Re}(\phi_{jk}(x)\psi_{j}(D)Au, u^{jk}) - \operatorname{Re}(A_{j}u^{jk}, u^{jk})| \leq C \|u\|_{0}^{2}.$$

Let

and

$$u^{ik}(x) = \exp\{i\langle x, \xi_j \rangle\} v^{ik}((x - x^{ik}) |\xi_j|^{1/2})$$

$$\psi_i(D)u = \exp\{i\langle x, \xi_i\rangle\}v^j(x|\xi_i|^{1/2}).$$

Then $\phi_k(x)v^j$ and v^{jk} differ only by a translation; $|y| \le C$ if $y \in \text{supp } v^{jk}$ and $|\xi| \le C$ if $\xi \in \text{supp } v^j$. Therefore it follows that

$$\int \sum_{k:|\alpha+\beta| \le N} |y^{\beta} D^{\alpha} v^{jk}|^{2} dy \le C \sum_{k:|\alpha| \le N} \int |D^{\alpha} v^{jk}|^{2} dy$$

$$\le C \sum_{k:|\alpha| \le N} \int |D^{\alpha} \phi_{k}(x) v^{j}(y)| dy$$

$$\le C \sum_{|\alpha| \le N} \int |D^{\alpha} v^{j}(y)| dy$$

$$\le C_{N} |\xi_{j}|^{n/2} ||\psi_{j}(D)u||_{0}^{2}.$$

By a change of variables we see that

$$\begin{split} (A_{j}u^{jk}, u^{jk}) &= |\xi_{j}|^{-n/2} \int v^{jk}(y) \Big\{ a(x^{jk} + y \, |\xi_{j}|^{-1/2}, \, \xi_{j}) \\ &+ \sum a^{\nu}(x^{jk} + y \, |\xi_{j}|^{-1/2}, \, \xi_{j}) \, |\xi_{j}|^{1/2} \, D_{\nu} \Big\} v^{jk}(y) \, dy. \end{split}$$

By Taylor's theorem, the fact that supp v^{jk} is bounded, and (10), we have that

$$\sum_{k} (A_{j}u^{jk}, u^{jk}) - |\xi_{j}|^{-n/2} \int v^{jk}(y) \left\{ a(x^{jk}, \xi_{j}) + \sum_{\nu} a_{\nu}(x^{jk}, \xi_{j}) |\xi_{j}|^{-1/2} y + \sum_{\nu} a^{\nu}(x^{jk}, \xi_{j}) |\xi_{j}|^{1/2} D_{\nu} \right\} v^{jk}(y) dy$$

$$\leq |\xi_{j}|^{-n/2} \sum_{k, |\beta| \leq 2; |\alpha| \leq 1} \int |y^{\beta} D^{\alpha} v^{jk}| dy \leq C \|\psi_{j}(D)u\|_{0}^{2}.$$

Finally we have, by using Lemma 1 and the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and the bound on the support of v^{jk} ,

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\mathbf{v}} \int a^{\mathbf{v}}(x^{jk}, \, \xi^{j}) \, |\xi^{j}|^{1/2} \, (D_{\mathbf{v}}^{i} v^{jk}(y)) v^{ik}(y) \, dy \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{v}} \int \hat{a}^{\mathbf{v}}(x^{jk}, \, \xi^{j}) \, |\xi^{j}|^{1/2} \, \xi_{\mathbf{v}} \, |\hat{v}^{ik}(\xi)|^{2} \, d\xi \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \int |a(x^{jk}, \, \xi^{j})| \, |\hat{v}^{jk}(\xi)|^{2} \, d\xi + \int \frac{C}{|\xi_{j}|} \, |\xi^{j}| \, |\xi|^{2} \, |\hat{v}^{jk}(\xi)|^{2} \, d\xi \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \int |a(x^{jk}, \, \xi_{j})| \, |v(y)|^{2} \, dy + |\xi_{j}|^{n/2} \, \|u^{jk}\|_{0}^{2}. \end{split}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{split} \sum_{v} a_{v}(x^{jk}, \, \xi^{j}) \, |\xi_{j}|^{-1/2} \, y \, |v^{jk}(y)|^{2} \, dy \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \int |a(x^{jk}, \, \xi^{j})| \, |v^{jk}(y)|^{2} + \int C y^{2} \, |v^{jk}(y)|^{2} \, dy \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \, |a(x^{jk}, \, \xi^{j})| \, |v^{jk}(y)|^{2} \, dy + |\xi_{j}|^{n/2} \, \|u^{jk}\|_{0}^{2}. \end{split}$$

If $a(x^{jk}, \xi^j) \ge 0$ we may combine this with (9) and (11) to get

$$(\phi^{jk}(x)\psi_j(D)Au, u^{jk}) \ge -R_{jk}$$

and $\sum |R_{ik}| \le C|u|_0^2$. This and similar considerations for the case $a(x^{jk}, \xi^j) < 0$ completes the proof of the lemma.

REFERENCES

- 1. R. Beals and C. Fefferman, On local solvability of linear partial differential equations, Ann. of Math. (2) 97 (1973), 482-498.
- 2. L. Hörmander, Pseudo-differential operators and non-elliptic boundary value problems, Ann. of Math. (2) 83 (1966), 129-209. MR 38 #1387.
- 3. P. Lax and L. Nirenberg, On stability for difference schemes; a sharp Gårding's inequality, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 19 (1966), 473-492. MR 34 #6352.
- 4. L. Nirenberg and F. Treves, On local solvability of linear partial differential equations. I. Necessary conditions, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 23 (1970), 1-38; II. Sufficient condition, ibid. 459-509; correction; 24 (1971), 279-288. MR 41 #9064a, b.
- 5. ——, Remarks on the solvability of linear equations of evolution, Sympos. Math., VII, Academic Press, New York 1971, pp. 325-338.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY, BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21218