A CHARACTERIZATION OF HILBERT SPACE

RONALD E. BRUCK, JR.

ABSTRACT. A real Banach space E of dimension ≥ 3 is an inner product space iff there exists a bounded smooth convex subset of E which is the range of a nonexpansive retraction.

De Figueiredo and Karlovitz [3] have shown that if E is a strictly convex real finite-dimensional Banach space and dim $E \ge 3$ then there can exist no bounded smooth nonexpansive retract of E unless E is a Hilbert space. (A subset F of E is a nonexpansive retract of E if it is the range of a nonexpansive retraction $r: E \rightarrow F$.) This is a consequence of their more general result that if E is reflexive and a convex nonexpansive retract of Ehas at a boundary point x_0 a unique supporting hyperplane x_0+H then H is the range of a projection of norm 1. As they have pointed out, the latter theorem fails in nonreflexive spaces (the unit ball of C[0, 1] furnishes a counterexample). Nevertheless, their first result is true in general:

THEOREM. Suppose E is a real Banach space with dim $E \ge 3$. Then E is an inner product space iff there exists a bounded smooth nonexpansive retract of E with nonempty interior.

We separate out of the proof of the theorem a lemma, valid in all real Banach spaces:

LEMMA. Suppose F is a bounded smooth closed convex subset of a real Banach space E and F has nonempty interior. Then given disjoint bounded closed convex sets M and K in E with K compact, there exist $p \in E$ and $\lambda > 0$ such that $K \subseteq p + \lambda F$ and $(p + \lambda F) \cap M = \emptyset$.

PROOF OF LEMMA. Clearly the hypotheses and conclusions of the lemma are invariant if K and M are translated by the same vector; thus without loss of generality we may assume $0 \in K$. Similarly, we may also assume $0 \in \text{int } F$. Since K is compact and M is closed, a basic separation theorem for convex sets assures the existence of a closed hyperplane H which strictly separates M and K; that is, there exist $w \in E^*$, $c \in \mathbb{R}^1$

Received by the editors June 26, 1972 and, in revised form, August 21, 1973.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 46C05.

Key words and phrases. Nonexpansive retract.

[©] American Mathematical Society 1974

such that $H = \{x \in E : w(x) = c\}$ and

(1)
$$0 \leq \sup\{w(y): y \in K\} < c < \inf\{w(y): y \in M\}.$$

Since K and M are bounded, (1) also holds for functionals sufficiently close to w (in the norm of E^*). By the Bishop-Phelps theorem [1] the support functionals of F are dense in E^* ; thus we may assume without loss of generality that the functional w in (1) is a support functional of F.

If w supports F at x_0 , then $H = \{x: w(x) = c\}$ is the tangent hyperplane to μF at μx_0 , where $\mu = c/w(x_0) > 0$. Let $F_t = (1-t)\mu x_0 + t \cdot int(\mu F)$ for t > 0. Since int μF is convex and μx_0 is a boundary point of μF , it is easily seen that $F_s \subset F_t$ if s < t.

The family $\{F_t: t>0\}$ is an open cover of K. (In fact, since μF is smooth at μx_0 , it is easily verified that $\bigcup_{t>0} F_t$ is the open half-space $\{x:w(x)< c\}$ with boundary H which includes $int(\mu F)$. By (1), K is a subset of this open half-space.)

Since the cover $\{F_t:t>0\}$ is linearly ordered by inclusion and K is compact, there exists t>0 such that $K \subseteq F_t \subseteq \operatorname{Cl}(F_t)$. On the other hand, $M \cap \operatorname{Cl}(F_t) = \emptyset$ because M is a subset of the opposite open half-space $\{x:w(x)>c\}$. Since $\operatorname{Cl}(F_t)=(1-t)\mu x_0+\mu tF$, we may take $p=(1-t)\mu x_0$, $\lambda=\mu t$ to reach the conclusion of the lemma. Q.E.D.

PROOF OF THEOREM. Necessity is trivial, since it is well known that the closed unit ball of a Hilbert space E is a smooth nonexpansive retract of E. (In fact, every closed convex subset of E is a nonexpansive retract of E—the proximity mapping is a nonexpansive retraction.)

To prove sufficiency, let E_1 be any three-dimensional subspace of E, E_0 any two-dimensional subspace of E_1 , and x_0 any point of $E_1 \setminus E_0$. Fix R > 0 and define

$$K = \{x \in E_0 : ||x|| \le R\},\$$

$$M = \{x \in E : ||x - y|| \le ||x_0 - y|| \text{ for all } y \in K\}.$$

Then K and M are bounded closed convex sets with K compact. We claim that $K \cap M \neq \emptyset$.

Otherwise, by the lemma there exist $p \in E$ and $\lambda > 0$ such that $K \subseteq p + \lambda F$ and $(p+\lambda F) \cap M = \emptyset$. If f is a nonexpansive retraction of E onto F, it is easily verified that $g: x \mapsto \lambda f(\lambda^{-1}(x-p)) + p$ is a nonexpansive retraction of E onto $p + \lambda F$. In particular, for any $y \in K \subseteq p + \lambda F$ we have g(y) = yso

$$||g(x_0) - y|| = ||g(x_0) - g(y)|| \le ||x_0 - y||;$$

by definition, $g(x_0)$ therefore belongs to *M*. But $g(x_0) \in p + \lambda F$ since *g* retracts *E* onto $p + \lambda F$. This is a contradiction since $(p + \lambda F) \cap M = \emptyset$.

[March

We have shown that $K \cap M \neq \emptyset$ so that for each R > 0 there exists $x_R \in E_0$ with

(2)
$$||x_R - y|| \leq ||x_0 - y||$$

for all y in E_0 with $||y|| \leq R$. Since (2) holds for y=0 in particular, the set $\{x_R: R>0\}$ is bounded and (since dim $E_0=2$) therefore relatively compact. Hence there exists a sequence $R_n \rightarrow \infty$ such that $x_{R_n} \rightarrow x_{\infty}$ for some x_{∞} in E_0 . It follows from (2) that

(3)
$$||x_{\infty} - y|| \leq ||x_0 - y||$$
 for all $y \in E_0$.

As in Kakutani [4], (3) implies the existence of a linear projection P of E_1 onto E_0 with ||P|| = 1.

To summarize: Whenever E_1 is a three-dimensional subspace of E and E_0 is a two-dimensional subspace of E_1 , then there exists a projection P of E_1 onto E_0 with ||P|| = 1. By Kakutani [4], E_1 must be an inner product space. Finally, since every three-dimensional subspace of E is an inner product space, E itself must be an inner product space. Q.E.D.

REMARK. The same technique can be used to prove the following variant of Kakutani's theorem: If E is a real Banach space of dimension ≥ 3 and every closed linear subspace of E of codimension 1 is the range of a projection of norm 1, then E is an inner product space. It is only necessary to show that every closed half-space in E is a nonexpansive retract of E; and this can be done as in Bruck [2, Theorem 5].

References

1. E. Bishop and R. R. Phelps, *The support functionals of convex sets*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 7, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1963, pp. 27–35. MR 27 #4051.

2. R. E. Bruck, Jr., Nonexpansive projections on subsets of Banach spaces, Pacific J. Math. 47 (1973), 341-356.

3. D. G. de Figueiredo and L. A. Karlovitz, On the extension of contractions on normed spaces, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 18, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1970, pp. 95–104. MR 43 #877.

4. S. Kakutani, Some characterizations of Euclidean space, Japan J. Math. 16 (1939), 93-97. MR 1, 146.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90007