SCHUR MULTIPLIERS OF SOME FINITE NILPOTENT GROUPS

DAVID A. JACKSON

ABSTRACT. Let B denote the Burnside group, $B(p^\alpha, d)$ and let $G = B/B_k$ where p is a prime and $1 < k < p$. We show that the Schur multiplier, $M(G)$, is a direct power of $\Psi(k, d)$ cyclic groups, each having order p^α , where $\Psi(k, d) = k^{-1} \sum_{n|k} \mu(k/n) d^n$. (This is Witt's formula for the rank of F_k/F_{k+1} where F is free on d generators.) In addition we can show that $M(B(3, d))$ is elementary abelian of exponent 3 and rank $2\binom{d}{2} + 4\binom{d}{3} + 3\binom{d}{4}$.

1. Notation and a preliminary result. For any group G , $M(G)$ will be the Schur multiplier of G . The minimal number of generators for G will be denoted $d(G)$. When G is abelian we will sometimes refer to $d(G)$ as the rank of G and write $\text{rk}(G)$. $Z(G)$ will be the center of G and G' will be the commutator subgroup of G . G_k will be the k th term of the lower central series $G_1 = G$, $G_2 = G' = (G, G)$, $G_3 = (G_2, G)$, $\dots$.

Throughout this paper d will be a fixed positive integer, p will be a fixed prime and q will be p^α for some fixed positive integer α . F will be a free group on a set $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d\}$ of d generators, and $\Psi(k, d)$, the rank of F_k/F_{k+1} , will be abbreviated $\Psi(k)$. $B(q, d)$ will be the Burnside group with exponent q on d generators.

I thank N. Blackburn for showing me a proof of the following generalization of a theorem of R. C. Lyndon [7].

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $B = B(q, d)$. Then B_k/B_{k+1} is a direct sum of $\Psi(k)$ cyclic groups of order q , for $k < p$.*

PROOF. We claim that $F_k \cap F^q F_{k+1} = F_k^q F_{k+1}$ for $k < p$, hence

$$B_k/B_{k+1} \cong F^q F_k / F^q F_{k+1} \cong F_k / F_k \cap F^q F_{k+1} \cong F_k / F_k^q F_{k+1}.$$

To prove the claim we show by induction on j , for $1 \leq j \leq k$, that $F_j \cap F^q F_{k+1} \subseteq F_j^q F_{k+1}$. Given $w \in F_j \cap F^q F_{k+1}$, then $w \in F_{j-1} \cap F^q F_{k+1}$, so by induction $w \in F_{j-1}^q F_{k+1}$. After moving the factors from F_{k+1} to the right, we can write $w = a_1^q \cdots a_r^q x$ where $a_i \in F_{j-1}$ and $x \in F_{k+1}$. Now consider $(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_r)^q$ and apply Theorem 12.3.1 of [2] to get

$$(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_r)^q = a_1^q a_2^q \cdots a_r^q c_1^{e_1} \cdots c_t^{e_t}$$

Received by the editors September 22, 1976.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 20C25; Secondary 18H10.

Key words and phrases. Schur multiplier, Burnside group, commutator calculus.

© American Mathematical Society 1977

where $y \in F_{k+1}$, each $c_i \in F_j$ and q divides each e_i since $k < p$. Observe that $wx^{-1} = a_1^q \cdots a_r^q \in F_j$, so $(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_r)^q \in F_j$. Since F/F_j is torsion free, $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_r \in F_j$. But then since q divides each l_i , we get $a_1^q \cdots a_r^q \in F_j^q F_{k+1}$.

2. The exponent of $M(G)$.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $B = B(q, d)$, $G = B/B_k$ where $k < 2p$ and $Q = G/N$ where $N \subseteq G_l$ and $l > k - p$. Then $M(Q)$ has exponent dividing q .*

PROOF. We may assume that d is chosen so that $d(Q) = d(G) = d$ and that k is chosen so that $\text{class } Q = \text{class } G = k - 1$.

Consider an exact sequence $M \twoheadrightarrow H \twoheadrightarrow^\pi Q$ where $M \subseteq H' \cap Z(H)$. Then H is a finite p -group with $d(H) = d$ and $\text{class } H \leq k$. Let $\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d\}$ be a set of generators for Q and let $\{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_d\}$ be a set of generators for H with $\pi h_i = z_i$. For any commutator $\bar{c}$ in Q with entries from $\{z_i\}$ we will let c denote the corresponding commutator in H with each z_i replaced by h_i .

