ON DERIVATION ALGEBRAS OF MALCEV ALGEBRAS

ERNEST L. STITZINGER

ABSTRACT. It is shown that if A is a Malcev algebra over a field of characteristic 0, then A is semisimple if and only if the derivation algebra $\mathfrak{D}(A)$ is semisimple. It is then shown that A is semisimple if and only if $A^* = \mathfrak{L}(A) + \mathfrak{D}(A)$ is semisimple, where $\mathfrak{L}(A)$ is the Lie multiplication algebra of A.

Let A be a nonassociative algebra over a field of characteristic 0 and let $\mathfrak{D}(A)$ be the derivation algebra of A. For certain classes of algebras (Lie, Jordan, alternative), there are results linking the semisimplicity of A to that of $\mathfrak{D}(A)$. Although some results of a related nature are available for Malcev algebras, it has not yet been shown that the semisimplicity of A and $\mathfrak{D}(A)$ are equivalent. It is the purpose of the present note to obtain this result and several related ones.

All algebras discussed here will be finite dimensional over a field of characteristic 0.

THEOREM 1. Let A be a Malcev algebra over a field F of characteristic 0. Then A is semisimple if and only if $\mathfrak{D}(A)$ is semisimple.

PROOF. Suppose that $\mathfrak{D}(A)$ is semisimple. By [11, Theorem 1] the radical R(A) of A is contained in the center Z(A) of A. Then by [10, Theorem 1] A is the direct sum of R(A) and a maximal semisimple subalgebra S(A) of A. Then $\mathfrak{D}(A)$ is the direct sum of ideals \mathfrak{D}_1 and \mathfrak{D}_2 where

$$\mathfrak{D}_1 = \{ D \in \mathfrak{D}(A); D: S(A) \to S(A), D: R(A) \to 0 \}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{D}_2 = \{ D \in \mathfrak{D}(A); D: S(A) \to 0 \}$$

(see the proof of [11, Theorem 1]). Suppose that $R(A) \neq 0$. Let T be the projection of A onto R(A) with null space S(A). Since $0 \neq R(A) \subseteq Z(A)$, $T \in \mathfrak{D}_2$ and $T \neq 0$. Let $T_1 \in \mathfrak{D}_2$, $x \in R(A)$ and $y \in S(A)$. Then, since R(A) is $\mathfrak{D}(A)$ -invariant by [3, Theorem 14],

Received by the editors December 17, 1975 and, in revised form, June 8, 1976. AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 17A30.

[©] American Mathematical Society 1977

$$(x + y)[T, T_1] = (x + y)TT_1 - (x + y)T_1 T = xT_1 - xT_1 = 0.$$

Hence $T \in Z(\mathfrak{D}_2)$ and since \mathfrak{D}_1 and \mathfrak{D}_2 are ideals in $\mathfrak{D}(A)$, $T \in Z(\mathfrak{D}(A))$. This contradicts the semisimplicity of $\mathfrak{D}(A)$, hence R(A) = 0.

Conversely let K be an algebraic closure of F. Then $\mathfrak{D}(A_K) \simeq \mathfrak{D}(A)_K$ (see [6, p. 233]). Semisimplicity of a Malcev algebra is equivalent to nondegeneracy of the Killing form [5, Theorem A] and the latter is preserved under extension of the base field, hence A_K is semisimple. By [5, Korollar 1], A_K is the direct sum of simple ideals. Each of these simple ideals is either a simple Lie algebra or is a 7 dimensional algebra obtained from a Cayley algebra as in [8, pp. 433-435]. This follows from combined results of Sagle [9] and Loos [5, Theorem B]. In either case, the simple algebra has simple derivation algebra. In particular, the non-Lie simple algebra has the exceptional simple Lie algebra G_2 for its derivation algebra [8, p. 455]. It follows that $\mathfrak{D}(A_K)$ is semisimple, hence $\mathfrak{D}(A)$ is also.

In order to extend a classical result of Leger and Togo [4] to general algebras, Ravisankar [6] considered $A^* = \mathfrak{L}(A) + \mathfrak{D}(A)$ where $\mathfrak{L}(A)$ is the Lie multiplication algebra of A. $\mathfrak{L}(A)$ is an ideal of A^* [6, p. 225]. We now show that the result of Theorem 1 holds with $\mathfrak{D}(A)$ replaced by A^* . In order to do this we need the following result.

LEMMA. Let A be a Malcev algebra over a field of characteristic 0. Then $\mathfrak{L}(A)$ is semisimple or 0 if and only if $R(A) \subseteq Z(A)$.

