

## HEREDITARILY CLOSURE-PRESERVING COLLECTIONS AND METRIZATION

D. BURKE, R. ENGELKING AND D. LUTZER<sup>1</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we present a generalization of the Nagata-Smirnov metrization theorem. We prove that a regular  $T_1$ -space is metrizable if and only if it has a base of open sets which is the union of countably many hereditarily closure-preserving subcollections. In addition, we investigate intersections of hereditarily closure-preserving collections of open sets.

A collection  $\mathcal{K}$  of subsets of a space  $X$  is *closure-preserving* if  $\text{cl}(\bigcup \mathcal{L}) = \bigcup \{\text{cl}(L) \mid L \in \mathcal{L}\}$  for any subcollection  $\mathcal{L}$  of  $\mathcal{K}$ . A collection  $\mathcal{H}$  of subsets of  $X$  is *hereditarily closure-preserving* (HCP) if, whenever a subset  $K(H) \subset H$  is chosen for each  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ , the resulting collection  $\mathcal{K} = \{K(H) \mid H \in \mathcal{H}\}$  is closure-preserving. Clearly, every locally finite collection is hereditarily closure-preserving. Examples show that closure preserving collections may fail to be HCP and that HCP collections may fail to be locally finite. A  $\sigma$ -HCP collection is one which can be written as a countable union of HCP subcollections.

The classical Nagata-Smirnov metrization theorem [4], [5] asserts that a regular space<sup>2</sup> is metrizable if and only if it has a  $\sigma$ -locally finite base. Regular spaces which have a  $\sigma$ -closure-preserving base were introduced by J. Ceder [1]. Ceder called these spaces " $M_1$ -spaces" and gave examples which show that  $M_1$ -spaces need not be first-countable and that even when they are first-countable they need not be metrizable.

In this paper we consider regular spaces which have a  $\sigma$ -HCP base. Such spaces appear to lie between metrizable spaces and  $M_1$ -spaces. We will show that they coincide with metrizable spaces.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $X$  be a  $T_1$ -space and suppose  $p \in X$  has a neighborhood*

---

Received by the editors August 10, 1973 and, in revised form, June 14, 1974.  
 AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 54E35; Secondary 54D20.  
 Key words and phrases. Metrizable, Nagata-Smirnov theorem, hereditarily closure-preserving collection.

<sup>1</sup> Partially supported by NSF Grant GP 29401.

<sup>2</sup> We adopt the convention that regular spaces must be  $T_1$ .

base  $\mathcal{B}$  of cardinality  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be an HCP collection of subsets of  $X$  and suppose that no member of  $\mathcal{H}$  contains  $p$ . Then some neighborhood of  $p$  meets fewer than  $\mathfrak{m}$  members of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\Gamma$  be the first ordinal with cardinality  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Well order  $\mathcal{B}$  as  $\mathcal{B} = \{B(\alpha) \mid \alpha < \Gamma\}$  and suppose each member of  $\mathcal{B}$  meets at least  $\mathfrak{m}$  members of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Inductively choose members  $H(\alpha) \in \mathcal{H}$  for  $1 \leq \alpha < \Gamma$  such that

- (a) if  $\alpha \neq \alpha'$  then  $H(\alpha) \neq H(\alpha')$ ,
- (b)  $H(\alpha) \cap B(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$ .

For each  $\alpha < \Gamma$  choose a point  $q(\alpha) \in H(\alpha) \cap B(\alpha)$ ; it is not required that  $q(\alpha)$ 's be distinct. Let  $K(\alpha) = \{q(\alpha)\}$ . Since  $\mathcal{H}$  is hereditarily closure preserving the set  $K = \bigcup \{K(\alpha) \mid \alpha < \Gamma\}$  must be closed, and  $K$  does not contain  $p$ . Yet each member of  $\mathcal{B}$  meets  $K$ , so  $K$  cannot be closed unless it does contain  $p$ .

