

S-CLOSED SPACES

TRAVIS THOMPSON

ABSTRACT. A topological space X is said to be S -closed if and only if for every semiopen cover of X there exists a finite subfamily such that the union of their closures cover X . For a compact Hausdorff space, the concept of S -closed is shown to be equivalent to the concepts of extremally disconnected and projectiveness.

A Hausdorff space X is H -closed if and only if for every open cover $\{U_a | a \in \Lambda\}$ there exists a finite subfamily $\{U_{a_i} | i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that the union of their closures cover X . In this paper, we expand this concept using semiopen sets.

DEFINITION 1. A set A in a topological space X is semiopen if and only if there exists an open set V such that $V \subset A \subset \bar{V}$, where \bar{V} is the closure of V .

DEFINITION 2. A filterbase $F = \{A_a\}$ s -converges to a point $x_0 \in X$ if for each semiopen set V containing x_0 there exists an $A_a \in F$ such that $A_a \subset \bar{V}$.

DEFINITION 3. A filterbase $F = \{A_a\}$ s -accumulates to a point $x_0 \in X$ if for each semiopen set V containing x_0 and $A_a \in F$, $A_a \cap \bar{V} \neq \emptyset$.

The corresponding definitions using nets are apparent and will not be stated. An easy consequence of these definitions is

THEOREM 1. Let F be a maximal filterbase in X . Then F s -accumulates to a point $x_0 \in X$ if and only if F s -converges to x_0 .

DEFINITION 4. A topological space X is S -closed if and only if for every semiopen cover $\{U_a | a \in \Gamma\}$ of X there exists a finite subfamily $\{U_{a_i} | i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that the union of their closures cover X .

It is apparent from the definition above that a Hausdorff S -closed space is H -closed. The reader can readily find examples to show that the converse need not be true. Our first result lies in Theorem 2 which characterizes S -closed spaces.

THEOREM 2. For a topological space the following are equivalent:

- (i) X is S -closed.
- (ii) For each family of semiclosed sets $\{F_a\}$ (i.e., each F_a is the complement of a semiopen set) such that $\bigcap (F_a) = \emptyset$, there exists a finite subfamily $\{F_{a_i}\}_{i=1}^n$ such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^n (F_{a_i})^0 = \emptyset$.

Received by the editors December 1, 1975.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 54D20, 54D30; Secondary 54G05.

Key words and phrases. S -closed, extremally disconnected, projective.

Copyright © 1977, American Mathematical Society

- (iii) Each filterbase $F = \{A_\alpha\}$ s -accumulates to some point $x_0 \in X$.
 (iv) Each maximum filterbase F s -converges.

PROOF. (i) \Rightarrow (iv). Let $F = \{A_\alpha\}$ be a maximum filterbase. Suppose that F does not s -converge to any point; therefore, by Theorem 1, F does not s -accumulate to any point. This implies that for every $x \in X$, there exists a semiopen set $V(x)$ containing x and an $A_{\alpha(x)} \in F$ such that $A_{\alpha(x)} \cap \overline{V(x)} = \emptyset$. Obviously $\{V(x) | x \in X\}$ is a semiopen cover for X and by hypothesis there exists a finite subfamily such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \overline{V(x_i)} = X$. Since F is a filterbase, there exists an $A_0 \in F$ such that $A_0 \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_{\alpha(x_i)}$. Hence, $A_0 \cap \overline{V(x_i)} = \emptyset$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, which implies $A_0 \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^n \overline{V(x_i)}) = A_0 \cap X = \emptyset$, contradicting the essential fact that $A_0 \neq \emptyset$.

(iv) \Rightarrow (iii). Each filterbase is contained in a maximal filterbase.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $\{F_\alpha\}$ be a collection of semiclosed sets such that $\bigcap F_\alpha = \emptyset$. Suppose that for every finite subfamily, $\bigcap_{i=1}^n (F_{\alpha_i})^0 \neq \emptyset$. Therefore $F = \{\bigcap_{i=1}^n (F_{\alpha_i})^0 | n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, F_{\alpha_i} \in \{F_\alpha\}\}$ forms a filterbase. By hypothesis, F s -accumulates to some point $x_0 \in X$. This implies that for every semiopen set $V(x_0)$ containing x_0 , $F_\alpha \cap \overline{V(x_0)} \neq \emptyset$, for every $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Since $x_0 \notin \bigcap F_\alpha$ there exists an $\alpha_0 \in \Lambda$ such that $x_0 \notin F_{\alpha_0}$. Hence, x_0 is contained in the semiopen set $X - F_{\alpha_0}$. Therefore,

$$(F_{\alpha_0})^0 \cap \overline{(X - F_{\alpha_0})} = (F_{\alpha_0})^0 \cap (X - (F_{\alpha_0})^0) = \emptyset,$$

contradicting the fact that F s -accumulates to x_0 .

