DUALITY BETWEEN H^p AND H^q AND ASSOCIATED PROJECTIONS

WALTER PRANGER

ABSTRACT. If U/G represents a Riemann surface as the disk U modulo a discontinuous group G and if L^p/G denotes the L^p functions on the circle which are G invariant, then it is shown that $L^p/G = N_p \oplus K_p$ if and only if H^p/G and $\bar{H}^q/G$ are naturally dual. Here K_p is the subset of L^p/G consisting of those functions which are invariant and whose conjugates are invariant; $N_p = E(H^p) \cap E(\bar{H}_0^p)$ where E is the conditional expectation operator. H^p is the space of boundary values of holomorphic functions and $1 < p < \infty$.

Let G denote a group of linear fractional transformations acting on the disk $U = \{Z: |Z| < 1\}$ and let L^p/G denote the subspaces of G -automorphic functions of L^p of the unit circle, $1 < p < \infty$. If K_p denotes the subspace of functions in L^p/G whose conjugates also lie in L^p/G and if $N_p = E(H^p) \cap E(\bar{H}_0^p)$ where E is the conditional expectation operator, then Forelli [3] has shown that $L^p/G = N_p \oplus K_p$ whenever G is the cover group of a compact bordered surface.

The main result of this paper (Theorem 1) shows that such a decomposition holds for general G if and only if the dual of H^p/G is naturally isomorphic to $\bar{H}^q/G$, and this is equivalent to $L^p/G = H^p/G \oplus \bar{H}^q/G^\perp$. Certain ancillary results concerning projections on H^p and H^∞ are considered. The results here are closely related to those in [1], [3], [4], and [5].

If G is a group of automorphisms of the disk U , then there is associated to G the sigma-field Σ_G of G -invariant subsets of the sigma-field of measurable subsets of the circle. There is the conditional expectation operator E used in [3] which associates to each integrable function an integrable G -invariant function. The linear operator E induces by restriction a continuous projection E_p on $L^p \rightarrow L^p$ for every p , $1 \leq p$, and

$$\int_A f(w) dw = \int_A E(f)(w) dw$$

Received by the editors November 13, 1973 and, in revised form April 15, 1974.
AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 30A78; Secondary 46J15.

Copyright © 1975, American Mathematical Society

for each $A \in \Sigma_G$ and $E(f)$ is G -invariant, E_p being a continuous projection $L^p = E_p(L^p) \oplus \text{Ker } E_p$ and $f \in \text{Ker } E_p$ if and only if $f \in L^p$ and $\int_A f(w) dw = 0$ for each $A \in \Sigma_G$. In addition, E_p has these properties:

$$\|E_p(f)\|_p \leq \|f\|_p, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty,$$

$$E_1(kf) = kE(f) \quad \text{where } k \in E(L^q) \text{ and } f \in L^p.$$

The indices p and q will refer to conjugate indices throughout. The adjoint of E_p is E_q . For more details concerning the operator E in this setting, see [3] and [6].

For each subspace A of L^p , A/G denotes those members of A which are G -invariant, while for each $x \in L^1$, x^* denotes that conjugate of x which has mean-value zero. H^p denotes that subspace of L^p which consists of the boundary values of holomorphic functions. The subscript zero denotes those members of H^p which have mean value zero; the bar denotes complex conjugation. Unless otherwise noted, the L^p -spaces are considered as complex vector spaces of complex-valued functions. The decomposition of L^p as $H^p \oplus \bar{H}_0^p$ for $1 < p < \infty$ corresponds to a projection T_p where $T_p(L^p) = H^p$. The pairing $\langle x, y \rangle = \int x(w)y(w) dw$ for $x \in L^p$ and $y \in L^q$ gives $H^{p\perp}$, the orthogonal complement of H^p , as H_0^q and $\bar{H}_0^{p\perp} = \bar{H}^{q\perp}$. This pairing will be used consistently. It exhibits the dual of H^p (considered as holomorphic functions on the disk U) as the space H^q of functions holomorphic on the surface dual to U viz., the surface $\{Z: |Z| > 1\}$. For an arbitrary group G , H^p/G and H^q/G are not dual, though L^p/G and L^q/G are always so.

