VANISHING SOLUTIONS OF THE DISSIPATIVE ACOUSTIC EQUATION IN AN EXTERIOR DOMAIN

DANIEL A. BONDY

ABSTRACT. Except in one dimension, strictly incoming waves cannot be propagated by the wave equation with dissipative boundary conditions so that they disappear asymptotically in forward time.

In [4] Lax and Phillips consider the acoustic equation in an exterior domain $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$:

(1.1)
$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} = \Delta u & \text{in } G, \\ \partial_n u + \sigma u_t = 0, & \sigma \geqslant 0 \text{ in } \partial G. \end{cases}$$

They assume G contains the complement of the ball of radius ρ . As in [4], we define H to be the Hilbert space of all initial data d with finite energy in G. Let T(t) be the (strongly continuous) contraction semigroup formed by mapping initial data into data at time t.

If $G = \mathbb{R}^n$ (and the second part of (1.1) is vacuous) we will denote H by H_0 and T(t) by $U_0(t)$. We note that $U_0(t)$ is a unitary group. We denote the cogenerator (see Chapter 3 of [5]) of T(t) by T and the cogenerator of $U_0(t)$ by U_0 . Let $D_{\pm} \subset H$ be the set of all initial data vanishing on $\{x \mid |x| \le \rho \pm t, t \ge 0\}$.

We will prove the following

THEOREM. Let n be greater than 1. (Recall that $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.) If $d \in D_-$ and $d \not\equiv 0$. Then $\lim_{t \to +\infty} T(t)d \neq 0$.

Before starting the proof we recall some of the material in [2], [3], and [4]. We represent the action of $U_0(t)$ on H_0 as right translation on $L^2(\mathbf{R}, N)$ (i.e., the set of all square integrable N-valued functions on \mathbf{R}) for some auxiliary Hilbert space N so that D_- is mapped onto $L^2(\mathbf{R}_- - \rho, N)$. In this representation D_+ is mapped onto

$$L^2(\mathbf{R}_+ + \rho, N)$$
 if n is odd

and

$$\Re L^2(\mathbf{R}_+ + \rho, N)$$
 if *n* is even

where

Received by the editors December 5, 1975 and, in revised form, February 2, 1976. AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 35P25; Secondary 47A40. Key words and phrases. Dissipative scattering theory.

Copyright © 1977, American Mathematical Society

$$\mathfrak{R}(s) = \mathfrak{I}^{-1}\mathfrak{R}(\sigma)\mathfrak{I},$$

$$\mathfrak{K}(\sigma) = \operatorname{sgn} \sigma$$

and \Im is the Fourier transform.

Since $T(t)|_{D_+} = U_0(t)|_{D_+}$ for $t \ge 0$, and $T(t)^*|_{D_-} = U_0(-t)|_{D_-}$ for $t \ge 0$, we can embed H onto $L^2(\mathbf{R}, N)$ so that $T(t)^*$ acts on $L^2(\mathbf{R}_- - \rho, N)$ as left translation by t and T(t) acts on $L^2(\mathbf{R}_+ + \rho, N)$ (resp. $\mathcal{K}L^2(\mathbf{R}_+ + \rho, N)$) if n = odd (resp. if n = even) as right translation by t. The action of T(t) on the rest of $L^2(\mathbf{R}, N)$ is more difficult to describe.

LEMMA 1.1. Let $f(s) \in D_-$. Then $f(s) \in T^*D_-$ if and only if $\hat{f}(\sigma)$, the Fourier transform of f(s), is zero at the point (0, -i).

PROOF. Let $f(s) \in D_-$. Then by Chapter III of [5] and the fact that $T(t)^*f(s) = f(s+t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ we conclude

$$(T^*f)(s) = f(s) \text{ s-}\lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{t}{1+t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f(s+nt)}{(1+t)^n}.$$

Taking the Fourier transform

$$\widehat{(T^*f)}(\sigma) = \widehat{f}(\sigma) + \text{s-lim}_{t \to 0^+} \frac{t}{1+t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{\text{int}\sigma}\widehat{f}(\sigma)}{(1+t)^n}$$

$$= \widehat{f}(\sigma) \left(1 + \text{s-lim}_{t \to 0^+} \frac{t}{1+t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{\text{int}\sigma}}{(1+t)^n} \right)$$

$$= \widehat{f}(\sigma) (1 - 1/i\sigma).$$

Since $\widehat{(T^*f)}(\sigma)$ and $\widehat{f}(\sigma)$ are analytic in the lower half plane, the above calculation shows (Tf)(-i) = 0.

Conversely if $g(s) \in D_{-}$ and $\hat{g}(\sigma)$ has a zero at -i, then

$$\hat{g}(\sigma) = (\sigma + i)(\sigma - i)^{-1}\hat{f}(\sigma)$$
 for some $f \in D_{-}$.

