## THE EXISTENCE OF CONJUGATE POINTS FOR SELFADJOINT DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS OF EVEN ORDER<sup>1</sup>

## ROGER T. LEWIS

ABSTRACT. This paper presents sufficient conditions on the coefficients of  $L_{2n}y = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-k} (p_k y^{(n-k)})^{(n-k)}$  which insure that  $L_{2n}y = 0$  has conjugate points  $\eta(a)$  for all a > 0. The main theorem implies that  $(-1)^n y^{(2n)} + py = 0$  has conjugate points  $\eta(a)$  for all a > 0 when  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{\alpha} p(x) dx = -\infty$  for some  $\alpha < 2n - 1$  with no sign restrictions on p(x).

We shall devote our attention to the selfadjoint, linear differential equation  $L_{2n}y = 0$  where

(1) 
$$L_{2n}y = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-k} (p_k y^{(n-k)})^{(n-k)} \qquad (p_0(x) > 0).$$

The coefficients  $p_k(x)$  are assumed to have continuous n - k derivatives for all x > 0.

Many authors have studied the behavior of the solutions to  $L_{2n}y=0$  with attention given to the zeros of solutions and their derivatives. A book by Swanson [16] and a paper by Barrett [2] have good organizations of the results of various authors for  $L_2$ ,  $L_4$ , and third order linear differential equations. For studies of the behavior of the more general  $L_{2n}$  from a somewhat different perspective, the Lecture Notes of Coppel [4] or Kreith [8] can be consulted. In particular, many results have been primarily motivated by the well-known paper of Leighton and Nehari [9] which considers  $L_4$ . For theorems directly related to the one obtained here, although with different emphases, the reader should also see Bradley [3], Glazman [5, pp. 95-106], Hinton [7], Lewis [10], [11], and Ridenhour [15].

Given a real number a, if there is a number b > a such that  $L_{2n}y = 0$  has a nontrivial solution satisfying

$$y^{(i)}(a) = 0 = y^{(i)}(b)$$
  $(0 \le i \le n-1),$ 

then b is called a conjugate point of a and the least such b is denoted by  $\eta(a)$ .

By examining  $L_{2n}$  for the existence or nonexistence of  $\eta(a)$  for all a > 0 we are also examining criteria for the set of points of the negative part of the

Presented to the Society, November 7, 1975; received by the editors October 3, 1974 and, in revised form, April 10, 1975.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 34C10.

Key words and phrases. Selfadjoint linear differential equations of even order, oscillation, conjugate points.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Work was completed on this paper while the author was at Slippery Rock State College, Slippery Rock, Pennsylvania.

spectrum of certain selfadjoint extensions  $\tilde{L}_{2n}$  of  $L_{2n}$  to be infinite or finite, respectively (see Glazman [5, pp. 40,95,96]). Also, the existence or nonexistence of  $\eta(a)$  for all a > 0 is sometimes referred to as the oscillation or nonoscillation of  $L_{2n}$ , respectively.

Given n and a > 0 we define the set of admissible functions  $\mathcal{C}_n(b)$  for all b > a to be the set of all real-valued functions y satisfying the following properties:

- (i)  $y^{(k)}$  is absolutely continuous on [a, b] for k = 0, 1, ..., n 1,
- (ii)  $y^{(n)}$  is essentially bounded on [a, b], and
- (iii)  $y^{(k)}(a) = 0 = y^{(k)}(b)$  for k = 0, 1, ..., n 1.

For all  $y \in \mathcal{C}_n(b)$  we define the quadratic functional for  $L_{2n}$  by

$$I(y) = \int_a^b \sum_{k=0}^n p_k(x) |y^{(n-k)}(x)|^2 dx.$$

The primary tool used in this paper is Theorem 1 which is a corollary to a theorem of Reid [13]. However, the result has been known and applied in various ways for many years. For example, it is inherent in the Courant-Weyl minimax principles as well as the classical treatment of the Rayleigh quotients.

THEOREM 1. Given a number a > 0, the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) There is no conjugate point  $\eta(a)$  with respect to  $L_{2n}y = 0$ .
- (ii) For all b > a and  $y \in \mathcal{C}_n(b)$ , I(y) > 0 when  $y \neq 0$ .

The next theorem is the principal result of this paper.

THEOREM 2. If

(2) 
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{\alpha} p_n(x) dx = -\infty$$

for some number  $\alpha$ , and

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{\alpha - 2(n-k)} |p_{k}(x)| dx < \infty$$

for  $0 \le k \le n-1$ , then  $L_{2n}y = 0$  has conjugate points  $\eta(a)$  for all a > 0.

PROOF. By Theorem 1 it will suffice to find an admissible function y for each a > 0 such that I(y) < 0.