The fact that T_p is a continuous projection for each p , $1 < p < \infty$, will be used, in particular, in the proof of Theorem 1. In what follows, $1 < p < \infty$.

Lemma 1. *The space $H^p/G \oplus \bar{H}_0^p/G$ is a closed subspace of L^p/G which coincides with the set of x such that both x and x^* belong to L^p/G .*

The proof of this lemma appears in [3, p. 372].

Denote by F_p the L^p -closure of the complex linear space of the functions $E(|Dg|^*)$ for $g \in G$ (see [3, p. 370]). Define also N_p = the L^p -closure of $E(H^p) \cap E(\bar{H}^p)$.

Lemma 2. *The orthogonal complement of F_p in L^q/G is $H^q/G \oplus \bar{H}_0^q/G$.*

The proof of this lemma appears in [3, pp. 370–372].

Lemma 3. *F_p is a subset of N_p .*

Proof. The function $f(w) = (w + b)/(w - b)$ belongs to $\bar{H}^p$ for every p

when $|b| < 1$; and when $b = g(0)$, $f = |Dg^{-1}| + i|Dg^{-1}|^*$. For $A \in \Sigma_G$, $g(A)$ and A have the same measure for every $g \in G$. So $\int_B dw = \int_A |Dg^{-1}| dw = \int_A dw$, where $B = g^{-1}(A)$. Thus $E(|Dg^{-1}|) = 1$ for every $g \in G$. Now $E(|Dg^{-1}|) + iE(|Dg^{-1}|^*) = E(f) \in E(\bar{H}^p)$. So $iE(|Dg^{-1}|^*) = E(f) - 1 = E(f - 1) \in E(\bar{H}_0^p)$. Therefore, $E(|Dg^{-1}|^*) \in E(\bar{H}_0^p)$. Because $|Dg^{-1}|^*$ is real, $E(|Dg^{-1}|^*) \in E(H_0^p)$. So $E(|Dg^{-1}|^*) \in E(H^p) \cap E(\bar{H}_0^p)$ for every $g \in G$ which suffices to prove the lemma.

Lemma 4. $F_p = N_p$ and the orthogonal complement of N_p is $H^q/G \oplus \bar{H}_0^q/G$.

Proof. The result of the previous lemma implies that $N_p^\perp$ is a subset of $F_p^\perp$. So it suffices to prove that $H_0^q/G \oplus \bar{H}^q/G$ is a subset of $N_p^\perp$, for then the result of Lemma 2 implies equality. If $f \in E(H^p) \cap E(\bar{H}_0^p)$ and $k_1 + k_2 \in H_0^q/G \oplus \bar{H}^q/G$, then $\int f k_1 + \int f k_2 = \int k_1 E f_1 + \int k_2 E f_2$ for some $f_1 \in H^p$ and $f_2 \in \bar{H}_0^p$. So

$$\int (k_1 + k_2) f = \int E(f_1 k_1) + \int E(f_2 k_2) = \int f_1 k_1 + \int f_2 k_2,$$

and each of the last two integrals is zero since the orthogonal complement of H^p is H_0^q and that of $\bar{H}_0^p$ is $\bar{H}^q$. So

$$H_0^q/G \oplus \bar{H}^q/G \subset (E(H^p) \cap E(\bar{H}_0^p))^\perp = N_p^\perp.$$

Thus, $F_p^\perp = N_p^\perp$. But then F_p is dense in N_p and since F_p is closed, equality holds.

Lemma 5. The orthogonal complement of $E(H^p)$ is H_0^q/G .

The proof of this lemma involves straightforward computation.

