# AN EXAMPLE OF A DOUBLY CONNECTED DOMAIN WHICH ADMITS A QUADRATURE IDENTITY 

A. L. LEVIN

> Abstract. In this paper we construct a doubly connected domain $D \ni 0$ such that $\iint_{D} f(z) d \sigma=A f(0)+B f^{\prime}(0)$ for any analytic and area integrable in $D$ function $f$, which has a single-valued integral in $D$.

1. Introduction. We first introduce the notation (see [1]). Let $D$ be a bounded plane domain. By $L_{a}^{1}(D)$ we denote the set of single-valued analytic functions in $D$ which are integrable in $D$ with respect to the areal measure $d \sigma$, and by $L_{a, s}^{1}(D)$ the subset of $L_{a}^{1}(D)$ consisting of functions with single-valued integral. We say that $D$ admits a quadrature identity (q.i.) relative to $L_{a}^{1}(D)$ (or $L_{a, s}^{1}(D)$ ) if there exist a point $z_{0} \in D$ and complex numbers $A, B$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{D} f d \sigma=A f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)+B f\left(z_{0}\right) \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $f \in L_{a}^{1}(D)\left(\right.$ or $\left.f \in L_{a, s}^{1}(D)\right)$.
For a discussion of the background of this problem, see [1]. We note only that for a one point q.i., namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{D} f d \sigma=A f\left(z_{0}\right) \tag{**}
\end{equation*}
$$

there is no difference between $L_{a}^{1}$ and $L_{a, s}^{1}$. It can be shown [1, Theorem 7] that the validity of $(* *)$ for every $f \in L_{a, s}^{1}(D)$ implies that $D$ is simply connected and, hence, a disc centered at $z_{0}$.

In the present paper we show that the validity of $(*)$ for all $f$ in $L_{a, s}^{1}(D)$ does not imply that $D$ is a simply connected domain. We prove the following

Theorem. There exists a bounded doubly connected domain $D$ which admits a quadrature identity $(*)$ for all $f \in L_{a, s}^{1}(D)$.

Remarks. 1. It turns out that the validity of $(*)$ for all $f \in L_{a}^{1}(D)$ does imply that $D$ is simply connected. This fact was proved by $D$. Aharonov and H. Shapiro [1, Theorem 4]. Such a domain $D$ can be found explicitly.
2. Our theorem is closely related to a certain minimal-area problem considered in [2]. In fact, our example shows that the method in [2], as it stands now, is not sufficiently strong to conclude that a certain domain $D$ is
simply connected. Such a conclusion would lead to the complete solution of the above-mentioned extremal problem.
3. A recent result (unpublished) of B. Gustafsson shows that if we consider a q.i. of higher order, then for every positive integer $n$ there exists a domain of connectivity $n$ which admits a q.i. of some (unknown) order.
2. We start with some preliminary results.

Lemma 1. Let $D$ be a bounded doubly connected domain. Let its boundary be $C=\Gamma_{1} \cup \Gamma_{2}$, where $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ are nonintersecting rectifiable Jordan curves, $\Gamma_{2}$ surrounding $\Gamma_{1}$. Then the rational functions from $L_{a, s}^{1}(D)$ are dense in $L_{a, s}^{1}(D)$ in the $L^{1}(D)$-metric.

Proof. Let $\zeta$ be some fixed point in the interior of $\Gamma_{1}$. It is known ${ }^{1}$ that under our topological requirement on $\partial D$ it is possible to approximate (in the $L^{1}(D)$-metric) every function $f \in L_{a}^{1}(D)$ by rational functions with poles at $\zeta$ and at infinity. Let $R_{n}(z)$ be a sequence of such rational functions for a given $f$, i.e. $\int_{D}\left|f(z)-R_{n}(z)\right| d \sigma \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It is known (see [4, p. 109]) that this condition implies the uniform convergence of $R_{n}(z)$ to $f(z)$ on any closed set interior to $D$. Consequently, we have for some fixed path $\gamma \subset D$ which surrounds $\Gamma_{1}$ :

$$
\left|\int_{\gamma} R_{n}(z) d z\right|=\left|\int_{\gamma}\left(R_{n}(z)-f(z)\right) d z\right| \leqslant c_{\gamma} \max _{\gamma}\left|f(z)-R_{n}(z)\right| \rightarrow 0 .
$$

