ON A THEOREM OF POSNER

MANSOOR AHMAD

ABSTRACT. Posner [1] has proved the following theorem: Let R be a prime ring¹ and d a derivation of R such that, for all $a \in R$, $ada - daa \in Z$ (centre of R). Then, if d is not a zero derivation, R is commutative.

Two proofs of this theorem are known-one by Posner himself and another by Ram Awtar [2]. It is natural to expect that the proof would be short and simple in the case when R is of characteristic 2, but, by chance, these proofs are somewhat contrary to this expectation. Although, in this case, the condition $ada - daa \in Z$ is the same as $d(a^2) \in Z$, which implies $d(ab + ba) \in Z$ for all $a, b \in R$.

The object of this paper is to give a very simple, short and direct proof of this theorem in the case when R is of characteristic 2, then to generalize this technique to prove a lemma which is similar to the result that, if $d(ab - ba) \in Z$, when R is not necessarily of characteristic 2, then either d is zero or R is commutative, and lastly to prove a generalized form of the main theorem, i.e. Theorem 1 of Awtar [3], which follows as a consequence of the lemma.

PROOF OF POSNER'S THEOREM, IN THE CASE WHEN *R* IS OF CHARACTERISTIC 2. The condition $ada - daa \in Z$ is the same as $d(a^2) \in Z$, which gives $d(ab + ba) \in Z$ if we replace *a* by (a + b). Commuting d(ab + ba) with *a* we have

(i)
$$ad(ab + ba) = d(ab + ba)a.$$

Replacing b by ba in (i) and using (i), we have

(ii)
$$a(ab + ba)da = (ab + ba)daa.$$

Replacing b by da in (ii), since $ada - daa \in Z$, and R is of characteristic 2, we have

$$(ada - daa)^2 = 0.$$

Since R is prime, this implies ada - daa = 0. Consequently, we have

$$d(ab + ba) = 0$$

Replacing b by ba, we have

$$(ab + ba)da = 0.$$

© American Mathematical Society 1977

Received by the editors July 7, 1976.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 16A66, 16A72; Secondary 16A48.

Key words and phrases. Prime rings and derivation.

¹All rings considered in this paper are associative. For definitions see [4].

Replacing b by $bc, c \in R$, in the last result and using the last result, since R is prime, it follows that either d is zero or R is commutative.

LEMMA. Let R be a prime ring of characteristic not 2. Let S be the subgroup of the additive group of R generated by all AB - BA and (AB - BA)A, where A = xy - yx, B = ab - ba, and a, b, x, y run through R. If d is a derivation of R such that $dV \in Z$ (centre of R) for all $V \in S$, then d is zero or R is commutative.

PROOF. Since $d(A_1B_1 - B_1A_1) \in Z$, choosing $A_1 = V = AB - BA$ or (AB - BA)A, $B_1 = Vx - xV$, $x \in R$, we have $d[V(Vx - xV) - (Vx - xV)V] \in Z$. Since $dV \in Z$, it follows easily that $p^2(dx) \in Z$, where p(x) = Vx - xV. Replacing x by xV in this result, we have

(I)
$$p^2(dx)V + p^2(x) dV \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Putting dx for x in (I), and using the results $dV \in Z$, $p^2(dx) \in Z$, we have

$$(II) p^2(d^2x)V \in Z.$$

Since $p^2(d^2x) \in Z$ and R is prime, either $p^2(d^2x) = 0$ or $V \in Z$. If $p^2(d^2x) = 0$, replacing x by xV in this result, we have

$$p^{2}(d^{2}xV + 2dxdV + xd^{2}V) = 0,$$

i.e.

