ALMOST-COMPLEX SUBSTRUCTURES ON THE SPHERE

I. DIBAG

ABSTRACT. The paper solves completely the existence problem of almost-complex substructures on spheres.

1. Introduction. An almost-complex s -substructure on an orientable n -manifold M is defined to be a $2s$ -dimensional sub-bundle of the tangent-bundle $T(M)$ that admits an almost-complex structure, i.e. a (continuous) structure map J , $J^2 = -1$. Assuming a metric on $T(M)$, we call an almost-complex substructure J normalized iff J is also orthogonal on the sub-bundle of its definition. If J is an almost-complex s -substructure, then the orthogonal-component in its polar-decomposition (into an orthogonal and a positive-definite symmetric transformation) defines a normalized almost-complex s -substructure. Analogously, if w is a 2-form on M of constant rank $2s$, the union of the $2s$ -planes on which w is of maximal rank forms a sub-bundle S_w of $T(M)$, and the orthogonal-component of w (regarded as a nonsingular, skew-adjoint transformation on S_w) defines a normalized almost-complex s -substructure (e.g. refer to [3]). We thus have: Existence of an almost-complex s -substructure $\Leftrightarrow$ Existence of a normalized almost-complex s -substructure $\Leftrightarrow$ Existence of a 2-form on M of constant rank $2s$.

The purpose of this paper is to solve completely the existence problem of almost-complex substructures on spheres. In particular, we shall prove the following Theorem.

Let c_k = dimension of the irreducible (ungraded) representation module for the Clifford-Algebra C_k , i.e. $c_k = 2^{e_k}$ where e_k = number of integers t , $0 < t \leq k$, $t \equiv 0, 1, 2, 4 \pmod{8}$.

Let M_k be the Atiyah-Todd number defined in [2], i.e. if for an integer n and a prime p , $v_p(n)$ denotes the exponent of p in the prime-factorization of n , then

$$v_p(M_k) = \begin{cases} \sup(r + v_p(r)), & 1 \leq r \leq [(k-1)/(p-1)] & \text{if } p \leq k, \\ 0 & \text{if } p > k. \end{cases}$$

Let L_k be the 2-component of M_k , i.e.

$$L_k = 2^{v_2(M_k)} \quad \text{where } v_2(M_k) = \sup(r + v_2(r)), 1 \leq r \leq k-1.$$

Received by the editors July 21, 1975 and, in revised form, March 22, 1976.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 53C15, 55G40; Secondary 53C65.

Key words and phrases. Almost-complex, contact, symplectic, almost product structures, etc., sectioning fiber spaces and bundles, integral geometry, differential forms and currents.

© American Mathematical Society 1977

THEOREM. I. *The only almost-complex substructures on even-spheres are the almost-complex structures on S^2 and S^6 .*

II. (i) *For $s < (2n - 1)/4$; S^{2n-1} admits an almost-complex s -substructure iff $c_{2s}/2n$. (ii) *For $s > (2n - 1)/4$; S^{2n-1} admits an almost-complex s -substructure iff L_{n-s}/n .**

I, II(i) and II(ii) for n odd and $n = 2, 4$ are either known, or else, easy consequences of known material and will be quickly dealt with in §2. The main task of the paper will be to prove II(ii) for n even, $n > 4$.

§3 will reduce the problem to one which can be effectively tackled; and §4 will further reduce it to the order of the obstruction $w_{n,n-s}$ of the complex Stiefel-Fiberings,

$$W_{n,n-s} \xrightarrow{w_{n-1,n-s-1}} S^{2n-1}.$$

The Theorem will then follow from the case $p = 2$ of Theorem I of [4].

2. Elimination of certain special cases. The main tools for this section are the following:

LEMMA 2.1. *If $2k \leq n$, then S^n admits a field of k -planes iff it admits a field of k -frames.*

PROOF. Refer to [5, p. 144, Theorem 27.16].