Let  $\phi(x)$  be the 2n-1 degree polynomial satisfying

$$\phi^{(i)}(0) = \phi^{(i)}(1) = \phi(1) = 0 \qquad (1 \le i \le n - 1)$$

and  $\phi(0) = 1$ . For a given a > 0 we define y(x) as follows:

$$y(x) = x^{\alpha/2} \phi((2a - x)/a), \quad x \in [a, 2a),$$
  
 $y(x) = x^{\alpha/2}, \quad x \in [2a, b),$ 

$$y(x) = x^{\alpha/2}\phi((x-b)/b), \qquad x \in [b, 2b),$$

and  $y(x) \equiv 0$  otherwise. Clearly, y(x) is admissible.

There is a number M, independent of b, such that

164 R. T. LEWIS

$$|y^{(n-k)}(x)|^2 \le Mx^{\alpha-2(n-k)}$$

for  $0 \le k \le n$  and all x where  $y^{(n-k)}(x)$  exist. Consequently,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{a}^{2b} p_{k}(x) |y^{(n-k)}|^{2} dx$$

is bounded, independent of b, because of (3).

By (2) there is a number  $\beta$  such that  $t \geq \beta$  implies that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{a}^{2b} p_{k}(x) |y^{(n-k)}(x)|^{2} dx + \int_{a}^{2a} p_{n}(x) |y(x)|^{2} dx + \int_{2a}^{t} x^{\alpha} p_{n}(x) dx < 0.$$

Define

$$Q(t) = \int_{\beta}^{t} x^{\alpha} p_{n}(x) dx$$

and let b be the largest zero of Q(t) on  $[\beta, \infty)$ . By integrating by parts, we obtain the equality

$$\int_{b}^{2b} p_{n}(x) |y(x)|^{2} dx = -(2/b) \int_{b}^{2b} Q(x) \phi((x-b)/b) \phi'((x-b)/b) dx$$

since  $y(x) = x^{\alpha/2} \phi((x-b)/b)$  on (b,2b) and  $Q(b) = 0 = \phi(1)$ . By noting that

$$\phi(x) = C \int_1^x [t(t-1)]^{n-1} dt$$

where  $\phi(0) = 1$  implies that

$$C^{-1} = -\int_0^1 [t(t-1)]^{n-1} dt,$$

it is easy to show that  $\phi(x) \ge 0$  and  $\phi'(x) \le 0$  on [0, 1]. Therefore, since  $Q(x) \le 0$  on  $(b, \infty)$ , we know by the above equality that

$$\int_{b}^{2b} p_{n}(x) |y(x)|^{2} dx < 0.$$

This implies that I(y) < 0 and the proof is complete.

COROLLARY TO THEOREM 2. If for some  $\alpha < 2n - 1$ 

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{\alpha} p(x) \, dx = -\infty$$

then  $(-1)^n y^{(2n)} + py = 0$  has a conjugate point  $\eta(a)$  for all a > 0.

The bound on  $\alpha$  is sharp. This follows from the well-known fact that the Euler equation  $(-1)^n y^{(2n)} + cx^{-2n}y = 0$  does not have conjugate points  $\eta(a)$  for all a > 0 when  $c \ge -\sigma_n^2$  where

$$\sigma_n = \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2n-1)}{2^n}.$$

If  $c < -\sigma_n^2$ , then the Euler equation does have conjugate points  $\eta(a)$  for all a > 0 (see Glazman [4, pp. 96,97]). Without sign restrictions on p(x), significant refinement of the above corollary is not expected. With sign restrictions on p(x), refinement can be obtained as in the results of Hille [6] and Glazman [5, p. 100].

Theorem 4.2 of Reid [14, p. 105] shows that  $(-1)^n y^{(2n)} + py = 0$  has conjugate points  $\eta(a)$  for all a > 0 when  $p(x) \le 0$  and

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{2n-2} p(t) dt = -\infty.$$

The above corollary removes the sign restriction on p(x) and, also improves the result when p(x) is known to be negative.

Moore [12] proved a theorem which has the above corollary when n = 1. His theorem is a generalization of Leighton's well-known result: the equation  $L_2y = 0$  is oscillatory when

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (p_0(x))^{-1} dx = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_1(x) dx = \infty.$$

Using Theorem 1, Leighton and Nehari [9] proved Theorem 2 for the special case n = 2 with the added restrictions that  $p_1(x)$  and  $p_2(x)$  be negative.

In order to further examine the sharpness of Theorem 2, the next theorem, whose proof can be found in [10], and its corollary is presented.

For  $L_{2n}$  defined in (1) let  $P_k^0(x) = p_k(x)$  and for  $m \ge 1$  define

$$P_k^m(x) = \int_x^\infty P_k^{m-1}(t) dt$$

when  $P_k^{m-1}$  is integrable. Also, for each  $k \ge 1$  we define

$$M_k = k! \, 2^{4k-1}/(2k)!$$
.

