## WEAK CONVERGENCE OF SEMIGROUPS IMPLIES STRONG CONVERGENCE OF WEIGHTED AVERAGES

## **HUMPHREY FONG**

ABSTRACT. For a fixed p,  $1 \le p < \infty$ , let  $\{T_t : t > 0\}$  be a strongly continuous semigroup of positive contractions on  $L_p$  of a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space. We show that weak convergence of  $\{T_t : t > 0\}$  in  $L_p$  is equivalent with the strong convergence of the weighted averages  $\int_0^\infty T_t f \mu_n(dt) \ (n \to \infty)$  for every  $f \in L_p$  and every sequence  $(\mu_n)$  of signed measures on  $(0, \infty)$ , satisfying  $\sup_n \|\mu_n\| < \infty$ ;  $\lim_n \mu_n(0, \infty) = 1$ ; and for each d > 0,  $\lim_n \sup_{p > 0} |\mu_n| (c, c + d) = 0$ . The positivity assumption is not needed if p = 1 or 2. We show that such a result can be deduced—not only in  $L_p$ , but in general Banach spaces—from the corresponding discrete parameter version of the theorem.

In recent years, various authors have studied the relations between weak and strong operator convergence: Blum-Hanson [4], Hanson-Pledger [7], Lin [9], Akcoglu-Sucheston [1], Jones-Kuftinec [8], Fong-Sucheston [6], and very recently Akcoglu-Sucheston [2], [3], who proved the theorem for positive  $L_p$ -contractions, 1 . This theorem [1], [6], [3] states that if <math>T is a positive contraction on  $L_p$  of a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space  $(X, \mathcal{C}, m)$ , where p is fixed and  $1 \le p < \infty$ , then weak- $\lim_{n\to\infty} T^n f(\text{w-}\lim_n T^n f)$  exists for each  $f \in L_p$  if, and only if,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{nm} T^m f$  exists for every  $f \in L_p$  and every matrix  $(a_{nm})$  with real entries satisfying

(1.1) 
$$\sup_{n} \sum_{m} |a_{nm}| < \infty; \lim_{n} \sum_{m} a_{nm} = 1; \lim_{n} \max_{m} |a_{nm}| = 0.$$

It has also been shown that the positivity assumption is not needed if p=1 or 2 [1], [6]. The problem of whether or not positivity is needed for  $p \neq 1$  or 2 is still open. Matrices satisfying (1.1) were introduced in ergodic context in [6] and have been called *uniformly regular*; we denote the class of all uniformly regular matrices by  $\mathfrak{A}_R$ . Intuitively, a matrix  $(a_{nm}) \in \mathfrak{A}_R$  if and only if it is properly averaging, in the sense that the masses  $a_{nm}$  spread as  $n \to \infty$ .

A semigroup  $\{T_t: t > 0\}$ ,  $T_tT_s = T_{t+s}$ , of linear operators on a Banach space B is called strongly continuous if for each  $x \in B$  and each s > 0,  $\lim_{t \to s} ||T_tx - T_sx|| = 0$ . R. Sato [10] recently obtained the following continuous parameter version of the strong ergodic theorem: For a fixed function f in  $L_2$  and a strongly continuous semigroup  $\{T_t: t > 0\}$  of contractions on  $L_2$ , w- $\lim_{t \to \infty} T_t f = f_0$  implies  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^\infty a_n(t) T_t f dt = f_0$  for every sequence  $(a_n)$  of nonnegative, Lebesgue integrable functions on  $(0, \infty)$  satisfying

Received by the editors January 3, 1975 and, in revised form, April 9, 1975.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 47A35; Secondary 28A45.

Key words and phrases. Banach space,  $L_p$ -space, semigroup of operators, weak convergence, ergodic theorem.

 $\int_0^\infty a_n(t) = 1$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||a_n||_\infty = 0$ . In this note, we show that a stronger result can be deduced-not only in  $L_2$ , but in general Banach spaces-from the corresponding discrete version of the theorem (§2). In §3, we obtain as corollaries the continuous parameter version of the Akcoglu-Sucheston theorem for the Banach spaces  $L_p(X, \mathcal{Q}, m)$ ,  $1 \le p < \infty$ .