COROLLARY. *Let S^n admit an s -substructure.*

(i) *If $s \leq n/4$, it admits a field of $2s$ -frames.* (ii) *If $s > n/4$, it admits a field of $(n - 2s)$ -frames.*

LEMMA 2.2. *S^{2n-1} admits an $(n - 1)$ -substructure.*

PROOF. The canonical complex-structure i on R^{2n} defines a 1-frame, $x \mapsto ix$, $x \in S^{2n-1}$, on S^{2n-1} whose orthogonal-complement in $T(S^{2n-1})$ is preserved under i and thus defines an $(n - 1)$ -substructure on S^{2n-1} .

We now proceed to prove the easy part of the Theorem.

PROOF OF I. It is well known that S^2 and S^6 are the only even dimensional spheres that admit almost-complex structures. If S^{2n} admits a proper substructure (i.e. $s < n$), then by the Corollary to Lemma 2.1 it admits at least a 1-frame, which is impossible.

PROOF OF II(i). It is a consequence of the Corollary to Lemma 2.1 and the result of Adams (e.g. refer to [1]) that S^{2n-1} admits a field of $2s$ -frames iff $c_{2s}/2n$.

PROOF OF II(ii) FOR n ODD. Suppose S^{2n-1} admits an s -substructure for n odd and $s > (2n - 1)/4$. By the Corollary to Lemma 2.1, it admits a field of $(2n - 2s - 1)$ -frames; and $2n - 2s - 1 \leq 1$, i.e. $s \geq n - 1$ by [1]. Applying Lemma 2.2 yields $s = n - 1$. Since $L_1 = 1$, $L_2 = 2$, this is, precisely, what the Theorem states.

PROOF OF II(ii) FOR $n = 2, 4$. Obvious, since S^{2n-1} is parallelisable.

3. Reduction of the problem.

3.1. *Homotopy exact sequence of $SO(2n-1) \rightarrow SO(2n) \rightarrow^p S^{2n-1}$.* Consider the exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \pi_{2n-1}SO(2n) & \xrightarrow{p\#} & \pi_{2n-1}S^{2n-1} & \rightarrow & \pi_{2n-2}SO(2n-1) & \rightarrow & \pi_{2n-2}SO(2n) \\ & & \parallel & & & & \parallel \\ & & Z & & & & \pi_{2n-2}SO \\ & & & & & & \parallel \\ & \text{gen. } \iota_{2n-1} & & & & & 0 \text{ } n \text{ even} \end{array}$$

By Theorem 23.4 of [5], $2\iota_{2n-1} \in \text{Im } p\#$. Hence $\pi_{2n-2}SO(2n-1) = 0$ or Z_2 generated by $\partial(\iota_{2n-1})$. $\pi_{2n-1}SO(2n-1) = 0$ iff p admits a cross-section iff S^{2n-1} is parallelisable. Thus, for n even, $n > 4$,

$$\pi_{2n-2}SO(2n-1) = Z_2.$$

As remarked in the introduction, S^{2n-1} admits an s -substructure iff it admits a normalized s -substructure. A normalized s -substructure on S^{2n-1} is, simply, a reduction of the structure-group of $T(S^{2n-1})$ from $SO(2n-1)$ to $U(s) \times SO(2n-2s-1)$; and is, thus, a cross-section to the fibration:

$$(i) \quad SO(2n)/U(s) \times SO(2n-2s-1) \xrightarrow{SO(2n-1)/U(s) \times SO(2n-2s-1)} S^{2n-1}.$$

Define $\Gamma_{m,s} = SO(m)/U(s)$ for $2s \leq m$. We have a fibration:

$$(ii) \quad \Gamma_{2n,s} = SO(2n)/U(s) \xrightarrow{\Gamma_{2n-1,s} = SO(2n-1)/U(s)} S^{2n-1}.$$

Let $V_{n,k} = SO(n)/SO(n-k)$ be the Stiefel-Manifold of orthonormal k -frames in R^n . We have a third fibration:

$$(iii) \quad V_{2n,2s+1} \xrightarrow{V_{2n-1,2s}} S^{2n-1}.$$

The following proposition will reduce the problem to one which can be effectively tackled.