Theorem 1. Suppose that for some p , $1 < p < \infty$,

$$(1) \quad L^p/G = (H^p/G \oplus \bar{H}_0^p/G) \oplus N_p.$$

Then there is a map α from the dual of $\bar{H}^q/G$ to H^p/G which is an isomorphism and which satisfies

$$(2) \quad F(f) = \int f(w) \alpha(F)(w) dw$$

for every $f \in \bar{H}^q/G$ and every F in the dual of $\bar{H}^q/G$.

Conversely, if there exists an isomorphism α from the dual of $\bar{H}^q/G$ to H^p/G which satisfies (2), then the direct sum decomposition in (1) holds.

Proof. I first assume the isomorphism α exists and show that the direct

sum decomposition in (1) obtains. First, I need that $L^p/G = H^p/G \oplus \bar{H}^q/G^\perp$. It is clear that H^p/G and $\bar{H}^q/G^\perp$ are closed subspaces of L^p/G . Moreover, the intersection of these subspaces is zero. For suppose $k \in H^p/G$. Then there is a unique functional F defined on $\bar{H}^q/G$ by $F(f) = \iint f(w)k(w) dw$ for every $f \in \bar{H}^q/G$. But if $k \in \bar{H}^q/G^\perp$, then F is zero and since α is an isomorphism, k is also zero. To conclude, I will show that $L^p/G \subset H^p/G + \bar{H}^q/G^\perp$. Now $x \in L^p/G$ implies that x determines a functional F_x on $\bar{H}^q/G$ by the rule

$$F_x(f) = \int x(w)f(w)dw, \quad f \in \bar{H}^q/G.$$

So there is an $\alpha(F_x) \in H^p/G$ such that $\iint f(w)x(w) dw = \iint f(w)\alpha(F_x)(w) dw$, for every $f \in \bar{H}^q/G$. Then $x - \alpha(F_x) \in \bar{H}^q/G^\perp$ so $x = \alpha(F_x) + y$ where $y \in \bar{H}^q/G^\perp$ and $\alpha(F_x) \in H^p/G$. Thus, $L^p/G \subset H^p/G + \bar{H}^q/G^\perp$ and, therefore, $L^p/G = H^p/G \oplus \bar{H}^q/G^\perp$.

Now I will show that the decomposition in (1) holds. From the above there are two continuous projections, p_1 and p_2 , on L^p/G to L^p/G ; the image of p_1 is H^p/G and that of p_2 is $\bar{H}_0^p/G$. The sum $p_1 + p_2$ is a projection if and only if $p_1 p_2 = p_2 p_1 = 0$ [2, p. 514]. But this is clear since $H^p/G \cap \bar{H}_0^p/G = \{0\}$. Thus, $p_1 + p_2$ is a continuous projection whose image is $H^p/G \oplus \bar{H}_0^p/G$ and whose null space is $H^q/G^\perp \cap \bar{H}_0^q/G^\perp = (H^q/G \oplus \bar{H}_0^q/G)^\perp = N_p$. Thus, the first part of the theorem is proved.

Now I assume the decomposition in (1) and prove the existence of an isomorphism satisfying (2). The proof proceeds as follows. The assumption $L^p/G = N_p \oplus N_q^\perp$ leads to the conclusion $L^p/G = H^p/G \oplus \bar{H}^q/G^\perp$ and this decomposition leads directly to the desired result. In fact $L^p/G = H^p/G \oplus \bar{H}^q/G^\perp$ and the results of [7, pp. 110–111] give the required isomorphism. Thus, it suffices to show that $L^p/G = N_p \oplus N_q^\perp$ yields $L^p/G = H^p/G \oplus \bar{H}^q/G^\perp$.