But $T^* = U_0^{-1}$ on D_- , and U_0^{-1} acts as multiplication by $(\sigma + i)/(\sigma - i)^{-1}$ in the Fourier transform of the translation representation (called the spectral representation in [2]). To see this, note that A_0 , the generator of $U_0(t)$, acts as multiplication by $i\sigma$ in the spectral representation. The action of

$$U_0 = (I + A_0)(I - A_0)^{-1}$$

is now clear. Thus $g(s) = (T^*f)(s)$ for $f \in D$. This proves the lemma. Define the wave operators as

(1.4)
$$W_1 = \text{s-lim}_{t \to \infty} T(t) J_0 U_0(-t), \qquad W_2 = \text{s-lim}_{t \to \infty} U_0(-t) J T(t),$$

where J, J_0 are continuous linear maps from H to H_0 and H_0 to H respectively which act as the identity on $D_- \vee D_+$. Define the scattering operator S as in [4] by

$$(1.5) S = W_2 W_1.$$

LEMMA 1.2. For any $d \in D_{-}$

$$(1.6) P_D Td = P_D U_0 Sd.$$

PROOF. From the definitions of W_1 and W_2 we have $W_2T = U_0W_1$. Since $W_2|_{D_+} = I|_{D_+} = W_2^*|_{D_+}$ we see that for any $d \in H$,

$$P_{D_{+}}U_{0}W_{2}d = P_{D_{+}}W_{2}Td = W_{2}P_{D_{+}}Td = P_{D_{+}}Td.$$

If $d \in D_{-}$ we see that $W_1 d = d$ so that by (1.5)

$$P_{D_1} Td = P_{D_1} U_0 [W_2 W_1] d = P_{D_1} U_0 Sd$$

for any $d \in D_{-}$. Q.E.D.

Since $U_0(t)$ acts as right translation by t on $L^2(\mathbf{R}, N)$ we can calculate U_0 as

(1.7)
$$(U_0 f)(s) = f(s) - 2e^{-s} \int_{-\infty}^{s} f(\zeta) e \zeta \, d\zeta, \quad f \in L^2(\mathbf{R}, N).$$

The operator S on $H_0 = L^2(\mathbf{R}, N)$ commutes with $U_0(t)$ (= translation by t) and it follows that in the spectral representation (= Fourier transform space) the corresponding operator, denoted by S, acts on $L^2(\mathbf{R}, N)$ by multiplication

$$(\delta f)(\sigma) = \delta(\sigma)f(\sigma), \quad f \in L^2(\mathbf{R}, N).$$

We now prove the theorem for the case when n is odd (\neq 1). In [4] it is shown that $\Im(\sigma)$ has an analytic extension to the lower half plane if n is odd. In particular it is shown that

$$(1.8) S(L^2(\mathbf{R}_- - \rho, N)) \subset L^2(\mathbf{R}_- + \rho, N).$$

PROPOSITION 1.3. Let d be a nonzero element of D_- . We also assume $U_0d \not\in D_-$ and

(1.9)
$$S(-i)$$
 is invertible.

Then U_0Sd is not orthogonal to D_+ .

PROOF. Let $d \in D_-$. Then in the translation representation d has its support in $(-\infty, -\rho]$. Since S satisfies (1.8) we see (Sf) has its support in $(-\infty, \rho]$. From (1.7) it is clear that if U_0Dd has its support in $(-\infty, \rho]$ then

$$(1.10) 0 = \int_{-\infty}^{\rho} (Sd)(\zeta) e^{\zeta} d\zeta = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (Sd)(\zeta) e^{\zeta} d\zeta.$$

Rewriting (1.10) we see $(\widehat{Sd})(-i) = 0$, i.e. $S(-i)\hat{d}(-i) = 0$. By assumption, S(-i) is invertible and we conclude $\hat{d}(-i) = 0$. Thus by Lemma 1.1 we see $d \in T^*D_- = U_0^{-1}D_-$, i.e. $U_0d \in D_-$. But we assumed $U_0d \not\in D_-$. Thus U_0Sd does not have its support in $(-\infty, \rho]$.

Since $D_+ = L^2((\rho, \infty), N)$ in the translation representation, we conclude that U_0Sd is not orthogonal to D_+ .

PROPOSITION 1.4. Let $d \in D_{-}$ be nonzero and assume (1.9) holds. Then $s\text{-}\lim_{t\to\infty}T(t)d\neq 0$.

