

## PERTURBATIONS OF LIMIT-CIRCLE EXPRESSIONS

THOMAS T. READ

**ABSTRACT.** It is shown that for any limit-circle expression  $L(y) = \sum_{j=0}^n p_j y^{(j)}$ , any sequence of disjoint intervals  $\{[a_k, b_k]\}_{k=1}^\infty$  such that  $a_k \rightarrow \infty$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , and any  $i \leq n-1$ , there is an expression  $M(y) = \sum_{j=0}^n q_j y^{(j)}$  such that  $q_i = p_i$  except on  $\cup (a_k, b_k)$ ,  $q_j = p_j$  for all  $j \neq i$ , and such that  $M$  is not limit-circle.

An  $n$ th order ordinary differential expression  $L(y) = \sum_{j=0}^n p_j y^{(j)}$ , where each  $p_j$  is a complex-valued function on  $[0, \infty)$  with continuous  $j$ th derivative and  $p_n$  is zero-free, is said to be *limit-circle* if all solutions of  $L(y) = 0$  and all solutions of  $L^+(y) = 0$  lie in  $L^2(0, \infty)$ . Here  $L^+$  is the formal (Lagrange) adjoint of  $L$ . The smoothness assumptions on the  $p_j$ 's ensure the existence of  $L^+$  as a differential expression. They can be avoided by suitable use of quasi-differential expressions. See [4].

We shall show that the limit-circle property depends on the behavior of the coefficient functions on the entire interval. More precisely, we have

**THEOREM.** Let  $L(y) = \sum_{j=0}^n p_j y^{(j)}$  be limit-circle, let  $\{[a_k, b_k]\}_{k=1}^\infty$  be any sequence of pairwise disjoint intervals such that  $a_k \rightarrow \infty$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , and let  $i \leq n-1$ . Then there is an expression  $M(y) = \sum_{j=0}^n q_j y^{(j)}$  such that  $q_i = p_i$  except on  $\cup_{k=1}^\infty (a_k, b_k)$ ,  $q_j = p_j$  for each  $j \neq i$ , and such that  $M$  is not limit-circle.

**REMARK.** If  $L$  is a second order real formally symmetric expression, then  $L$  is either limit-circle or limit-point. Thus the theorem asserts in this case that for any limit-circle expression  $-(ry')' + py$  there is a limit-point expression  $-(ry')' + qy$  such that  $q = p$  on the complement of a prescribed sequence of intervals. This extends, in part, a result of Eastham and Thompson [1] who show that for a certain class of limit-circle expressions the above conclusion holds with the added property that  $q$  is monotonic. Our assertion, for second order real formally symmetric expressions, can also be deduced from a limit-point criterion of Ismagilov [2] (for leading coefficient 1) and Knowles [3].

**PROOF.** The proof is based on the observation that a necessary (though far from sufficient) condition for  $L$  to be limit-circle is that there exist a positive constant  $K$  such that

$$(1) \quad \|L(f)\| \geq K\|f\|$$

---

Presented to the Society, January 23, 1976; received by the editors February 7, 1975.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 34B20.

Key words and phrases.  $n$ th order ordinary differential expression, Weyl theory, limit-circle condition.

© American Mathematical Society 1976

for all  $C^\infty$  functions  $f$  with compact support in the interior of  $[0, \infty)$ . Here  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the usual norm in  $L^2(0, \infty)$ . This may be seen as follows. There are solutions  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$  of  $L(y) = 0$  and  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n$  of  $L^+(y) = 0$  such that  $V(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^n \varphi_j(x) \psi_j(t)$  has the property that for any  $g \in L^2(0, \infty)$ ,  $f(x) = \int_0^x V(x, t) g(t) dt$  satisfies  $L(f) = g$  and  $f(0) = f'(0) = \dots = f^{(n-1)}(0) = 0$ . Thus a restriction of this integral operator is the inverse of the operator determined by the differential expression  $L$  on the linear space of  $C^\infty$  functions with compact support in the interior of  $[0, \infty)$ . From the assumption that  $L$  is limit-circle it is clear that this integral operator is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator and so, in particular, continuous. Thus the inequality (1) is valid for some positive  $K$ .

Now suppose that  $\{[a_k, b_k]\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is given. We complete the proof first for  $i = 0$ . From the above observation it suffices to define  $M$  on  $\cup_{k=1}^\infty [a_k, b_k]$  so that for each  $k$  there is a  $C^\infty$  function  $f_k$  supported on the interior of  $[a_k, b_k]$  such that  $\|M(f_k)\| < (1/k)\|f_k\|$ . It will be convenient to adopt the notation  $L_1(y) = \sum_{j=1}^n p_j y^{(j)} = L(y) - p_0 y$ . We may consider the intervals independently and so must only show that given any interval  $[a, b]$  and any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a function  $q_0$  on  $[a, b]$  such that  $q_0(a)$  and  $q_0(b)$  have prescribed values (to make  $q_0$  continuous on  $[0, \infty)$ ), and a  $C^\infty$  function  $f$  supported on the interior of  $[a, b]$  such that  $M(y) = L_1(y) + q_0 y$  satisfies  $\|M(f)\| < \varepsilon\|f\|$ .

Let  $f$  be any fixed  $C^\infty$  function supported on  $[\alpha, \beta] \subset (a, b)$  such that  $f(x) > 0$  for  $\alpha < x < \beta$  and  $\|f\| = 1$ . Choose  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  with  $\alpha < \gamma < \delta < \beta$  so that

$$\int_\alpha^\gamma |L_1(f)|^2 dt + \int_\delta^\beta |L_1(f)|^2 dt < \varepsilon^2/4.$$

Define  $q_0$  on  $[\gamma, \delta]$  by  $q_0 = -L_1(f)(x)/f(x)$ . If  $q_0$  is then extended first to  $[\alpha, \beta]$  so that it vanishes outside a sufficiently small neighborhood of  $[\gamma, \delta]$ , and then to  $[a, b]$  so that  $q_0(a)$  and  $q_0(b)$  have the prescribed values, then

$$\int_\alpha^\gamma |q_0 f|^2 dt + \int_\delta^\beta |q_0 f|^2 dt < \varepsilon^2/4.$$

Thus

$$\|M(f)\|^2 = \int_\alpha^\gamma |M(f)|^2 dt + \int_\delta^\beta |M(f)|^2 dt < \varepsilon^2,$$

and the proof is complete when  $i = 0$ .

For  $i > 0$  the above construction may be repeated with the obvious modifications. The only additional complication is that  $f^{(i)}$  will have zeros in the interior of its support. However by proper choice of  $f$  we may assume that there are only finitely many of these, so that we may define  $q_i(x)$  outside the union of small neighborhoods of these points so that  $M(f) = 0$ , and then extend  $q_i$  to  $[a, b]$  as before.

#### REFERENCES

1. M. S. P. Eastham and M. L. Thompson, *On the limit-point limit-circle classification of second order ordinary differential equations*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **24** (1973), 531-535.
2. R. S. Ismagilov, *On the self-adjointness of the Sturm-Liouville operator*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk **18** (1963), no. 5 (113), 161-166. (Russian) MR **27** #4979.

3. I. Knowles, *Note on a limit-point criterion*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **41** (1973), 117–119. MR **47** #8964.
4. A. Zettl, *Formally self-adjoint quasi-differential operators*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **5** (1975), 453–474.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF DUNDEE, DUNDEE, SCOTLAND

*Current address:* Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Western Washington State College, Bellingham, Washington 98225