PROPOSITION 3.2. *For n even, $n > 4$, $s > (2n-1)/4$, there exists an s -substructure on S^{2n-1} iff the fibration (ii) admits a cross-section.*

PROOF. Let

$$j: U(s) \subset SO(2n-1) \quad \text{and} \quad k: SO(2n-2s-1) \subset SO(2n-1)$$

be the inclusions. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{2n-2}U(s) \oplus \pi_{2n-2}SO(2n-2s-1) & \xrightarrow{(j\#, k\#)} \pi_{2n-2}SO(2n-1) \\ & \xrightarrow{\gamma\#} \pi_{2n-2}SO(2n-1)/U(s) \times SO(2n-2s-1), \\ \pi_{2n-2}U(s) & \xrightarrow{j\#} \pi_{2n-2}SO(2n-1) \xrightarrow{\beta\#} \pi_{2n-2}\Gamma_{2n-1,s}, \\ \pi_{2n-2}SO(2n-2s-1) & \xrightarrow{k\#} \pi_{2n-2}SO(2n-1) \xrightarrow{v\#} \pi_{2n-2}V_{2n-1,2s} \end{aligned}$$

be the exact homotopy sequences of the projections:

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma: SO(2n-1) &\rightarrow SO(2n-1)/U(s) \times SO(2n-2s-1), \\ \beta: SO(2n-1) &\rightarrow \Gamma_{2n-1,s} \quad \text{and} \quad v: SO(2n-1) \rightarrow V_{2n-1,2s}.\end{aligned}$$

Let $a_n \in \pi_{2n-2}SO(2n-1) = Z_2$ be the generator and also the obstruction to cross-sectioning

$$p: SO(2n) \xrightarrow{SO(2n-1)} S^{2n-1}.$$

Then the obstructions to cross-sectioning (i), (ii), and (iii) are $\gamma_{\#}(a_n)$, $\beta_{\#}(a_n)$ and $v_{\#}(a_n)$, respectively. The work of Adams [1] shows that S^{2n-1} does not admit a field of $2s$ -frames for $n > 4$ and $s > (2n-1)/4$. Thus, (iii) cannot be sectioned and hence $v_{\#}(a_n) \neq 0$. Since $\pi_{2n-2}SO(2n-1) = Z_2$, $v_{\#}$ is a monomorphism. Thus, $k_{\#} = 0$ by exactness. Hence (i) admits a cross-section iff $\gamma_{\#}(a_n) = 0$, iff $a_n \in \text{Image}(j_{\#}, k_{\#})$, iff $a_n \in \text{Image } j_{\#}$, iff $\beta_{\#}(a_n) = 0$, iff (ii) admits a cross-section. Q.E.D.

Cross-sections to (ii) are normalized s -substructures on S^{2n-1} whose subbundles of definition have “trivial” orthogonal-complements in $T(S^{2n-1})$. Such s -substructures will be called complement-split. We now slightly generalize Proposition 3.2.

PROPOSITION 3.3. *For $s > (2n-1)/4$, S^{2n-1} admits an s -substructure iff it admits a complement-split s -substructure.*

PROOF. For n odd, the only possible $s > (2n-1)/4$ such that S^{2n-1} admits an s -substructure is $s = n-1$; and a complement-split $(n-1)$ -substructure is constructed on S^{2n-1} in Lemma 2.2. The proof for n even, $n > 4$ is furnished by Proposition 3.2, and is obvious for $n = 2, 4$. Q.E.D.