The hypothesis $L^p/G = N_p \oplus (H^p/G \oplus \bar{H}_0^p/G)$ gives, by duality, the same relation with q replacing p . Therefore, I may assume that $1 < q \leq 2 \leq p < \infty$ and, as a consequence, $H^p/G \subset H^q/G$. I wish to assert that $\bar{H}^q/G^\perp = \bar{H}_0^p/G \oplus N_p$. For then $L^p/G = H^p/G \oplus \bar{H}^q/G^\perp$. Lemma 5 implies that $\bar{H}_0^p/G \oplus N_p \subset \bar{H}^q/G^\perp$. For the opposite inclusion suppose $k \in L^p/G$ and that $\int k(w)x(w) dw = 0$ for every $x \in \bar{H}^q/G$. Then $k = k_1 + k_2$ where $k_1 \in H^p/G$ and $k_2 \in \bar{H}_0^p/G + N_p$. So $\int k_1(w)x(w) dw = 0$ for every such x . But by the assumption $H^p/G \subset H^q/G$, one choice for x is $\bar{k}_1$. Thus $\int |k_1|^2 dw = 0$ so $k_1 = 0$. Therefore the sum $\bar{H}_0^p/G \oplus N_p = \bar{H}^q/G^\perp$ and the desired result follows.

In connection with the above result, the worst possibility occurs when,

say, $H^p(U/G)$ is not trivial but $H^q(U/G)$ is [5, p. 35]. Then N_q is zero so $N_q^\perp$ is L^p/G and $N_p \subset N_q^\perp$.

The following corollary gives the existence of two related projections.

Corollary 1. *If, for some p , $1 < p < \infty$, $L^p/G = N_q^\perp \oplus N_p$, then $E(H^p) = H^p/G \oplus N_p$ and $H^p = H^p/G \oplus C_p$ where $C_p = (N_p \oplus B_p) \cap H^p$; B_p is the kernel of E_p .*

Proof. That $E(H^p) = H^p/G \oplus N_p$ was obtained in the course of the proof of Theorem 1. Now $L^p = ((H^p/G \oplus \bar{H}_0^p/G) \oplus N_p) \oplus B_p$. Therefore, if $x \in H^p$,

$$x = x_1 + x_2 + x_3, \quad x_1 \in H^p/G, \quad x_2 \in \bar{H}_0^p/G, \quad x_3 \in N_p + B_p.$$

Since $E(x) = x_1 + x_2 + E(x_3)$ and $E(H^p) = H^p/G \oplus N_p$ it follows that $x_2 = 0$. So $H^p \subset H^p/G + C_p$. It follows just as easily that C_p is closed and $C_p \cap H^p/G = \{0\}$.

Corollary 2. *If, for some p , $1 < p < \infty$, $L^p/G = N_p \oplus N_q^\perp$, then such a decomposition holds for every r on the closed interval whose endpoints are p and q (q is the index conjugate to p).*

This corollary is a direct consequence of Theorem 1 and the Riesz convexity theorem [2, p. 525].

The next proposition gives a sufficient condition for the factorization in (1) to hold.

Proposition 1. *If, for some p , $1 < p < \infty$, $\dim N_p < \infty$, then $L^p/G = N_q^\perp \oplus N_p$ for every p , $1 < p < \infty$.*

Proof. The definition of N_p as the L^p -closure of the complex linear span of the functions $E(|Dg|^*)$ shows that all N_r coincide in this case. So $N = N_p = N_r$ for every r , $1 < r < \infty$. Now N_2 and $H^2/G \oplus \bar{H}_0^2/G$, being orthogonal complements in $E(L^2)$, meet in zero only. If $p \geq 2$ and $x \in N_q^\perp$, then $x \in N_2$ so $N_q^\perp \cap N = \{0\}$ when $p \geq 2$. If $L^r/G = (H^r/G \oplus \bar{H}_0^r/G) \oplus N_r$ holds for $r \geq 2$, then by duality it holds for all r , $1 < r < \infty$. Thus it suffices to prove such a direct sum decomposition when $r \geq 2$. For $r \geq 2$, $H^r/G \oplus \bar{H}_0^r/G$ and N are closed and intersect in zero only. If $x \in L^r/G$, then $x \in L^2/G$ so

$$x = x_1 + x_2, \quad x_2 \in N, \quad x_1 \in H^r/G \oplus \bar{H}_0^r/G.$$

But then $x_1 = x - x_2 \in L^r/G$ and x_1 and its conjugate both belong to L^r by Riesz's theorem. Moreover, the conjugate of x_1 is invariant so $x_1 \in H^r/G \oplus \bar{H}_0^r/G$. Thus $L^r/G \subset (H^r/G \oplus \bar{H}_0^r/G) \oplus N$, and the theorem is proved.

