

# SOME REMARKS ON SUMMABILITY FACTORS<sup>1</sup>

LLOYD A. GAVIN

**ABSTRACT.** Bosanquet [2] showed that a necessary and sufficient condition for  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k y_k$  to be Cesàro summable of order  $n$  ( $n$  is a nonnegative integer) whenever  $\sigma_k^n(y) = O(k)$  where  $\sigma_k^n(y)$  is the  $k$  th Cesàro mean of  $y$  of order  $n$  is that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{n+1} |\Delta^{n+1} x_k| < \infty$  and  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k x_k = 0$ . The main result of this paper is to show that a necessary and sufficient condition for  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k y_k$  to be Cesàro summable of order  $n$  ( $n$  is a nonnegative integer) whenever  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{n+1} |\Delta^{n+1} x_k| < \infty$  and  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k x_k = 0$  is that  $\sigma_k^n(y) = O(k)$ .

**Introduction.** Let the linear space of all complex sequences be denoted by  $\omega$ . Any linear subspace of  $\omega$  is called a sequence space. A sequence space which is a Banach space such that for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  the linear functionals  $f_k$ , where  $f_k(x) = x_k$  are continuous, is called a BK-space. Let  $m$  be a nonnegative integer, and  $E$  a Banach space containing  $e_n = \{\delta_{nk}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . If each  $x \in E$  has the property that

$$\sigma_n^m(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\binom{m+n}{n}} \binom{m+n-k}{m} x_k e_k \in E \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

and  $x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n^m(x)$ , then  $E$  is called a BK-space with  $(C, m) - AK$ . It is well known that  $(C, m) - AK$  implies  $(C, m+k) - AK$  for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and  $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

Let  $E$  and  $F$  be sequence spaces.  $(E \rightarrow F) = \{x \in \omega: xy = \{x_k y_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \in F \text{ for all } y \in E\}$  is called the space of multipliers from  $E$  into  $F$ . Associated with each sequence space  $E$  are the integrated and differentiated spaces of  $E$  defined respectively by

$$\int E = \{x \in \omega: dx = \{k x_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \in E\},$$

$$dE = \left\{x \in \omega: \int x = \left\{\frac{x_k}{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \in E\right\}.$$

The following sequence spaces will be considered.

---

Received by the editors October 8, 1974.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 40D15.

Key words and phrases. Summability factors.

<sup>1</sup>This work is partially excerpted from the author's Ph.D. thesis written at Illinois Institute of Technology under Professor Gunther Goes.

© American Mathematical Society 1976

$$\begin{aligned}
I^\infty &= \{x \in \omega: \sup_k |x_k| < \infty\}, \\
I &= \{x \in \omega: \sum_{k=1}^\infty |x_k| < \infty\}, \\
c_0 &= \{x \in \omega: \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = 0\}, \\
bv_0 &= \{x \in \omega: \sum_{k=1}^\infty |x_k - x_{k+1}| < \infty \text{ and } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = 0\}, \\
q_0 &= \{x \in \omega: \sum_{k=1}^\infty (k+1) |\Delta^2 x_k| < \infty \text{ and } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = 0\}, \\
\sigma_\infty &= \{x \in \omega: \sup_k |k^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^k x_n| < \infty\}.
\end{aligned}$$

For  $x \in \omega$ , set

$$\begin{aligned}
s_n^0(x) &= x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n, \\
s_n^1(x) &= s_1^0(x) + s_2^0(x) + \cdots + s_n^0(x), \\
&\vdots \\
s_n^k(x) &= s_1^{k-1}(x) + s_2^{k-1}(x) + \cdots + s_n^{k-1}(x); \quad k, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots
\end{aligned}$$

Set  $\sigma_n^k(x) = s_n^k(x)/(n^{k+1})$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ .  $\sigma_n^k(x)$  is called the  $n$ th Cesàro mean of  $x$  of order  $k$ . If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n^k(x) = s$ , we say  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty x_n$  is  $(C, k)$  summable and denote this by  $(C, k) - \sum_{n=1}^\infty x_n = s$ .

