

ON THE HOMOLOGY OF FINITE CYCLIC COVERINGS OF HIGHER-DIMENSIONAL LINKS

D. W. SUMNERS¹

ABSTRACT. We produce an explicit formula for the betti numbers of the k -fold branched cyclic covering of a link, in terms of complex k th roots of unity which are also roots of the polynomial invariants of the link. More information is obtained when k is a prime power.

I. Introduction. For classical knots and links, there is a well-known formula [2], [3], [8] for computing the 1-dimensional betti numbers of the k -fold branched cyclic covering space. The formula is given in terms of complex k th roots of unity which are also roots of the polynomial invariants of the infinite cyclic covering space of the complement. This paper gives a new proof of these results, and extends them to a calculation of all the betti numbers for a higher-dimensional link. The proof exploits a long exact sequence [5] relating the homology of the infinite cyclic cover to that of the k -fold unbranched cyclic cover.

The formulas are particularly simple and interesting in the case of prime power coverings (see Theorem 3 and Corollary 5). Moreover, the method of proof of Theorem 3 has turned out to be useful in the study of the monodromy of plane algebraic curves [7].

II. Rational homology invariants. An n -link of multiplicity μ denotes a smooth oriented submanifold L_μ^n of S^{n+2} homeomorphic to μ disjoint copies of S^n . If X denotes the complement of the link, then Alexander duality gives us

$$\begin{aligned} H_i(X; Z) &= \mu Z, & i &= 1, \\ &= (\mu - 1)Z, & i &= n + 1, \\ &= Z, & i &= 0, \\ &= 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{aligned}$$

Received by the editors September 24, 1973.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 57C45, 55A10, 55A25.

Key words and phrases. Higher-dimensional link, infinite cyclic cover, k -fold unbranched cyclic cover, branched cyclic cover.

¹ Research partially supported by NSF GP-19964.

Copyright © 1974, American Mathematical Society

where αZ denotes the direct sum of α copies of Z .

The orientation of the manifold pair (S^{n+2}, L_μ^n) allows us to define the homological linking number $\langle \tau, L_\mu^n \rangle$ between 1-cycles τ and the link L_μ^n . This determines a homomorphism

$$\pi_1(X) \xrightarrow{\phi} J(t), \quad \tau \mapsto t^{\langle \tau, L_\mu^n \rangle}$$

where $J(t)$ is the infinite cyclic multiplicative group on the generator t . The infinite cyclic covering space \tilde{X} of X is the regular covering space associated with $\text{Ker } \phi$. \tilde{X} is an invariant of oriented link type.

Let Z, Q and C denote the integers, rationals and complex numbers. Let Λ, Γ and Ψ denote the integral, rational and complex group ring of $J(t)$, respectively. We have $\Gamma \cong \Lambda \otimes_Z Q$ and $\Psi \cong \Gamma \otimes_Q C$ and regard $\Lambda \subset \Gamma \subset \Psi$ as subrings. Λ is a Noetherian unique factorization domain, and Γ and Ψ are principal ideal domains. Since $J(t)$ acts on \tilde{X} as the group of covering translations, we have that $H_q(\tilde{X}; Z)$ is a finitely-presented Λ -module for all q , and likewise for $H_q(\tilde{X}; Q)$ and $H_q(\tilde{X}; C)$ over Γ and Ψ . The polynomial invariants are the invariants of the Γ -structure of $H_q(\tilde{X}; Q)$. Since Γ is a PID, we have that $H_q(\tilde{X}; Q) \cong_\Gamma F_q \oplus T_q$ where F_q is Γ -free and T_q is Γ -torsion. If $\lambda \in \Gamma$, let Γ/λ denote the cokernel of multiplication by λ on Γ . It is shown in [5] that in the range $n \geq 2$ or $n = 1, \mu = 1$ that

$$F_q = (\mu - 1)\Gamma, \quad q = 1, n + 1, \\ = 0, \quad \text{otherwise,}$$

and $T_q \cong \Gamma/\lambda_1^q \oplus \dots \oplus \Gamma/\lambda_{m_q}^q$ where $\lambda_i^q \in \Lambda, \lambda_{i+1}^q | \lambda_i^q$ in $\Lambda, 1 \leq i \leq (m_q - 1)$. Moreover, if $q \geq 1$ then $\lambda_i^q(1) = \pm 1 \forall i$; and $\lambda_1^0(t) = (t - 1)$. The rank of F_q together with the invariant factors $\{\lambda_i^q\}$ are a complete set of invariants for the Γ -module $H_q(\tilde{X}; Q)$, hence are invariants of oriented link type.