**Corollary 2.** Let  $p$  be a point of a  $T_1$ -space  $X$ . Suppose  $p$  has a neighborhood base  $\mathcal{B}$  whose cardinality is  $\mathfrak{m}$ . If  $\mathcal{H}$  is any HCP collection such that for each  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $p$  is not an isolated point of  $H$ , then some neighborhood of  $p$  meets fewer than  $\mathfrak{m}$  members of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{H}' = \{H \setminus \{p\} \mid H \in \mathcal{H}\}$ . Lemma 1 yields a neighborhood  $B$  of  $p$  meeting fewer than  $\mathfrak{m}$  members of  $\mathcal{H}'$ . Since, for a set  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $B \cap (H \setminus \{p\}) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $B \cap H \neq \emptyset$ , we see that  $B$  meets fewer than  $\mathfrak{m}$  members of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Corollary 3.** Let  $p$  be a nonisolated point of a  $T_1$ -space  $X$  and let  $\mathcal{H}$  be an HCP collection of open subsets of  $X$ . If  $p$  has a countable neighborhood base, then  $\mathcal{H}$  is locally finite at  $p$ .

**Lemma 4.** Suppose  $p$  is a limit point<sup>3</sup> of a set  $A$  in a space  $X$  and that there is a  $G_\delta$ -subset  $G$  of  $X$  which contains  $p$  and has  $G \cap (A \setminus \{p\}) = \emptyset$ . Then any HCP collection of neighborhoods of  $p$  must be finite.

**Proof.** Write  $G = \bigcap \{G(n) \mid n \geq 1\}$  where each  $G(n)$  is an open subset of  $X$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{C}$  is an infinite HCP collection of neighborhoods of  $p$ . Let  $C(1), C(2), \dots$  be distinct members of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Define  $D(1) = A \cap C(1) \cap G(1)$  and  $D(n) = D(n-1) \cap C(n) \cap G(n)$  whenever  $n \geq 2$ . Because  $C(1) \cap G(1)$  is a neighborhood of  $p$ ,  $p$  is a limit point of  $D(1) \setminus \{p\}$ . However  $p$  is not a limit

<sup>3</sup> I.e.,  $p \in \text{cl}(A \setminus \{p\})$ .

point of any set  $D(n) \setminus D(n+1)$  so that because the  $C(n)$ 's are distinct members of an HCP collection,  $p$  cannot be a limit point of the set  $\bigcup \{D(n) \setminus D(n+1) | n \geq 1\} = D_1 \setminus \{p\}$ . That contradiction establishes the lemma.

**Theorem 5.** *A regular space  $X$  is metrizable if and only if  $X$  has a  $\sigma$ -HCP base of open sets.*

**Proof.** That every metrizable space has such a base follows directly from the Nagata-Smirnov theorem.

To prove the converse assertion, let  $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{B}(n) | n \geq 1\}$  be a  $\sigma$ -HCP base for  $X$ . Let  $p$  be a nonisolated point of  $X$ . Then  $\{p\}$  is a  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $X$ . For each fixed  $m$  the collection  $\{B \in \mathcal{B}(m) | p \in B\}$  is finite, in light of Lemma 4, so that  $p$  belongs to only countably many members of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Thus  $X$  is first-countable at  $p$ .

Since  $X$  is first-countable, it follows from Corollary 3 that each set  $X(n) = \{x \in X | \mathcal{B}(n) \text{ is locally finite at } x\}$  contains all nonisolated points of  $X$ . Also, each  $X(n)$  is an open set. Let  $\mathcal{B}'(n) = \{B \cap X(n) | B \in \mathcal{B}(n)\}$ . Then each collection  $\mathcal{B}'(n)$  is locally finite at all points of  $X$  and the collection  $\mathcal{B}' = \bigcup \{\mathcal{B}'(n) | n \geq 1\}$  contains a neighborhood base at each nonisolated point of  $X$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B}''(n) = \{\{x\} | x \in \mathcal{B}(n)\}$ . Each  $\mathcal{B}''(n)$  is a discrete collection in  $X$  so that the collection  $\bigcup \{\mathcal{B}'(n) \cup \mathcal{B}''(n) | n \geq 1\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -locally finite base for  $X$ . According to the Nagata-Smirnov theorem,  $X$  is metrizable.

A more subtle application of Lemma 4 yields a result on  $\sigma$ -HCP local bases at a point.

