ALMOST COMPLETELY DECOMPOSABLE TORSION FREE ABELIAN GROUPS

E. L. LADY

ABSTRACT. A finite rank torsion free abelian group G is *almost completely decomposable* if there exists a completely decomposable subgroup C with finite index in G . The minimum of $[G: C]$ over all completely decomposable subgroups C of G is denoted by $i(G)$. An almost completely decomposable group G has, up to isomorphism, only finitely many summands. If $i(G)$ is a prime power, then the rank 1 summands in any decomposition of G as a direct sum of indecomposable groups are uniquely determined. If G and H are almost completely decomposable groups, then the following statements are equivalent: (i) $G \oplus L \approx H \oplus L$ for some finite rank torsion free abelian group L . (ii) $i(G) = i(H)$ and H contains a subgroup G' isomorphic to G such that $[H: G']$ is finite and prime to $i(G)$. (iii) $G \oplus L \approx H \oplus L$ where L is isomorphic to a completely decomposable subgroup with finite index in G .

A finite rank torsion free abelian group G is *almost completely decomposable* if there is a completely decomposable subgroup C having finite index in G . It is well known that direct sum decompositions of such groups need not be unique. In fact, this class of groups is the source of all the most familiar examples of nonunique decompositions of finite rank torsion free abelian groups. This paper will show, however, that the situation is not completely unruly. We show that in certain situations cancellation of direct summands is possible. We show that a maximal completely decomposable summand is unique up to isomorphism. We show that an almost completely decomposable group G has, up to isomorphism, only finite many summands. We show that there are only finitely many groups H for which there exists a finite rank torsion free abelian group L such that $G \oplus L \approx H \oplus L$. Theorem 11 characterizes such groups H .

All groups in this paper, unless indicated otherwise, are finite rank torsion free abelian groups. In general, we will follow the notation and conven-

Presented to the Society, February 2, 1973; received by the editors June 18, 1973.
 AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 20K15.

Key words and phrases. Almost completely decomposable group, cancellation property, regulating subgroup, K -equivalence.

Copyright © 1974, American Mathematical Society

tions of [2]. In particular, if H is a subgroup of G , H_* denotes the smallest pure subgroup of G containing H . An isomorphism class of rank 1 groups is called a *type*. The type determined by a rank 1 group A is denoted by $\mathfrak{t}(A)$. The set of types is partially ordered by writing $\mathfrak{t}(A) \leq \mathfrak{t}(B)$ if $\text{Hom}(A, B) \neq 0$. If $x \in G$, then $\mathfrak{t}(x)$ denotes $\mathfrak{t}(\langle x \rangle_*)$. We say that G is homogeneous of type τ if $\mathfrak{t}(x) = \tau$ for all $0 \neq x \in G$, and that G is *completely decomposable* if G is a direct sum of rank 1 groups. If G is completely decomposable, then G_τ will denote a maximal τ -homogeneous summand of G , so that $G = \bigoplus \Sigma_\tau G_\tau$.

If τ is a type, then $G(\tau)$ is the subgroup of G consisting of those x with $\mathfrak{t}(x) \geq \tau$. We will use $G^\#(\tau)$ to denote the *pure* subgroup of G generated by all $G(\sigma)$ for $\sigma > \tau$, and $G(S)$ to denote the subgroup generated by all $G(\sigma)$ with $\sigma \in S$, where S is a set of types.

Torsion free groups G and H are *quasi-isomorphic* if G is isomorphic to a subgroup of finite index in H . This is equivalent to the existence of monomorphisms from G into H and from H into G . We will call G and H *K-equivalent* if $G \oplus L \approx H \oplus L$ for some finite rank torsion free abelian group L .

1. Regulating subgroups. The concept of a regulating subgroup of an almost completely decomposable group is the key to everything that follows.

Definition. If G is an almost completely decomposable group, $i(G)$ will denote the minimum of $[G: C]$ where C ranges over the set of all completely decomposable subgroups of G . A *regulating subgroup* of G is a completely decomposable subgroup C with finite index in G such that, for each type τ , $G(\tau) = C_\tau \oplus G^\#(\tau)$.

