# THE SOLUTION OF $y^{2} \pm 2^{n}=x^{3}$ 

## STANLEY RABINOWITZ ${ }^{1}$

## Abstract. All solutions to the diophantine equation

(*)

$$
y^{2}+\gamma 2^{n}=x^{3} ; \quad \gamma= \pm 1
$$

are found.
The solution of (*), with $n=\gamma=1$, is due to Euler [4], [6, p. 103]. His was the first solution of a diophantine equation of the form $y^{2}-k=x^{3}$, where the given value of $k$ is neither the square nor the cube of an integer. Table I is from [5].

Table I. The solution of (*) in some special cases

|  | $\gamma=1$ |  | $\gamma=-1$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $n$ | $\langle x\| y,\rangle$ | $n$ | $\langle x\| y,\rangle$ |
| 0 | $\langle 1,0\rangle$ | 0 | $\langle-1,0\rangle,\langle 0,1\rangle,\langle 2,3\rangle$ |
| 1 | $\langle 3,5\rangle$ | 1 | $\langle-1,1\rangle$ |
| 2 | $\langle 2,2\rangle,\langle 5,11\rangle$ | 2 | $\langle 0,2\rangle$ |
| 3 | $\langle 2,0\rangle$ | 3 | $\langle-2,0\rangle,\langle 1,3\rangle,\langle 2,4\rangle,\langle 46,312\rangle$ |
| 4 | no solutions | 4 | $\langle 0,4\rangle$ |

Definitions: Let $\theta=2^{1 / 3} ; \theta$ real. Then by [6, p. 105], $\Omega=\{a+b \theta+$ $\left.c \theta^{2} \mid a, b, c \in Z\right\}$ is the ring of integers of $Q(\theta)$. The class number of $\Omega$ is $1[1$, p. 427] and therefore $\Omega$ is a unique factorization domain (U.F.D.).
$\Lambda$ will be either $Z$ or $\Omega$. Hence $\Lambda$ is real.
All Latin letters (except $Z$ and $Q$ ) will represent elements of $Z$ and all lower case Greek letters elements of $\Lambda$.

The units of $\Lambda$ are $\pm \varepsilon^{r}(r \in Z) ; \varepsilon=1$ for $\Lambda=Z$ and $\varepsilon=-1+\theta$ for $\Lambda=\Omega$ [6, p. 112], [3, p. 304]. Note that $\varepsilon>0$. Let $\gamma= \pm 1$.
$\left.\alpha\right|_{\Lambda} \beta$ and $(\alpha, \beta)_{\Lambda}$ are read (respectively) as " $\alpha$ divides $\beta$ in $\Lambda$ " and "the greatest common divisor of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in $\Lambda^{\prime \prime}$.
Lemma 1. If $\alpha \neq 0$ or $\beta \neq 0$, then $\alpha^{2}+\alpha \beta+\beta^{2}>0$.
Proof. $4\left(\alpha^{2}+\alpha \beta+\beta^{2}\right)=(2 \alpha+\beta)^{2}+3 \beta^{2}$.
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Lemma 2. $\left.\left(\alpha+\beta, \alpha^{2}-\alpha \beta+\beta^{2}\right)_{\Lambda}\right|_{\Lambda} 3 \beta^{2}$.
Proof. $(2 \beta-\alpha)(\alpha+\beta)+\left(\alpha^{2}-\alpha \beta+\beta^{2}\right)=3 \beta^{2}$.
Lemma 3. If $\varphi^{2}=\alpha \beta,(\alpha, \beta)_{\Lambda}=1$ and $\alpha>0$, then $\alpha=\mu \xi^{2} ; \mu=1$ or $\varepsilon$.
Proof. Since $\Lambda$ is a U.F.D. and $\alpha>0, \alpha=\varepsilon^{r} \psi^{2}$. If $r=2 t$, let $\mu=1$, $\xi=\varepsilon^{\prime} \psi$. If $r=2 t+1$, let $\mu=\varepsilon, \xi=\varepsilon^{\prime} \psi$.