(III)
$$2p^2(dx) dV + p^2(x) d^2V = 0,$$

because xdV - dVx = 0, and so d(xdV - dVx) = 0 or $xd^2V - d^2Vx = 0$, i.e. $d^2V \in Z$. Since $dV \in Z$ and $p^2(dx) \in Z$, (III) implies $p^2(x) d^2V \in Z$. Since R is prime, either $d^2V = 0$ or $p^2(x) \in Z$. If $d^2V = 0$, since R is not of characteristic 2, by (III), we have

$$p^2(dx) \, dV = 0.$$

Since $dV \in Z$ and R is prime, we have dV = 0 or $p^2(dx) = 0$. If $p^2(dx) = 0$, by (I), we have $p^2(x) dV \in Z$, so either dV = 0 or $p^2(x) \in Z$. If $p^2(x) \in Z$, replacing x by xV, we have $p^2(x)V \in Z$. Therefore, either $p^2(x) = 0$ or $V \in Z$. If $p^2(x) = 0$, replacing x by $xy, y \in R$, it follows easily that $V \in Z$, and since V = AB - BA or (AB - BA)A, we have AB - BA = 0, i.e. V =0. Hence in each case dV = 0.

Since dV = 0, we have d(AB - BA) = 0 and d[(AB - BA)A] = 0. Therefore, we have

(IV)
$$(AB - BA) dA = 0.$$

Replacing B by V_1 in (IV), where $V_1 = AB_1 - B_1A$, and using (IV), we have (V) $(AB_1 - B_1A)AdA = 0.$

Again, replacing B_1 by $AB_2 - B_2A$ in (V), and using (V), we have

$$(AB_2 - B_2A)A^2dA = 0.$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$VI \qquad VdA = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad VAdA = 0,$$

for all $V \in S$. Replacing B by Vx - xV in (IV), $V \in S$, $x \in R$, and using (VI), we have

$$(VII) \qquad (AVx - VxA) dA = 0.$$

Replacing x by xB_1 in (VII), where $B_1 = zy - yz$, $y, z \in R$, we have

$$\left[\left(AVx - VxA\right)B_1 + Vx\left(AB_1 - B_1A\right)\right] dA = 0.$$

By (IV), with B_1 for B, the second term on the left-hand side vanishes, so we have

(VIII)
$$(AVx - VxA)(zy - yz) dA = 0.$$

Replacing AVx - VxA by H and y by Hy in (VIII), we have

$$H[(zH - Hz)y + H(zy - yz)] dA = 0$$

By (VIII), the second term on the left-hand side vanishes, and since R is prime, we have

$$H(zH - Hz) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad dA = 0.$$

Therefore, either $H \in Z$ or dA = 0. If $H \in Z$, by (VII), it follows that either H = 0 or dA = 0. If H = 0, i.e. if AVx - VxA = 0, replacing x by SAt, S, $t \in R$, and using H = 0, with x replaced by S, we have

$$VSA\left(At - tA\right) = 0.$$

Therefore, either V = 0 or $A \in Z$. If V = 0, then A commutes with B, i.e. A commutes with (xy - yx), $x, y \in R$. Replacing y by yx, it follows that A commutes with (xy - yx)x, so we have

$$(xy - yx)(xA - Ax) = 0.$$

Therefore, replacing y by yz, $z \in R$, it follows that $A \in Z$, i.e. $xy - yx \in Z$, so $(xy - yx)x \in Z$, which implies that R is commutative. If $A \in Z$, then A commutes with B, and it follows, as before, that R is commutative. If dA = 0, we have d(xy - yx) = 0, $x, y \in R$. Replacing y by yx, we have (xy - yx) dx = 0. Replacing y by yz, $z \in R$, it follows that either d is zero or R is commutative. This completes the proof of the lemma.

THEOREM. Let T(S) be a subgroup of the additive group of R, where R and S are the same as before, such that $V \in T(S)$ and $Vt - tV \in T(S)$, for every V and $t, V \in S, t \in R$. Let us suppose that R is not of characteristic 2 or 3, and let d be a nonzero derivation of R, with $UdU - dUU \in Z$ (centre of R) for all $U \in T(S)$. Then R is commutative.