Observe that for any $h_i, h_i^q \in M$, and thus is central. Hence given $c = (h_{i_1}, \dots, h_{i_r})$, we have $(cH_{j+1})^q = (h_{i_1}^q, \dots, h_{i_r}^q)H_{j+1} = H_{j+1}$. It follows that H_j/H_{j+1} has exponent dividing q . We wish to show that H_{k-p+1} has exponent dividing q . We show by induction on λ , for $0 \leq \lambda < p$, that $H_{k-\lambda}$ has exponent dividing q . Given $\lambda < p$, write $r = k - \lambda$, so that $r + p > k$. Then for any commutator $c = (h_{i_1}, \dots, h_{i_r}) \in H_r$ we use, e.g., Lemma H2 of [9] to write

$$1 = (h_{i_1}, \dots, h_{i_r}^q) = c^q c_1^{e_1} \cdots c_t^{e_t}$$

where the c_i are all commutators with $r < wtc_i \leq k$. Since $p + r > k$, q divides each e_i . By induction the c_i have exponent dividing q , so c has exponent dividing q as well. For an arbitrary element x of $H_{k-\lambda}$, we may write x as a product of commutators of weight at least $k - \lambda$ and apply Theorem 12.3.1 of [2] together with the above result for commutators to show that x has exponent dividing q .

Thus to prove the theorem it suffices to show that $M \subseteq H_{k-p+1}$. Since $l \geq k - p + 1$ and $p \geq k - p + 1$ we are done if we show either that $M \subseteq H_l$ or that $M \subseteq H_p$. We find the remainder of the argument easier to follow if we separate the cases $l < p$ and $l \geq p$.

Case (i) $l < p$. We show in this case that $M \subseteq H_l$. For each j , choose a basis $\{\bar{c}_1 Q_{j+1}, \dots, \bar{c}_{r(j)} Q_{j+1}\}$ for Q_j/Q_{j+1} , taking $\{z_1 Q_2, z_2 Q_2, \dots, z_d Q_2\}$ as the choice for Q/Q_2 . Fix a weight preserving order of all of the $\bar{c}$ and relabel according to this order, so that (up to the choice of bases and the choice of the order) each element w of Q has a unique expression $w = \prod \bar{c}_i^{\bar{\alpha}_i}$ where $\bar{c}_i \in Q_j$, $\bar{c}_i Q_{j+1}$ has order $\bar{\beta}_i$ in Q_j/Q_{j+1} and $0 \leq \bar{\alpha}_i < \bar{\beta}_i$.

For $j < l$, $Q_j/Q_{j+1} \cong B_j/B_{j+1}$, hence $r(j) = \Psi(j)$ and Q_j/Q_{j+1} is homocyclic of exponent q . We have seen above that H_j/H_{j+1} has exponent dividing q . Since Q_j/Q_{j+1} is an image of H_j/H_{j+1} , the latter must have rank $\Psi(j)$ and exponent q for $j < l$. The corresponding sets

$\{c_1 H_{j+1}, \dots, c_{\Psi(j)} H_{j+1}\}$ form bases for H_j/H_{j+1} when $j < l$. Choose, as well, bases for H_j/H_{j+1} when $j \geq l$. Order those c_i with weight less than l in the same way as the $\bar{c}_i$ were ordered, and extend this order to a weight preserving order of all of the c_i 's. Then each element h of H has a unique expression $h = \prod c_i^{\alpha_i}$ where $c_i \in H_j$, $c_i H_{j+1}$ has order β_i in H_j/H_{j+1} and $0 \leq \alpha_i < \beta_i$. If we apply the quotient map π to h , we get

$$\pi h = \pi \prod c_i^{\alpha_i} = \prod \pi c_i^{\alpha_i} = \prod_{wt c_i < l} \bar{c}_i^{\alpha_i} \cdot \prod_{wt c_i \geq l} \bar{c}_i^{\alpha'_i}$$

so that the unique expression for πh has $\bar{\alpha}_i = \alpha_i$ for $wt c_i < l$ and $\bar{\alpha}_i$ equal to some α'_i when $wt c_i \geq l$. If $h \in M$, then $\pi h = 1$, so $\bar{\alpha}_i = 0$ for all i . Hence $\alpha_i = 0$ for $wt c_i < l$ and $h \in H_l$.