Notice that if C is a regulating subgroup of G , then, for each type τ , C_τ is pure in G . The existence of regulating subgroups follows from the following theorem:

Theorem 1. *A completely decomposable subgroup C of an almost completely decomposable group G is a regulating subgroup if and only if $[G: C] = i(G)$. If D is any completely decomposable subgroup of G , then $[G: D]$ is a multiple of $i(G)$.*

Proof. We show first that if C and D are regulating subgroups of G , then $[G: C] = [G: D]$. Notice that C and D are quasi-isomorphic, hence isomorphic. Choose a minimal type τ such that $C_\tau \neq 0$ and let $S = \{\sigma \mid C_\sigma \neq 0, \sigma \neq \tau\}$, $C_S = \bigoplus \Sigma_S C_\sigma$, $D_S = \bigoplus \Sigma_S D_\sigma$. Then C_S and D_S are regulating subgroups of $G(S)_*$ so that, by induction, we may assume that $[G(S)_*: C_S] = [G(S)_*: D_S]$. Since $C_\tau \oplus G(S)_* = G(\tau) + G(S)_*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[G : C] &= [G : C_\tau \oplus G(S)_*][C_\tau \oplus G(S)_* : C] \\
&= [G : G(\tau) + G(S)_*][G(S)_* : C_S] = [G : D_\tau \oplus G(S)_*][G(S)_* : D_S] = [G : D].
\end{aligned}$$

It now suffices to show that if D is a completely decomposable subgroup of G and D is not a regulating subgroup, then there exists a completely decomposable subgroup C of G so that $[G : D]$ is a proper multiple of $[G : C]$ (hence $[G : D] \neq i(G)$). Let τ and S be chosen as before. The computation above shows that $[G : D] = [G : D_\tau \oplus G(S)_*][G(S)_* : D_S]$. Choose, by induction, a regulating subgroup C_S of $G(S)_*$ and choose by [2, Proposition 86.5, p. 114], a subgroup C_τ of G so that $G(\tau) = C_\tau \oplus G^\#(\tau)$. Since D is not a regulating subgroup, either $G(\tau) \neq D_\tau \oplus G^\#(\tau)$ and $[G : D_\tau \oplus G(S)_*]$ is a proper multiple of $[G : C_\tau \oplus G(S)_*]$ or D_S is not a regulating subgroup of $G(S)_*$ and, by induction, $[G(S)_* : D_S]$ is a proper multiple of $[G(S)_* : C_S]$. In either case, $C_\tau \oplus C_S$ is the desired subgroup C .

Corollary 2. *If G and H are K -equivalent almost completely decomposable groups, then $i(G) = i(H)$.*

Proof. Let C and D be regulating subgroups of G and H , and let τ and S be as in the proof of Theorem 1. If $G \oplus L \approx H \oplus L$, it follows that $G/(G(\tau) + G(S)_*) \approx H/(H(\tau) + H(S)_*)$. Also $G(S)_* \oplus L(S)_* \approx H(S)_* \oplus L(S)_*$, hence $G(S)_*$ and $H(S)_*$ are K -equivalent, so by induction $[G(S)_* : C_S] = [H(S)_* : D_S]$. Thus

$$[G : C] = [G : G(\tau) + G(S)_*][G(S)_* : C_S] = [H : H(\tau) + H(S)_*][H(S)_* : D_S] = [H : D].$$

Remark. If C and D are regulating subgroups of G , it need not be the case that $G/C \approx G/D$. For instance, let A, B and H be noncomparable rank 1 groups and let K be a rank 1 group with $u(K) \leq \inf\{u(A), u(B)\}$ and $u(K) \not\leq u(H)$. Let p be a prime such that none of A, B, H, K are p -divisible and choose a, b, h, k in A, B, H, K all with p -height 0. Let G be generated by A, B, H, K and $(a+b)/p, (h+k)/p$. Let K' be the pure subgroup of G generated by $k + (a+b)/p$. Then $C = A \oplus B \oplus H \oplus K$ and $D = A \oplus B \oplus H \oplus K'$ are regulating subgroups of G . But $G/C \approx Z(p) \oplus Z(p)$ whereas $G/D \approx Z(p^2)$.