4. The boundary-operator. Since $U(n) \cdot SO(2n-1) = SO(2n)$ and $U(n) \cap SO(2n-1) = U(n-1)$,

$$\Gamma_n = SO(2n)/U(n) = SO(2n-1)/U(n-1).$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}u: SO(2n-1) &\xrightarrow{U(n-1)} \Gamma_n \rightarrow \pi_{2n-1}SO(2n-1) \xrightarrow{u_{\#}} \pi_{2n-1}\Gamma_n \\ &\xrightarrow{\partial_u} \pi_{2n-2}U(n-1) \rightarrow \dots\end{aligned}$$

be the exact homotopy sequence of u . We have two more fibrations:

$$(iv) \quad W_{n,n-s} = U(n)/U(s) \xrightarrow{W_{n-1,n-s-1} = U(n-1)/U(s)} S^{2n-1}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}(v) \quad \Gamma_{2n-1,s} &= SO(2n-1)/U(s) \xrightarrow{W_{n-1,n-s-1} = U(n-1)/U(s)} \Gamma_n \\ &= SO(2n-1)/U(n-1).\end{aligned}$$

Let $w_{n,n-s} \in \pi_{2n-2}W_{n-1,n-s-1}$ denote the obstruction to cross-sectioning fibration (iv). The purpose of this section is to determine the image of ∂_u , and hence further reduce the problem to an equivalent statement about the order of $w_{n,n-s}$.

Let H, K be closed subgroups of a compact topological group G with $HK = KH$, so that HK is a compact subgroup of G . Let

$$p^1: HK/H \cap K \xrightarrow{K/H \cap K} HK/K = H/H \cap K,$$

$$p^2: HK/H \cap K \xrightarrow{H/H \cap K} HK/H = KH/H = K/H \cap K$$

be the projections. Let $\partial, \partial^H, \partial^K$ be the boundary-operators of the fibrations:
 $t: HK \xrightarrow{H \cap K} HK/H \cap K, \quad t^1: H \xrightarrow{H \cap K} H/H \cap K, \quad t^2: K \xrightarrow{H \cap K} K/H \cap K$

respectively. We state the following

PROPOSITION 4.1. (i) $\pi_q HK/H \cap K = \pi_q H/H \cap K \oplus \pi_q K/H \cap K$ where $p^1_\#$ and $p^2_\#$ define the projections onto the factors. (ii) Relative to this decomposition, ∂ decomposes as a sum of operators:

$$\partial = \partial^H \oplus \partial^K.$$

PROOF. Elementary exercise.

Let $q^1: HK \rightarrow^K H/H \cap K, q^2: HK \rightarrow^H K/H \cap K$ denote the projections, i.e. $q^1 = p^1 t$ and $q^2 = p^2 t$.

COROLLARY 4.1. The diagram below is ANTI-commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_q HK & \xrightarrow{q^1_\#} & \pi_q H/H \cap K \\ \downarrow q^2_\# & & \downarrow \partial^H \\ \pi_q K/H \cap K & \xrightarrow{\partial^K} & \pi_{q-1} H \cap K \end{array}$$

PROOF. Let $x \in \pi_q HK$. By Proposition 4.1 $0 = \partial t_\#(x) = \partial^H p^1_\# t_\#(x) + \partial^K p^2_\# t_\#(x) = \partial^H q^1_\#(x) + \partial^K q^2_\#(x)$ i.e. $\partial^H q^1_\# = -\partial^K q^2_\#$. Q.E.D.

PROPOSITION 4.2. Let $u: SO(2n-1) \rightarrow^{U(n-1)} \Gamma_n$ and $\partial_u: \pi_{2n-1} \Gamma_n \rightarrow \pi_{2n-2} U(n-1)$ be the boundary-homomorphism in the homotopy exact sequence of u . Then Image ∂_u is the subgroup generated by $2w_{n,n}$, where $w_{n,n}$ is the obstruction to a cross-section of $U(n) \rightarrow^{U(n-1)} S^{2n-1}$.

