

## PRODUCTS OF RC-PROXIMITY SPACES

LOUIS FRIEDLER

**ABSTRACT.** It is shown that the proximity product of RC-proximity spaces need not be an RC-proximity space. This answers a question of Harris. A positive answer would have implied that the product of regular-closed spaces is regular-closed.

**1. Introduction.** D. Harris [4] has characterized those spaces which can be densely embedded in a regular-closed space as those regular spaces which admit a compatible generalized proximity, which he called an RC-proximity. In [4] he asked five questions. The first and third have since been answered by Sharma and Naimpally [9] while Hunsaker and Sharma have recently solved the second by giving necessary and sufficient conditions under which a map from an RC-regular space to a regular-closed space can be extended to the Harris extension. (The following variant of Harris' second problem is still open: characterize those topological spaces  $X$  for which any continuous function from  $X$  to any regular-closed space can be extended to the Harris extension.) The purpose of this note is to give a negative answer to part of the fourth problem which asked if the product of RC-proximities with the usual definition of product proximity (see Čech [2] or Willard [11]) is an RC-proximity. A positive answer to this question would have implied that the product of regular-closed spaces is regular-closed [1, problem 5]. We shall prove that if  $(X, \delta)$  is an absolutely closed RC-proximity space which is not compact, then there is a compact Hausdorff space  $Y$  for which  $X \times Y$  with the product structure is not an RC-proximity space. Our proof will make use of a recent result of Willard [10].

Proximity, unmodified, will refer to the usual proximity. A proximally continuous function is called a  $p$ -map. Otherwise, all notation and terminology will follow Harris [4].

### 2. Preliminary definitions and lemmas.

**2.1. DEFINITION.** (See Willard [11].) If  $\{(X_\alpha, \delta_\alpha)\}_\alpha$  is a collection of sets with binary relations then the product structure  $\delta$  on  $X = \prod X_\alpha$  is

---

Received by the editors May 17, 1973.

*AMS (MOS) subject classifications* (1970). Primary 54E05, 54D25.

*Key words and phrases.* RC-proximity, product proximity, regular-closed.

© American Mathematical Society 1974

defined as follows:

$A \delta B$  iff whenever  $A = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$  and  $B = B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_m$  then there is some  $A_i$  and  $B_j$  for which  $\pi_\alpha(A_i) \delta_\alpha \pi_\alpha(B_j)$  for all  $\alpha$ .

When each  $(X_\alpha, \delta_\alpha)$  is a proximity space then  $\delta$  is the product proximity and is the categorical product.

**2.2. LEMMA.** *If  $\{(X_\alpha, \delta_\alpha)\}_\alpha$  is a collection of  $R$ -proximity spaces, then  $X = \prod X_\alpha$  with the product structure  $\delta$  is an  $R$ -proximity space.  $\delta$  is the coarsest  $R$ -proximity on  $X$  for which each  $\pi_\alpha$  is a  $p$ -map.*

**PROOF.** It follows from Leader's result in [6] that P1-P4 of Harris' definition are satisfied and it is sufficient to verify P5. Let  $x \not\delta A$ . We shall find a set  $B \subseteq X$  for which  $x \not\delta (X - B)$  and  $B \not\delta A$ . This will clearly satisfy P5. Since  $x \not\delta A$ , there is a finite decomposition of  $A$ ,  $A = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$  such that for each  $i$ , there is some  $\alpha_i$  for which  $\pi_{\alpha_i}(x) \not\delta_{\alpha_i} \pi_{\alpha_i}(A_i)$ . Since each  $X_{\alpha_i}$  is an  $R$ -proximity space, for each  $i$  there is some  $K_i \subseteq X_{\alpha_i}$  such that  $\pi_{\alpha_i}(x) \not\delta_{\alpha_i} (X_{\alpha_i} - K_i)$  and  $K_i \not\delta_{\alpha_i} \pi_{\alpha_i}(A_i)$ . Let  $B = \bigcap_i \pi_{\alpha_i}^{-1}(K_i)$ . Then  $X - B = \bigcup_i \pi_{\alpha_i}^{-1}(X_{\alpha_i} - K_i)$ . Now,  $x \not\delta \pi_{\alpha_i}^{-1}(X_{\alpha_i} - K_i)$  for each  $i$  implies that  $x \not\delta (X - B)$ , and since  $A_i \not\delta \pi_{\alpha_i}^{-1}(K_i)$ ,  $A_i \not\delta B$  for each  $i$ , so that  $A \not\delta B$ . That  $\delta$  is the coarsest such structure follows easily from the fact that if  $Z$  is an  $R$ -proximity space then  $f: Z \rightarrow X$  is a  $p$ -map iff  $\pi_\alpha \circ f$  is a  $p$ -map for all  $\alpha$ .

**2.3. LEMMA (WILLARD [10]).** *If  $X$  is a topological space such that for every compact Hausdorff space  $Y$ ,  $\pi_Y: X \times Y \rightarrow Y$  is a closed map, then  $X$  is compact.*

The next lemma is a special case of Theorem 7 of [6].