2. A linear operator T on a Banach space B is called *power-bounded* if  $\sup_n ||T^n|| < \infty$ ; a semigroup  $\{T_i : t > 0\}$  of linear operators on B is called *uniformly bounded* if  $\sup_{t > 0} ||T_t|| < \infty$ . The *total variation* of a signed measure  $\mu$  is denoted by  $|\mu|$ . We denote by  $\mathfrak A$  the family of all sequences  $(\mu_n)$  of signed measures on the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Lebesgue measurable subsets of  $(0, \infty)$  satisfying

(2.1) 
$$\sup_{n} \|\mu_{n}\| < \infty; \quad \lim_{n} \mu_{n}(0, \infty) = 1;$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{c \geqslant 0} |\mu_{n}|(c, c + d] = 0 \quad \text{for each } d > 0.$$

REMARK 1. If  $(a_n)$  is a sequence of Lebesgue integrable functions on  $(0, \infty)$  satisfying

(2.2) 
$$\sup_{n} \int_{0}^{\infty} |a_{n}(t)| dt < \infty; \quad \lim_{n} \int_{0}^{\infty} a_{n}(t) dt = 1;$$
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{c \geqslant 0} \int_{c}^{c+d} |a_{n}(t)| dt = 0$$

for each d > 0, and if we set  $d\mu_n = a_n dt$ , then  $(\mu_n) \in \mathfrak{A}$ . We note that sequences  $(a_n)$  satisfying (2.2) include those considered by Sato in [10].

REMARK 2. Let  $\delta(t)$  denote the unit point mass at t. If  $t_m > 0$ ,  $t_m \to \infty$ ,  $(a_{nm}) \in \mathfrak{A}_R$ , and if we set  $\mu_n = \sum_m a_{nm} \delta(t_m)$ , then  $(\mu_n) \in \mathfrak{A}$ .

REMARK 3. If x(t) is a bounded continuous function from  $(0, \infty)$  to a Banach and if we set  $d\mu_n = a_n dt$ , then  $(\mu_n) \in \mathfrak{A}$ . We note that sequences  $(a_n)$  satisfying (2.2) include those considered by Sato in [10].

$$\left\| \int_0^\infty x(t)\mu(dt) \right\| \leq \left( \sup_{t \geq 0} \|x(t)\| \right) \cdot \|\mu\| \quad (\text{cf. [5]}).$$

THEOREM 2.1. Let x be a fixed element in a Banach space B, real or complex. Then  $(\alpha)$  implies  $(\beta)$ :

- (a) For every power bounded linear operator T on B, if w- $\lim_{n\to\infty} T^n x = x_0$ , then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{nm} T^m x = x_0$  for every matrix  $(a_{nm}) \in \mathfrak{A}_R$ .
- ( $\beta$ ) For every uniformly bounded semigroup  $\{T_t: t>0\}$  of linear operators on B for which  $T_tx$  is continuous on  $(0,\infty)$ , if w- $\lim_{t\to\infty} T_tx=x_0$ , then  $\lim_n \int_0^\infty T_t x \mu_n(dt) = x_0$  for every sequence  $(\mu_n) \in \mathfrak{A}$ .

The conclusion remains valid if "power bounded" and "uniformly bounded" in  $(\alpha)$  and  $(\beta)$  are both replaced by "contraction".

PROOF. Let x be a fixed element in B, and assume that  $(\alpha)$  holds for x. Let  $\{T_i: t > 0\}$  be a semigroup satisfying the hypotheses of  $(\beta)$  and  $(\mu_n) \in \mathfrak{A}$ . We shall show that  $\lim_n \int_0^\infty T_i x \mu_n(dt) = x_0$ .

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . The continuity of  $T_t x$  on [1, 2] implies that  $T_t x$  is uniformly continuous on [1, 2]. Thus there is a positive integer k such that if

$$g(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} 1_{(1+(j-1)/k,1+j/k)}(t) \cdot T_{1+j/k} x,$$

then  $||g(t) - T_t x|| < \varepsilon$  for  $t \in (1,2]$ . Here  $1_A$  denotes the function that is 1 on A, and 0 elsewhere. Set  $M = \sup_{t>0} ||T_t||$ ,  $K = \sup_n ||\mu_n||$ , and  $I_i = (i, i+1]$ . Since  $|\mu_n|(I_0) \to 0$  by (2.1), we have

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \left\| \int_{0}^{\infty} T_{t} x \mu_{n}(dt) - \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \int_{I_{i+1}} T_{i} g(t-i) \mu_{n}(dt) \right\|$$

$$= \limsup_{n \to \infty} \left\| \int_{I_{0}} T_{t} x \mu_{n}(dt) + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \int_{I_{i+1}} (T_{t} x - T_{i} g(t-i)) \mu_{n}(dt) \right\|$$

$$\leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \left[ M \cdot \|x\| \cdot |\mu_{n}|(I_{0}) + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \|T_{i}\| \cdot \sup_{t \in I_{1}} \|T_{t} x - g(t)\| \cdot |\mu_{n}|(I_{i+1}) \right]$$

$$\leq M \cdot K \cdot \varepsilon.$$

For each  $i \ge 0$ ,  $1 \le j \le k$ , set  $I_{i,j} = (i + (j-1)/k, i + j/k]$ . It follows from the definition of g(t) that for  $n \ge 1$ ,