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Let $\{V_\alpha\}$ be a semiopen covering of X . Then $\bigcap (X - V_\alpha) = \emptyset$. By hypothesis, there exists a finite subfamily such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^n (X - V_{\alpha_i})^0 = \bigcap_{i=1}^n (X - \overline{V_{\alpha_i}}) = \emptyset$. Therefore, $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \overline{V_{\alpha_i}} = X$, and consequently X is S -closed. \square

THEOREM 3. *Each S -closed, first countable, regular space is finite.*

PROOF. Let X be an S -closed, first countable, regular space. Suppose, if possible, that X is infinite. Since X is compact, it is not discrete. Thus X has an accumulation point x . Let $\{U_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a local base at x such that $U_1 = X$, U_n is open in X and $\overline{U_{n+1}} \subset U_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\{N_k | k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a family of pairwise disjoint infinite subsets of \mathbb{N} (\mathbb{N} = the set of positive integers) such that $\bigcup \{N_k | k \in \mathbb{N}\} = \mathbb{N}$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we set $V_k = \{x\} \cup \bigcup \{\overline{U_n} - \overline{U_{n+1}} | n \in N_k\}$. Then $\{V_k | k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a semiopen cover of X . If $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\bigcup \{\overline{V_k} | k \leq n\} \neq X$. Thus X is not S -closed and this is a contradiction. \square

COROLLARY. *Each S -closed metrizable space is finite.*

THEOREM 4. *No regular space containing a P -point is S -closed.*

PROOF. The proof is omitted, because it is similar to the proof of Theorem

3, but the index set of cardinality \aleph_1 is decomposed into \aleph_1 sets each of cardinality \aleph_1 . \square

COROLLARY. $\beta N - N$ is not S -closed.

PROOF. Assuming the continuum hypothesis, there are P -points in $\beta N - N$ (W. Rudin). \square

Since every compact, countable, regular space is metrizable, we have from the corollary to Theorem 3 the following.

COROLLARY. Each infinite, S -closed, regular space is uncountable.

LEMMA. If Y is a regularly closed subset in an S -closed space X , then Y is S -closed.

PROOF. The proof is easy and is thus omitted.

THEOREM 5. Each extremally disconnected, compact space is S -closed.

PROOF. If X is extremally disconnected, then the closure of an open set is an open set. The interior of a semiopen set is dense in it. We consider $\{U_t^0 | t \in T\}$ instead of given semiopen cover.

COROLLARY. βN is S -closed.

THEOREM 6. If X is a S -closed regular space, then X is extremally disconnected.

PROOF. Suppose that X is not extremally disconnected. Then there exists a regular open set $O \subset X$ such that $\bar{O} - O$ and $X - \bar{O}$ are nonempty. Let $x \in \bar{O} - O$. Then for every neighborhood V of x , $V \cap O \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, $F = \{(V \cap O)\}$ forms a filterbase in \bar{O} . Since \bar{O} is S -closed, F s -accumulates to some point x_0 in \bar{O} . Quite obviously, the filterbase F also converges to x in the usual sense. We claim that $x_0 \notin \bar{O} - O$; for if it were, then $x_0 \in X - O$ and every member of F would have to intersect $X - O$, an impossibility. Thus, $x_0 \in O$. There now exists an open set U such that $x_0 \in U \subset \bar{U} \subset O$ and $x \in X - \bar{U}$. But since F converges to x , there must exist a neighborhood of x , say V , such that $(V \cap O) \subset X - \bar{U}$. This then would imply that $(V \cap O) \cap \bar{U} = \emptyset$, contradicting the fact that F s -accumulates to x_0 . Therefore, our assumption that X is not extremally disconnected is false, and the theorem follows.

THEOREM 7. If X is a Hausdorff S -closed space, then X is extremally disconnected.

PROOF. Although the proof is not identical to that of Theorem 6, it is quite similar and is thus omitted.

COROLLARY. Let X be a regular compact space. Then X is S -closed if and only if X is extremally disconnected.

COROLLARY. *The one-point compactifications of discrete spaces are not S -closed spaces.*

COROLLARY. *Each S -closed, scattered, regular space is finite.*

It is well known for a completely regular space X that X is extremally disconnected if and only if βX is extremally disconnected [3, p. 96]. Therefore, we have the following corollaries:

COROLLARY. *For a completely regular space X the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *X is extremally disconnected.*
- (ii) *βX is extremally disconnected.*
- (iii) *βX is S -closed.*

COROLLARY. *For a compact Hausdorff space, the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *X is extremally disconnected.*
- (ii) *X is projective.*
- (iii) *X is S -closed.*

PROOF. The equivalence of (i) and (ii) are well known.

This last useful corollary allows us to see immediately that βN is a S -closed space, whereas βQ and βR are not. Additionally, we see that $\beta Q - Q$ is not S -closed since it is not compact, and $\beta R - R$ is not S -closed because it is not extremally disconnected.

I would like to thank Professor Rastislav Telgarsky for his invaluable suggestions in preparing this manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. S. Gene Crossley and S. K. Hildebrand, *Semi-closed sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces*, Texas J. Sci. **22** (1971), 123–126.
2. ———, *Semi-topological properties*, Fund. Math. **74** (1972), no. 3, 233–254. MR **46** #846.
3. Leonard Gillman and Meyer Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1960. MR **22** #6994.
4. Norman Levine, *Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces*, Amer. Math. Monthly **70** (1963), 36–41. MR **29** #4025.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ARKANSAS, FAYETTEVILLE, ARKANSAS 72701