Case (ii) $l \geq p$. The argument here is the same as in Case (i) except we observe that $Q_j/Q_{j+1} \cong B_j/B_{j+1} \cong H_j/H_{j+1}$ for $j < p$ and show that $M \subseteq H_p$.

COROLLARY 2.2. *Let $B = B(q, d)$, $G = B/B_k$ where $p \leq k < 2p$ and let $M \rightarrow H \rightarrow G$ be exact with $M \subseteq H' \cap Z(H)$. Then $M \subseteq H_p$.*

COROLLARY 2.3. *Let $B = B(q, d)$ and $G = B/B_k$ where $k < 2p$. Then $M(G)$ has exponent dividing q .*

COROLLARY 2.4. *If Q is any group of exponent 3, then $M(Q)$ has exponent dividing 3.*

PROOF. Let $d = d(Q)$ and $B = B(3, d)$. Then $B = B/B_4$ (see [2]) and $Q = B/N$ for some $N \subseteq B_2$.

Corollary 2.4 is implicit in Remark 2.8 of Jones [5] since any group of exponent 3 satisfies the 2nd Engel condition.

We do not have any example to show that the condition on k in Theorem 2.1 is necessary. The example of Bayes, Kautsky, and Wamsley [1] of a group G with exponent 4 with $M(G)$ having exponent 8 shows that the hypothesis on l cannot be dropped.

3. The multiplier of groups having exponent q .

THEOREM 3.1. *If $B = B(q, d)$ and $G = B/B_k$ where $1 < k < p$, then $M(G)$ is homocyclic with exponent q and rank $\Psi(k)$.*

PROOF. Observe first that $B_k/B_{k+1} \rightarrow B/B_{k+1} \rightarrow G$ is exact with B_k/B_{k+1} in both the commutator and central subgroups of B/B_{k+1} . It follows from a theorem of Schur, see [3, V, Satz 23.5], that B_k/B_{k+1} is an epimorphic image of $M(G)$. Then by Theorem 1.1 we see that $\text{rk } M(G) \geq \Psi(k)$ and $|M(G)| \geq q^{\Psi(k)}$.

By Corollary 2.3, $M(G)$ has exponent dividing q , so it will suffice to show that $\text{rk } M(G) \leq \Psi(k)$. To this end we exhibit a presentation for G .

Let $\{c_1 F_{k+1}, \dots, c_{\Psi(k)} F_{k+1}\}$ be a basis for F_k/F_{k+1} . Then we claim that G has a presentation

$$\langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d | x_1^q, x_2^q, \dots, x_d^q, c_1, \dots, c_{\Psi(k)}, F_{k+1} \rangle.$$

Let $\bar{G}$ be the group having this presentation. The obvious function $\bar{G} \rightarrow G$ preserves relations, and hence is a group epimorphism. $\bar{G}$ is nilpotent of class $k - 1$ and $\text{rk } \bar{G}_j / \bar{G}_{j+1} \leq \Psi(j) = \text{rk } G_j / G_{j+1}$. We argue as in Theorem 2.1 that each $\bar{G}_j / \bar{G}_{j+1}$ has exponent dividing q . It follows that $|\bar{G}_j / \bar{G}_{j+1}| \leq |G_j / G_{j+1}|$ so that $|\bar{G}| \leq |G|$.

Having this presentation for G , it follows, as in [3, V, Satz 25.2], that $\text{rk } M(G) \leq \Psi(k)$. Since G is nilpotent of class $k - 1$, the relators in F_{k+1} contribute nothing to the number of generators of $M(G)$.

It would be of interest to know if F_{k+1} can be omitted from the set of relators in the above presentation. If so, the resulting presentation has deficiency $-\text{rk } M(G)$.

