SOME REMARKS ABOUT SYMMETRIC FUNCTIONS

EDGAR H. BROWN, JR. AND FRANKLIN P. PETERSON[1]

ABSTRACT. A formula is proven which determines whether or not a symmetric function is decomposable. Some applications to topology are mentioned.

1. **Statement of results.** Let $Z[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$ be the polynomial ring in n variables over the integers. Let $\omega = (i_1, \ldots, i_k)$ be a partition of n. Let s_ω be the smallest symmetric function containing the term $t_1^{i_1} \ldots t_k^{i_k}$. It is classical that s_ω is a polynomial in the elementary symmetric functions $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n$; i.e. $s_\omega = S_\omega(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n)$. Corresponding to ω, we define a sequence $R(\omega) = (r_1, r_2, \ldots)$, by $r_j = $ the number of j's in ω. Let $r = \sum_j r_j$. Note that $n = \sum_j jr_j$. For various applications in topology, we wish to know whether or not S_ω is decomposable, i.e. whether or not the coefficient of σ_n in S_ω is zero (or $\equiv 0 \bmod p$). Our first theorem solves this problem.

THEOREM 1.1.

$$\frac{\partial S_\omega}{\partial \sigma_n} = \frac{(-1)^{n-r}(r-1)!n}{r_1!r_2! \ldots}.$$

After we proved this result, it was pointed out to us that it is stated without proof by Atiyah and Todd [1]. Our proof is elementary and we believe that the method may be of interest in its own right.

Let A be the matrix whose entry

$$A_{ij} = \frac{\partial \sigma_i}{\partial t_j} = \sum_{k_s \neq j} t_{k_1} t_{k_2} \ldots t_{k_{i-1}}.$$

Let $C(i|j)$ be the matrix obtained from A be removing the ith row and the jth column.

THEOREM 1.2.

$$\det A = \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}_n} (\operatorname{sgn} \tau) t_{\tau(1)}^{n-1} t_{\tau(2)}^{n-2} \ldots t_{\tau(n-1)} = \prod_{i<j}(t_i - t_j).$$

THEOREM 1.3.

$$\det C(n|k) = \prod_{\substack{i<j \\ i,j \neq k}}(t_i - t_j) = \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{S}_{n-1}} (\operatorname{sgn} \tau) t_{\tau(1)}^{n-2} t_{\tau(2)}^{n-3} \ldots t_{\tau(n-2)},$$

$\mathcal{S}_{n-1}$ *being permutations of* $t_1, \ldots, \hat{t}_k, \ldots, t_n$.

THEOREM 1.4.

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{n+k} \frac{\partial s_\omega}{\partial t_k} \det C(n|k) = \frac{\partial S_\omega}{\partial \sigma_n} \det A.$$

In §2 we prove Theorems 1.2, 1.3, and 1.4 and then use these theorems in §3 to prove Theorem 1.1. In §4, we mention a few topological applications.

2. **Determinants.** The following lemma is an elementary fact about polynomials.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $P \in Z[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree $(n-1)n/2$. Assume $P(t_1, \dots, t_i, \dots, t_{j-1}, t_i, t_{j+1}, \dots, t_n) = 0$ for all i, j. Then P is a scalar multiple of $\prod_{i<j}(t_i - t_j)$.*

Theorem 1.2 follows from this lemma if we show that both $\det A$ and $\sum_{\tau \in S_n} (\text{sgn } \tau) t_{\tau(1)}^{n-1} t_{\tau(2)}^{n-2} \dots t_{\tau(n-1)}$ satisfy the hypotheses of 2.1 and that both contain the term $t_1^{n-1} \dots t_{n-1}$.

LEMMA 2.2. $\det A$ *satisfies the hypotheses of 2.1 and contains the term $t_1^{n-1} \dots t_{n-1}$.*

PROOF. If $t_j = t_i$, then two columns of A are the same and hence $\det A = 0$. The degree is correct and the product down the diagonal is the only one to contain $t_1^{n-1} \dots t_{n-1}$ and it has coefficient $+1$.

LEMMA 2.3. $\sum_{\tau \in S_n} (\text{sgn } \tau) t_{\tau(1)}^{n-1} \dots t_{\tau(n-1)}$ *satisfies the hypotheses of 2.1 and contains the term $t_1^{n-1} \dots t_{n-1}$.*

PROOF. This polynomial has the correct degree and contains the term stated when τ is the identity. Let $\tau' \in S_n$ be the permutation which interchanges i and j. $\text{sgn } \tau' = -1$; hence the terms for τ and $\tau'\tau$ are the same but with opposite signs and thus they cancel. This proves the lemma.

Theorem 1.3 is proved in an analogous way to Theorem 1.2 with modified forms of Lemmas 2.1, 2.2, and 2.3. We leave the details to the reader.