PROOF. If $R(A) \subseteq Z(A)$, then R(A) is complemented by a semisimple subalgebra S(A) by [10, Theorem 1]. If S(A) = 0, then $\mathfrak{L}(A) = 0$. Suppose that $S(A) \neq 0$. Then S(A) is $\mathfrak{L}(A)$ invariant since $R(A) \subseteq Z(A)$, and the restriction mapping is an isomorphism from $\mathfrak{L}(A)$ to $\mathfrak{L}(S(A))$. Since S(A) is semisimple, $\mathfrak{L}(S(A))$ is also [8, Corollary 7.3].

Conversely if $\mathfrak{L}(A)$ is semisimple, then, using a theorem of Weyl [1, Théorème 2, p. 75], Z(A) is complemented by an ideal *B* of *A*. Clearly Z(B) = 0 and $\mathfrak{L}(A) \simeq \mathfrak{L}(B)$. Hence *B* is semisimple by [8, Theorem 7.2] and the result holds. If $\mathfrak{L}(A) = 0$, then Z(A) = A.

THEOREM 2. Let A be a Malcev algebra over a field of characteristic 0. Let $A^* = \mathfrak{L}(A) + \mathfrak{D}(A)$. Then A^* is semisimple if and only if A is semisimple.

PROOF. If A is semisimple, then $\mathfrak{D}(A)$ and $\mathfrak{L}(A)$ are semisimple. Then $A^*/\mathfrak{L}(A) \simeq \mathfrak{D}(A)/\mathfrak{D}(A) \cap \mathfrak{L}(A)$ is semisimple by [1, Corollaire 2, p. 76]. Since $R(A^*)$ projects onto the radical of $A^*/\mathfrak{L}(A)$ [1, Corollaire 3, p. 76], $R(A^*) \subseteq \mathfrak{L}(A)$. Hence $R(A^*) = 0$ and A^* is semisimple.

Conversely, if A^* is semisimple, then $\mathfrak{L}(A)$ is semisimple or $\mathfrak{L}(A) = 0$, hence $R(A) \subseteq Z(A)$ and R(A) is complemented by a semisimple subalgebra S(A). Suppose $R(A) \neq 0$. By [11, Theorem 1], $\mathfrak{D}(A)$ acts completely reducibly on A, hence $\mathfrak{D}(A) = S \oplus Z$ where S is a semisimple subalgebra of $\mathfrak{D}(A)$ and Z is the center of $\mathfrak{D}(A)$. Since $A^*/\mathfrak{L}(A)$ is semisimple, $Z \subseteq \mathfrak{L}(A)$. Let T be the projection of A on R(A) with null space S(A). Then $T \in \mathfrak{D}(A)$. Let \mathfrak{D}_1 and \mathfrak{D}_2 be as in the proof of Theorem 1. Then both R(A) and S(A) are invariant under $\mathfrak{D}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{D}_2 = \mathfrak{D}(A)$, hence T commutes with each element of $\mathfrak{D}(A)$. Therefore $T \in Z \subseteq \mathfrak{Q}(A)$. Since $R(A) \subseteq Z(A)$, $\mathfrak{Q}(A)$ annihilates R(A). Hence T = 0 and R(A) = 0.

References

1. N. Bourbaki, Éléments de mathématique, Livre XXVI, Groupes et algèbres de Lie, Chap. I, Actualités Sci. Indust., no. 1285, Hermann, Paris, 1960. MR 24 # A2641.

2. W. H. Davenport, On inner derivations of Malcev algebras, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 2 (1972), 565-568. MR 46 #9129.

3. E. N. Kuz'min, Mal'cev algebras and their representations, Algebra i Logika 7 (1968), 48-69 = Algebra and Logic 7 (1968), 233-244. MR 40 # 5688.

4. G. F. Leger, Jr. and S. Tôgô, Characteristically nilpotent Lie algebras, Duke Math. J. 26 (1959), 623-628. MR 22 #5659.

5. O. Loos, Über eine Beziehung zwischen Malcev-Algebren und Lie-Triplesystemen, Pacific J. Math. 18 (1966), 553–562. MR 33 #7385.

6. T. S. Ravisankar, Characteristically nilpotent algebras, Canad. J. Math. 23 (1971), 222-235. MR 43 #2031.

7. _____, On Malcev algebras, Pacific J. Math. 42 (1972), 227-234. MR 47 # 1905.

8. A. A. Sagle, Malcev algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 101 (1961), 426-458. MR 26 #1343.

9. ____, Simple Malcev algebras over fields of characteristic zero, Pacific J. Math. 12 (1962), 1057-1078. MR 27 # 184.

10. E. L. Stitzinger, Malcev algebras with J_2 -potent radical, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 50 (1975), 1–9. MR 51 #10424.

11. _____, On derivation algebras of Malcev algebras and Lie triple systems, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 55 (1976), 9–13.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY, RALEIGH, NORTH CARO-LINA 27607