## A NOTE ON SOME PROPERTIES OF A- FUNCTIONS

## H. SARBADHIKARI

ABSTRACT. This note deals with (M, \*) functions for various families M. It is shown that if M is the family of Borel sets of additive class  $\alpha$  on a metric space X, then (M, \*) functions are just the functions of the form  $\sup_{y} g(x, y)$  where  $g: X \times R \to R$  is continuous in y and of class  $\alpha$  in x. If M is the class of analytic sets in a Polish space X, then the (M, \*) functions dominating a Borel function are just the functions  $\sup_{y} g(x, y)$  where g is a real valued Borel function on  $X^2$ . It is also shown that there is an A-function f defined on an uncountable Polish space X and an analytic subset C of the real line such that  $f^{-1}(C) \notin$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the analytic sets on X.

1. Introduction. Let X be any set and M, N be classes of subsets of X. Following Hausdorff, we call a real valued function f on X a function of class (M, \*) if  $\{x: f(x) > c\}$  is in M for every c. If  $\{x: f(x) \ge c\}$  is in N for every c, f is said to be of class (\*, N). Set  $(M, N) = (M, *) \cap (*, N)$ .

If X is a metric space and M is the family of sets of additive Borel class  $\alpha$ , then functions of class (M, \*) are called  $\alpha^-$ -functions; if X is Polish and M is the family of analytic sets, they are called A-functions. We shall prove the following theorems:

THEOREM 1. Let f be a real valued function on a metric space X. Then f is an  $\alpha^-$ -function if, and only if, there is a real valued function g defined on  $X \times R$ , where R is the real line, such that g(x, y) is a continuous function of y for fixed x, is of class  $\alpha$  in x for fixed y and  $f(x) = \sup_{y} g(x, y)$ .

THEOREM 2. Let X be a Polish space and let f be a real valued function on X which is bounded below. Then f is an A-function if, and only if, there is a real valued Borel function g on  $X^2$  such that  $f(x) = \sup_{y} g(x, y)$ .

THEOREM 3. Let **A** be the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by analytic sets on an uncountable Polish space X. There is an A-function f on X and an analytic subset C of the real line such that  $f^{-1}(C) \notin A$ .

Theorem 3 answers in the negative a question raised by David Blackwell.

2. Proof of Theorem 1. We define a complete ordinary function system on a set X as a system F of real valued functions on X satisfying:

Received by the editors September 26, 1974 and, in revised form, July 31, 1975.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 54C30, 26A21; Secondary 04A15, 02K30, 28A05

Key words and phrases. (M, \*) functions, A-functions,  $\alpha$ -functions, complete ordinary function system, functions of class  $\alpha$ , operation A.

- (a) Every constant function is in F.
- (b) If  $f, g \in \mathbf{F}$ , then  $\max(f, g)$ ,  $\min(f, g)$ ,  $f \pm g$ ,  $f \cdot g \in \mathbf{F}$ . If g does not vanish anywhere, then  $f/g \in \mathbf{F}$ .
  - (c) If  $f_n \in \mathbf{F}$  for all n and  $f_n$  converges uniformly to f, then  $f \in \mathbf{F}$ . We first prove the following:

THEOREM 4. Let **F** be a complete ordinary function system on a set X. Let **P**, **Q** be the families of sets  $\{x: h(x) > c\}$ ,  $\{x: h(x) \ge c\}$ , for  $h \in \mathbf{F}$  and c real, respectively.  $f \in (\mathbf{P}, *)$  if, and only if, there is a real valued function g defined on  $X \times R$  such that g(x, y)

- (a) is continuous in y for fixed x,
- (b) is in F for fixed y, and
- (c)  $\sup_{y} g(x, y) = f(x)$ .

PROOF. Suppose g(x,y) is a function on  $X \times R$  satisfying conditions (a) and (b) and suppose  $\sup_y g(x,y)$  exists and is f(x). Let c be any real number. Then  $f(x) > c \Leftrightarrow \exists y \{ g(x,y) > c \} \Leftrightarrow \exists y \{ y \text{ is rational and } g(x,y) > c \}$ , since g(x,y) is continuous in y. Thus

$$\{x: f(x) > c\} - \bigcup_{\substack{r \text{ rational}}} \{x: g(x,r) > c\}.$$

For fixed  $r, g(x, r) \in \mathbf{F}$  and hence  $\{x: g(x, r) > c\} \in \mathbf{P}$ . Now as  $\mathbf{P}$  is closed under countable unions (cf. [1]),  $\{x: f(x) > c\} \in \mathbf{P}$ .