If the first homology group of U/G is finitely generated, then $\dim N_p < \infty$ for every p . The proof of this appears in [3, pp. 372–379].

Theorem 2. *If, for a given p , $1 < p < \infty$, the decomposition in (1) holds and $N_p \subset L^\infty$, then there is a continuous projection from H^∞ to H^∞ whose image is H^∞/G .*

Proof. The conclusion of Corollary 1 gives $H^p = H^p/G \oplus C_p$ and $E(H^p) = H^p/G \oplus N_p$. Moreover, if $x \in H^p$ and x is a sum $x_1 + x_2$, then $E(x) = x_1 + E(x_2)$ so x and $E(x)$ project to the same member of H^p/G . For $x \in H^\infty$ define $P(x)$ to be the H^p/G component of x when x is viewed as a member of H^p . Now $E(L^\infty) \subset L^\infty$ so if $x \in H^\infty$, $E(x) \in L^\infty$. Thus, $x = P(x) + y$ and $E(x) = P(x) + E(y)$. The hypothesis $N_p \subset L^\infty$ implies that $E(y) \in L^\infty$ so $E(x) \in L^\infty$. Thus, $P(x) \in H^p/G \cap L^\infty$ so $P(x) \in H^\infty/G$. Therefore, P maps H^∞ onto H^∞/G . It is clear that P is idempotent so it suffices to prove that it is a continuous map from H^∞ to H^∞ . I show that the graph is closed. Suppose $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $P(x_n) \rightarrow y$ in H^∞ . Now in H^p

$$x_n = P(x_n) + y_n, \quad E(x_n) = P(x_n) + E(y_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \|x_n - x\|_p \leq \|x_n - x\|_\infty.$$

Therefore, x_n converges to x in L^p , and E being continuous, $E(x_n)$ converges to $E(x)$ in $E(H^p)$. So $E(y_n)$ has a limit in L^p , say z . Also, $E(x) = y + z$ where $z \in N_p$ and $y \in H^\infty/G$. Because P is continuous on L^p , $x = P(x) + \lim y_n$ and $\lim y_n \in C_p$. Since $E(x) = y + z$ and x and $E(x)$ have the same projection, $P(x) = y$ and P is continuous on H^∞ . This completes the proof.

When U/G represents a compact bordered surface, $N_p \subset L^\infty/G$ for $1 < p < \infty$. So the factorizations (1) hold and the continuous projection from H^∞ to H^∞/G also exists.

On considering the representation of a Riemann surface as the disk modulo a discontinuous group G it would appear that a basic question concerning H^p spaces is: When is a given closed subspace of H^p itself the H^p space of some surface? A problem somewhat similar to this for L^p has been treated by Andô [6] using the expectation operator E .

REFERENCES

1. C. J. Earle and A. Marden, *On Poincaré series with application to H^p spaces on bordered Riemann surfaces*, Illinois J. Math. 13 (1969), 202–219. MR 38 #6047.
2. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators. I: General theory*, Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 7, Interscience, New York, 1958. MR 22 #8302.
3. F. Forelli, *Bounded holomorphic functions and projections*, Illinois J. Math. 10 (1966), 367–380. MR 33 #1754.

4. M. H. Heins, *Symmetric Riemann surfaces and boundary problems*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 14a (1965), 129–143. MR 35 #4400.
5. ———, *Hardy classes on Riemann surfaces*, Lecture Notes in Math., no. 98, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1969. MR 40 #338.
6. T. Andô, *Contractive projections in L_p spaces*, Pacific J. Math. 17 (1966), 391–405. MR 33 #566.
7. P. L. Duren, *Theory of H^p spaces*, Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 38, Academic Press, New York, 1970. MR 42 #3552.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DEPAUL UNIVERSITY, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60614