Moreover, the Γ -structure of $H_q(\tilde{X}; Q)$ determines the Ψ -structure of $H_q(\tilde{X}; C) \cong H_q(\tilde{X}; Q) \otimes_Q C$. That is, for $1 \leq q \leq n$, the Ψ -torsion summand of $H_q(\tilde{X}; C)$ is $\Psi/\lambda_i^q \oplus \dots \oplus \Psi/\lambda_{m_q}^q$, and the Ψ -rank of

$$H_q(\tilde{X}; C) = (\mu - 1), \quad q = 1, n + 1, \\ = 0, \quad \text{otherwise.}$$

The k -fold unbranched cyclic cover X_k^u of X is the regular covering associated with the canonical epimorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \pi_1(X) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_k} & J_k \\
 & \searrow \phi & \nearrow \\
 & J(t) &
 \end{array}$$

where J_k denotes the finite cyclic multiplicative group of order k . The unbranched cover has a unique completion, the associated k -fold branched cyclic cover X_k^b , branched along the link L_μ^n . Let k be fixed, and let α_i^q be the number of distinct k th roots of unity which are roots of λ_i^q . Let $c_q = \sum_{i=1}^{m_q} \alpha_i^q$, and let $\beta_q = q$ -dimensional betti number of X_k^u . We have the following

Theorem 1. $n \geq 2$ or $n = 1, \mu = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \beta_q &= c_q + c_{q-1}, & 2 \leq q \leq n, \\
 &= k(\mu - 1) + c_1 + 1, & q = 1, \\
 &= k(\mu - 1) + c_n, & q = n + 1, \\
 &= 1, & q = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have that $\beta_q = \text{rank}_Q (H_q(X_k^u; Q)) = \text{rank}_C (H_q(X_k^u; C))$, so it will suffice to compute the complex rank. As in [5], we have the short exact sequence of chain complexes (over Ψ and C):

$$0 \rightarrow C_*(\tilde{X}; C) \xrightarrow{(t^k - 1)} C_*(\tilde{X}; C) \rightarrow C_*(X_k^u; C) \rightarrow 0.$$

This induces the long exact sequence of homology

$$(1) \quad \dots \rightarrow H_q(\tilde{X}; C) \xrightarrow{(t^k - 1)} H_q(\tilde{X}; C) \rightarrow H_q(X_k^u; C) \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots$$

Let Ker_q and Cok_q denote the kernel and cokernel, respectively, of the map $(t^k - 1)$; then the following is an exact sequence of C -vector spaces:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}_q \rightarrow H_q(\tilde{X}; C) \xrightarrow{(t^k - 1)} H_q(\tilde{X}; C) \rightarrow \text{Cok}_q \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that in the range $2 \leq q \leq n$, $H_q(\tilde{X}; C)$ is pure Ψ -torsion, so is a finite-dimensional C -vector space, and hence $\dim_C(\text{Ker}_q) = \dim_C(\text{Cok}_q)$. The long exact sequence (1) decomposes into a number of short exact sequences

$$(2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Cok}_q \rightarrow H_q(X_k^u; C) \rightarrow \text{Ker}_{q-1} \rightarrow 0.$$

So $\dim_C H_q(X_k^u; C) = \dim_C \text{Ker}_{q-1} + \dim_C \text{Cok}_q$.

Note that the homomorphism $(t^k - 1)$ respects any Ψ -splitting for $H_q(\tilde{X}; C)$. It will therefore suffice in most instances to calculate the kernel and cokernel of $(t^k - 1)$ restricted to a single cyclic summand of the form Ψ/λ . λ has a prime factorization in Ψ into powers of linear factors $\lambda = \prod_j (t - z_j)^{e_j}$. We can further decompose Ψ/λ into the direct sum

$$\Psi/(t - z_1)^{e_1} \oplus \dots,$$

so focus attention on a prime-power summand $\Psi/(t - z_j)^{e_j}$. Since $(t^k - 1) = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (t - \zeta^i)$ where ζ is a primitive k th root of unity, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker} \rightarrow \Psi/(t - z_j)^{e_j} \xrightarrow{(t^k - 1)} \Psi/(t - z_j)^{e_j} \rightarrow \text{Cok} \rightarrow 0$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker} &\cong \text{Cok} \cong C && \text{if } z_j \text{ is a } k\text{th root of unity,} \\ &\cong 0 && \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