Conversely, suppose  $f \in (\mathbf{P}, *)$ . It is shown in [1] that there is an increasing sequence  $\{f_n\}$  in  $\mathbf{F}$  which converges to f. Define g on  $X \times R$  by  $g(x,y) = (f_{n+1}(x) - f_n(x))(|y| - n) + f_n(x)$  for  $|y| \in [n, n+1]$ . It is easy to see that g is well defined for all (x, y) and satisfies (a) and (b). As  $f_n(x) \leq g(x,y) \leq f_{n+1}(x)$  for  $|y| \in [n, n+1]$  and  $\sup_n f_n(x) = f(x)$ ,  $\sup_n g(x,y) = f(x)$ .

Theorem 1 follows from Theorem 4 and the following:

LEMMA. Let  $\mathbf{F}$  be the family of all functions of class  $\alpha$  on a Polish space X. Then  $\mathbf{F}$  is a complete ordinary function system and the sets of the form  $\{x: f(x) > c\}$ ,  $f \in \mathbf{F}$ , c real, are just the sets of additive Borel class  $\alpha$ .

PROOF. It is shown in [3] that **F** forms a complete ordinary function system. Any set of the form  $\{x: f(x) > c\}$ ,  $f \in \mathbf{F}$ , c real, is clearly of additive Borel class  $\alpha$ . Let A be any set of additive Borel class  $\alpha$ . If  $\alpha = 0$ , A is a cozero set and hence  $A = \{x: f(x) > 0\}$  for some continuous function f. Let  $\alpha > 0$ , then we can write  $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$  where the  $A_n$ 's are ambiguous of class  $\alpha$ . Let  $f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} I_{A_n}(x)$  where  $I_{A_n}$  denotes the indicator function of  $A_n$ . As  $I_{A_n}$  is of class  $\alpha$ , f is of class  $\alpha$  and  $A = \{x: f(x) > 0\}$ .

3. **Proof of Theorem 2.** If  $f(x) = \sup_{y} g(x, y)$  where g is Borel measurable, it is shown in [3] that f is an A-function. For this, f need not be bounded below.

Let f be an A-function on X such that f(x) > a for a fixed real number a. Without loss of generality, we take X = R. Let  $\{r_n\}$  enumerate all rationals. Let  $A = \{(x,y): f(x) > y\}$ . Then  $A = \bigcup_n \{(x,y): f(x) > r_n > y\}$  and hence is analytic. Let  $B \subset R^3$  be a Borel set such that A = projection of B i.e.  $(x,y) \in A \Leftrightarrow \exists z((x,y,z) \in B)$ . Let  $k: R^3 \to R^3$  be defined by

$$k(x,y,z) = \begin{cases} (x,y,z) & \text{if } (x,y,z) \in B, \\ (a,a,a) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then, as k is Borel measurable so is  $\pi_2 k$  where  $\pi_2$  denotes projection to the second coordinate and

$$\pi_2 k(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} y & \text{if } (x, y, z) \in B, \\ a & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

Thus  $\sup_{(y,z)} \pi_2 k(x,y,z) = \sup_{(y,z)} \{\{y: y < f(x)\} \cup \{a\}\} = f(x)$ . Let  $\phi$  be a Borel isomorphism from R onto  $R^2$ . Let  $h: R^2 \to R^3$  be defined by  $h(x,y) = (x,\phi(y))$  and let  $g(x,y) = \pi_2 kh(x,y)$ . Then g is Borel measurable and  $f(x) = \sup_{x \in R} \pi_2 k(x,\phi(y)) = \sup_{x \in R} g(x,y)$ .

REMARK. It is easy to see that Theorem 2 holds even if the condition "f is bounded below" is replaced by "f dominates a Borel function". Thus an A-function is of the form  $\sup_y g(x,y)$  for some Borel measurable g if, and only if, it dominates a Borel function. Equivalently, every A-function is of the form  $\sup_y g(x,y)$  for some Borel measurable g if, and only if, given an ascending sequence of analytic sets  $\{A_n\}$  such that  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = X$ , there is an ascending sequence  $\{B_n\}$  of Borel sets such that  $B_n \subset A_n$  and  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n = X$ . However, we do not know if this condition always holds.