THEOREM 3. Suppose that for k = 1, ..., n and m = 0, 1, ..., k - 1

$$-\infty < \int_a^\infty P_k^m(t) dt < \infty.$$

If  $x^k | P_k^k(x) | \le \delta_k$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^n \delta_k M_k = 1$  for all  $x \ge a$ , then  $L_{2n}y = 0$  does not have a conjugate point  $\eta(a)$ .

The following corollary provides an interesting comparison to the results in Theorem 2 and its corollary when  $\alpha = 2n - 1$ .

Corollary to Theorem 3. If  $p_0(x) \equiv 1$  and for k = 1, ..., n

$$\int_{c}^{\infty} x^{2k-1} |p_{k}(x)| dx < \infty,$$

then  $L_{2n}y = 0$  does not have a conjugate point  $\eta(a)$  for some a > c.

Theorem 3 and its corollary are related to Ahlbrandt's [1, p. 293] Theorem 6.1. When  $p_0 = 1$  and sign conditions are added the above result is stronger.

Theorem 2 creates a question as to whether a condition similar to (2) being satisfied by one of the middle terms of  $L_{2n}$  might also yield the same results. The next theorem partially answers this question when an additional sign-type

166 R. T. LEWIS

restriction is permitted on the middle term.

We define  $f^-(x) = f(x)$  when  $f(x) \le 0$  and zero otherwise. The function  $f^+(x)$  is defined similarly.

THEOREM 4. Suppose that for some  $\alpha$ 

$$\int^{\infty} x^{\alpha-2(n-k)} p_k^+(x) \, dx < \infty$$

for  $k = 0, 1, \ldots, n$ . If for some 0 < m < n

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{\alpha-2(n-m)} p_m^-(x) dx = -\infty,$$

then  $L_{2n}y = 0$  has a conjugate point  $\eta(a)$  for all a > 0.

Theorem 4 is easy to prove using Theorem 1 and the admissible function y(x) defined in the proof of Theorem 2 except with a different choice of b.

## REFERENCES

- 1. C. D. Ahlbrandt, Disconjugacy criteria for self-adjoint differential systems, J. Differential Equations 6 (1969), 271—295. MR 39 #5855.
- 2. J. H. Barrett, Oscillation theory of ordinary linear differential equations, Advances in Math. 3 (1969), 415—509. MR 41 #2113.
- 3. J. S. Bradley, Conditions for the existence of conjugate points for a fourth order linear differential equation, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 17 (1969), 984—991. MR 40 #5970.
- 4. W. A. Coppel, *Disconjugacy*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 220, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971.
- 5. I. M. Glazman, Direct methods of qualitative spectral analysis of singular differential operators, Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1963; English transl., Israel Program for Scientific Translations, Jerusalem, 1965; Davey, New York, 1966. MR 32 #2938; #8210.
- E. Hille, Non-oscillation theorems, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 64 (1948), 234—252. MR 10, 376.
- 7. D. B. Hinton, A criterion for n-n oscillations in differential equations of order 2n, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 19 (1968), 511—518. MR 37 # 1701.
- 8. K. Kreith, Oscillation theory, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 324, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973.
- 9. W. Leighton and Z. Nehari, On the oscillation of solutions of self-adjoint linear differential equations of the fourth order, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 89 (1958), 325—377. MR 21 #1429.
- 10. R. T. Lewis, Oscillation and nonoscillation criteria for some self-adjoint even order linear differential operators, Pacific J. Math. 51 (1974), 221-234.
- 11. ——, The oscillation of fourth order linear differential operators, Canad. J. Math. 27 (1975), 138—145.
- 12. R. A. Moore, The behavior of solutions of a linear differential equation of second order, Pacific J. Math. 5 (1955), 125—145. MR 16, 925.
- 13. W. T. Reid, Riccati matrix differential equations and non-oscillation criteria for associated linear differential systems, Pacific J. Math. 13 (1963), 665—685. MR 27 #4991.
- 14. ——, Oscillation criteria for self-adjoint differential systems, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 101 (1961), 91—106. MR 24 # A3349.
- 15. J. R. Ridenhour, On (n, n)-zeros of solutions of linear differential equations of order 2n, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 44 (1974), 135—140. MR 48 #8967.
- 16. C. A. Swanson, Comparison and oscillation theory of linear differential equations, Academic Press, New York, 1968.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SLIPPERY ROCK STATE COLLEGE, SLIPPERY ROCK, PENNSYLVANIA 16057

Current address: Department of Mathematics, University of Alabama, Birmingham, Alabama 35294