Hence the theorem is proved in the range $3 \leq q \leq n$. Since

$$H_{n+2}(\tilde{X}; C) \cong (\mu - 1)\Psi \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \rightarrow \Psi \xrightarrow{(t^k - 1)} \Psi - kC = 0$$

is exact, then $\text{Cok}_{n+1} \cong k(\mu - 1)C$. So $\text{rank}_C H_{n+1}(X_k^u; C) = k(\mu - 1) + c_n$. Likewise Ker_1 gets contributions only from the Ψ -torsion part of $H_1(\tilde{X}; C)$ so $\text{rank}_C H_2(X_k^u; C) = c_2 + c_1$. In dimension 1, we have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow [k(\mu - 1) + c_1]C \rightarrow H_1(X_k^u; C) \rightarrow H_0(\tilde{X}; C) \xrightarrow{0} H_0(\tilde{X}; C) \rightarrow \dots$$

Hence $\text{rank}_C H_1(X_k^u; C) = k(\mu - 1) + c_1 + 1$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Corollary 2. Let X_k^b be the k -fold branched cyclic cover of X , and $n \geq 2$ or $n = 1$, $\mu = 1$, and $\bar{\beta}_q = q$ -dimensional betti number of X_k^b . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_q &= c_q + c_{q-1}, & 2 \leq q \leq n, \\ &= (k - 1)(\mu - 1) + c_1, & q = 1, \\ &= (k - 1)(\mu - 1) + c_n, & q = n + 1, \\ &= 1, & q = 0, n + 2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By excision,

$$H_i(X_k^b, X_k^u; C) = \mu C, \quad i = 2, n+2, \\ = 0, \quad \text{otherwise.}$$

Just as in [5], we have that X_k^b is orientable, and the exact sequence of the pair (X_k^b, X_k^u) yields

$$0 \rightarrow H_{n+2}(X_k^b) \xrightarrow{j_*} H_{n+2}(X_k^b, X_k^u) \rightarrow H_{n+1}(X_k^u) \rightarrow H_{n+1}(X_k^b) \rightarrow 0.$$

Moreover, j_* takes the orientation class onto the element $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ in $C \oplus \dots \oplus C \cong H_{n+2}(X_k^b; X_k^u)$, so we have that

$$\text{rank}_C H_{n+1}(X_k^b; C) = \text{rank}_C H_{n+1}(X_k^u; C) - (\mu - 1) \\ = k(\mu - 1) + c_n - (\mu - 1) = (k - 1)(\mu - 1) + c_n.$$

Likewise, it is shown in [5] that the following is a short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H_2(X_k^b, X_k^u) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_1(X_k^u) \rightarrow H_1(X_k^b) \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence $\text{rank}_C H_1(X_k^b) = \text{rank}_C(X_k^u) - \mu = k(\mu - 1) + c_1 + 1 - \mu = (k - 1)(\mu - 1) + c_1$. Otherwise, $H_q(X_k^b) \cong H_q(X_k^u)$, $2 \leq q \leq n$.

The previous analysis took place over C , and the algebraic fact that all the polynomial invariants factored into linear factors in Ψ considerably simplified the calculation. It is possible to make a similar analysis in Γ , which yields considerably more information in the case of prime power coverings. As before, $\bar{\beta}_q$ is the q -dimensional betti number of X_k^b .

Theorem 3. $n \geq 2$ or $n = 1$, $\mu = 1$ and $k = p^r$, p a prime. Then

$$\bar{\beta}_q = (k - 1)(\mu - 1), \quad q = 1, n + 1, \\ = 1, \quad q = 0, n + 2, \\ = 0, \quad \text{otherwise.}$$

Proof. Following the proof of Theorem 1, the analysis over Γ boils down to looking at $(t^k - 1)$ restricted to a cyclic summand Γ/λ . For the prime number p , we have the prime factorization in Γ :

$$(t^p - 1) = (t - 1)(t^{p-1} + t^{p-2} + \dots + 1)$$

where the second factor is the p th cyclotomic polynomial $\phi_p(t)$. Moreover, we have the prime factorization [6, p. 115] $(t^{p^r} - 1) = (t - 1)\phi_p(t)\phi_{p^2}(t) \dots \phi_{p^r}(t)$

where $\phi_{p^v}(t) = 1 + t^{p^{v-1}} + t^{2p^{v-1}} + \dots + t^{(p-1)p^{v-1}}$. Hence for $v \geq 1$ we have $\phi_{p^v}(1) \geq 2$. Now the rational polynomial invariants $\{\lambda_i^q(t)\}$, $1 \leq q \leq n$, have the property [5, Theorem 2.4] that $\lambda_i^q(1) = \pm 1$. This means that $\lambda_i^q(t)$ and $(t^{p^r} - 1)$ are relatively prime, so the contribution to $H_q(X_k^u; Q)$ from the Γ -torsion part of $H_q(\tilde{X}; Q)$ is trivial for $q \geq 1$.