**2.4. LEMMA.** *Let  $(X_1, \delta_1)$  and  $(X_2, \delta_2)$  be  $R$ -proximity spaces and let  $\delta$  be the product  $R$ -proximity. Then  $y \delta_i \pi_i(A)$  implies  $\pi_i^{-1}(y) \delta A$  for  $i=1$  or  $2$ .*

**PROOF.** We shall prove this for  $i=2$ . Let  $y \delta_2 \pi_2(A)$  and assume  $\pi_2^{-1}(y) \not\delta A$ . Then there are finite decompositions of  $A$  and  $\pi_2^{-1}(y)$ ,  $A = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$  and  $\pi_2^{-1}(y) = C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_m$  and for each  $A_i$  and  $C_j$  either  $\pi_1(A_i) \delta_1 \pi_1(C_j)$  or  $\pi_2(A_i) \delta_2 \pi_2(C_j)$ . Now, some member of the decomposition of  $A$ , say  $A_k$ , must satisfy  $\pi_2(A_k) \delta_2 y$ . Since  $\pi_2^{-1}(y) \not\delta A$ , it follows from the definition of the product that  $\pi_1(A_k) \not\delta_1 \pi_1(C_j)$  for all  $j$ . But then  $\pi_1(A_k)$  is separated from  $\bigcup_j \pi_1(C_j)$  and  $\bigcup_j \pi_1(C_j) = \pi_1(\bigcup_j C_j) = \pi_1 \pi_2^{-1}(y) = X_1$ . This is clearly impossible.

### 3. Main theorem.

**3.1. THEOREM.** *If  $(X_1, \delta_1)$  is an absolutely closed RC-proximity space which is not compact then there exists a compact Hausdorff space  $X_2$  with*

its unique compatible proximity  $\delta_2$  for which  $X_1 \times X_2$  with the product  $R$ -proximity is not an  $RC$ -proximity.

PROOF. Let  $(X_1, \delta_1)$  be as in the statement of the theorem. If the result does not hold then for each compact Hausdorff space  $X_2$ , the product  $R$ -proximity  $\delta$  on  $X_1 \times X_2$  is an  $RC$ -proximity. By the theorem of Scarborough and Stone [8],  $X_1 \times X_2$  with the product topology is regular-closed and hence by [4] has exactly one  $RC$ -proximity which induces its topology. This  $RC$ -proximity is given by  $A \delta_0 B$  iff  $\bar{A} \cap \bar{B} \neq \emptyset$ . It follows from our assumption that  $\delta = \delta_0$ . We claim that  $\pi_2: X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow X_2$  is closed. Let  $F$  be a closed subset of  $X_1 \times X_2$  and let  $y \in \text{Cl}((\pi_2(F)))$ . That is, let  $y \delta_2 \pi_2(F)$ . By Lemma 2.4,  $\pi_2^{-1}(y) \delta F$ , so that  $\pi_2^{-1}(y) \delta_0 F$ . Since both  $\pi_2^{-1}(y)$  and  $F$  are closed,  $\pi_2^{-1}(y) \cap F \neq \emptyset$ , from which it follows that  $y \in \pi_2(F)$  and  $\pi_2(F)$  is closed. Since this is true for any compact Hausdorff space  $X_2$ , Lemma 2.3 implies that  $X_1$  is compact. This contradiction establishes the theorem.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. M. Berri, J. Porter and R. Stephenson, *A survey of minimal topological spaces*, General Topology and its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra. III (Proc. Conf., Kanpur, 1968), Academia, Prague, 1971, pp. 93–114, MR 43 #3985.
2. E. Čech, *Topological spaces*, 2nd ed., Publ. House Czech Acad. Sci., Prague, 1959; English transl., Wiley, New York, 1966. MR 35 #2254.
3. D. Harris, *Completely regular proximities, and RC-proximities* (to appear).
4. ———, *Regular-closed spaces and proximities*, Pacific J. Math. 34 (1970), 675–685. MR 44 #2198.
5. W. Hunsaker and P. Sharma, *Extensions of continuous functions; regular-closed spaces* (manuscript).
6. S. Leader, *On products of proximity spaces*, Math. Ann. 154 (1964), 185–194.
7. V. Z. Poljakov, *Regularity, products and spectra of proximity spaces*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 154 (1964), 51–54 = Soviet Math. Dokl. 5 (1964), 45–49. MR 28 #582.
8. C. T. Scarborough and A. H. Stone, *Products of nearly compact spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 124 (1966), 131–147. MR 34 #3528.
9. P. Sharma and S. Naimpally, *On two problems of Harris concerning RC-proximities*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 32 (1972), 312. MR 45 #1110.
10. S. Willard, *Functionally compact spaces,  $c$ -compact spaces and mappings of minimal Hausdorff spaces*, Pacific J. Math. 36 (1971), 267–272.
11. ———, *General topology*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1970. MR 41 #9173.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS, AUSTIN, TEXAS 78712