PROOF. By Proposition III 9.1 of [5], it suffices to show

D. A. BONDY

(1.11)
$$\operatorname{s-lim}_{n\to\infty} T^n d \neq 0 \quad \text{for all } d \in D_-.$$

Now if $d \neq 0$ we can find a smallest $m \geqslant 0$ so that $T^m d \not\in T^*D_- = U_0^{-1}D_-$, and $T^m d \in D_-$. We conclude by Proposition 1.3 that $U_0ST^m d$ is not orthogonal to D_+ . Thus by (1.6) we see $P_{D_+}T^{m+1}d \neq 0$. Now let U on $K \supset H$ be the minimal unitary dilation of T (see [5]). On D_+ we see $T|_{D_+} = U_0|_{D_+} = U|_{D_+}$. Thus for $n \geqslant 0$

$$0 = (D_{+}, H \ominus D_{+}) = (U^{n}D_{+}, U^{n}(H \ominus D_{+}))$$
$$= (T^{n}D_{+}, U^{n}(H \ominus D_{+})) = (T^{n}D_{+}, T^{n}(H \ominus D_{+})).$$

Thus if $T^{m+1}d = \beta \oplus \beta_+$, $\beta \in H \ominus D_+$, $\beta_+ \in D_+$ we see $T^n\beta_+ \perp T^n\beta_-$ all $n \ge 0$.

Thus

$$||T^n T^{m+1} d||^2 = ||T^n \beta_+| + ||T^n \beta_-||^2 = ||T^n \beta_+|| + ||T^n \beta_-||^2 \ge ||T^n \beta_+||^2$$
$$= ||U_0^n \beta_+||^2 = ||\beta_+||^2 = ||P_D|| T^{m+1} d||^2 > 0.$$

Thus we can conclude (1.11). Q.E.D.

We now relax the restriction imposed by (1.9) and complete the proof of the theorem in the odd-dimensional case.

Proposition 1.5. If $d \in D_-$, then

PROOF. Recall that G contains the complement of the ball of radius ρ . Define V(x, t) = u(cx, ct), c > 0. Then u(x, t) satisfies

(1.13)
$$\begin{cases} v_{tt} = \Delta v & \text{in } G', \\ \partial_n v + \sigma v_t = 0 & \text{in } \partial G', \sigma \ge 0, \end{cases}$$

where $G' = ^{\text{def}} \{ c^{-1}g | g \in G \}.$

Define $D_{-}(v)$ as the subspace of initial data which vanishes on $\{|x| \le \rho/c + t, t \le 0\}$ under the action of (1.13). Recall the definition of $D_{-}(u)$ as the subspace of initial data which vanishes on $\{|x| \le \rho + t, t \le 0\}$ under the action of (1.1). It is clear that c can be chosen so that G' contains the complement of a ball of radius less than one. By Theorem 10.10 of [4], since n is greater than one, we can conclude that the scattering matrix for the v-system is invertible at -i. Thus by Propositions 1.3 and 1.4, (1.12) holds for the v-system. But the statement of the theorem is invariant under the change from the v to the u systems. Thus (1.12) holds for both the u and v systems and the theorem is proven for the case when n is odd and greater than one.

We now look at the case when n is even. To prove the theorem in this case it suffices to establish that U_0Sd is not orthogonal to D_+ for any nonzero d in D_- . Once this is done the argument in Proposition 1.4 (with m=0) can be used as before to conclude (1.11).

PROPOSITION 1.6. Let d be a nonzero element of D_{-} and let n be even. Then U_0Sd is not orthogonal to D_{+} .

PROOF. Let $d \in D_{-}$. Then

$$(Sd, D_{+}) = (W_{2}W_{1}d, D_{+}) = (W_{1}d, W_{2}^{*}D_{+}) = (d, D_{+}).$$

If Sd is orthogonal to D_+ , then $d \in D_- \cap D_+ \perp$. Thus $\hat{d}(\sigma)$ and $\Re(\sigma) \cdot \hat{d}(\sigma)$ both have analytic extensions to the lower half plane. But this is clearly impossible unless $\hat{d}(\sigma) \equiv 0$, i.e. $d(s) \equiv 0$. Thus Sd is not orthogonal to D_+ . Since $U_0^{-1}D_+ \supset D_+$ we conclude U_0Sd is not orthogonal to D_+ .

The proof of the theorem is now complete.

In conclusion, I would like to thank the referee for pointing out that the theorem does not hold for n = 1, by providing the following counterexample:

$$G = \{x > a\},$$
 $u = f(x + t),$ f of compact support,
- $u_x + u_t = 0$ on $x = a$.

REFERENCES

- 1. Chong Chan, The scattering matrix for the acoustic equation in an exterior domain with dissipative boundary conditions, Ph.D. Dissertation, Stanford Univ., Stanford, Calif., 1974.
- 2. P. D. Lax and R. S. Phillips, Scattering theory, Academic Press, New York and London, 1967. MR 36 #530.
- 3. _____, Scattering theory for the acoustic equation in an even number of space dimensions, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 22 (1972/73), 101-134. MR 46 #4014.
- 4. _____, Scattering theory for dissipative hyperbolic systems, J. Functional Analysis 14 (1973), 172-235. MR 50 #5502.
- 5. B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foias, Harmonic analysis of operators on Hilbert space, North-Holland, Amsterdam; American Elsevier, New York; Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 1970. MR 43 #947.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY PARK, PENNSYLVANIA 16802