We are left with the case of a classical link, $n = 1$, $\mu \geq 2$. In this case, we have $H_2(\tilde{X}; Q) = r_2\Gamma$, and

$$H_1(\tilde{X}; Q) = r_1\Gamma \oplus \Gamma/\lambda_1^1 \oplus \dots \oplus \Gamma/\lambda_{m_1}^1.$$

Let ξ be the greatest integer such that $\lambda_\xi^1(1) = 0$. If $\lambda_1^1(1) \neq 0$, take $\xi = 0$.

Theorem 4. $n = 1$, $\mu \geq 2$. If $\bar{\beta}_q$ is the q -dimensional betti number of X_k^b , then $\bar{\beta}_1 = \bar{\beta}_2 = (k-1)(\mu-1) + c_1 - k\xi$.

Proof. $\bar{\beta}_1 = \bar{\beta}_2$ by Poincaré duality, so it will suffice to compute $\bar{\beta}_2$. As in [4], [5] we have the short exact sequence of chain complexes

$$0 \rightarrow C_*(\tilde{X}; Q) \xrightarrow{(t-1)} C_*(\tilde{X}; Q) \rightarrow C_*(X; Q) \rightarrow 0$$

which induces the long exact sequence of homology

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & H_2(\tilde{X}; Q) & \xrightarrow{(t-1)} & H_2(\tilde{X}; Q) & \rightarrow & H_2(X; Q) \rightarrow H_1(\tilde{X}; Q) \rightarrow \dots \\ & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \\ & & r_2\Gamma & & (\mu-1)Q & & \end{array}$$

If X fibers over S^1 , then (3) is the Wang homology sequence of the fibration. From (3) we obtain the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow r_2Q \rightarrow (\mu-1)Q \rightarrow \xi Q \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{so } r_2 = (\mu-1) - \xi.$$

Hence in the notation used in the proof of Theorem 1, we have

$$\text{Cok}_2 = kr_2Q = k[(\mu-1) - \xi]Q, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ker}_1 = c_1Q.$$

So

$$\text{rank}_Q(H_2(X_k^u; Q)) = k(\mu-1) - k\xi + c_1,$$

and

$$\text{rank}_Q(H_2(X_k^b; Q)) = \text{rank}_Q(H_2(X_k^u; Q)) - (\mu-1) = (k-1)(\mu-1) + c_1 - k\xi.$$

This is the same as the result obtained in [3, Theorem 2], except that the present version gives an explicit calculation for $\bar{\beta}_1$ in terms of the Γ -torsion invariants.

As in Theorem 3, by considering prime-power coverings we obtain more information. The following corollary generalizes the corresponding result found by Durfee (see [1]) for 2-fold branched cyclic coverings of algebraic links, and in it we adopt the hypotheses and notation of Theorem 4:

Corollary 5. $n = 1$, $u \geq 2$ and $k = p^r$, p a prime. Then $\bar{\beta}_1 \leq (k-1)(\mu-1)$.

Proof. By the methods of [5, Theorem 2.4] we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_i^1(1) &= 0, & i &\leq \xi, \\ &= \pm 1, & i &\geq \xi + 1.\end{aligned}$$

Hence for k a prime power, this means that $(t^k - 1)$ and $\lambda_j^1(t)$ are relatively prime in Γ in the range $j \geq (\xi + 1)$. So the contribution to c_1 can come only from the $\lambda_j^1(t)$ with $j \leq \xi$, hence $c_1 \leq k\xi$.

REFERENCES

1. A. H. Durfee, *Branched cyclic covers and the characteristic polynomial of the local monodromy of plane algebraic curves* (preprint).
2. L. Goeritz, *Die Betti'schen Zählen der zyklischen Überlagerungsräume der Knotenrausenräume*, Amer. J. Math. **56** (1934), 194–198.
3. F. Hosokawa and S. Kinoshita, *On the homology group of branched cyclic covering spaces of links*, Osaka Math. J. **12** (1960), 331–355. MR 23 #A2878.
4. J. Milnor, *Infinite cyclic coverings*, Conference on Topology of Manifolds (Michigan State Univ., E. Lansing, Mich., 1967), Prindle, Weber & Schmidt, Boston, Mass., 1968, pp. 115–133. MR 39 #3497.
5. Y. Shinohara and D. W. Sumners, *Homology invariants of cyclic coverings with application to links*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **163** (1971), 101–121. MR 44 #2223.
6. B. L. van der Waerden, *Moderne algebra*. Vol. I, Springer, Berlin, 1930; English transl., Ungar, New York, 1949. MR 10, 587.
7. J. M. Woods, *Some criteria for finite and infinite monodromy of plane algebraic curves*, Invent. Math. (to appear).
8. O. Zariski, *On the topology of algebroid singularities*, Amer. J. Math. **54** (1932), 453–465.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY, TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32306

Current address: Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, New Jersey 08540