**Theorem 6.** *Suppose  $p$  is a nonisolated point of a  $T_1$ -space  $X$  and suppose  $\bigcup \{\mathcal{B}(n) | n \geq 1\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -HCP base of neighborhoods of  $p$ . Then each  $\mathcal{B}(n)$  is finite and  $X$  is first countable at  $p$ .*

**Proof.** For each  $B \in \mathcal{B}(n)$  choose a point  $y(B) \in B \setminus \{p\}$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}(n)$  is HCP, the set  $F(n) = \{y(B) | B \in \mathcal{B}(n)\}$  is a closed set. Furthermore  $p$  is a limit point of the set  $F = \bigcup \{F(n) | n \geq 1\}$ . Let  $G = X \setminus F$ . Then  $G$  is a  $G_\delta$  subset of  $X$ ,  $p \in G$ , and  $G \cap (F \setminus \{p\}) = \emptyset$ . According to Lemma 4, each collection  $\mathcal{B}(n)$  must be finite.

In an attempt to simplify the proofs of Theorems 5 and 6—by eliminating the need for the technical Lemma 4—the authors were led to the conjecture that if  $\mathcal{H}$  is an open HCP collection in a space  $X$ , then  $\bigcap \mathcal{H}$  is open in  $X$ . Unfortunately, as Example 8 will show, the conjecture is false; however we can prove

**Proposition 7.** *If  $\mathcal{H}$  is an open HCP collection in a Hausdorff  $k$ -space [3]  $X$ , then  $\bigcap \mathcal{H}$  is open.<sup>4</sup>*

**Proof.** One shows that for each (countably) compact set  $K \subseteq X$ , the collection  $\{H \cap K \mid H \in \mathcal{H}\}$  contains only finitely many distinct subsets of  $K$ . Hence  $K \cap (\bigcap \mathcal{H}) = \bigcap \{H \cap K \mid H \in \mathcal{H}\}$  is the intersection of finitely many relatively open subsets of  $K$ , so  $K \cap (\bigcap \mathcal{H})$  is relatively open in  $K$  for each compact subset  $K$  of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is a  $k$ -space,  $\bigcap \mathcal{H}$  is open in  $X$ .

**Example 8.** There is an open HCP collection  $\mathcal{H}$  in a hereditarily paracompact space  $X$  such that  $\bigcap \mathcal{H}$  is not open.

To construct  $X$  we need a definition and a technical lemma. Let us say that a function  $f: [0, \omega_1[ \rightarrow \mathcal{P}([0, \omega_1[)^5$ , where  $\omega_1$  is the first uncountable ordinal, is *admissible* if for each  $\alpha < \omega_1$ ,  $f(\alpha)$  is a countable subset of  $] \alpha, \omega_1[$ .

**Lemma.** *Let  $f: [0, \omega_1[ \rightarrow \mathcal{P}([0, \omega_1[)$  be admissible. Then  $[1, \omega_1[ \setminus \bigcup \{f(\alpha): 0 \leq \alpha < \omega_1\}$  is uncountable.*

**Proof.** If  $[1, \omega_1[ \setminus \bigcup \{f(\alpha): 0 \leq \alpha < \omega_1\}$  were countable we could obtain an admissible function  $g$  such that  $\bigcup \{g(\alpha) \mid 0 \leq \alpha < \omega_1\} = [1, \omega_1[$ . Defining  $\phi(0) = 0$  and  $\phi(\beta) = \inf \{\alpha: \beta \in g(\alpha)\}$  if  $1 \leq \beta < \omega_1$ , we obtain a function  $\phi: [0, \omega_1[ \rightarrow [0, \omega_1[$  such that  $\phi(\beta) < \beta$  if  $0 < \beta < \omega_1$  and such that  $\phi^{-1}(\alpha)$  is countable for each  $\alpha < \omega_1$ . But according to a theorem of Alexandroff and Urysohn [2, p. 79], no such function can exist.