Lemma 4. If $a s^{2}+b s+c=0$, then $b^{2}-4 a c=d^{2}$.
Proof. Let $d=2 a s+b$.
Lemma 5. If $(x, 3)=1$, then $x^{3} \equiv \pm 1(\bmod 9)$.
Proof. $x= \pm 1+3 k$. Thus $x^{3}=( \pm 1+3 k)^{3} \equiv( \pm 1)^{3}= \pm 1(\bmod 9)$.
Lemma 6. If $(a, b)=1$, then $(a, b)_{\Omega}=1$.
Proof. There exist integers $e$ and $f$ such that $e a+f b=1$.
Lemma 7. If $2^{x} a+2^{y} b+2^{z} c=0$, where $(a b c, 2)=1$, and $0 \leqslant x \leqslant y \leqslant z$, then $x=y<z$.

Proof. $2^{y} \mid 2^{x} a$. Thus $x \geqslant y$.
If $y=z$, then $a+b+c=0$. But $a+b+c$ is odd.
Note that if (*) holds, then, since $2^{n}=\gamma\left(x^{3}-y^{2}\right), n \geqslant 0$.

## Proposition 1 .

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
y^{2}+2^{3 k}=x^{3} ; & x \text { odd } \Rightarrow\langle k, x,| y\rangle=\langle 0,1,0\rangle \\
y^{2}-2^{3 k}=x^{3} ; & x \text { odd } \Rightarrow\langle k, x,| y\rangle=\langle 0,-1,0\rangle,\langle 1,1,3\rangle \text { or }\langle 3,-7,13\rangle .
\end{array}
$$

Proof. Using Table I, we may assume that $k>1$. Now

$$
y^{2}=a b ; \quad a=x-\gamma 2^{k}, \quad b=x^{2}+\gamma 2^{k} x+2^{2 k}
$$

Hence $(a b, 2)=1$. By Lemma $1, b>0$. Therefore $a>0$. By Lemma 2, $(a, b) \mid 3 \cdot 2^{2 k}$. Thus $(a, b)=1$ or 3 .
. Suppose first that $(a, b)=3$. Then $3 \mid y$ and $(y / 3)^{2}=(a / 3)(b / 3)$. By Lemma 3, $a=3 u^{2}$ and $b=3 v^{2}$. Hence $v$ is odd. Eliminating $x$ from the latter two equations,

$$
3 u^{4}+\gamma 3 \cdot 2^{k} u^{2}+\left(2^{2 k}-v^{2}\right)=0
$$

Thus by Lemma $4,12 v^{2}-3 \cdot 2^{2 k}=d^{2}$. Therefore $d=2 D$ and since $k>1$, $3 v^{2}-D^{2}=3 \cdot 2^{2 k-2} \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$. But since $v$ is odd, $D$ is odd and, hence, $3 v^{2}-D^{2} \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$.

Thus $(a, b)=1$. Therefore $a=u^{2}$ and $b=v^{2}$, implying ( $\left.u v, 2\right)=1$. Eliminating $x$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{4}+3 \cdot 2^{k} \gamma u^{2}+\left(3 \cdot 2^{2 k}-v^{2}\right)=0 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma $4,4 v^{2}-3 \cdot 2^{2 k}=d^{2}$. Thus $d=2 D$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{2}-D^{2}=3 \cdot 2^{2 k-2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $k \geqslant 2$ and $v$ is odd, $D$ is odd. Also $3 \mid(v-D)(v+D)$. Let $V= \pm v$ where $3 \mid V-D$. By (2), $V-D=3 \cdot 2^{s} \delta$ and $V+D=2^{t} \delta ; s+t=2 k-2$ and $\delta= \pm 1$. Since $(D V, 2)=1, s \geqslant 1$ and $t \geqslant 1$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\delta\left(2^{t-1}-3 \cdot 2^{s-1}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus either $(t>1$ and $s=1)$ or ( $t=1$ and $s>1$ ). Solving (1) for $u^{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{2}=-\gamma 3 \cdot 2^{k-1} \pm D \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose first that $t>1$ and $s=1$. Hence $t=2 k-3$ and by (3), $D=$ $\delta\left(2^{2 k-4}-3\right)$. Thus $k>2$ and by (4),

$$
u^{2}=-\gamma 3 \cdot 2^{k-1} \pm\left(2^{2 k-4}-3\right) .
$$

If $k>3, u^{2} \equiv \pm 3(\bmod 8)$. Therefore $k=3$ and $u^{2}= \pm 12 \pm 1$, which is impossible.