PROOF. Consider the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \pi_{2n-1} SO(2n) & \xrightarrow{r_\#} & \pi_{2n-1} \Gamma_n & \xrightarrow{\partial_r} & \pi_{2n-2} U(n) = 0 \\ \downarrow p_\# & & \downarrow \partial_u & & \\ \pi_{2n-1} S^{2n-1} & \xrightarrow{\partial_q} & \pi_{2n-2} U(n-1) & & \end{array}$$

The row is part of the homotopy exact sequence of $r: SO(2n) \rightarrow^{U(n)} \Gamma_n$. The square is anticommutative, and is obtained from Corollary 4.1 by taking

$$H = SO(2n-1), \quad K = U(n),$$

$$G = HK = KH = SO(2n), \quad H \cap K = U(n-1).$$

By exactness, $r_\#$ is an epimorphism. Hence

$$\text{Im } \partial_u = \text{Im}(\partial_u r_\#) = \text{Im}(\partial_q p_\#);$$

now use 3.1 and the fact that $w_{n,n} = \partial_q \iota_{2n-1}$. Q.E.D.

PROPOSITION 4.3. *For n even, $n > 4$, the fibration (ii) admits a cross-section iff $w_{n,n-s}$ is of odd order.*

PROOF. Consider the following commutative diagram, where the bottom row is part of the homotopy exact sequence of the fibration (v).

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \pi_{2n-1} S^{2n-1} & \xrightarrow{\partial_q} & \pi_{2n-2} U(n-1) & & \\
 \downarrow \partial_2 & \searrow \partial_4 & \downarrow b_{\#} & \swarrow \partial_u & \\
 \pi_{2n-2} \Gamma_{2n-1,s} & \xrightarrow{j_{\#}} & \pi_{2n-2} W_{n-1,n-s-1} & \xrightarrow{\partial_5} & \pi_{2n-1} \Gamma_n
 \end{array}$$

(ii) admits a cross-section iff $\partial_2 = 0$ iff $j_{\#} \partial_4 = 0$ iff $w_{n,n-s} \in \text{Im } \partial_5$ and $\text{Im } \partial_5 = b_{\#}(\text{Im } \partial_u)$. By Proposition 4.2 above and the fact that $b_{\#}(w_{n,n}) = w_{n,n-s}$, $b_{\#}(\text{Im } \partial_u)$ is the subgroup generated by $2w_{n,n-s}$. Hence $w_{n,n-s} \in \text{Im } \partial_5$ iff it is of odd order. Q.E.D.

Finally, let p be a prime. Theorem I of [4] states that the order of the obstruction $w_{n,k}$ is prime to p iff n is divisible by the p -primary-component of M_k .

Thus, the proof of II(ii) for n even, $n > 4$, of the Main Theorem follows from Propositions 3.2 and 4.3 and the case $p = 2$ of Theorem I of [4].

The proof of the Main Theorem for $s > (2n-1)/4$ is "existential", and, unfortunately, does not give explicit constructions, except for the $(n-1)$ -substructure on S^{2n-1} defined in Lemma 2.2, using the complex-structure on R^{2n} . Analogously, for n even, one can construct an $(n-2)$ -substructure on S^{2n-1} using the quaternionic structure on R^{2n} ; and these are the only constructions known to the author. It is hoped that one will explicitly construct s -substructures on S^{2n-1} for $s > (2n-1)/4$ whenever possible, i.e. when L_{n-s}/n ; and this will throw more light onto the problem.

REFERENCES

1. J. F. Adams, *Vector fields on spheres*, Ann. of Math. (2) **75** (1962), 603–632. MR **25** #2614.
2. M. F. Atiyah and J. A. Todd, *On complex Stiefel manifolds*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **56** (1960), 342–353. MR **24** #A2392.
3. I. Dibag, *Decomposition in the large of two-forms of constant-rank*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **24** (3) (1974), 317–335.
4. F. Sigrist, *Sur les nombres de James des variétés de Stiefel complexes*, Illinois J. Math. **13** (1969), 198–201. MR **40** #2078.
5. N. E. Steenrod, *The topology of fibre bundles*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1951. MR **12**, 522.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MIDDLE-EAST TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, ANKARA, TURKEY