## CONVEX MATRIX FUNCTIONS

## WILLIAM WATKINS

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this paper is to prove convexity properties for the tensor product, determinant, and permanent of hermitian matrices.

Let  $C^n$  be the vector space of all complex *n*-tuples with the usual inner product  $(\ ,\ )$  and let  $H_n$  be the set of all *n* by *n* hermitian matrices. A matrix A in  $H_n$  is nonnegative if  $(Ax, x) \ge 0$  for all x in  $C^n$ . If A and B are in  $H_n$ , we write  $A \ge B$  if A - B is nonnegative. A function f from  $H_n$  to  $H_m$  is monotone if  $A \ge B$  implies  $f(A) \ge f(B)$ , and convex if  $f(\lambda A + (1-\lambda)B) \le \lambda f(A) + (1-\lambda)f(B)$ , for all  $0 \le \lambda \le 1$ .

Löwner [6] introduced the case where f is induced by a real valued function and m=n. Other authors [2], [4], [5] have analysed this case further.

EXAMPLE [9]. The inverse function is convex on the set of all invertible, nonnegative matrices in  $H_n$ .

EXAMPLE [4]. The square root function is monotone on the set of all nonnegative matrices in  $H_n$ .

Some work has been done on the case where m=1. That is, f is a function from  $H_n$  to the real numbers. For example, Marcus and Nikolai [8] have shown that each member of a class of generalized matrix functions is monotone. This class of functions contains the determinant and permanent. For other results of this type see [1].

In order to state the convexity property for the tensor product, let  $m_1, \dots, m_r$  be r positive integers. It is well known [10, p. 268] that, for  $x_i, y_i$  in  $C^{m_i}, i=1,\dots,r$ , the decomposable tensors  $x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_r$  and  $y_1 \otimes \dots \otimes y_r$  in  $C^N, N=m_1 \dots m_r$ , satisfy

$$(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_r, y_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes y_r) = (x_1, y_1) \cdots (x_r, y_r).$$

If  $A_i$  is an  $m_i$  by  $m_i$  matrix  $(i=1, \dots, r)$ , then the tensor product  $\otimes^r A_i$  is an N by N matrix satisfying

$$\otimes^r A_i(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_r) = A_1 x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes A_r x_r,$$

for  $x_i$  in  $C^{m_i}$   $(i=1,\dots,r)$ .

Received by the editors June 15, 1973.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 15A45; Secondary 15A15, 15A69.

THEOREM 1. If  $A_i$  and  $B_i$  are matrices in  $H_{m_i}$  with  $0 \le B_i \le A_i$ ,  $i=1, \dots, r$ , and  $0 \le \lambda \le 1$ , then

$$\otimes^r (\lambda A_i + (1 - \lambda)B_i) \leq \lambda \otimes^r A_i + (1 - \lambda) \otimes^r B_i$$

DEFINITION (GENERALIZED MATRIX FUNCTION). Let  $S_n$  denote the permutation group on n letters and let G be a subgroup of  $S_n$  with irreducible character  $\chi: G \rightarrow C$ . For each n by n complex matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$ , define

$$d(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \chi(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{\sigma i,i} \quad (\text{sum } \sigma \text{ in } G).$$

The function d depends on both the subgroup G and its character  $\chi$ . If  $G = S_n$  and  $\chi(\sigma)$  is the sign of  $\sigma$ , then d is the determinant function. If  $G = S_n$  and  $\chi \equiv 1$ , then d is the permanent function. For a fuller explanation see [7].

THEOREM 2. If A and B are matrices in  $H_n$  with  $0 \le B \le A$  and  $0 \le \lambda \le 1$ , then

$$d(\lambda A + (1 - \lambda)B) \le \lambda d(A) + (1 - \lambda)d(B).$$

COROLLARY. If A and B are matrices in  $H_n$  with  $0 \le B \le A$  and  $0 \le \lambda \le 1$ , then

$$\det(\lambda A + (1 - \lambda)B) \le \lambda \det A + (1 - \lambda)\det B$$

and

$$per(\lambda A + (1 - \lambda)B) \le \lambda per A + (1 - \lambda)per B.$$

PROOFS.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. It is shown in [8] that if  $A_1$ ,  $B_1$  are in  $H_{m_1}$  and  $A_2$ ,  $B_2$  are in  $H_{m_2}$  with  $0 \le B_1 \le A_1$  and  $0 \le B_2 \le A_2$ , then  $A_1 \otimes A_2 \ge B_1 \otimes B_2$ . Thus the right side of the identity

$$\lambda(A_1 \otimes A_2) + (1 - \lambda)(B_1 \otimes B_2) - (\lambda A_1 + (1 - \lambda)B_1) \\ \otimes (\lambda A_2 + (1 - \lambda)B_2) = \lambda(1 - \lambda)(A_1 - B_1) \otimes (A_2 - B_2)$$

is nonnegative. Theorem 1 follows by induction.