We now prove Theorem 1.4. Recall that the classical adjoint of the matrix A is defined by

$$(\text{adj } A)_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} \det C(j|i),$$

and that $A(\text{adj } A) = (\text{adj } A)A = (\det A)I$.

$$\frac{\partial s_\omega}{\partial t_k} = \sum_i \frac{\partial S_\omega}{\partial \sigma_i} \cdot \frac{\partial \sigma_i}{\partial t_k}$$

by the chain rule. Multiply by $(\text{adj } A)_{kn}$ and sum on k.

$$\sum_k \frac{\partial s_\omega}{\partial t_k} (-1)^{n+k} \det C(n|k) = \sum_{i,k} \frac{\partial S_\omega}{\partial \sigma_i} A_{ik}(\text{adj } A)_{kn} = \frac{\partial S_\omega}{\partial \sigma_n} \det A.$$

3. **Proof of 1.1.** In order to prove 1.1, we consider the left-hand side of Theorem 1.4 and count how many times the term $t_1^{n-1} \dots t_{n-1}$ appears. Since it appears only once in $\det A$, this number must be $\partial S_\omega / \partial \sigma_n$. Consider the term $(\partial s_\omega / \partial t_k) t_{i_1}^{n-2} t_{i_2}^{n-3} \dots t_{i_{n-2}}$, where $k \neq i_j$. For each s, the term $t_{j_1}^s t_{j_2}^s \dots t_{j_{r_s}}^s$ in s_ω must have $j_1 < \dots < j_{r_s}$. Hence only $k = j_{r_s}$ can give rise to terms

$t_1^{n-1} \ldots t_{n-1} \cdot t_{j_{r_s}}^{s-1}$ appears, hence $j_{r_s} = n - s + 1$, $i_{n-2} = n - 1$, $i_{n-3} = n - 2, \ldots, j_{r_s}$ is the only term which is fixed. The other $(r - 1)$ variables are shuffled, $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_{s-1}, (r_s - 1), r_{s+1}, \ldots$ at a time. Hence for each s, the number of times the term $t_1^{n-1} \ldots t_{n-1}$ appears is

$$\frac{(r-1)! s}{r_1! \ldots (r_{s-1})! (r_s - 1)! (r_{s+1})! \ldots}$$

with signs yet to be determined. The sign of $\tau \in \mathbb{S}_{n-1}$ corresponding to the shuffle is $(-1)^{n-s-(r-1)}$. There is also $(-1)^{n+k} = (-1)^{n+n-s+1}$ from the left-hand side of 1.4. Hence, the total sign is $(-1)^{3n-2s-r+2} = (-1)^{n-r}$. Thus, the total number of terms is

$$(-1)^{n-r} \sum_s \frac{(r-1)! s}{r_1! \ldots (r_s - 1)! \ldots} = (-1)^{n-r} \sum_s \frac{(r-1)! s r_s}{r_1! \ldots}$$

$$= (-1)^{n-r} \frac{(r-1)! n}{r_1! \ldots} .$$

This proves 1.1.

4. **Some applications.** Our original interest in this problem (before we were aware of the result in [1]) came from the following immediate corollary of 1.1. We needed this result in our first proof of the main results of [3], and it will be used in [2].

COROLLARY 4.1. *In* $Z[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$, $\partial S_{(i, i, \ldots, i)}/\partial \sigma_{mi} = (-1)^{(i-1)m} i$.

PROOF. If there are m i's, then

$$(-1)^{im-m} (m-1)! \, im/m! = (-1)^{(i-1)m} i.$$

This is useful in topology because $H^*(BO(n); Z_2)$ is isomorphic to the symmetric functions in $Z_2[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$. Similarly, $H^*(BU(n); Z_p)$ is isomorphic to the symmetric functions in $Z_p[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$. We also have the following corollary (see [4]).

COROLLARY 4.2. *Let* $c_n \in H^{2n}(BU; Z_p)$ *be the nth Chern class. Then*

$$\mathcal{P}^k(c_n) = \binom{n-1}{k} c_{n+k(p-1)} + decomposables.$$

PROOF. $c_n = \sigma_n \in Z_p[t_1, \ldots, t_{n+k(p+1)}]$, $\mathcal{P}^k(c_n) = \sum t_1^p \ldots t_k^p t_{k+1} \ldots t_n$. Hence,

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{P}^k(c_n)}{\partial \sigma_{n+k(p-1)}} = (-1)^{n+k(p-1)-n} \frac{(n+k(p-1)) \cdot (n-1)!}{k! (n-k)!}$$

$$= \binom{n}{k} + (p-1)\binom{n-1}{k-1} = \binom{n-1}{k} + p\binom{n-1}{k-1} \equiv \binom{n-1}{k}.$$

REFERENCES

1. M. F. Atiyah and J. A. Todd, *On complex Stiefel manifolds*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **56** (1960), 342–353. MR **24** #A2392.

2. E. H. Brown, Jr., D. Davis and F. P. Peterson (to appear).

3. E. H. Brown, Jr. and F. P. Peterson, *H*(MO) as an algebra over the Steenrod algebra* (Reunion Sobre Teoria de Homotopia, Univ. de Northwestern, 1974), Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana (to appear).

4. S. Mukohda and S. Sawaki, *On the $b_p^{k,j}$ coefficient of a certain symmetric function*, J. Fac. Sci. Niigata Univ. Ser. I, **1** (1954), no. 2, 6 pp. MR **19**, 937.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BRANDEIS UNIVERSITY, WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS 02154

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02139