(2.4) 
$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \int_{I_{i+1}} T_i g(t-i) \mu_n(dt) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \mu_n(I_{i+1,j}) \cdot T_{i+1+j/k} x$$
$$= \sum_{m=k+1}^{\infty} a_{n,m} T^m x,$$

where  $T = T_{1/k}$ , and for m = (i+1)k+j,  $i \ge 0$ ,  $1 \le j \le k$ ,  $a_{n,m} = \mu_n(I_{i+1,j})$ . It is easily checked that  $(a_{n,m}) \in \mathfrak{A}_R$  since  $(\mu_n) \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Moreover, since  $\{T_i: t > 0\}$  is uniformly bounded and w- $\lim_{t \to \infty} T_t x = x_0$ , we have that T is power bounded and w- $\lim_{m \to \infty} T^m x = x_0$ . Thus it follows from  $(\alpha)$  that  $\lim_{m \to \infty} \sum_{m > k} a_{nm} T^m x = x_0$ . Together with (2.3) and (2.4), we obtain that

$$\lim \sup_{n\to\infty} \left\| \int_0^\infty T_t x \mu_n(dt) - x_0 \right\| \leqslant MK\varepsilon.$$

As  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary,  $(\beta)$  holds.

It is clear that the second part of the theorem can be proved in the same way.  $\square$ 

COROLLARY 2.1. Let B be a Banach space. Then  $(\alpha)'$  implies  $(\beta)'$ :

- (a)' For every power bounded linear operator T on B, if w- $\lim_{n\to\infty} T^n x$  exists for every  $x\in B$ , then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{m=1}^\infty a_{n,m} T^m x$  exists and is equal to w- $\lim_{n\to\infty} T^n x$  for every  $(a_{n,m})\in \mathfrak{A}_B$ .
- ( $\beta$ )' For every strongly continuous, uniformly bounded semigroup  $\{T_t: t > 0\}$  of linear operators on B, if w- $\lim_{t\to\infty} T_t x$  exists for every  $x \in B$ , then  $\lim_n \int_0^\infty T_t x \mu_n(dt)$  exists for every sequence  $(\mu_n) \in \mathcal{M}$ , and is equal to w- $\lim_{t\to\infty} T_t x$ .

The conclusion remains valid if "power bounded" and "uniformly bounded" are both replaced by "contraction".

PROOF. Immediate from Theorem 2.1.

We next show that the converse of statement  $(\beta)$  in Theorem 2.1 is valid in general Banach spaces.

PROPOSITION 2.1. Let x be a fixed element in a Banach space B, real or complex. Let  $\{T_i: t > 0\}$  be continuous linear operators on B such that the vectorvalued function  $T_{t,1}x$  from  $(0,\infty)$  to B is continuous and  $\sup_{t>0} ||T_tx|| < \infty$ . Then (b) implies (a):

(a) w- $\lim_{t\to\infty} T_t x$  exists. (b)  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_0^\infty T_t x \mu_{n(dt)}$  exists for every sequence  $(\mu_n) \in \mathfrak{A}$ .

PROOF. We first consider the case where B is a real Banach space. Assume that (b) holds but (a) fails. Then there exists an  $x^* \in B^*$  such that h(t) $= \langle T, x, x^* \rangle$  diverges as  $t \to \infty$ , where  $B^*$  is the dual space of B. Since

$$\sup_{t>0} |h(t)| \leqslant \sup_{t>0} ||x^*|| \, ||T_t x|| < \infty,$$

h is bounded on  $(0,\infty)$ . h(t) is also continuous on  $(0,\infty)$  since  $T_t x$  is. Thus, h(t)being divergent as  $t \to \infty$ , there are constants  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  with  $\alpha < \beta$ , and a sequence  $(t_i)$  with  $t_i \uparrow \infty$ , such that  $h(t_i) \geqslant \beta$  if i is odd, and  $h(t_i) \leqslant \alpha$  if i is even. Set for  $n \ge 1$ ,

$$\mu_{2n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \delta(t_{2k}), \quad \mu_{2n-1} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \delta(t_{2k-1}),$$

where  $\delta(t)$  denotes the unit point mass at t. Then  $(\mu_n) \in \mathfrak{A}$ , but

$$\lim_{n}\inf\left\langle \int_{0}^{\infty} T_{t}x\mu_{n}(dt), x^{*}\right\rangle = \lim_{n\to\infty}\inf\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}h(t_{2k})$$

$$\leqslant \alpha < \beta \leqslant \limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}h(t_{2k-1})$$

$$= \lim_{n\to\infty}\sup\left\langle \int_{0}^{\infty} T_{t}x\mu_{n}(dt), x^{*}\right\rangle.$$

Hence  $(\int_0^\infty T_t x \mu_n(dt))_{n=1}^\infty$  does not converge weakly, and a fortiori, strongly. If B is a complex Banach space, then either the real part or the imaginary part of h(t) diverges as  $t \to \infty$ , and can be used to replace h(t) in the above argument.