PROPOSITION 3.2. *If $B = B(q, d)$ and $G = B/B_p$ then $M(G)$ has exponent dividing q and $\text{rk } M(G) \leq \Psi(p)$.*

PROOF. Let $M \hookrightarrow H \twoheadrightarrow G$ be exact with $M \subseteq H' \cap Z(H)$. By Corollary 2.2, $M \subset H_p$. But $H_{p+1} = 1$, so $\text{rk } H_p \leq \Psi(p)$.

Using results of Lyndon [7], one can easily show that

$$\text{rk } M(G) \geq \Psi(p) + d - \binom{p + d - 1}{p} \quad \text{for } G = B/B_p.$$

The difficulty in improving the result here is that we do not have a convenient representation group to exhibit.

4. The multipliers of groups of exponent 3. Throughout this section we let $B = B(3, d)$. B has been described by Levi and van der Waerden [6]. For details see, for example, [2]. Our present proofs of results in this section are tedious, but straightforward, and we condense or omit them.

LEMMA 4.1. *B has presentations with d generators and $r = d + 2\binom{d}{2} + 4\binom{d}{3} + 3\binom{d}{4}$ relators.*

PROOF. One such presentation is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d | & x_i^3 = 1, 1 \leq i \leq d, \\ & (x_j, x_i, x_i) = (x_j, x_i, x_j) = 1, 1 \leq i < j \leq d, \\ & (x_k, x_j, x_i, x_i) = (x_k, x_j, x_i, x_j) = (x_k, x_j, x_i, x_k) \\ & \quad = (x_k, x_j, x_i)(x_k, x_i, x_j) = 1, 1 \leq i < j < k \leq d, \\ & (x_l, x_k, x_j, x_i) = (x_l, x_k, x_i, x_j) = (x_l, x_j, x_i, x_k) = 1, \\ & \quad 1 \leq i < j < k < l \leq d \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 4.2. *There is a group H and a short exact sequence $M \hookrightarrow H \twoheadrightarrow B$ where $M \subseteq Z(H) \cap H'$ and M is elementary abelian of exponent 3 and rank $r - d = 2\binom{d}{2} + 4\binom{d}{3} + 3\binom{d}{4}$.*

The presentation in Lemma 4.1 can be regarded as a blueprint for

constructing such a representation group H . The details are tedious to verify.

THEOREM 4.3. $M(B)$ is elementary abelian of exponent 3 and rank $r - d = 2\binom{d}{2} + 4\binom{d}{3} + 3\binom{d}{4}$.

PROOF. This follows easily from Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2.

We remark that MacDonald [8] has previously computed the rank of $M(B(3, 3))$ as an application of a computer algorithm.

For the case $q = 3$, we can improve on Proposition 3.2.

PROPOSITION 4.2. Let $G = B/B_3$. Then $M(G)$ has exponent 3 and rank $\Psi(3)$.

Representation groups for $G = B/B_3$ are much easier to construct than those for B . We note, however, that, with a proper choice H of a representation group for B , H/H_4 is a representation group for G .

As a final remark, we note that essentially all of the multipliers that we are obtaining are nontrivial and that this is not surprising in view of D. L. Johnson's recent paper [4].

REFERENCES

1. A. J. Bayes, J. Kautsky and J. W. Wamsley, *Computation in nilpotent groups (application)*, Proc. Second Internat. Conf. Theory of Groups, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 372, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1974. MR 50 #7299.
2. Marshall Hall, Jr., *Theory of groups*, Macmillan, New York, 1959.
3. B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen*. I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1967.
4. David L. Johnson, *A property of finite p -groups with trivial multiplier*, Amer. J. Math. 98 (1976), 105–108. MR 53 #603.
5. M. R. Jones, *Some inequalities for $M(G)$* . II, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 45 (1974), 167–172. MR 50 #4741.
6. F. Levi and B. L. van der Waerden, *Über eine besondere Klasse von Gruppen*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 9 (1933), 154–158.
7. R. C. Lyndon, *On Burnside's problem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 77 (1954), 202–215. MR 16, 218.
8. I. D. MacDonald, *A computer application to finite p -groups*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 17 (1974), 102–112.
9. Ruth Rebekka Struik, *On nilpotent products of cyclic groups*, Canad. J. Math. 12 (1960), 447–462. MR 22 #11028.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT URBANA-CHAMPAIGN, URBANA, ILLINOIS 61801

Current address: Department of Mathematics, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Oklahoma 74074