This means that the residue $\alpha_{n}$ of $R_{n}(z)$ at point $\zeta$ tends to zero. Let $\tilde{R}_{n}(z)=R_{n}(z)-\alpha_{n} /(z-\zeta)$; then $\tilde{R}_{n}(z) \in L_{a, s}^{1}(D)$ and we have

$$
\int_{D}\left|f-\tilde{R}_{n}\right| d \sigma \leqslant \int_{D}\left|f-R_{n}\right| d \sigma+\left|\alpha_{n}\right| \int_{D} \frac{d \sigma}{|z-\zeta|} \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Lemma 2. Let $D$ be as in Lemma 1 and contain zero, and let $f(z)$ be continuous in $\bar{D}$ and analytic in $D$ except for a double pole at $z=0$, and such that

$$
f(z)= \begin{cases}\bar{z} & \text { on } \Gamma_{1} \\ \bar{z}+\lambda & \text { on } \Gamma_{2} \quad(\lambda \text { being some complex number }) .\end{cases}
$$

Then $D$ admits a q.i. (*) for every $h \in L_{a, s}^{1}(D)$.
Proof. Set $f(z)=a / z^{2}+b / z+T(z)$ where $T(z)$ is analytic in $D$. Let $h(z)$ be continuous in $\bar{D}$ and $h(z) \in L_{a, s}^{1}(D)$. This means that $\int_{\Gamma_{1}} h d z=\int_{\Gamma_{2}} h d z$ $=0$. Hence, using Green's formula,

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{D} h d \sigma & =\frac{1}{2 i} \int_{C} \bar{z} h(z) d z=\frac{1}{2 i} \int_{\Gamma_{1}} f(z) h(z) d z+\frac{1}{2 i} \int_{\Gamma_{2}}[f(z)-\lambda] h(z) d z \\
& =\frac{1}{2 i} \int_{C} f(z) h(z) d z=\frac{1}{2 i} \int_{C}\left(\frac{a}{z^{2}}+\frac{b}{z}+T(z)\right) h(z) d z=A h^{\prime}(0)+B h(0)
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

(where $A=\pi a, B=\pi b$ ). Thus, the q.i. holds for $h \in L_{a, s}^{1}(D)$ provided $h$ is continuous in $\bar{D}$. Let now $h(z)$ be any function in $L_{a, s}^{1}(D)$. In view of Lemma 1 , we can find a sequence $R_{n}(z)$ such that $\int_{D} h d \sigma=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{D} R_{n}(z) d \sigma$, each $R_{n}(z)$ having a single-valued integral in $D$.

Consequently we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{D} h(z) d \sigma & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{D} R_{n}(z) d \sigma=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(A R_{n}^{\prime}(0)+B R_{n}(0)\right) \\
& =A h^{\prime}(0)+B h(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3. Let $\Delta$ be a closed annulus $1 \leqslant|z| \leqslant R$ and let $1<\alpha<R$. Then for every real $\lambda$ there exist a function $g(z)$ which is analytic in $\Delta$, and another function $f(z)$ which is analytic in $\Delta$ except for a double pole at $z=\alpha$, such that

$$
f(z)= \begin{cases}\bar{g}(z) & \text { on }|z|=1 \\ \bar{g}(z)+\lambda & \text { on }|z|=R\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Let $f(z)=a /(z-\alpha)^{2}+b /(z-\alpha)+T(z)$ where $a, b$ are real and $T(z)$ is analytic in $\Delta$. We then have the expansions for $f$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\frac{a}{\alpha^{2}} \sum_{0}^{\infty}(k+1)\left(\frac{z}{\alpha}\right)^{k}-\frac{b}{\alpha} \sum_{0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{z}{\alpha}\right)^{k} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\sum_{1}^{\infty} T_{k} z^{k}+\sum_{1}^{\infty} T_{-k} z^{-k}+T_{0} \quad \text { on }|z|=1 \\
f(z) & =\frac{a}{z^{2}} \sum_{0}^{\infty}(k+1)\left(\frac{\alpha}{z}\right)^{k}+\frac{b}{z} \sum_{0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\alpha}{z}\right)^{k}  \tag{2}\\
& +\sum_{1}^{\infty} T_{k} z^{k}+\sum_{1}^{\infty} T_{-k} z^{-k}+T_{0} \quad \text { on }|z|=R
\end{align*}
$$