4. **Proof of Theorem** 3. In X, we put  $S_0 =$  the family of open sets,  $B_0 = \sigma(S_0)$  and, for  $0 < \alpha < \omega_1$ ,  $S_\alpha = \mathcal{C}(\sigma(\bigcup_{i < \alpha} S_i))$  and  $B_\alpha = \sigma(S_\alpha)$  where, for any family of sets G,  $\sigma(G)$  denotes the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by G and  $\mathcal{C}(G)$  denotes the smallest family containing G and closed under operation A. We call  $(S_\alpha, *)$  functions  $S_\alpha$ -functions. Theorem 3 is obtained from the following more general theorem by putting  $\alpha = 1$ .

THEOREM 5. On any uncountable Polish space X, there is an  $S_{\alpha}$ -function f and there is an analytic subset C of the real line such that  $f^{-1}(C) \notin \mathbf{B}_{\alpha}$ .

PROOF. It is known that  $\mathbf{B}_{\alpha}$  is not closed under operation A (cf. [2]). Let  $\{Z_{n_1 \dots n_k}\} \subset \mathbf{B}_{\alpha}$  be such that  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathfrak{N}} \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} Z_{n_1 \dots n_k} \notin \mathbf{B}_{\alpha}$ , where  $\mathfrak{N}$  denotes the family of all sequences of positive integers and  $n = (n_1, n_2, \dots)$ . We can find countably many sets  $\{A_i\}$  in  $\mathbf{S}_{\alpha}$  such that for all n and k,  $Z_{n_1 \dots n_k} \in \sigma(\{A_i\})$ . Let  $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (2/3^i) I_{A_i}(x)$ . As the sum of two  $S_{\alpha}$ -functions, a positive constant multiple of an  $S_{\alpha}$ -function and the limit of an increasing sequence of  $S_{\alpha}$ -functions are all  $S_{\alpha}$ -functions, f is an  $S_{\alpha}$ -function. As  $f^{-1}(\mathbf{B}) = \sigma(\{A_i\})$  where  $\mathbf{B}$  is the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra on R, we can find, for all n and k,  $B_{n_1 \dots n_k} \in \mathbf{B}$  such that  $f^{-1}(B_{n_1 \dots n_k}) = Z_{n_1 \dots n_k}$ . Let  $C = \bigcup_{n \in \mathfrak{N}} \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{n_1 \dots n_k}$ . Then C is analytic and  $f^{-1}(C) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathfrak{N}} \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} Z_{n_1 \dots n_k} \notin \mathbf{B}_{\alpha}$ .

REMARK. Let X be any set and L a  $\sigma$ -additive lattice on X containing X and the null set, such that  $\sigma(L)$  is not closed under operation A. We call a real valued function f on X an L\*-function if for every c,  $\{x: f(x) > c\} \in L$ . Evidently  $f^{-1}(\mathbf{B}) \subset \sigma(\mathbf{L})$ . However, we can find an analytic set C and an L\*-function f such that  $f^{-1}(C) \notin \sigma(\mathbf{L})$ . The proof is similar to that of Theorem 5.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. I am grateful to Dr. Ashok Maitra for his many suggestions. I am indebted to Dr. B. V. Rao for various discussions and for greatly simplifying and improving the proof of Theorem 3. I am also grateful to Dr. M. G. Nadkarni and Dr. K.P.S.B. Rao for some discussions. I am grateful to the referee for his suggestions.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Felix Hausdorff, Mengenlehre, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1937; English transl., Set theory, Chelsea, New York, 1957. MR 19, 111.
- 2. K. Kunugui, Sur un théorème d'existence dans la théorie des ensembles projectifs, Fund. Math. 29 (1937), 167-181.
- 3. K. Kuratowski, *Topology*. Vol. 1, 5th ed., PWN, Warsaw; Academic Press, New York, 1966. MR 36 #840.
- 4. E. Sélivanowski, Sur une classe d'ensembles définis par une infinité dénombrable de conditions, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 184 (1927), 1311.

STATISTICS-MATHEMATICS DIVISION, INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE, CALCUTTA, INDIA