REMARK 4. The vector-valued function  $T_t x$  in Proposition 3.1 may be replaced by any vector-valued function x(t) from  $(0, \infty)$  to B such that x(t) is continuous and bounded on  $(0, \infty)$ .

3. We now apply the results in §2 to the Banach spaces  $L_p$  of a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space  $(X, \mathcal{C}, m)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . An operator T on  $L_p$  is called *positive* if  $Tf \ge 0$  whenever  $f \ge 0$ . Theorem 3.1 below strengthens the result of R. Sato mentioned in §1.

THEOREM 3.1. Let  $\{T_i: t > 0\}$  be a contraction semigroup on  $L_2(X, \mathcal{C}, m)$ , and let f be a fixed function in  $L_2$  such that  $T_i$  is continuous on  $(0, \infty)$ . Then conditions (a) and (b) are equivalent:

- (a) w- $\lim_{t\to\infty} T_t f = f_0$ . (b)  $\lim_n \int_0^\infty T_t f \mu_n(dt) = f_0$  for every  $(\mu_n) \in \mathfrak{A}$ .

PROOF. This follows from Theorem 1.1 in [6], Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 2.1. □

THEOREM 3.2. Let  $\{T_t: t > 0\}$  be a strongly continuous contraction semigroup on  $L_1(S, \mathcal{C}, m)$ . Then conditions (A) and (B) are equivalent:

- (A) For each  $f \in L_1$ , w- $\lim_{t\to\infty} T_t f$  exists. (B) For each  $f \in L_1$ ,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_0^\infty T_t f \mu_n(dt)$  exists for every  $(\mu_n) \in \mathfrak{A}$ , and is equal to w- $\lim_{t\to\infty} T_t f$ .

PROOF. This follows from Theorem 1.3 in [6], Proposition 2.1, and Corollary 2.1. □

THEOREM 3.3 Let  $\{T_t: t > 0\}$  be a strongly continuous semigroup of positive contractions on  $L_p(X, \mathcal{Q}, m)$ , where p is fixed, 1 . Then conditions (A)and (B) are equivalent:

- (A) For each  $f \in L_p$ , w- $\lim_{t\to\infty} T_t f$  exists.
- (B) For each  $f \in L_p^r$ ,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_0^\infty T_t f \mu_n(dt)$  exists for each sequence  $(\mu_n) \in \mathfrak{A}$ , and is equal to w- $\lim_{n\to\infty} T_t f$ .

PROOF. We observe that the conclusions in Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.1 remain valid if  $B = L_p(X, \mathcal{C}, m)$ , and "power bounded" and "uniformly bounded" in  $(\alpha)$  and  $(\beta)$  are both replaced by "positive contraction". Theorem 3.3 now follows from Theorem 1.4 in [3] and Proposition 2.1.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author wishes to thank Professor M. Lin for valuable comments, and Professors Akcoglu and Sucheston for making available to him their papers [2] and [3] prior to publication.

## REFERENCES

- 1. M. Akcoglu and L. Sucheston, On operator convergence in Hilbert space and in Lebesgue space, Period. Math. Hungar. 2 (1972), 235-244. MR 48 #4777.
- 2. —, On convergence of iterates of positive contractions in  $L_p$  spaces, J. Approximation Theory (to appear).
- 3. —, On weak and strong convergence of positive contractions in  $L_p$  spaces, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **81** (1975), 105–106.
- 4. J. R. Blum and D. L. Hanson, On the mean ergodic theorem for subsequences, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 66 (1960), 308-311. MR 22 #9572.
- 5. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, Linear operators. I: General theory, Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 7, Interscience, New York, 1958. MR 22 #8302.
- 6. H. Fong and L. Sucheston, On a mixing property of operators in L<sub>n</sub> spaces, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete 28 (1974), 165-171.
- 7. D. L. Hanson and G. Pledger, On the mean ergodic theorem for weighted averages, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete 13 (1969), 141-149. MR 40 #7423.
- 8. L. K. Jones and V. Kuftinec, A note on the Blum-Hanson theorem, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 30 (1971), 202-203. MR 43 #6742.
- 9. M. Lin, Mixing for Markov operators, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete 19 (1971), 231-242. MR 46 #8317.
- 10. R. Sato, A note on operator convergence for semi-groups, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **15** (1974), 127–129.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BOWLING GREEN STATE UNIVERSITY, BOWLING GREEN, OHIO 43403