Thus $t=1$ and $s>1$. Hence $s=2 k-3$ and by (3), $D=\delta\left(1-3 \cdot 2^{2 k-4}\right)$. Thus $k>2$ and by (4),

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{2}= \pm\left(1-3 \cdot 2^{2 k-4}\right)-\gamma 3 \cdot 2^{k-1} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first minus sign cannot hold modulo 3.
If $\gamma=1$, then $u^{2}<0$. Hence $\gamma=-1$. By (5), $u^{2}=3\left(2^{k-1}-2^{2 k-4}\right)+1$.
If $k>3$, then $2 k-4>k-1$ and thus $u^{2}<0$. Hence $k=3$ and $u^{2}=1$.
Since $a=u^{2}, x=u^{2}-2^{k}=-7$. Therefore $y^{2}=x^{3}+2^{3 k}=169$.
Proposition 2.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
y^{2}+2^{3 k+1}=x^{3} ; & x \text { odd } \Rightarrow\langle k, x,| y\rangle=\langle 0,3,5\rangle \\
y^{2}-2^{3 k+1}=x^{3} ; & x \text { odd } \Rightarrow\langle k, x,| y\rangle=\langle 0,-1,1\rangle \text { or }\langle 2,17,71\rangle .
\end{array}
$$

Proof. Suppose $3 \mid y$. Then $(x, 3)=1$ and by Lemma 5 ,

$$
0 \equiv y^{2}=x^{3} \pm 2 \cdot 8^{k} \equiv \pm 1 \pm 2(\bmod 9)
$$

This contradiction shows that $(y, 3)=1$. Obviously $y$ is odd and so by Lemma $6,(y, 6)_{\Omega}=1$. By Table I we may assume that $k>0$. Now

$$
y^{2}=\alpha \beta ; \quad \alpha=x-\gamma 2^{k} \theta, \quad \beta=x^{2}+\gamma 2^{k} \theta x+\left(2^{k} \theta\right)^{2}
$$

By Lemma $1, \beta>0$ and thus $\alpha>0$. By Lemma $2,\left.(\alpha, \beta)_{\Omega}\right|_{\Omega} 3\left(2^{k} \theta\right)^{2}$. But $(\alpha \beta, 6)_{\Omega}=1$. Hence $(\alpha, \beta)_{\Omega}=1$. By Lemma 3,

$$
\alpha=\mu\left(a+b \theta+c \theta^{2}\right)^{2} ; \quad \mu=1 \quad \text { or } \quad-1+\theta .
$$

We may assume that $c \geqslant 0$ since $\alpha=\mu\left(-a-b \theta-c \theta^{2}\right)^{2}$.
If $\mu=-1+\theta$, we obtain

$$
x=-a^{2}-4 b c+2 b^{2}+4 a c \quad(\Rightarrow a \text { is odd })
$$

and

$$
-\gamma 2^{k}=-2 c^{2}-2 a b+a^{2}+4 b c \quad(\Rightarrow a \text { is even, since } k>0)
$$

Hence $\mu=1$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=a^{2}+4 b c \quad(\Rightarrow a \text { is odd }) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\gamma 2^{k-1}=c^{2}+a b \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=b^{2}+2 a c \quad(\Rightarrow b \text { is even }) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $b=0$, then by (8), $c=0$. This contradicts (7). Thus $b=2^{s} B ; s \geqslant 1$ and $B$ is odd. From (8), $c=2^{2 s-1} C ; C$ odd. $C>0$, since $c \geqslant 0$. By (8) and (7),

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=B^{2}+a C \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\gamma 2^{k-1}=2^{4 s-2} C^{2}+2^{s} a B \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $p$ be a prime of $Z$. If $p \mid C$, then by (9), $p \mid B$ and by (10), $p \mid 2^{k-1}$. Therefore $C=1$. Hence $a=-B^{2}$ and $-\gamma 2^{k-1}=2^{4 s-2}-2^{s} B^{3}$. Since $s \geqslant 1,4 s-2>$ $s$. By Lemma 7, $k-1=s$. Hence $(-\gamma)^{3}+B^{3}=2\left(2^{s-1}\right)^{3}$. [2, pp. 70-72] gives $B=-\gamma=2^{s-1}$. Thus $\gamma=-1, B=1, s=1, k=2, a=-1, c=2$ and $b=2$. By (6), $x=17$ and therefore $|y|=71$.