Corollary 3. *If G and H are almost completely decomposable groups, then $i(G \oplus H) = i(G)i(H)$.*

Proof. If C and D are regulating subgroups of G and H , then $C \oplus D$ is a regulating subgroup of $G \oplus H$, and $[G \oplus H : C \oplus D] = [G : C][H : D]$.

Corollary 4. *An almost completely decomposable group G has, up to*

isomorphism, only finitely many summands. There are only finitely many groups H which are K -equivalent to G .

Proof. Let n be a positive integer and D a completely decomposable group. Then there are, up to isomorphism, only finitely many almost completely decomposable groups H such that $i(H) = n$ and D is a regulating subgroup of H . In fact, $H \approx nH$ and $nD \subseteq nH \subseteq D$. But D/nD is a finite group, so there are only finitely many subgroups of D containing nD . Now if H is K -equivalent to G , then $i(G) = i(H)$ and D is isomorphic to a regulating subgroup of G (because G and H are quasi-isomorphic). On the other hand, if H is a summand of G , then $i(H)$ is a divisor of $i(G)$ and D is isomorphic to a summand of a regulating subgroup of H . So in either case, there are only finitely many such H .

2. Completely decomposable summands. In general, completely decomposable groups do not have the cancellation property. The following theorem shows, however, that cancellation is sometimes possible. This theorem is a special case of a result in [1].

Theorem 5. *Let $G = A \oplus H = B \oplus C$ where A is a τ -homogeneous completely decomposable group and H has no quasi-summands of type τ . Then B and C have summands B_1 and C_1 so that $G = B_1 \oplus C_1 \oplus H$. In particular, if also $G \approx A \oplus H_1$, then $H \approx H_1$.*

Outline of proof. Let α and β be the projections onto A and B . The hypothesis on H implies that the restriction to $H(\tau)$ of $\alpha\beta$ must be zero. Hence $H(\tau)$ is invariant under β so that there exist summands B_1 and C_1 of $B(\tau)$ and $C(\tau)$ such that $G(\tau) = B_1 \oplus C_1 \oplus H(\tau)$. It follows that $G = B_1 \oplus C_1 \oplus H$.

It is well known that this theorem fails if we merely suppose that H has no rank 1 summands of type τ . (For instance, cf. [2, Theorem 90.4, p. 138].) For almost completely decomposable groups, however, we do have the following weaker theorem:

Theorem 6. *If G is an almost completely decomposable group and $G = A \oplus H = B \oplus K$ where A, B are τ -homogeneous and H, K have no rank 1 summands of type τ , then $A \approx B$.*

Proof. Note that A and B are necessarily completely decomposable groups. By [2, Lemma 86.8, p. 115] $H \cap B$ is a τ -homogeneous completely decomposable summand of B and hence of H (because B is a summand of G). Thus $H \cap B = 0$ by the hypothesis on H . Thus the projection onto A maps

B monomorphically into A , so $\text{rank } B \leq \text{rank } A$. By symmetry, $\text{rank } A = \text{rank } B$, so $A \approx B$.

Corollary 7. *If G is an almost completely decomposable group, then a maximal completely decomposable summand of G is unique up to isomorphism.*

It does not follow from Theorem 6 that any two decompositions of G into a direct sum of indecomposable groups will involve the same number of summands of a given type τ . This is because, as is well known, $G_1 \oplus G_2$ may have a rank 1 summand even when neither G_1 nor G_2 do. However, this cannot occur if $i(G)$ is a prime power. The proof of this depends on the following technical lemma:

Lemma 8. *Let G be an almost completely decomposable group and C a regulating subgroup, and suppose $i(G) = p^k$ for some prime p . For some type τ , let C_1 be a rank 1 summand of C_τ and let $S = \{\sigma \mid \sigma \not\leq \tau\}$. Then C_1 is a summand of G if and only if $C_1 \oplus G(S)_*$ is a pure subgroup of G . Otherwise $(C_1 \oplus G(S))_*/(C_1 \oplus G(S)_*)$ is a cyclic p -group.*