For $g(z)$ which is analytic in $\Delta$ we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\overline{g(z)}=\sum_{1}^{\infty} \bar{g}_{k} z^{-k}+\sum_{1}^{\infty} \bar{g}_{-k} z^{k}+\bar{g}_{0} \quad \text { on }|z|=1,  \tag{3}\\
\overline{g(z)}+\lambda=\sum_{1}^{\infty} \bar{g}_{k} R^{2 k} z^{-k}+\sum_{1}^{\infty} \bar{g}_{-k} R^{-2 k} z^{k}+\bar{g}_{0}+\lambda \quad \text { on }|z|=R . \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Provided $f(z)=\overline{g(z)}$ on $|z|=1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{a}{\alpha^{2}}-\frac{b}{\alpha}+T_{0} & =\bar{g}_{0}  \tag{5}\\
(k+1) \frac{a}{\alpha^{k+2}}-\frac{b}{\alpha^{k+1}}+T_{k} & =\bar{g}_{-k}, \quad T_{-k}=\bar{g}_{k} \quad(k=1,2, \ldots)
\end{align*}
$$

Provided $f(z)=\overline{g(z)}+\lambda$ on $|z|=R$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{0}=\bar{g}_{0}+\lambda, \quad(k-1) a \alpha^{k-2}+b \alpha^{k-1}+T_{-k}=\bar{g}_{k} R^{2 k}, \quad & T_{k}=R^{-2 k} \bar{g}_{-k}  \tag{6}\\
& (k=1,2, \ldots)
\end{align*}
$$

From (5) and (6) we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\lambda=b / \alpha-a / \alpha^{2} \\
\bar{g}_{k}=g_{k}=\frac{a(k-1) \alpha^{k-2}+b \alpha^{k-1}}{R^{2 k}-1} \tag{8}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{g}_{-k}=g_{-k}=\left(\frac{a}{\alpha^{k+2}}(k+1)-\frac{b}{\alpha^{k+1}}\right) \frac{R^{2 k}}{R^{2 k}-1} \quad(k=1,2, \ldots) \\
& T_{0}=\bar{g}_{0}+\lambda
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
T_{k}=\left(\frac{a}{\alpha^{k+2}}(k+1)-\frac{b}{\alpha^{k+1}}\right) \frac{1}{R^{2 k}-1}, \quad T_{-k}=\frac{a(k-1) \alpha^{k-2}+b \alpha^{k-1}}{R^{2 k}-1}  \tag{9}\\
(k=1,2, \ldots)
\end{array}
$$

Using (8), (9) we obtain after a simple manipulation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\frac{a}{(z-\alpha)^{2}}+\frac{b}{z-\alpha}+T_{0}+\lambda \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha / z)^{k}-(\alpha / z)^{-k}}{R^{2 k}-1} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
+\frac{a}{\alpha^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \frac{(\alpha / z)^{k}+(\alpha / z)^{-k}}{R^{2 k}-1}
$$

$$
g(z)=\bar{T}_{0}-\lambda+\lambda \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha z)^{k}-R^{2 k}(\alpha z)^{-k}}{R^{2 k}-1}
$$

$$
+\frac{a}{\alpha^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \frac{(\alpha z)^{k}+R^{2 k}(\alpha z)^{-k}}{R^{2 k}-1}
$$

We note, that for the present $T_{0}$ may be chosen arbitrarily; $a$ and $b$ may also be arbitrary (and real) but must satisfy (7). Since $1<\alpha<R, g(z)$ and the regular part of $f(z)$ are analytic in the closed annulus $\Delta$ and the above computation (5), (6) shows, that $f$ and $g$ satisfy the requirement of Lemma 3.

Lemma 4. The function $g(z)$ which is defined by (11) is univalent in the closed annulus $\Delta$, provided $\alpha=R^{3 / 4}, a=\lambda \alpha^{2}(\lambda \neq 0)$, and provided $R$ is sufficiently large.

Proof. Provided $\lambda=a / \alpha^{2}$, we obtain from (11): $g(z)=\bar{T}_{0}-\lambda+\lambda \phi(z)$, where we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(z)=\sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha z)^{k}-R^{2 k}(\alpha z)^{-k}}{R^{2 k}-1}+\sum_{1}^{\infty} k \frac{(\alpha z)^{k}+R^{2 k}(\alpha z)^{-k}}{R^{2 k}-1} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Provided $\lambda \neq 0$, it suffices to prove univalence of $\phi(z)$. We obtain from (12):

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi(z) & =\frac{2 \alpha z}{R^{2}-1}+\sum_{2}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha z)^{k}-R^{2 k}(\alpha z)^{-k}}{R^{2 k}-1}+\sum_{2}^{\infty} k \frac{(\alpha z)^{k}+R^{2 k}(\alpha z)^{-k}}{R^{2 k}-1}  \tag{13}\\
& =\frac{2 \alpha z}{R^{2}-1}+\phi_{1}(z) .
\end{align*}
$$