Proposition 3.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y^{2}+2^{3 k+2}=x^{3} ; \quad x \text { odd } \Rightarrow\langle k, x,| y\rangle=\langle 0,5,11\rangle . \\
& y^{2}-2^{3 k+2}=x^{3} ; \quad x \text { odd, has no solutions. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Assume $k>0$ (see Table I).

$$
y^{2}=\alpha \beta ; \quad \alpha=x-\gamma 2^{k} \theta^{2}, \quad \beta=x^{2}+\gamma 2^{k} \theta^{2} x+\left(2^{k} \theta^{2}\right)^{2} .
$$

As in Proposition 2, $\alpha=\mu\left(a+b \theta+c \theta^{2}\right)^{2} ; \mu=1$ or $-1+\theta$ and $b \geqslant 0$.
If $\mu=-1+\theta$, then

$$
x=-a^{2}-4 b c+2 b^{2}+4 a c \quad(\Rightarrow a \text { is odd })
$$

and

$$
0=-2 c^{2}-2 a b+a^{2}+4 b c \quad(\Rightarrow a \text { is even })
$$

Thus $\mu=1$ and

$$
\begin{gather*}
x=a^{2}+4 b c \quad(\Rightarrow a \text { is odd })  \tag{11}\\
0=c^{2}+a b, \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\gamma 2^{k}=b^{2}+2 a c \quad(\Rightarrow b \text { is even }) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (12), $c$ is even.
If $c=0$, then by (12), $b=0$. But this contradicts (13). Thus $c=2^{s} C ; s \geqslant 1$ and $C$ odd. By (12), $b=2^{2 s} B ; B$ odd. Therefore $B>0$. By (12) and (13), $0=C^{2}+a B$ and

$$
-\gamma 2^{k}=2^{4 s} B^{2}+2^{s+1} a C
$$

As in Proposition 2, $B=1$. Hence $a=-C^{2}$ and $-\gamma 2^{k}=2^{4 s}-2^{s+1} C^{3}$. Since $4 s>s+1, k=s+1$ and $(-\gamma)^{3}+C^{3}=4\left(2^{s-1}\right)^{3}$. This equation has no solutions by [2, pp. 70-72].

Theorem. All the solutions of (*) are given in the following table with $x=2^{8} e$ and $y= \pm 2^{h} f$.

Explanation of Table II. If $e=0$ (respectively $f=0$ ), then the value of $g$ (respectively $h$ ) is irrelevant. $n$ is given modulo 6 and is nonnegative.

The solutions are numbered for reference in the proof.
Table II

$$
\gamma=1
$$

| $n$ (modulo 6) | $3 g$ | $e$ | $2 h$ | $f$ | Solution <br> Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $n$ | 1 | - | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | $n-1$ | 3 | $n-1$ | 5 | 2 |
| 2 | $n+1$ | 1 | $n$ | 1 | 3 |
| 2 | $n-2$ | 5 | $n-2$ | 11 | 4 |
| 3 | $n$ | 1 | - | 0 | 5 |


| $\gamma=-1$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ (modulo 6) | $3 g$ | $e$ | $2 h$ | $f$ | Solution |
| Number |  |  |  |  |  |

Proof. By direct calculation the above can be shown to be solutions. Suppose now that (*) holds.

If $x=0$, then $\gamma=-1$ and $y^{2}=2^{n}$. Therefore $n$ is even implying solution 7,11 or 17 .

If $y=0$, then $3 \mid n$ yielding solution $1,5,6$ or 13 .
Suppose now that $x y \neq 0$. Therefore $x=2^{8} e$ and $|y|=2^{h} f$; ef odd. By (*),

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{2 h} f^{2}+\gamma 2^{n}=2^{3 g} e^{3} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 7,

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 h=3 g<n,  \tag{15}\\
& 2 h=n<3 g, \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 g=n<2 h . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

If (15), then $2 h=3 g=6 q$ and by (14), $f^{2}+\gamma 2^{n-6 q}=e^{3}$. Propositions 1,2 and 3 imply solution $2,4,14,12,9$ or 10 .

If (16), then $n=6 w+2 i ; i=0,1$ or 2 . So $\left(2^{i} f\right)^{2}+\gamma 2^{2 i}=\left(2^{g-2 w} e\right)^{3}$. Table I gives solution 3 or 8 .

If (17), then $n=6 w+3 j ; j=0$ or 1. So $\left(2^{h-3 w} f\right)^{2}+\gamma 2^{3 j}=\left(2^{j} e\right)^{3}$. Table I yields solution 15 or 16 .
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