Proof. Let $\phi: (C_1 \oplus G(S))_* \rightarrow C_1$ be defined by multiplication by p^k followed by the projection of $C_1 \oplus G(S)_*$ onto C_1 . Since C_1 and $G(S)_*$ are pure, ϕ induces a monomorphism of $(C_1 \oplus G(S))_*/(C_1 \oplus G(S)_*)$ into $C_1/p^k C_1$, which is a cyclic p -group. Now if $G = C_1 \oplus H$, then $G(S)_* \subseteq H$, so $C_1 \oplus G(S)_*$ is pure. Conversely, suppose that $C_1 \oplus G(S)_*$ is pure. We may suppose that $C = C_1 \oplus C_2 \oplus C_3$ where C_2 has rank 1 and $t(C_2) \leq \tau$ and $C(S) \subseteq C_3$, since otherwise $G = C_1 \oplus G(S)_*$. Then by induction on the rank of G , C_1 is a summand of $(C_1 \oplus C_3)_*$ and C_3 may be chosen so that $(C_1 \oplus C_3)_* = C_1 \oplus C_{3*}$. Then since C_2 and $C_1 \oplus C_{3*}$ are pure and C_2 has rank 1, it follows that $G/(C_1 \oplus C_2 \oplus C_{3*})$ is trivial or a cyclic p -group. In the former case we are done. Otherwise, let $g \in G$ be a representative for a generator of this group. Then, for some $s \leq k$, $p^s g = c_1 + c_2 + c_3 \in C_1 \oplus C_2 \oplus C_{3*}$ where both $c_1 + c_3$ and c_2 have p -height 0 and $c_1 \neq 0$. Now since $t(C_2) \leq t(C_1)$, we have that $ht_q(c_2) \leq ht_q(c_1)$ for $q = p$, whenever $ht_q(c_2) = \infty$, and with finitely many exceptions otherwise. Choose m so that $1 + mp^s$ is divisible by large powers of the exceptional primes. Then $C_1 \oplus C_2 \subseteq C_1 \oplus C'_2$ where $C'_2 = \langle c_2 + (1 + mp^s)c_1 \rangle_*$. Then $g + mc_1 \in (C'_2 \oplus C_{3*})_*$ and $g + mc_1$ represents a generator of $G/(C_1 \oplus C_2 \oplus C_{3*})$. Hence $G = C_1 \oplus (C'_2 \oplus C_{3*})$.

Theorem 9. *Let G and H be almost completely decomposable groups such that $i(G)$ and $i(H)$ are powers of the same prime p , and neither G nor H has a rank 1 summand. Then $G \oplus H$ has no rank 1 summand. Any two*

decompositions of G as a direct sum of indecomposable groups will involve the same number of rank 1 summands of each type τ .

Proof. Suppose that $G \oplus H = A \oplus K$ where $\text{rank } A = 1$ and $\text{t}(A) = \tau$. Let C and D be regulating subgroups of G and H , and let α be the projection onto A . Then $A \subseteq C_\tau \oplus D_\tau \oplus G^\#(\tau) \oplus H^\#(\tau)$. Let C_1 and D_1 be the components of A in C_τ and D_τ and let $S = \{\sigma | \sigma \not\prec \tau\}$. It follows from Lemma 8 that $\alpha((C_1 \oplus G(S))_*) / \alpha(C_1 \oplus G(S)_*)$ is trivial or a cyclic p -group. In the latter case, since $\alpha(G(S)_*) = 0$, $\alpha(C_1) \subseteq p\alpha(G) = pA \neq A$. Likewise either $\alpha(D_1) \subseteq pA \neq A$ or $\alpha(D_1) = \alpha((D_1 \oplus H(S))_*)$. But $\alpha(G^\#(\tau)) = \alpha(H^\#(\tau)) = 0$, so $A = \alpha(C_1) + \alpha(D_1)$. Hence we may assume, say, that $\alpha((C_1 \oplus G(S))_*) = \alpha(C_1)$. This means that $(C_1 \oplus G(S))_* \subseteq C_1 \oplus K$. Since $G(S)_* \subseteq K$, this means that $C_1 \oplus G(S)_*$ is pure, so, by Lemma 8, C_1 is a summand of G , a contradiction. The last statement of the theorem now follows by applying the first part to the indecomposable summands of G and using Corollary 7.

3. *K-equivalence.* We will now characterize K -equivalence for almost completely decomposable groups. The most difficult part of the proof, given in the following lemma, applies to all finite rank groups.