For  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  define

$$\begin{aligned}
C_k &= \{x \in \omega: \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n^k(x) \text{ exists}\}, \\
C_k &= \{x \in \omega: \sup_n |\sigma_n^k(x)| < \infty\}, \text{ and} \\
\sigma_{k, \infty}^k &= \{x \in \omega: \sup_n |n^{-1} \sigma_n^k(x)| < \infty\}. \\
h_b^{k+1} &= \{x \in \omega: \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{k+1} |\Delta^{k+1} x_n| < \infty\} \text{ and } h^{k+1} = h_b^{k+1} \cap \int c_0 \text{ where} \\
\Delta^1 x_n &= x_n - x_{n+1} \text{ and } \Delta^{k+1} x_n = \Delta^1(\Delta^k x_n), \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \\
v^{k+1} &= \{x \in \omega: \sum_{n=1}^\infty (n+1)^k |\Delta^{k+1} x_n| < \infty\}, \text{ and} \\
v_0^{k+1} &= v^{k+1} \cap c_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $E$  be a sequence space and  $n$  a nonnegative integer. The set of all  $y \in \omega$  such that  $(C, n) - \sum_{k=1}^\infty x_k y_k$  exists for all  $x \in E$  is called the set of summability factors of  $E$  of order  $n$ . Clearly the set of summability factors of  $E$  of order  $n$  is the set of multipliers  $(E \rightarrow C_n)$ . Using the multiplier notation, Bosanquet [2, p. 296, Theorem A] showed that  $(\sigma_{n, \infty} \rightarrow C_n) = h^{n+1}$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Our main result stated in multiplier notation is  $(h^{n+1} \rightarrow C_n) = \sigma_{n, \infty}$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  (See Theorem 2.12.)

## 1. Preliminary statements.

1.1 PROPOSITION. For  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $h^{m+1} \subset h^m$ .

PROOF. Let  $m$  be a positive integer and let  $x \in h^{m+1}$ . Then  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty k^{m+1} |\Delta^{m+1} x_k| < \infty$  and  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = 0$ . Set  $\epsilon_k = \Delta^m x_k$ ; then  $\Delta \epsilon_k = \Delta^{m+1} x_k$ . Hence  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty k^{m+1} |\Delta \epsilon_k| = \sum_{k=1}^\infty k^{m+1} |\Delta^{m+1} x_k| < \infty$ . By [1, p. 42, Lemma 6] there exists a number  $s$  such that

- (i)  $\epsilon_k = s + o(1/k^{m+1})$  and
- (ii)  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty k^m |\epsilon_k - s| < \infty$ .

Since  $h^m \subset c_0$ ,

$$(1.2) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_k = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Delta^m x_k = 0.$$

By (1.2) and (i),  $s = 0$ . By (ii)  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty k^m |\Delta^m x_k| < \infty$ . Hence  $x \in h^m$ .

REMARK. By [5, p. 96, Theorem 3.2],  $h^1 \subset l$ . Hence  $h^m \subset l$  for  $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . (See 1.1.)

The following results will be used:

1.3. If  $E$  and  $F$  are BK-spaces with  $(C, k) - AK$ , then  $(E \cap F \rightarrow C_k) = (E \rightarrow C_k) + (F \rightarrow C_k)$  [4, p. 156, Theorem 4].

1.4. If  $r > -1$ ,  $p \geq 0$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{p-1} |x_k| < \infty$ , then  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{p+r} |\Delta^{r+1} x_k| < \infty$  if and only if  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{p+r+1} |\Delta^{r+1} (x_k/k)| < \infty$  [3, p. 77].

If  $p = 0$  in 1.4, we get for  $r = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $dl \cap dh_b^{r+1} = dl \cap v^{r+1}$ . Hence for  $r = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $l \cap h_b^{r+1} = l \cap \int v^{r+1}$ . Since  $h^{r+1} \subset l$  for  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$(1.5) \quad h^{r+1} = \int v_0^{r+1} \cap l.$$

## 2. The space of multipliers from $h^n$ into $C_{n-1}$ .

2.1 LEMMA.  $l^\infty \subset \sigma_{n-1, \infty}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$

PROOF. The proof is by induction. Since  $\sigma_{0, \infty} = \alpha_\infty$  the statement is true for  $n = 1$ . Assume

$$(2.2) \quad l^\infty \subset \sigma_{k, \infty} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k < n,$$

$$(2.3) \quad \left| \frac{s_r^n(x)}{r^{n+1}} \right| < \frac{1}{r} \sum_{j=1}^r \left| \frac{s_j^{n-1}(x)}{j^n} \right|.$$

If  $x \in l^\infty$ , then by (2.2)  $\sup_j |s_j^{n-1}(x)/j^n| \leq M < \infty$ . Hence (2.3) implies  $\sup_r |s_r^n(x)/r^{n+1}| \leq M$ . Thus  $l^\infty \subset \sigma_{n, \infty}$ .

2.4 LEMMA. For  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $x \in \omega$ ,

$$s_n^k(dx) = ns_n^k(x) - (k+1)s_{n-1}^{k+1}(x).$$

PROOF. Follows immediately from Abel's partial summation and definition of  $s_n^k(x)$ .