It is clear that if  $f$  and  $g$  are admissible then so is  $h$ , defined by  $h(\alpha) = f(\alpha) \cup g(\alpha)$  for each  $\alpha < \omega_1$ . For each admissible  $f$ , let  $N_f = [1, \omega_1[ \setminus \bigcup \{f(\alpha): 0 \leq \alpha < \omega_1\}$  and let  $\mathcal{N} = \{N_f: f \text{ is admissible}\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{N}$  is closed under finite intersections so that we may topologize the set  $X = [0, \omega_1[$  by taking  $\mathcal{N}$  to be a neighborhood base at  $\omega_1$  and by making each other point isolated. With that topology  $X$  is a hereditarily paracompact Hausdorff space.

<sup>4</sup> One can also prove that if  $\mathcal{H}$  is an open HCP collection in a locally connected regular space then  $\bigcap \mathcal{H}$  is open, the key lemma being that in any  $T_1$ -space the intersection of an open, countable, HCP collection is open. Given that lemma, suppose  $x \in \bigcap \mathcal{H}$  where  $\mathcal{H}$  is an open HCP collection in  $X$ . If  $\mathcal{H}$  were infinite we could choose distinct members  $H_n$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  and (using regularity and local connectedness of  $X$ ) connected open sets  $U_n \subset H_n$  in such a way that  $x \in U_n$  and  $\text{cl}(U_{n+1}) \not\subseteq U_n \cap H_{n+1}$  for each  $n$ . But then the set  $\bigcap \{U_n: n \geq 1\}$  would be a nonempty, closed-and-open subset of  $X$  which is properly contained in the connected set  $U_1$ . That being impossible,  $\mathcal{H}$  is finite and our assertion is established.

<sup>5</sup>  $\mathcal{P}([0, \omega_1[)$  denotes the power set of  $[0, \omega_1[$ .

For each  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , let  $H_\alpha = ]\alpha, \omega_1]$  and let  $\mathcal{H} = \{H_\alpha: 0 \leq \alpha < \omega_1\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}$  is a collection of open sets in  $X$  and  $\bigcap \mathcal{H} = \{\omega_1\}$  is not open. To complete the example, we show that  $\mathcal{H}$  is HCP. Suppose that  $S_\alpha \subseteq H_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha < \omega_1$ ; it will be enough to show that if  $\omega_1 \notin \text{cl}(S_\alpha)$  for each  $\alpha$ , then  $\omega_1 \notin \text{cl}(\bigcup \{S_\alpha: \alpha < \omega_1\})$ . Because  $\omega_1 \notin \text{cl}(S_\alpha)$  there is an admissible function  $f_\alpha$  having  $S_\alpha \subseteq \bigcup \{f_\alpha(\beta): 0 \leq \beta < \omega_1\}$ . By modifying  $f_\alpha$  if necessary, we may assume that  $f_\alpha(\beta) = \emptyset$  whenever  $\beta < \alpha$ . Defining  $g(\beta) = \bigcup \{f_\alpha(\beta): 0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta\}$  for  $\beta < \omega_1$ , we obtain an admissible function having  $\bigcup \{S_\alpha: 0 \leq \alpha < \omega_1\} \subseteq \bigcup \{g(\beta): 0 \leq \beta < \omega_1\}$  so that  $\omega_1 \notin \text{cl}(\bigcup \{S_\alpha: 0 \leq \alpha < \omega_1\})$  as required.

The referee has suggested a possible improvement in our metrization theorem. Suppose that one considers collections  $\mathcal{H}$  in a space  $X$  with the property that if a point  $x(H) \in H$  is chosen for each  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  then the set  $\{x(H): H \in \mathcal{H}\}$  is a closed discrete subspace of  $X$ ; such collections might reasonably be called *weakly HCP*. Then is it true that a regular space is metrizable if it has a  $\sigma$ -weakly HCP base? The question has an affirmative answer provided only  $k$ -spaces are considered: the proof of Proposition 7 shows that a  $k$ -space having a  $\sigma$ -weakly HCP base must be first countable so that the proof of Theorem 5, beginning with the third paragraph, shows that  $X$  (if regular) is metrizable. However, our next example shows that if  $X$  is not assumed to be a  $k$ -space, then the suggested generalization of Theorem 5 is false.