PROOF. Since by hypothesis $V \in T(S)$ and $Vr - rV \in T(S)$, for all V and r, $V \in S$, $r \in R$, replacing u by V in Lemma 2 of Ram Awtar [2], it follows that $[[dr, V], V] \in Z$, where [x, y] = xy - yx, $x, y \in R$. Now, proceeding on the same lines as in Posner [1] (cf. equations (16) to (27)), we have [V, dV] = 0, for all $V \in S$. Putting rV for r in $p^2(dr) \in Z$, where p(r) = Vr- rV, and using

$$p^{2}(xy) = p^{2}(x)y + 2p(x)p(y) + xp^{2}(y), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}$$

we have

$$p^2(dr)V + p^2(r) dV \in Z.$$

Commuting this with V and using $[V, dV] = 0, p^2(dr) \in Z$, we have

$$\left[V,p^2(r)\right]dV=0,$$

i.e. $p^{3}(r) dV = 0$. Putting yr for $r, y \in R$, and using

$$p^{3}(yr) = p^{3}(y)r + 3p^{2}(y)p(r) + 3p(y)p^{2}(r) + yp^{3}(r),$$

we have

$$\left\{p^{3}(y)r + 3p^{2}(y)p(r) + 3p(y)p^{2}(r)\right\}dV = 0.$$

Putting dy for y and p(r) for r, and using $p^2(dy) \in Z$, $p^3(dy) = 0$, we have either $p^{2}(dy) = 0$ or $p^{2}(r) dV = 0$. If $p^{2}(r) dV = 0$, then, since $p^{2}(dy)V + 0$ $p^{2}(r) dV \in Z$ and $p^{2}(dy) \in Z$, it follows that $p^{2}(dy) = 0$. Replacing y by rV, we have $p^2(r) dV = 0$. Replacing r by yp(r), we have $p^2(y)p(r) dV = 0$, and replacing r by p(y)r in $p^{2}(r) dV = 0$, we have either dV = 0 or $p^{3}(y) = 0$. If $p^{3}(y) = 0$, replacing y by yr, we have $p^{2}(y)p(r) + p(y)p^{2}(r) = 0$. Replacing y by dy, we have $p(dy)p^2(r) = 0$, and replacing y by p(y) in $p^2(y)p(r) + p(y)$ $p(y)p^2(r) = 0$, we have $p^2(y)p^2(r) = 0$. Replacing y by $ydx, x \in R$, we have $p^{2}(y) dxp^{2}(r) = 0$. Replacing x by $(xp^{2}(t))a, t \in R, a \in R$, we have either $p^{2}(r) = 0$ and, consequently, $V \in Z$, or $d(p^{2}(t)) = 0$, which reduces to $I_{dV}I_{V}(t) = 0$, where I_{a} denotes inner derivation by a, because $p^{2}(dt) = 0$ and VdV = dVV. Consequently, by Posner [1, Theorem 1], it follows that $V \in Z$ or $dV \in Z$. If $V \in Z$, we have Vr - rV = 0, $r \in R$. Therefore, d(Vr - rV)= 0, and we have $dV \in Z$, i.e. in each case $dV \in Z$ for all $V \in S$. Since $p^{2}(r) dV = 0$, it follows that either dV = 0 or $V \in Z$, for all $V \in S$. Hence, by the lemma, it follows that R is commutative.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author takes pleasure in expressing his thanks to the referee for helpful comments and remarks.

References

1. E. C. Posner, Derivation in prime rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957), 1093-1100.

2. R. Awtar, On a theorem of Posner, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 73 (1973), 25-27.

3. ____, Lie and Jordan structures in prime rings with derivation, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 41 (1973), 67-74.

4. I. N. Herstein, Topics in ring theory, Univ. of Chicago Press, Chicago, Ill., 1969.

AMINABAD, LUCKNOW, INDIA