2.5 PROPOSITION. For  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $d'C_k \subset \sigma_{k, \infty}$ .

PROOF. It suffices to show that  $'C_k \subset \int \sigma_{k, \infty}$  for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Let  $x \in 'C_k$ , then

$$(2.6) \quad \sup_n \left| \frac{s_n^k(x)}{n^k} \right| = M < \infty.$$

Since  $'C_k \subset 'C_{k+1}$  for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$(2.7) \quad \sup_n \left| \frac{s_n^{k+1}(x)}{n^{k+1}} \right| = M' < \infty$$

for some  $M' > 0$ . From 2.4 we obtain

$$(2.8) \quad s_n^k(dx) = ns_n^k(x) - (k+1)s_{n-1}^{k+1}(x) \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Now (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8) imply

$$\left| \frac{s_n^k(dx)}{n^{k+1}} \right| \leq \left| \frac{s_n^k(x)}{n^k} \right| + (k+1) \left| \frac{s_{n-1}^{k+1}(x)}{n^{k+1}} \right| \leq M + (k+1)M'$$

for all  $n$ . Hence  $dx \in \sigma_{k,\infty}$ , i.e.,  $x \in \int \sigma_{k,\infty}$ .

2.9 THEOREM. For  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $\sigma_{n,\infty} = ((\sigma_{n,\infty} \rightarrow C_n) \rightarrow C_n)$ .

PROOF. Evidently  $\sigma_{n,\infty} \subseteq ((\sigma_{n,\infty} \rightarrow C_n) \rightarrow C_n)$  for all  $n$ . Bosanquet proved that  $(\sigma_{n,\infty} \rightarrow C_n) = h^{n+1}$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  [2, p. 296, Theorem A]. For  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $h^{n+1} = \int \nu_0^{n+1} \cap l$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . By [4, p. 155, Theorem 3],  $\int \nu_0^{n+1}$  is a BK-space with  $(C, n) - AK$ . Since  $l$  is a BK-space with  $AK$ , it also has  $(C, n) - AK$ . From [4, p. 165, Theorem 4]

$$\begin{aligned} ((\sigma_{n,\infty} \rightarrow C_n) \rightarrow C_n) &= \left( \int \nu_0^{n+1} \cap l \rightarrow C_n \right) \\ &= \left( \int \nu_0^{n+1} \rightarrow C_n \right) + (l \rightarrow C_n) \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

$(\int \nu_0^{n+1} \rightarrow C_n) = d'C_n$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  [4, p. 156, Theorem 5]. Since  $l$  has  $AK$ ,  $(l \rightarrow C_n) = (l \rightarrow C_0) = l^\infty$ . Thus, by 2.1 and 2.5,

$$(2.10) \quad ((\sigma_{n,\infty} \rightarrow C_n) \rightarrow C_n) = d'C_n + l^\infty \subset \sigma_{n,\infty}.$$

Hence  $((\sigma_{n,\infty} \rightarrow C_n) \rightarrow C_n) = \sigma_{n,\infty}$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

2.11 COROLLARY. For  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $\sigma_{n,\infty} = d'C_n + l^\infty$ .

PROOF. See (2.10) in proof of 2.9.

Our main result can now be stated and proved.

2.12 THEOREM. For  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $(h^n \rightarrow C_{n-1}) = \sigma_{n-1,\infty}$ .

PROOF. By 2.9  $\sigma_{n,\infty} = ((\sigma_{n,\infty} \rightarrow C_n) \rightarrow C_n)$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$h^n = (\sigma_{n-1,\infty} \rightarrow C_{n-1}) \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

[2, p. 296, Theorem A]. Hence

$$(h^n \rightarrow C_{n-1}) = ((\sigma_{n-1,\infty} \rightarrow C_{n-1}) \rightarrow C_{n-1}) \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

2.13 COROLLARY. For  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $((h^n \rightarrow C_{n-1}) \rightarrow C_{n-1}) = h^n$ .

PROOF. Let  $n$  be a positive integer.  $(h^n \rightarrow C_{n-1}) = \sigma_{n-1,\infty}$  by 2.12.

$$(\sigma_{n-1,\infty} \rightarrow C_{n-1}) = h^n$$

by [2, p. 296, Theorem A].

## REFERENCES

1. L. S. Bosanquet, *Note on convergence and summability factors*, J. London Math. Soc. **20** (1945), 39–48. MR 7, 432.
2. ———, *Note on convergence and summability factors*. II, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) **50** (1948), 295–304. MR 10, 112.

3. L. S. Bosanquet and H. C. Chow, *Some remarks on convergence and summability factors*, J. London Math. Soc. **32** (1957), 73–82. MR **18**, 733.
4. G. Goes, *Bounded variation sequences of order  $k$  and representation of null sequences*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **253** (1972), 152–161. MR **45** #9027.
5. G. Goes and S. Goes, *Sequences of bounded variation and sequences of Fourier coefficients. I*, Math. Z. **118** (1970), 93–102.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95819 (Current address)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, XAVIER UNIVERSITY OF LOUISIANA, NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA 70125