**Example 9.** There is a nonmetrizable, hereditarily paracompact space which has a  $\sigma$ -weakly HCP base.

**Proof.** Let  $A$  be the set of all ordinals having cardinality less than  $\aleph_{\omega_0}$ . Let  $Z$  be the product space  $\{0, 1\}^A$  and let  $\bar{0}$  be the element of  $Z$  having  $\bar{0}(\alpha) = 0$  for each  $\alpha \in A$ . Let  $X$  be the set  $\{\bar{0}\} \cup \{z \in Z: \text{the set } \{\alpha \in A: z(\alpha) = 0\} \text{ is finite}\}$ . Topologize  $X$  by making each point of  $X \setminus \{\bar{0}\}$  isolated and by taking basic neighborhoods of  $\bar{0}$  to be all sets of the form  $U \cap X$  where  $U$  is a basic neighborhood of  $\bar{0}$  in the product space  $Z$ . Then  $X$  is hereditarily paracompact and nonmetrizable.

Let  $\mathcal{B}'(n) = \{\{z\}: z \in X \setminus \{\bar{0}\} \text{ and } |\{\alpha \in A: z(\alpha) = 0\}| = n\}$ . Then each  $\mathcal{B}'(n)$  is a discrete collection in  $X$ . For each basic open neighborhood  $U$  of  $\bar{0}$  in  $Z$  the set  $R(U) = \{\alpha \in A: \pi_\alpha[U] = \{0\}\}$  is finite, where  $\pi_\alpha: Z \rightarrow \{0, 1\}_\alpha$  denotes the projection. Let  $\mathcal{B}''(n) = \{U \cap X: U \text{ is a basic neighborhood of } \bar{0} \text{ in } Z \text{ and } R(U) \subseteq [0, \omega_n[ \}$ , where  $\omega_n$  is the first ordinal of cardinality  $\aleph_n$ . Since each set  $U \cap X$  in  $\mathcal{B}''(n)$  is uniquely determined by the finite set  $R(U)$  of  $[0, \omega_n[$ ,  $|\mathcal{B}''(n)| \leq \aleph_n$ . In order to show that  $\mathcal{B}''(n)$  is weak-

ly HCP it will be sufficient to show that if a point  $z_U \in (U \cap X) \setminus \{\bar{0}\}$  is chosen for each  $U \cap X \in \mathcal{B}''(n)$ , then  $\bar{0} \notin \text{cl}\{z_U: U \cap X \in \mathcal{B}''(n)\}$ . For each of the chosen points  $z_U$ , the set  $S(U) = \{\alpha \in A: z_U(\alpha) = 0\}$  is finite. Since  $|\mathcal{B}''(n)| \leq \aleph_n$  the set  $S = \bigcup \{S(U): U \cap X \in \mathcal{B}''(n)\}$  has cardinality not exceeding  $\aleph_n$  so that we may choose  $\beta \in A \setminus S$ . But then the neighborhood  $X \cap \{z \in Z: z(\beta) = 0\}$  of  $\bar{0}$  contains no point  $z_U$  so that  $\bar{0} \notin \text{cl}\{z_U: U \cap X \in \mathcal{B}''(n)\}$ , as required.

Since the collection  $\bigcup \{\mathcal{B}'(n) \cup \mathcal{B}''(n): n \geq 1\}$  is a base for  $X$ , the proof is complete.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. J. Ceder, *Some generalization of metric spaces*, Pacific J. Math. 11 (1961), 105–125. MR 24 #A1707.
2. I. Juhász, *Cardinal functions in topology*, Math. Center Tracts, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1971.
3. J. Kelley, *General topology*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1955. MR 16, 1136.
4. J. Nagata, *On a necessary and sufficient condition of metrizability*, J. Inst. Polytech. Osaka City Univ. Ser. A Math. 1 (1950), 93–100. MR 13, 264.
5. Ju. M. Smirnov, *A necessary and sufficient condition for metrizability of a topological space*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 77 (1951), 197–200. (Russian) MR 12, 845.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MIAMI UNIVERSITY, OXFORD, OHIO 45056

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH, PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA 15260