Lemma 10. *Let G and H be K -equivalent finite rank torsion free abelian groups. If n is a positive integer, then H contains a subgroup G' isomorphic to G such that $[H:G']$ is prime to n .*

Proof. We may suppose that G and H are reduced. For each positive integer m , there is a largest subgroup G_m of G so that $m!G_m = G_m$. Each G_m is pure, so for sufficiently large m , we have $G_m = G_{m+1} = \dots$, hence G_m is divisible, hence $G_m = 0$. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that n is a multiple of $m!$. Hence $nA \neq A$ for every nonzero subgroup A of G .

Now suppose $G \oplus L \approx H \oplus L$ where L has finite rank. Let

$$E(G) = \text{End}(G)/n \text{End}(G)$$

and for any group A let

$$H(A) = \text{Hom}(G, A)/n \text{Hom}(G, A).$$

Then $H(A)$ is a finite length right $E(G)$ -module and H is an additive functor. Hence $H(G) \oplus H(L) \approx H(H) \oplus H(L)$ and so $H(G) \approx H(H)$ by the Krull-Schmidt theorem. In particular, $H(H)$ is cyclic. Let $\phi \in \text{Hom}(G, H)$ represent a generator for $H(H)$. Now for any group A , let $F(A)$ be the subgroup of A/nA generated by $(f(G) + nA)/nA$ for all $f \in \text{Hom}(G, A)$. Then F is an additive functor, so that $F(H) \approx F(G) = G/nG$ by the Krull-Schmidt theorem, and we con-

clude that $F(H) = H/nH$, since $H/nH \approx G/nG$ and H/nH is finite. Since clearly $F(H) = (\phi(G) + nH)/nH$, we see that ϕ induces an isomorphism from G/nG onto H/nH . From the fact that this induced map is monic we infer first that ϕ is monic, and second that $\phi(G) \cap nH = n\phi(G)$, so that $H/\phi(G)$ has no elements with order dividing n . Hence $G' = \phi(G)$ is the desired subgroup.

Remarks. (1) It follows from Lemma 10 that if G and H are K -equivalent and $pG = G$ for all but finitely many primes p , then $G \approx H$.

(2) If G and H are K -equivalent, then by Lemma 10 there exist subgroups $G_1 \approx G_2 \approx G$ of H so that $[H: G_1]$ and $[H: G_2]$ are relatively prime. It follows (as in the proof of Theorem 11) that H is isomorphic to a summand of $G \oplus G$. In particular, if $G \oplus G$ has, up to isomorphism, only finitely many summands, then there are only finitely many such H .

Theorem 11. *Let G and H be almost completely decomposable groups. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) G and H are K -equivalent.
- (ii) $i(G) = i(H)$ and H contains a subgroup G' with $G' \approx G$ and $[H: G']$ finite and prime to $i(G)$.
- (iii) $G \oplus L \approx H \oplus L$ where L is isomorphic to a regulating subgroup of G .

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). By Corollary 2 and Lemma 10.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Let $n = i(G)$, $m = [H: G']$ and choose integers r and s so that $rm + sn = 1$. Let D be a regulating subgroup of H and map H into $G' \oplus D$ by $h \mapsto (rmh, snh)$ and map $G' \oplus D$ onto H by $(x, y) \mapsto x + y$. Since $rmh + snh = h$, H has been embedded as a summand in $G' \oplus D$. If L is a complementary summand, then L and D are quasi-isomorphic, so L is almost completely decomposable. By Corollary 3, $i(L) = i(D) = 1$, so L is completely decomposable. Thus $L \approx D$.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Obvious.

Remark. It can in fact be shown that if G and H are K -equivalent almost completely decomposable groups of rank r , and if L is isomorphic to a rank $r - 1$ summand of a regulating subgroup of G , then $G \oplus L \approx H \oplus L$. The proof is tedious and computational and will not be given here.

REFERENCES

1. D. M. Arnold and E. L. Lady, *Endomorphism rings and direct sums of torsion free abelian groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).
2. L. Fuchs, *Infinite abelian groups*. Vol. I, Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 36, Academic Press, New York, 1970 and 1973. MR 41 #333.