For any pair of points $z_{1}, z_{2}$ in $\Delta$ we have

$$
\phi\left(z_{2}\right)-\phi\left(z_{1}\right)=\int_{z_{1}}^{z_{2}} \phi^{\prime}(z) d z=\frac{2 \alpha}{R^{2}-1}\left(z_{2}-z_{1}\right)+\int_{z_{1}}^{z_{2}} \phi_{1}^{\prime}(z) d z .
$$

We can choose the path of integration from $z_{1}$ to $z_{2}$ in such a way that its length will not exceed $3\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right|$. Thus, in order to prove univalence of $\phi(z)$ we have to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{z \in \Delta}\left|\phi_{1}^{\prime}(z)\right|<2 \alpha / 3\left(R^{2}-1\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (13) we obtain

$$
\left|\phi_{1}^{\prime}(z)\right| \leqslant \alpha\left\{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k \frac{|\alpha z|^{k-1}+R^{2 k}|\alpha z|^{-k-1}}{R^{2 k}-1}+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{2} \frac{|\alpha z|^{k-1}+R^{2 k}|\alpha z|^{-k-1}}{R^{2 k}-1}\right\}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& <2 \alpha \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{2} \frac{|\alpha z|^{k-1}+R^{2 k}|\alpha z|^{-k-1}}{R^{2 k}-1}  \tag{15}\\
& <4 \alpha \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{2} \frac{|\alpha z|^{k-1}+R^{2 k}|\alpha z|^{-k-1^{2}}}{R^{2 k}} \\
& =\frac{4 \alpha}{R^{2}} \sum_{2}^{\infty} k^{2}\left|\frac{\alpha z}{R^{2}}\right|^{k-1}+\frac{4 \alpha}{|\alpha z|^{2}} \sum_{2}^{\infty} k^{2} \frac{1}{|\alpha z|^{k-1}} .
\end{align*}
$$

If we choose now $\alpha=R^{3 / 4}$, we obtain from (15):

$$
\left|\phi_{1}^{\prime}(z)\right| \leqslant 4 R^{-5 / 4} \sum_{2}^{\infty} k^{2}\left(R^{-1 / 4}\right)^{k-1}+4 R^{-3 / 4} \sum_{2}^{\infty} k^{2}\left(R^{-3 / 4}\right)^{k-1}<C R^{-3 / 2}
$$

for sufficiently large $R$.
The validity of (14) for $\alpha=R^{3 / 4}$ is now clear, which proves the lemma.
${ }^{2}$ This step is correct provided $R>2^{1 / 4}$.
3. We now proceed to prove our theorem. We choose some real $\lambda(\lambda \neq 0)$ and some sufficiently large $R$ (for which Lemma 4 is valid). Set $\alpha=R^{3 / 4}, a$ $=\alpha^{2} \cdot \lambda, b=2 \lambda \alpha$. The function $g(z)$ is then defined by (11) up to the additive constant $\bar{T}_{0}$, chosen such that $g\left(R^{3 / 4}\right)=0$. In view of Lemma $4, w=g(z)$ is analytic and univalent in the closed annulus $\Delta=\{1 \leqslant|z| \leqslant R\}$ and maps its interior onto the doubly connected domain $D$, which contains zero. Set $\tilde{f}(w)=f\left(g^{-1}(w)\right.$ ), where $f$ is defined by (10) (with $\lambda, R, \alpha, a, b, T_{0}$ as chosen above). $\tilde{f}(w)$ is single valued and analytic in $D$ except for a double pole at $w=0$. By virtue of Lemma 3, we have

$$
\tilde{f}(w)= \begin{cases}\bar{w} & \text { on } \Gamma_{1}=\{w: w=g(z),|z|=1\}, \\ \bar{w}+\lambda & \text { on } \Gamma_{2}=\{w: w=g(z),|z|=R\} .\end{cases}
$$

Since $g(z)$ is analytic and univalent in the closed annulus, the curves $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ satisfy the topological conditions of Lemma 1 and, hence, by Lemma 2, the domain $D$ admits a quadratus identity ( $*$ ) with $z_{0}=0$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See, for instance, [3, p. 114], where this result is formulated (in much stronger form) for the $L^{2}(D)$-metric. The proof is based on the fact that any function in $L_{a}^{2}(G)(G$ is a simply connected bounded Jordan domain) can be approximated in the $L^{2}(D)$-metric by polynomials. But this last assertion is also true for the case of $L^{1}(D)$-approximation (see, for instance, [4, p. 45]). So the proof in [3] holds for our case as well.