In order to prove Theorem 2, we develop ideas relating the tensor product to the generalized matrix function d.

For each  $\sigma$  in  $S_n$ , define an N by N ( $N=n^n$ ) permutation matrix  $P(\sigma)$  by  $P(\sigma^{-1})x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n = x_{\sigma 1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\sigma n}$  for all  $x_i$  in  $C^n$ . Notice that  $P(\sigma\mu) = P(\sigma)P(\mu)$ . Define an N by N matrix T by

$$T = \frac{\chi(1)}{|G|} \sum \chi(\sigma) P(\sigma) \quad (\text{sum } \sigma \text{ in } G).$$

It follows from the orthogonality relations for irreducible characters [3, p. 219] that T is an idempotent. The matrix T is hermitian since the complex conjugate of  $\chi(\sigma)$  is  $\chi(\sigma^{-1})$  and  $P(\sigma)^* = P(\sigma^{-1})$ . If  $A = (a_{ij})$  is an n by n matrix, then  $\otimes^n A$  commutes with each  $P(\sigma)$  and so it commutes with T.

Let  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  be the usual basis for  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Then,

$$((\otimes^{n} A)Te_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{n}, Te_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{n})$$

$$= (T^{*}(\otimes^{n} A)Te_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{n}, e_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{n})$$

$$= (T(\otimes^{n} A)e_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{n}, e_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{n})$$

$$= (TAe_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes Ae_{n}, e_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{n})$$

$$= \frac{\chi(1)}{|G|} \sum_{\sigma} \chi(\sigma)(Ae_{\sigma 1} \otimes \cdots \otimes Ae_{\sigma n}, e_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{n})$$

$$= \frac{\chi(1)}{|G|} \sum_{\sigma} \chi(\sigma) \prod_{i} (Ae_{\sigma i}, e_{i})$$

$$= \frac{\chi(1)}{|G|} d(A).$$

In the second inequality, notice that  $T^*(\otimes^n A)T = T(\otimes^n A)$ , since T and  $\otimes^n A$  commute and T is a hermitian idempotent. If A and B are in  $H_n$  and  $0 \le A \le B$  and  $0 \le \lambda \le 1$ , then by Theorem 1 we have

$$\otimes^n (\lambda A + (1 - \lambda)B) \leq \lambda \otimes^n A + (1 - \lambda) \otimes^n B.$$

By comparing inner products

$$(\otimes^n (\lambda A + (1-\lambda)B)Te_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n, Te_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n)$$

and

$$((\lambda \otimes^n A + (1 - \lambda) \otimes^n B)Te_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n, Te_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n),$$

we get  $d(\lambda A + (1-\lambda)B) \leq \lambda d(A) + (1-\lambda)d(B)$ . The corollary consists of special cases.

## REFERENCES

- 1. R. Bellman, Introduction to matrix analysis, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1960, Chap. 8. MR 23 #A153.
- 2. J. Bendat and S. Sherman, Monotone and convex operator functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 79 (1955), 58-71. MR 18, 588.
- 3. C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner, Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras, Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 11, Interscience, New York, 1962. MR 26 #2519.
- 4. C. Davis, Notions generalizing convexity for functions defined on spaces of matrices, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 7, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1963, pp. 187–201. MR 27 #5771.

- 5. F. Kraus, Über konvexe Matrixfunktionen, Math. Z. 41 (1936), 18-42.
- 6. K. Löwner, Über monotone Matrixfunktionen, Math. Z. 38 (1934), 177-216.
- 7. M. Marcus and H. Minc, Generalized matrix functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 116 (1965), 316-329. MR 33 #2655.
- 8. M. Marcus and P. J. Nikolai, *Inequalities for some monotone matrix functions*, Canad. J. Math. 21 (1969), 485-494. MR 38 #5815.
- 9. M. H. Moore, A convex matrix function, Amer. Math. Monthly 80 (1973), 408-409.
- 10. G. D. Mostow and J. H. Sampson, *Linear algebra*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1969. MR 42 #7673.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, NORTHRIDGE, CALIFORNIA 91324